# A Fatou–Bieberbach domain avoiding a neighborhood of a variety of codimension 2

## Gregery T. Buzzard\* · John H. Hubbard

Received: 28 April 1999

Mathematics Subject Classification (1991): 32H02, 32C25

## **1** Introduction

In response to a question of Y.-T. Siu, we show that for any algebraic variety V of codimension 2 in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , there is a neighborhood U of V and an injective holomorphic map  $\Phi : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n \setminus U$ . That is, there is a Fatou-Bieberbach domain (a proper subdomain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  biholomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}^n$ ) in the complement of some neighborhood of V. In particular,  $\Phi$  is a dominating map. In case n = 1, V is empty, so the result is trivial, while if n = 2, V is a finite set, and it is well-known that there is a Fatou-Bieberbach domain omitting an open set, thus by scaling there is such a domain avoiding a neighborhood of V. Hence for the remainder of the paper we assume  $n \geq 3$ .

It should be noted that in general there is no corresponding result for nonalgebraic varieties: using techniques similar to those in [BF], Forstneric showed in [F] that there is a proper holomorphic embedding of  $\mathbb{C}^{n-2}$  into  $\mathbb{C}^n$  such that the image of any holomorphic map  $\Phi : \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}^n$  with generic rank 2 must intersect the embedding of  $\mathbb{C}^{n-2}$  infinitely often. This implies that there is no Fatou-Bieberbach domain in the complement of this embedding, let alone in the complement of a neighborhood.

There are two key ingredients in the present proof. The first is that after a suitable change of coordinates, the variety V is contained in some nice neighborhood of a linear subspace of dimension n - 2. The second is that there is a Fatou-Bieberbach domain which is contained in a relatively small neighborhood of a 1-dimensional subspace. A simple analysis of this situation allows us to translate these two neighborhoods to be disjoint, thus giving the result.

For notation,  $z = (z_1, ..., z_n)$  is a point in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , and  $||z||_{\infty}$  is the max over all  $|z_j|$ .

G.T. Buzzard · J.H. Hubbard

Department of Mathematics, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853, USA

<sup>\*</sup> Partially supported by an NSF postdoctoral research fellowship.



**Fig. 1.** On the left is the union of  $A_{\epsilon}$  and  $B_{\epsilon}$ ; here the tube has radius  $\epsilon$ . On the right is the union of  $D_1$  and  $D_2$ ; here the tube has radius R

### 2 Preparing the variety

In this section we choose coordinates to construct a nice neighborhood of a biholomorphic image of V. To state this more precisely, for  $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$ , let  $z' = (z_1, z_2)$  and let  $z'' = (z_3, \ldots, z_n)$ . For  $\epsilon > 0$ , let

$$A_{\epsilon} = \{ z \in \mathbb{C}^n : \| z' \|_{\infty} < \epsilon \},\$$

and let

$$B_{\epsilon} = \{ z \in \mathbb{C}^n : \| z' \|_{\infty} < \epsilon \| z'' \|_{\infty} \}.$$

See Fig. 1 for a depiction of the union of these sets.

**Lemma 2.1** Let  $V \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$  be an algebraic variety of codimension 2. Then there exist coordinates  $(z_1, \ldots, z_n)$  such that for all  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists an invertible, complex linear map  $L_{\epsilon}$  such that  $L_{\epsilon}(V) \subseteq A_{\epsilon} \cup B_{\epsilon}$ .

*Proof.* Since V is algebraic, it extends to a variety  $\overline{V}$  of codimension 2 in  $\mathbb{P}^n = \mathbb{C}^n \cup \mathbb{P}_{\infty}^{n-1}$ , which we may assume to have no component of dimension n-2 contained in  $\mathbb{P}_{\infty}^{n-1}$ . Let  $V' = \overline{V} \cap \mathbb{P}_{\infty}^{n-1}$ , in which case dim(V') = n-3. A generic  $\mathbb{P}^2$  in  $\mathbb{P}^n$  intersects  $\mathbb{P}_{\infty}^{n-1}$  in a  $\mathbb{P}^1$ , so we may choose some such  $\mathbb{P}^2$ , denoted  $\mathbb{P}_0^2$ , to avoid V'. Since  $\mathbb{P}_0^2$  and  $\overline{V}$  are closed, there exists a neighborhood U of  $\mathbb{P}_0^2 \cap \mathbb{P}_{\infty}^{n-1}$  such that  $\overline{U}$  and  $\overline{V}$  are disjoint.

Changing coordinates, we may assume that

 $\mathbb{P}_0^2 \cap \mathbb{C}^n = \{ (z_1, z_2, 0, \dots, 0) : z_j \in \mathbb{C} \},\$ 

and shrinking U if necessary, we may assume that

$$U \cap \mathbb{C}^n = \{ z : \|z'\|_{\infty} > r, \|z'\|_{\infty} > r \|z''\|_{\infty} \}$$

for some large r.

Let  $L_{\epsilon}(z', z'') = (\epsilon z'/r, z'')$ . Then  $L_{\epsilon}(\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \overline{U}) = A_{\epsilon} \cup B_{\epsilon}$ , and since V is contained in  $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \overline{U}$ , the lemma follows.  $\Box$ 

#### 3 A thin Fatou-Bieberbach domain

In this section, we construct a Fatou-Bieberbach domain contained in a relatively small neighborhood of the  $z_1$ -axis. This result can be strengthened considerably in several ways, some of which are discussed after the proof of this lemma.

For notation, let  $\Delta(\zeta; r)$  denote the circle in  $\mathbb{C}$  with center  $\zeta$  and radius r, and let  $\Delta^k(0; r)$  be the *k*-fold product of  $\Delta(0; r)$ .

**Lemma 3.1** There exists a Fatou-Bieberbach domain D in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  such that for some R > 4, D is contained in the union of  $D_1 = \Delta(0; R^2) \times \Delta^{n-1}(0; R)$  and  $D_2 = \{z : |z_1| \ge R^2 - 3R + ||(z_2, ..., z_n)||_{\infty}\}.$ 

Proof. Let

$$f(z) = (z_2, \ldots, z_n, (z_2^2 - z_1)/2),$$

and let

$$g(w) = f^{-1}(w) = (w_1^2 - 2w_n, w_1, \dots, w_{n-1}).$$

The origin is a fixed point for f (and g). Calculating the derivative gives

$$(D_0 f)(v_1, \ldots, v_n)^T = (v_2, \ldots, v_n, -v_1/2)^T.$$

Hence an eigenvalue  $\lambda$  must satisfy  $v_2 = \lambda v_1, \ldots, v_n = \lambda v_{n-1}$ , and  $-v_1/2 = \lambda v_n$ . Hence  $-v_1/2 = \lambda^n v_1$ , so the eigenvalues are the *n*th roots of -1/2. In particular, the origin is an attracting fixed point for f, so by e.g. [RR], the basin of attraction  $D = \{z : f^m(z) \to 0 \text{ as } m \to \infty\}$  is a Fatou-Bieberbach domain.

Since  $g = f^{-1}$ , it follows that for any R > 0,  $D \subseteq \bigcup_{m \ge 0} g^m(\Delta^n(0; R))$ . By [BP], if *R* is sufficiently large, then *D* is contained in  $\Delta^n(0; R) \cup \{z : |z_1| \ge R, \|z\|_{\infty} = |z_1|\}$ .

To prove the lemma, note that the form of g shows that

$$g(\Delta^n(0; R)) \subseteq \Delta(0; R^2 + 2R) \times \Delta^{n-1}(0; R).$$

Moreover, if w is contained in this latter set but not in  $\Delta^n(0; R)$ , then z = g(w) satisfies  $|z_1| - || (z_2, ..., z_n) ||_{\infty} \ge |w_1|^2 - 2R - |w_1|$ . Since  $|w_1|(|w_1| - 1) \ge R(R-1)$ , we have  $z \in D_2$ . Hence  $g(D_1) \subseteq D_1 \cup D_2$ . A similar argument shows that  $g(D_2) \subseteq D_2$ , so  $g(D_1 \cup D_2) \subseteq D_1 \cup D_2$  and hence  $D \subseteq D_1 \cup D_2$ .  $\Box$ 

*Remark*. In some sense, the Fatou-Bieberbach domain constructed above is contained in a relatively small neighborhood of the complex curve  $\zeta \mapsto (\zeta^{2^{n-1}}, \zeta^{2^{n-2}}, \ldots, \zeta)$ . To make this more precise, note that if w is contained in the set  $D_2$  with R > 4, then

$$g^{\circ(n-1)}(w) = (w_1^{2^{n-1}} + O(|w_1|^{2^{n-1}-1}), w_1^{2^{n-2}} + O(|w_1|^{2^{n-2}-1}), \dots, w_1),$$

where the constants implicit in O are independent of the R > 4 used to define  $D_2$ . In particular, for some C > 0, the Fatou-Bieberbach domain D is contained in the union of  $g^{n-1}(D_1)$  and the set

$$\{(\zeta^{2^{n-1}}, \zeta^{2^{n-2}}, \dots, \zeta) + \Delta(0; C|\zeta|^{2^{n-1}-1}) \\ \times \Delta(0; C|\zeta|^{2^{n-2}-1}) \times \dots \times \Delta(0; C|\zeta|) \times \{0\} : |\zeta| > R\}.$$

#### 4 Main Theorem

**Theorem 4.1** Let V be an algebraic variety of codimension 2 in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Then there exists a neighborhood U of V and an injective holomorphic map  $\Phi : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n \setminus U$ .

*Proof.* Change coordinates as in lemma 2.1 and let  $U, L_{\epsilon}, A_{\epsilon}$ , and  $B_{\epsilon}$  be as in that lemma. Let D and R be as in lemma 3.1.

Translating  $D_1 \cup D_2$  by  $(0, 2R, 0, \dots, 0)$ , the image is the union of

$$\hat{D}_1 = \Delta(0; \mathbb{R}^2) \times \Delta(2\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R}) \times \Delta^{n-1}(0; \mathbb{R})$$

and

$$\hat{D}_2 = \{z : |z_1| \ge R^2 - 3R + \|(z_2 - 2R, z_3, \dots, z_n)\|_{\infty}\}.$$

For  $\epsilon < 1$ , if  $z \in A_{\epsilon} \cup B_{\epsilon}$ , then  $||(z_1, z_2)||_{\infty} < 1$ , so  $z \notin \hat{D}_1 \cup \hat{D}_2$ . Hence translating D by  $(0, 2R, 0, \dots, 0)$  and applying  $L_{\epsilon}^{-1}$  gives a Fatou-Bieberbach domain in the complement of U, hence in the complement of a neighborhood of V, as desired.  $\Box$ 

#### References

- [BP] E. Bedford and V. Pambuccian, Dynamics of shift-like polynomial diffeomorphisms of  $\mathbb{C}^N$ , Conform. Geom. Dyn. **2** (1998), 45–55 (electronic)
- [BF] G. Buzzard and J.E. Fornæss, An embedding of C in C<sup>2</sup> with hyperbolic complement, Math. Ann. 306(3) (1996), 539–546
- [F] F. Forstneric, Interpolation by holomorphic automorphisms and embeddings in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , to appear in J. Geom. Anal.
- [RR] J.-P. Rosay and W. Rudin, Holomorphic maps from  $\mathbb{C}^n$  to  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **310**(1) (1988), 47–86