

超声及钼靶X线对乳腺导管内癌与乳腺浸润性导管癌的诊断价值

《现代肿瘤医学》[ISSN:1672-4992/CN:61-1415/R] 期数: 2019年22期 页码: 4089-4093 栏目: 论著 (影像诊断) 出版日期: 2019-10-08

Title: Value of ultrasonography and mammography in diagnosis of breast ductal carcinoma in situ and breast invasive ductal carcinoma

作者: 孙琳¹; 孙晓枫¹; 王卉²; 杨顺实¹

1.武汉市中心医院超声影像科; 2.影像诊断科, 湖北 武汉 430014

Author(s): Sun Lin¹; Sun Xiaofeng¹; Wang Hui²; Yang Shunshi¹

1. Department of Ultrasound; 2. Department of Radiology, Central Hospital of Wuhan, Hubei Wuhan 430014, China.

关键词: 超声检查; 钼靶X线摄影; 乳腺导管内癌; 乳腺浸润性导管癌

Keywords: ultrasonography; mammography; breast ductal carcinoma in situ; breast invasive ductal carcinoma

分类号: R737.9

DOI: 10.3969/j.issn.1672-4992.2019.22.036

文献标识码: A

摘要: 目的: 探讨乳腺导管内癌 (ductal carcinoma in situ, DCIS) 与乳腺浸润性导管癌 (invasive ductal carcinoma, IDC) 的超声及钼靶X线影像特征差异。方法: 回顾性分析160例患者 (包括62例DCIS患者及98例IDC患者) 的超声及钼靶X线资料。结果: 161个乳腺病灶中, 有62个DCIS病灶 (DCIS组) 及99个IDC病灶 (IDC组) 。超声对IDC组病灶的检出率明显高于DCIS组, 两组间的检出率有统计学意义 ($P < 0.05$) ; 两组间病灶超声表现中形状、边界、边缘特征及血流信号差异有统计学意义 ($P < 0.05$) 。钼靶X线在两组病灶检出率差异有统计学意义 ($P < 0.05$) ; 两组间病灶钼靶X线表现形状及边缘特征的例数差异有统计学意义 ($P < 0.05$) 。对于DCIS组, 超声及钼靶X线病灶的检出率差异有统计学意义 ($P < 0.05$) ; 在病灶边缘及乳腺腺体内钙化检出率这些方面, 两种方法有统计学意义 ($P < 0.05$) 。结论: 乳腺钼靶X线对DCIS腺体内钙化灶诊断率较高, 乳腺超声对DCIS病灶检出、病灶边缘特征显示具有诊断优势。

Abstract: Objective: To explore the differences of ultrasonic data and mammography data between DCIS and IDC. Methods: The ultrasound data and mammography data of 160 patients (included 62 DCIS and 98 IDC) were retrospectively analyzed and compared. Results: There were 62 lesions of DCIS (DCIS group), 99 lesions of IDC (IDC group). The detection rate of ultrasound in IDC group lesions was significantly higher than it in DCIS group ($P < 0.05$). On ultrasonography, there were significant differences of tumor sharp, border, margin and Adler flow grade between the DCIS and IDC group ($P < 0.05$). The detection rate of mammography in IDC group lesions was significantly higher than it in DCIS group ($P < 0.05$). On mammography, there were significant differences of tumor sharp and margin between the DCIS and IDC group ($P < 0.05$). In DCIS group, the detection rate of ultrasound was significantly higher than mammography ($P < 0.05$). There were significant differences of tumor margin and calcification between the two methods ($P < 0.05$). Conclusion: Mammography is superior to ultrasound in detection of calcifications. However, ultrasound can improve the accuracy of identification and characterization of the lesion in DCIS.

参考文献/REFERENCES

- [1] CHEN WQ,ZHENG RS.Incidence,mortality and survival analysis of breast cancer in China [J]. Chin J Clin Oncol,2015,42(13):668- 674. [陈万青, 郑荣寿. 中国女性乳腺癌发病死亡和生存状况 [J]. 中国肿瘤临床, 2015, 42(13):668-674.]
- [2] HUO LJ,GAO HY,GUO YJ,et al.Clinicopathologic study on breast ductal carcinoma in situ and microinvasion [J]. Chin J Breast Dis(Electronic Edition),2013,7(6):30-33. [霍雷军, 郭红艺, 郭玉娟, 等. 乳腺导管内原位癌及其微浸润的临床病理研究 [J]. 中华乳医学杂志 (电子版) , 2013, 7(6):30-33.]
- [3] Zeng H,Zheng R,Guo Y,et al.Cancer survival in China,2003-2005:a population-based study [J]. Int J Cancer,2015,136(8):1921-1930.
- [4] Mun HS,Shin HJ,Kim HH,et al.Screening-detected calcified and non-calcified ductal carcinoma in situ:differences in the imaging and histopathological features [J]. Clin Radiol,2013,68(1):e27-e35.

- [5] Wang LC,Sullivan M,Du H,et al.US appearance of ductal carcinoma in situ [J].*Radiographics*,2013(33):213-228.
- [6] JIN FM,MA SM,WANG H,et al.Present situation and expectation of ultrasound methods of breast lesions [J].*Chin J Med Imaging Technol*,2017,33(10):1562-1565. [靳凤梅,马苏美,王惠,等.乳腺病灶超声学检查方法的现状与展望.中国医学影像技术,2017,33(10):1562-1565.]
- [7] Tang X,Yamashita T,Hara M,et al.Histopathological characteristics of breast ductal carcinoma in situ and association with imaging findings [J].*Breast Cancer*,2016,23(3):491-498.
- [8] Jin ZQ,Lin MY,Hao WQ,et al.Diagnostic evaluation of ductal carcinoma in situ of the breast:Ultrasonographic,mammographic and histopathologic correlations [J].*Ultrasound Med Biol*,2015,41(1):47-55.
- [9] HUANG J,HE YM,LIN LW,et al.Ultrasound differential diagnosis of ductal type breast cancer and breast intraductal papilloma [J].*Clin Ultrasound in Med*,2013,15(3):169-171. [黄静,何以焱,林礼务,等.导管型乳腺癌与乳腺导管内乳头状瘤的超声鉴别诊断 [J].临床超声医学杂志,2013,15(3):169-171.]
- [10] Pan HB,Wong KF,Yang TL,et al.The outcome of a quality-controlled mammography screening program:Experience from a population-based study in Taiwan [J].*J Chin Med Assoc*,2014,77(10):531-534.
- [11] Yamada T,Mori N,Watanabe M,et al.Radiologic-pathologic correlation of ductal carcinoma in situ [J].*Radiographics*,2010,30(5):1183-1198.
- [12] Cox RF,Hernandez-Santana A,Ramdass S,et al.Microcalcifications in breast cancer:Novel insights into the molecular mechanism and functional consequence of mammary mineralisation [J].*Br J Cancer*,2012,106(3):525-537.
- [13] ZHAO H,ZHENG SS,JIN J,et al.Value of mammography X-ray imagines in diagnosing breast diseases [J].*Chin J Med Imaging*,2012,20(7):511-514. [赵红,郑穗生,金晶,等.钼靶X线影像对乳腺疾病的诊断价值 [J].中国医学影像学杂志,2012,20(7):511-514.]
- [14] LIN X,LIN QG,LI C,et al.The early diagnostic value of ultrasound in ductal carcinoma in situ of breast [J].*Chin J Med Ultrasound(Electronic Edition)*,2016,13(4):297-301. [林僖,林庆光,李程,等.超声检查对乳腺导管内癌的早期诊断价值 [J].中华医学超声杂志(电子版),2016,13(4):297-301.]
- [15] LI WW,CONG Y,LIU L,et al.Ultrasound demonstration of mammographically detected calcifications in patients with ductal carcinoma in situ of the breast [J].*Chinese J Ultrasound Med*,2018,34(6):495-497. [李伟伟,丛阳,刘琳,等.中国超声医学杂志,2018,34(6):495-497.]

备注/Memo: -

更新日期/Last Update: 1900-01-01