Pharmacy Student Motivation: Phase 1 of a Longitudinal Study

Jan K. Hastings and Donna S. West

College of Pharmacy, University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences College of Pharmacy, Little Rock, AR 72205-7199

L.J. Perrot and Linda A. Deloney

College of Medicine, University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences College of Medicine, Little Rock, AR 72205-7199

This study was conducted to determine whether a shift in pharmacy students' goal orientation (*i.e.*, motivation for learning) occurs during their first year of professional education. Goal orientation consists of three constructs: mastery, performance, and academic alienation. Eighty first-year pharmacy students completed the survey instrument, which measured students' goal orientation, in the fall semester and again in the spring semester. Results indicated that over the course of the year, student scores on the mastery scale decreased an average of 0.24 per item (P<0.006) and scores on the academic alienation scale increased an average of 0.38 per item (P<0.007). Students also exhibited an average decrease of 0.31 per item (P<0.001) on internal locus of control scores. Although the students' goal orientation to academic alienation remained mastery, these results suggest that students are shifting from this goal orientation to academic alienation. Further research is needed to determine if this phenomenon continues throughout the professional curriculum.

INTRODUCTION

With rapid advances being made in medicine, it is necessary for pharmacists to be life-long learners after graduation from pharmacy school in order to remain competent in pharmacy practice. The importance of the development of life-long learning skills is reflected in the American Council of Pharmaceutical Education's Accreditation Manual for Professional Programs, where life-long learning skills are specifically addressed under Standard Number 12: Teaching and Learning Processes(1). A 1993 study of factors motivating pharmacy students to enter residencies or fellowships showed gaining knowledge and experience' to be the number one reason given for pursuing post-graduate education(2). Although this is not always true, generally, students pursuing post-graduate education seem more likely to have the desire to learn merely for the sake of learning. While a student's professional education should foster the development of such skills; active, independent, life-long learning requires self motivation as well(3).

Motivation is a psychological concept that refers to a person's willingness to put forth effort in order to achieve educational goals. Active, independent, self-directed learning requires motivation. Various theoretical models for describing and measuring motivation can be found in the literature. One example is Atkinson's formula for motivation: Motivation = f (Motive x Expectancy x Incentive). This formula delineates motivation as the strength of a motive, multiplied by the expectance that the required effort will achieve an incentive, multiplied by the person's value of the incentive(4). Another example is Dweck's model of motivation which proposed a research-based model of motivational processes to describe how children's reactions to success and failure are influenced by their goal orientation. This theory centers on psychological factors that affect an individual's adeptness at achieving and using new skills. Dweck stated that, "The study of motivation deals with the cause of goal-orientated activity."(5) It was suggested that students who believe intelligence is a "fixed trait" focus on demonstrating performance (*e.g.*, making good grades), whereas students who believe intelligence can be increased desire to focus on developing competence (*e.g.*, mastering a concept)(5). Dweck labeled these goal-orientated activities as either mastery or performance.

In 1994, Archer tested Dweck's theory on motivation in university students and added the construct of academic alienation to the model. She developed a survey instrument designed to measure motivation of university students by categorizing their preferred achievement goal orientation as either mastery (defined as desire to develop competence), performance (defined as desire to demonstrate competence), or academic alienation (defined as no desire to develop or demonstrate competence). Archer found that preferences toward goal orientation could be measured in university students. She also found that students with a mastery orientation tended to use more metacognitive learning tactics (*e.g.*, asking faculty for assistance, checking understanding of the topic) and demonstrated an internal locus of control (*i.e.*, belief that they are in charge of their own learning)(5).

Perrot and colleagues conducted a study using a modified version of Archer's instrument to determine its validity for measuring motivation in health care professional students(6). The study confirmed Archer's hypothesis of the measurement of goal orientation and strengthened the idea that goal orientation has three constructs: mastery, performance and academic alienation(5,6).

Am. J. Pharm. Educ., 65, 254-258(2001); received 12/12/00, accepted 5/1/01.

Table I. Demographic results

	n (percent)	
Gender		
Male	32 (40)	
Female	48 (60)	
Age		
23 or younger	57 (71)	
24-29	20 (25)	
30 or older	3(4)	
Marital Status		
Married	26 (33)	
Not married	54 (67)	
Race		
Caucasian	74 (94)	
Non-caucasian	6(6)	

The origin of this study comes from the authors' observation that year after year, students appear to enter professional degree programs highly motivated and then develop an attitude for only learning 'just what is necessary to pass the test' as they progress through the curriculum. The hypothesis for this change in behavior is that a situational shift from one goal orientation to another has occurred. This phase of a longitudinal study was designed to identify whether a change in goal orientation occurs during the first year of pharmacy school.

METHODS

Subject. Study participants included 80 students enrolled in the first year of their professional pharmacy education during the 1999-2000 academic year at a college of pharmacy located in a southern state.

Instrument. The study used the Modified Archer's Health Professions Motivation Survey (HPMS), as displayed in Appendix A, to measure student goal orientation. This survey consisted of the following scales and subscales.

1. Goal orientation. A 41-item scale that measures students' goal orientation. The goal orientation scale consisted of three subscales: mastery, performance, and academic alienation.

2. Learning strategies. A 15-item scale that measures whether students tend to use metacognitive or surface learning tactics.

3. Preference for difficult and easy tasks. A two-item scale that consists of a subscale to measure preference for easy assignments and a subscale to measure preference for difficult assignments.

4. Causal attributions for success and failure. A 10-item scale that measures locus of control. This scale is composed of two subscales: internal locus of control and external locus of control.

Responses were scored on a five-point Likert-type scale, where one represented the 'least' favorable response and five represented the 'most' favorable response, as shown in Appendix A. For each respondent, the scores for each scale and subscale were calculated by adding the rating for each item (in the scale/subscale) and dividing by the number of items. Demographic data, including gender, age range, current marital status, racial or ethnic identification, and highest undergraduate degree earned, were also collected.

Table II. Mean scores^a for students in the fall 1999 and spring 2000 semesters

	Fall 1999	Spring 2000
Mastery goal orientation	4.01	3.77
Performance goal orientation	3.77	3.71
Academic alienation goal orientation	2.77	3.10
Internal locus of control	4.12	3.80
External locus of control	3.15	3.43
Preference for difficult task	3.48	2.83
Preference for easy task	3.30	4.08

^aThe mean scores are the averages of each respondent's subscale score. The subscale score was calculated by adding the ratings for each item in the subscale and dividing by the number of items. Ratings were measured using a five-point Likert-type scale. The higher the score, the more favorable the response.

Data Collection. In the second week of the fall semester, the surveys were distributed and completed by the students during a regularly scheduled class meeting. The students completed the survey again during a regularly scheduled class meeting three weeks before the end of the academic year.

Statistics. Completed surveys were electronically scanned using Remark Software and data was downloaded to SPSS- PC^{TM} for statistical analysis. Means and standard deviations were calculated for each scale, subscale, and item. Data were further analyzed using analysis of variance (ANOVA) and analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) (covariates included demographic variables). Statistical significance for the major subscales was set at the 0.01 level of significance. T-tests with Bonferroni correction for item-wide testing was used and statistical significance for individual items on scales was set at the 0.0007 level of significance.

RESULTS

Because the students were given time during a regularly scheduled class period to complete the survey, the response rate was 100 percent for both data collections. Demographic results are presented in Table I. The demographics revealed that our college has little student diversity. What effect this had on our results is undeterminable, but might make the results not applicable to a pharmacy college with a very diverse student population. Overall, pharmacy student scores on the survey indicated preference for mastery goal orientation, followed by performance goal orientation. Students rated the items pertaining to academic alienation the lowest. The students also exhibited a stronger internal locus of control compared to external locus of control. The mean score for each subscale are displayed in Table II.

Of specific interest are the trends observed in these findings. The mean score for mastery goal orientation significantly decreased from 4.01 in the fall to 3.77 in the spring (P < 0.006). Similarly, the mean score on the academic alienation scale significantly increased by 0.38 (P < 0.007). The mean score increased from 2.77 in the fall to 3.10 in the spring. These results indicate that students are shifting from a mastery goal orientation toward an academic alienation goal orientation.

Using item-wide testing, the investigators were able to identify items that students rated significantly different in the fall and spring semesters, as displayed in Appendix A. For example, students were less satisfied in working on a challenging task or assignment (P<0.0001) in the spring semester

compared to the fall semester. Alternatively, students were more interested in tests and grades in the spring semester compared to the fall semester, as indicated by the significantly increasing scores on the following two items.

- "As long as I pass the course I don't care what grade I get." (P<0.0000)
- "Lecturers should not expect students to study material they won't be tested on." (*P*<0.0000)

These items suggest that students exhibit less desire to develop competence. A change in motivation may be one attributing factor.

As students shift away from mastery goal orientation, it appears that they also tend to use fewer metacognitive learning tactics. A significant difference between the two semesters was found for the following item: "I find it hard to stick to a study schedule." In the spring, the mean score was 2.90 compared to 2.18 in the fall (P<0.0000). Likewise, the results indicated that students were less willing to "choose a difficult assignment where they might make a lot of mistakes but learn a lot" in the spring semester compared to the fall semester (P<0.0000). It appears as though students' study skills diminished while their preference for easier assignments increased. Again, it is not conclusive as to what is causing the shift.

The results also demonstrate a change in locus of control. The mean score for internal locus of control decreased significantly from the fall semester (mean score = 4.12) to the spring semester (mean score = 3.80) (P < 0.001). Alternatively, the mean score for external locus of control did not change significantly from the fall semester (mean score = 3.15) to the spring semester (mean score = 3.43). It is of interest to note however that scores for the following two items representing external locus of control did change significantly.

- "If you do well this year, it is because the lecturers did a good job in lectures and tutorials." (*P*<0.0001)
- "If you do poorly this year, it was because the work was difficult." (*P*<0.0000)

It is suggested that as students shift away from mastery goal orientation, they also tend to demonstrate less internal locus of control.

DISCUSSION

The results of this study agree with the findings of previous studies that provide evidence that students, while not 'always mastery' or 'always performance,' do demonstrate a strong preference for goal orientation, which can be identified and measured. The preference for mastery orientation, initially noted in the pharmacy students, was expected because the students were motivated enough to apply and be accepted in pharmacy school. Even though overall the students retained a mastery orientation, the decrease in scores on the mastery items along with the increase in the academic alienation scores indicate that there is a shift in students' goal orientation sometime in the first year. The change in scores on certain items within the scales provides us insight into specific areas where problems may lie. The significant increase in the item, "As long as I pass the course I don't care what grade I get," is reflective of the mentality noted by the researchers prior to this study.

The results of this study support the research hypothesis that a small situational shift from one goal orientation to another occurs during the first year of pharmacy school. It is suggested that this change in goal orientation contributes to the students' attitude of learning only what material is necessary to pass the test. This phenomenon is interesting because while educators are emphasizing the acquisition of life-long learning skills in professional students, the curriculum and learning environment may be moving students away from this attitude. If this shift away from mastery goal orientation continues as the students' progress through the curriculum, it could effect the students' life-long learning skills.

It is important to note that although statistical differences were found in motivational scores, it is not clear as to the educational significance of this. Burn-out, spring fever, or some other unidentified factor may be attributing to the shift. The students remain mastery goal oriented throughout the course of the year. Further research is needed to determine if this slight shift is an isolated event or if this shift continues throughout the curriculum and if it continues, is there any practical significance. For educators to be successful at instilling life-long learning skills in professional students, educators must continue to learn about and address student motivation.

CONCLUSIONS

This study, while it identified a shift in goal orientation, raises many questions as to the explanation behind the cause of the shift. Does the current delivery of information somehow frustrate the student? Is the shift related to competition for grades and scholarship funds? Since our first year curriculum is lecture format, would a problem-based learning curriculum have the same effect? Comparing students in a traditional curriculum with lecture-formats to students in a primarily problembased learning environment is a potential direction for future study.

This research is ongoing and the authors are following this class throughout their professional education, surveying them at the end of each successive academic year to observe any further changes in their goal orientation. The authors are also investigating correlations between the changes noted in goal orientation and academic markers such as Pharmacy College Admission Test (PCAT) scores, undergraduate grade point average, and class rank to see if any of these markers are predictive of which students will be successful in our curriculum.

References

- American Council on Pharmaceutical Education, Accreditation Manual for Professional Programs 8th Edition (3rd printing)., American Council on Pharmaceutical Education, Chicago IL (1995).
- (2) Bucci, K.K., Knapp, K.K., Ohri, L.K. and Brooks, P.J., "Factors motivating pharmacy students to pursue residency and fellowship training," *Am. J. Health-Syst. Pharm.*, **52**, 2696-2701(1995).
- (3) Robbins, S.P. (edit.), "Basic Motivation Concepts", in Organizational Behavior, Concepts, Controversies, and Applications, (edit. Robbins, S.P.), Prentice Hall, Inc. Englewood Cliffs, NJ (1996) pp. 210-249.
- (4) Atkinson, J. and Feather, N. (edits.), A Theory of Achievement Motivations, Krieger Publishing Company, Huntington NY(1966).
- (5) Dweck, C, "Motivational processes affecting learning," Am. Psychol., 41, 1040-1048(1986).
- (6) Perrot, L.J., Deloney, L.A., Hastings, J.K., Savell, S. and Savidge, M., "Measuring Student Motivation in Health Professions' Colleges" Adv. Health Sci. Educ., in press(2001).

APPENDIX A. MODIFIED ARCHER'S HEALTH PROFESSIONS MOTIVATION SURVEY^a

Thi In suc 5=	ink back over this academic year. general, when did you feel most ccessful? (1= not successful at all and very successful)	Fall mean	Spring mean	Univar- iate test P<0.0007
1	When I showed people I was good at something.	3.26	4.01	0.0005
2	When a lecture or tutorial made me think about things.	3.63	3.53	0.2449
3	When I did almost no work and got away with it.	2.34	2.88	0.0366
4	students.	3.95	3.94	0.6417
5 6	When I learned something interesting. When I showed people that I was	4.15	3.90	0.0614
7	smart. When something I learned made me	3.66	3.64	0.3157
8 9	want to find out more. When I didn't have to work too hard. When I was the only one who could	4.0 2.73	3.61 3.21	$0.0156 \\ 0.0300$
10	answer the lecturer's question. When all the tasks and assignments	3.74	3.57	0.1821
	were easy.	3.98	3.39	0.1077
In : (1=	general, how satisfied did you feel whe = not satisfied at all and 5= very satisfi	en you. ed)	•••	
11 12	Learned something new? Did better than other students in the	4.36	4.00	0.0203
13	class? Found the work easy? Paplized you were getting through	4.11 3.75	3.89 3.71	0.1278 0.9261
14	the course without having to work	3 31	3 51	0 5458
15 16	Read something interesting? Worked hard?	4.03 4.33	3.58 3.87	0.0016
17	Realized you didn't have to prepare for tutorials?	3.25	3.23	0.9324
18	Worked on a challenging task or assignment?	4 03	3 33	0.0001
19 20 21	Saw improvement in your work? Got one of the highest grades? Did well without having to work hard?	4.63 4.60 3.45	4.40 4.36 3.53	0.0444 0.0078 0.8917
 If y	you had to choose an assignment to do,	how li	ikely is i	it that you

If you had to choose an assignment to do, how likely is it that you would choose ... (1= not likely and 5= very likely)

22	A difficult assignment where you might make a lot of mistakes, but			
	eventually you will learn a lot?	3.48	2.83	0.0000
23	An assignment that would require			
	little work or worry and probably			
	you would get a high grade?	3.3	4.08	0.0003

In general, how much do you agree with these statements? (1= do not agree at all and 5= strongly agree)

24	The more challenging the task, the			
	harder I work.	4.47	4.01	0.0053
25	If someone is evaluating me I tend			
	to expect the worst.	3.11	3.26	0.1480
26	I like to be the best person in my			
	group.	3.48	3.46	0.9233
27	I am usually worried about what			
	impression I make.	3.69	3.57	0.9021
28	I'm always thinking of ways to			
	improve how I do things.	4.11	3.78	0.0059
29	Good grades are important to me.	4.49	4.10	0.0108
30	As long as I pass the course I don't			

care about 1.79 2.93 0.0000 the grade I get. 31 I put in long hours of work just to do a good job. 4.09 3.71 0.0110 32 I feel very upset when I commit some sort of error. 0.1676 3.92 3.65 33 I like to compete against myself. 3.79 381 0.6300 34 The opinions that important people have of me cause me little concern. 0.3136 2.12 2.48 35 I get anxious when I don't know how well I'm doing .: 3.93 3.99 0.2692 36 Lecturers should not expect students to study material that they won't be tested on. 2.68 3.46 0.0000 37 I am often afraid that I look ridiculous or make a fool of myself. 2.74 2.68 0.5604 38 As long as you do enough work to pass, it doesn't matter whether or not you learn anything. 1.43 1.65 0.2614

When you felt greatly satisfied or positive about yourself, was it because you ... (1= do not agree at all and 5= strongly agree)

39	Accomplished something that others			
	in your class could not do?	3.88	3.81	0.5261
40	Understood something for the first			
	time?	4.46	4.44	0.7802
41	Were involved totally in something			
	that you were doing?	4.29	4.06	0.2190
42	Received recognition or prestige?	4.01	3.92	0.2526

43 Enhanced your status in the group?3.683.490.3406

For each statement below, choose the answer that best describes you. (1= not at all typical of me and 5= very much typical of me)

44	I take time to plan a study schedule.	3.63	3.22	0.0856
45	When I study, I set goals for myself.	3.93	3.42	0.1053
46	When I study, I try to decide what I			
	am supposed to learn rather than just			
47	read over the material.	4.09	3.82	0.6667
4/	when I prepare tasks/assignments, I			
	try to pull together the information			
	from lectures, tutorials, and my own	1.00	4.07	0.40.40
10	reading.	4.23	4.07	0.4240
48	when course work is difficult, I either	1 (2	1.00	0.0470
40	give up or only study the easy parts.	1.63	1.89	0.04/9
49	Even when course material is	206	2 50	0 2072
50	When Latudy, Lauremoniza material L	5.80	5.38	0.2872
50	when I study, I summarize material I	2 01	2 79	0.0150
51	Lusually put off preparing tasks and	3.91	5.78	0.9139
51	assignments until the last minute	2 20	2.07	0.0011
52	Loften find myself covering extra	2.29	2.91	0.0011
52	material even when I know it won't			
	Be on the test	2.58	231	0.0316
53	I try to relate what I am studying to	2.00	2.01	0.0010
	other things I know about	3 88	3 89	0.5321
54	I try to make all topics in a course	2.00	2.07	0.0021
υ.	Fit together.	3.75	3.78	0.6901
55	I read information over and over again.	3.70	3.38	0.0815
56	I think about and do other things			
	during lectures and tutorials.	2.15	2.78	0.0008
57	I find it hard to stick to a study			
	schedule.	2.18	2.90	0.0000
58	I do practice exercises or study			
	questions.	4.08	3.31	0.0040
Ifv	ou do well this year it is because (1=	not a	n imnor	tant rea-
son	and 5= an important reason)	nota	in impor	tant i ca-
59	You have ability in this area	3 89	3.86	0.8571
60	The lecturers did a good job in	5.07	5.00	0.0071
	lectures and tutorials	4.19	3.52	0.0001

61	You worked hard.	4.75	4.42	0.0091
62	The work was not difficult.	2.30	2.52	0.5285
63	You used effective strategies to complete tasks and assignments.	4.52	3.99	0.0008
If you do poorly this year, it would be because (1= n important reason and 5= an important reason)				= not an
64 65	The work was very difficult. The lecturers did a poor job in lectures	3.12	3.94	0.0000

	and tutorials.	3.01	3.71	0.0034
66 67	You do not have ability in this area. You did not use effective strategies to	2.82 4.28	2.93 3.71	$0.3039 \\ 0.0030$
	to complete tasks and assignments			
68	You did not work hard enough.	4.38	3.75	0.0035
ать	a maan gaara far aaah itam waa aalaulatad u			.

^aThe mean score for each item was calculated using the ratings on a five-point Likert-type scale. The higher the score, the more favorable the response.