

## Supplementing a normal diet with protein yields a moderate improvement in the robust gains in muscle mass and strength induced by resistance training in older individuals

Lars Holm<sup>1,3</sup> and Nikolai B Nordsborg<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biomedical Sciences, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, and <sup>2</sup>Department of Nutrition, Exercise and Sports, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark; and <sup>3</sup>Institute of Sports Medicine, Orthopedic Surgery M, Bispebjerg Hospital, Copenhagen, Denmark

From midlife, a progressive decline in muscle mass occurs, which is an independent risk factor for disability among elderly people (1). This natural process of biological aging can be either worsened or diminished by environmental, pathological, and pharmacologic conditions as well as daily living habits. Two basic prerequisites for the maintenance of skeletal muscle mass among older people are adequate energy and protein intakes as well as physical activity and muscular training. In this issue of the Journal, Liao et al. (2) present a systematic review and meta-analysis that shows that overweight older persons [age >60 y; BMI (in kg/m<sup>2</sup>) >25] benefit from protein supplementation when aiming for resistance training-induced hypertrophy and strength gains. This finding is important and timely. Conflicting reports have questioned the importance of protein supplementation both as a stand-alone “treatment” to preserve muscle mass (3) as well as a crucial prerequisite for gains in muscle mass and strength during periods of muscular training (4, 5).

It is evident that dietary protein is crucial for providing amino acids to balance the constant net loss due to metabolism and excretion. However, the fate, utilization, and recirculation of amino acids are adaptive and, hence, the range of adequate and safe protein intakes is highly individual, ranging from ~0.66 g · kg<sup>-1</sup> · d<sup>-1</sup>, in which 50% of adults are in zero net protein balance (6), to intakes that are ≤2- to 3-fold higher (7). Various conditions (disease, inflammation, sepsis, energy intake, and level of physical activity) affect the requirement, but once metabolic and synthetic processes are saturated with amino acids, an increase in availability will be directed toward energy metabolism and will not further benefit growth. Therefore, we emphasize that protein supplementation cannot be expected to “never” or “always” benefit skeletal muscle hypertrophy. Basically, only individuals who ingest inadequate amounts of protein through their daily diet are likely to benefit from protein supplementation in terms of enhancing muscle growth during periods of resistance training, for example.

Regular resistance training has the potential to preserve and to add muscle mass and function in the elderly (8). In the quest to identify evidence-based, efficient strategies for maintaining muscle mass and function in the general population as well as in overweight and obese persons, it must be noted that almost all studies of resistance training in an elderly population found

increases in strength of ~24–33% (9) and of ~1–21% in muscle mass (10). Thus, strength training without protein supplementation is a strong intervention for preserving muscle function and mass. However, one specific situation in which the combination of strength training and protein supplementation may be of special interest is with the simultaneous presence of sarcopenia and obesity (11). In the systematic review by Liao et al. (2), the additional effect of protein supplementation for resistance training-induced gain in lean body mass normalized to the SD of the measurement [standard mean difference (SMD) or effect size] was 0.58 and highly significant. For lower-extremity strength gain, the SMD was 0.69. To put the effect sizes into context, in one of the larger included studies the significant increase in lean body mass after resistance training was ~0.8 ± 1.3 kg and lower-extremity strength increased by ~55 ± 55 newtons (N) in a group of 161 participants; no significant differences between the investigated groups were evident (12). With these SDs of the change, the expected additional effect with protein supplementation on lean mass and leg strength is ~0.8 kg and 38 N, respectively. However, the observed nonsignificant differences were ~0.2 kg and ~3 N, respectively, corresponding to a study-specific SMD of -0.15 and 0.07, which shows that protein supplementation cannot be expected to induce large effects on gains in muscle mass and strength in all settings. Clearly, other studies have shown rather large effects of supplementation to reach the SMDs reported in the meta-analysis. One example is an SMD of 1.81 as a result of a modest effect of ~3% on strength, which corresponds to ~18 N in the supplemented group, whereas no training effect was evident in the nonsupplemented group (13). These examples highlight that the results of systematic meta-analyses need to be interpreted with the differences in methodology and observed gains of muscle mass between the included studies in

LH is supported by funding from the University of Copenhagen Excellence Programme for Interdisciplinary Research 2016 (CALM project; www.calm.ku.dk).

Address correspondence to NBN (e-mail: nbn@nexs.ku.dk).

First published online September 6, 2017; doi: <https://doi.org/10.3945/ajcn.117.165860>.

mind, and we argue that the additional effect of protein supplementation in overweight elderly individuals is modest compared with strength training alone when analyzing well-designed studies in well-nourished individuals.

In a subgroup of obese (BMI >30) elderly individuals, an additive effect of protein supplementation on strength training outcomes could not be shown (2). Importantly, only 5 studies ( $n = 221$ ) were included for this analysis, and although the group-average BMI was >30, the participants were within a wide range of BMIs and percentages of body fat. Such lack of homogeneity is likely to result in larger variations and, hence, smaller chances of detecting effects. One rather large cohort and intervention study conducted in obese older women concluded that a diet that was moderately high in protein was able to preserve muscle mass (14). Therefore, the conflicting significance of the impact of protein intake on muscle mass in obese older adults prevents a clear conclusion, and more studies are required in this specific target group.

More generally, it has to be acknowledged that, of the 17 studies included in the study by Liao et al. (2), only 6 had a duration of >24 wk and ranged from 48 to 72 training sessions. Thus, the importance of protein supplementation for adaptations in skeletal muscle beyond this time frame remains unclear.

Finally, future research in this important area should focus more narrowly on certain characteristics among older people (e.g., obesity) or include sufficient numbers of participants to allow post hoc exploration of the impact of body composition, sex, and various other confounders on muscle-specific outcomes as well as the application of sufficient follow-up periods to evaluate more-prolonged health benefits. Such endeavors also call for an interdisciplinary research approach.

Neither of the authors had a conflict of interest.

## REFERENCES

1. Fantin F, Di Francesco V, Fontana G, Zivelonghi A, Bissoli L, Zoico E, Rossi A, Micciolo R, Bosello O, Zamboni M. Longitudinal body composition changes in old men and women: interrelationships with worsening disability. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2007;62:1375–81.
2. Liao C-D, Tsao J-Y, Wu Y-T, Cheng C-P, Chen H-C, Huang Y-C, Chen H-C, Liou T-H. Effects of protein supplementation combined with resistance exercise on body composition and physical function in older adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2017;106:1078–91.
3. Backx EM, Tieland M, Borgonjen-van den Berg KJ, Claessen PR, van Loon LJ, de Groot LC. Protein intake and lean body mass preservation during energy intake restriction in overweight older adults. *Int J Obes (Lond)* 2016;40:299–304.
4. Tieland M, Dirks ML, van der Zwaluw N, Verdijk LB, van de Rest O, de Groot LC, van Loon LJ. Protein supplementation increases muscle mass gain during prolonged resistance-type exercise training in frail elderly people: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *J Am Med Dir Assoc* 2012;13:713–9.
5. Holm L, Jespersen AP, Nielsen DS, Frøst MB, Reitelseder S, Jensen T, Engelsen SB, Kjaer M, Damsholt T. Hurray for the increasing longevity: feasible strategies to counteract age-related loss of skeletal muscle mass. *Scand J Med Sci Sports* 2015;25:1–2.
6. EFSA Panel on Dietetic Products, Nutrition and Allergies. Scientific opinion on Dietary Reference Values for protein. *EFSA J* 2012;10:2557.
7. Wolfe RR, Cifelli AM, Kostas G, Kim IY. Optimizing protein intake in adults: interpretation and application of the Recommended Dietary Allowance compared with the Acceptable Macronutrient Distribution Range. *Adv Nutr* 2017;8:266–75.
8. Frontera WR, Meredith CN, O'Reilly KP, Knuttgen HG, Evans WJ. Strength conditioning in older men: skeletal muscle hypertrophy and improved function. *J Appl Physiol* (1985) 1988;64:1038–44.
9. Stewart VH, Saunders DH, Greig CA. Responsiveness of muscle size and strength to physical training in very elderly people: a systematic review. *Scand J Med Sci Sports* 2014;24:e1–10.
10. Borde R, Hortobagyi T, Granacher U. Dose-response relationships of resistance training in healthy old adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sports Med* 2015;45:1693–720.
11. Baumgartner RN. Body composition in healthy aging. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 2000;904:437–48.
12. Arnarson A, Gudny Geirsdottir O, Ramel A, Briem K, Jonsson PV, Thorsdottir I. Effects of whey proteins and carbohydrates on the efficacy of resistance training in elderly people: double blind, randomised controlled trial. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 2013;67:821–6.
13. Gryson C, Ratel S, Rance M, Penando S, Bonhomme C, Le Ruyet P, Duclos M, Boirie Y, Walrand S. Four-month course of soluble milk proteins interacts with exercise to improve muscle strength and delay fatigue in elderly participants. *J Am Med Dir Assoc* 2014;15:958.e1–9.
14. Muscarriello E, Nasti G, Siervo M, Di Maro M, Lapi D, D'Addio G, Colantuoni A. Dietary protein intake in sarcopenic obese older women. *Clin Interv Aging* 2016;11:133–40.