

# No Exist of Odd Perfect Number

## Zengyong Liang

**Abstract:** whether there has exist of odd perfect numbers is a well- known problem in number theory . This paper proved that no prefect number exist in any cases.

**Key words:** odd perfect number; function  $\sigma(n)$ ;function k

## 1 Introduction

A perfect number is a number  $n$  such that  $\sigma(n) = 2n$ . In other words a number is perfect number if it is the sum of its divisors other than itself . We now only known a few of perfect numbers which are even numbers[1][2][3] . It seems probable that there are no odd perfect numbers , bat this has not been proved. It is unknown whether there are any odd perfect numbers, though various results have been obtained. Carl Pomerance has presented a heuristic argument which suggests that no odd perfect numbers exist[5].

Any odd perfect number  $N$  must satisfy the following conditions:

- $N > 10^{200}$  , which it must have at least 8 different prime factors and that its largest prime factor must be greater than 100110 [1].
- $N > 101500$ , result published in 2012[4].
- $N$  is of the form

$$N = q^\alpha p_1^{2e_1} \dots p_k^{2e_k}$$

where:

$q, p_1, \dots, p_k$  are distinct primes (Euler).

$q \equiv \alpha \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  (Euler).

The smallest prime factor of  $N$  is less than  $(2k + 8) / 3$  [4].

- The largest prime factor of  $N$  is greater than  $10^8$  [4].
- The second largest prime factor is greater than  $10^4$ , and the third largest prime factor is greater than 100 [4].
- $N$  has at least three prime factors. There is another argument [5].
- $N > 10^{200}$  and with at least 15 different prime factors [5].
- If  $N$  is odd perfect and  $\omega(N) < k$ , then  $N < 2^{4^k}$  [6].

### 1.1 Function $\sigma(N)$

**Definition:** Function  $\sigma(n)$  is the sum of combination of its divisors [1] .

Let  $N = q_1^{\beta_1} q_2^{\beta_2} \dots q_i^{\beta_i}$  . Using mathematical induction is very easy to prove  $\sigma(N)$  , which expression of the product below:

$$\sigma(N) = (1 + q_1 + \dots + q_1^{\beta_1})(1 + q_2 + \dots + q_2^{\beta_2}) \dots (1 + q_i + \dots + q_i^{\beta_i}) \quad (1)$$

where  $q_n$  is a prime factor ,which  $q_1 < q_2 < \dots < q_n$ .

If  $N$  is a prefect number that must be

$$\sigma(N) = 2N \quad (2)$$

## 2 Coefficient Function $k_x$

### 2.1 Function $k_x$

We obtain

$\sigma(N) = (1 + q_1 + \dots + q_1^{\beta_1})(1 + q_2 + \dots + q_2^{\beta_2}) \dots (1 + q_i + \dots + q_i^{\beta_i}) \dots = 2q_1^{\beta_1} q_2^{\beta_2} \dots q_n^{\beta_n}$   
by (1) and (2). then

$$\frac{1 + q_1 + \dots + q_1^{\beta_1}}{q_1^{\beta_1}} \bullet \frac{1 + q_2 + \dots + q_2^{\beta_2}}{q_2^{\beta_2}} \bullet \dots \bullet \frac{1 + q_n + \dots + q_n^{\beta_n}}{q_n^{\beta_n}} = 2 \quad (3)$$

Let  $k_x = \frac{1 + q_x + \dots + q_x^{\beta_x}}{q_x^{\beta_x}}$ , so

$$k_1 k_2 \dots k_n = 2 \quad (4)$$

The  $k_x$  is called as the coefficient function of  $\sigma(N)$ . Clearly, for any  $N$ , if the equation (4) is true, so  $\sigma(N) = 2N$ , the  $N$  must be a perfect number.

### 2.2 The Values of $k_x$

**Theorem 1.**  $q_x^{\beta_x} + q_x^{\beta_x-1} + \dots + q_x + 1 < (1 + \frac{1}{q_x-1})q_x^{\beta_x}$

*Proof.* By algebraic formula we obtain

$$q_x^{\beta_x} - 1 = (q_x - 1)(q_x^{\beta_x-1} + q_x^{\beta_x-2} + \dots + q_x + 1)$$

$$q_x^{\beta_x-1} + q_x^{\beta_x-2} + \dots + q_x + 1 = \frac{q_x^{\beta_x} - 1}{q_x - 1} < \frac{q_x^{\beta_x}}{q_x - 1}$$

so

$$q_x^{\beta_x} + (q_x^{\beta_x-1} + \dots + q_x + 1) < (1 + \frac{1}{q_x-1})q_x^{\beta_x} \quad \square$$

**Theorem 2.** For any odd  $q_x$ , there have  $1 < k_x < 1.5$ . As  $q_x$  is larger, the values of  $k_x$  is less.

*Proof.* Let  $q_x$  is odd, we obtain

$$q_x^{\beta_x} + q_x^{\beta_x-1} + \dots + q_x + 1 < (1 + \frac{1}{q_x-1})q_x^{\beta_x}$$

by Theorem 1.

$$\text{i.e. } k_x = \frac{q_x^{\beta_x} + q_x^{\beta_x-1} + \dots + q_x + 1}{q_x^{\beta_x}} < 1 + \frac{1}{q_x-1}$$

It is clear that  $k_x > 1$ .

As  $q_x$  is larger, the  $\frac{1}{q_x-1}$  is less. If  $q_x$  is odd, when  $q_x = 3$  is least odd prime, then  $\frac{1}{q_x-1}$  is maximal, and value of  $k_x$  is also maximal. The maximal value of  $k_x$  is written  $\max(k_x)$ , then

$$\max(k_x) = k_3 < (1 + \frac{1}{q_x-1}) = 1 + \frac{1}{3-1} = 1.5$$

but  $q_x \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\frac{1}{q_x-1}$  is  $\rightarrow$ minimal, and value of  $k_x$  is  $\rightarrow$ minimal. The minimal value of  $k_x$  is written  $\min(k_x)$ , then

$$\min(k_x) > \lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} (1 + \frac{1}{q_x-1}) = 1$$

Hence, if  $q_x$  is odd,  $1 < k_x < 1.5$ . □

## 2.3 The Table of Values of $k_n$

**Table 1.** . A fell values of  $k_n$

n	$p_n$	$k(1 + p_n)$	$k(1 + p_n + p_n^2)$	$k(1 + p_n + p_n^2 + p_n^3)$	max $k_n <$
1	2	1.5	1.75	1.875	2
2	3	1.3333	1.4444	1.4814	1.5
3	5	1.2	1.24	1.248	1.25
4	7	1.1428	1.1632	1.1661	1.167
5	11	1.0909	1.0991	1.0999	1.1
6	13	1.0769	1.0828	1.0832	1.084

This shows that  $k_n$  is a monotone decreasing functions with  $p_n$ . The larger  $p_n$  , the smaller value of  $k_n$  .

## 3 Proof of proposition

**Theorem 3.** . Let  $k_y$  be a decimal , c be a integer .If to make  $ck_y$  is integer ,there have must be the following conditions:

1)if the bottom digit of decimal of  $k_y$  is 5 , then c must be even number;for example,  $k_y = 1.25, c = 4, 1.25 \times 4 = 5$ .

2)if the bottom digit of decimal of  $k_y$  is a even number, then bottom digit of the c must be 5, for example,  $k_y = 1.2, c = 15, 1.2 \times 15 = 18$ .

*Proof.* Because there has only a product of 5 and even number can carry to make the decimal  $k_y$  change to integer,so this theorem is true.

**Theorem 4.** . If  $N$  is odd , $N = q_1^{\beta_1} q_2^{\beta_2} \dots q_n^{\beta_n}$  , then  $k_1 k_2 \dots k_n \neq 2$  .

*Proof.* Suppose the  $N$  is a prefect number, then

$$k_1 k_2 \dots k_n = \frac{1+q_1+\dots+q_1^{\beta_1}}{q_1^{\beta_1}} \bullet \frac{1+q_2+\dots+q_2^{\beta_2}}{q_2^{\beta_2}} \bullet \dots \bullet \frac{1+q_n+\dots+q_n^{\beta_n}}{q_n^{\beta_n}} = 2$$

by equations of (3)and (4).

Set  $1 + q_n + \dots + q_n^{\beta_n} = 2b_n$  ,

$$\frac{1 + q_1 + \dots + q_1^{\beta_1}}{q_1^{\beta_1}} \bullet \frac{1 + q_2 + \dots + q_2^{\beta_2}}{q_2^{\beta_2}} \bullet \dots \bullet \frac{1 + q_{n-1} + \dots + q_{n-1}^{\beta_{n-1}}}{q_{n-1}^{\beta_{n-1}}} \times \frac{2b_n}{q_n^{\beta_n}} = 2 \quad (5)$$

$$\frac{1 + q_1 + \dots + q_1^{\beta_1}}{q_1^{\beta_1}} \bullet \frac{1 + q_2 + \dots + q_2^{\beta_2}}{q_2^{\beta_2}} \bullet \dots \bullet \frac{1 + q_{n-1} + \dots + q_{n-1}^{\beta_{n-1}}}{q_{n-1}^{\beta_{n-1}}} \times \frac{b_n}{q_n^{\beta_n}} = 1 \quad (6)$$

so it needs  $\frac{1+q_1+\dots+q_1^{\beta_1}}{q_1^{\beta_1}} \bullet \frac{1+q_2+\dots+q_2^{\beta_2}}{q_2^{\beta_2}} \bullet \dots \bullet \frac{1+q_{n-1}+\dots+q_{n-1}^{\beta_{n-1}}}{q_{n-1}^{\beta_{n-1}}} \times b_n = c$

where c is an integer, and  $c = q_n^{\beta_n}$ .

I.e.,  $k_1 k_2 \dots k_{n-1} b_n = a$  .

Because :1) the right hand side of equation (5) is 2 , then the left hand side must be only containing one factor 2 ,and all denominators are odd, then all numerators must be odd.

2) we known all  $k_x$  are decimals , then right hand side of equation (6) can not is a integer by 1) and the Theorem (3).

So

$$\frac{1+q_1+\dots+q_1^{\beta_1}}{q_1^{\beta_1}} \bullet \frac{1+q_2+\dots+q_2^{\beta_2}}{q_2^{\beta_2}} \bullet \dots \bullet \frac{1+q_{n-1}+\dots+q_{n-1}^{\beta_{n-1}}}{q_{n-1}^{\beta_{n-1}}} \times \frac{b_n}{q_n^{\beta_n}} \neq 1$$

and

$$\frac{1+q_1+\dots+q_1^{\beta_1}}{q_1^{\beta_1}} \bullet \frac{1+q_2+\dots+q_2^{\beta_2}}{q_2^{\beta_2}} \bullet \dots \bullet \frac{1+q_{n-1}+\dots+q_{n-1}^{\beta_{n-1}}}{q_{n-1}^{\beta_{n-1}}} \times \frac{2b_n}{q_n^{\beta_n}} \neq 2$$

Hence the  $k_1 k_2 \dots k_n \neq 2$ .  $\square$

**Proposition .** If  $N$  is odd, and  $N = q_1^{\beta_1} q_2^{\beta_2} \dots q_n^{\beta_n}$ , then it is impossible that  $N$  is an odd perfect number .

*Proof.* Let  $N$  be odd, and  $N = q_1^{\beta_1} q_2^{\beta_2} \dots q_n^{\beta_n}$ , then:

1) when  $n = 2$ ,  $n = q_1^{\beta_1} q_2^{\beta_2}$ , since the least  $q_x$  are 3 and 5, their values are largest which less 1.5 and 1.25, then  $k'_1 k'_2 < 1.5 \times 1.25 = 1.875$ . So, i.e., when  $n \leq 2$  the  $k_1 k_2 < 2$ , by the Theorem 2.

2) when  $n \geq 3$ , we can prove also that  $k_1 k_2 \dots k_n \neq 2$ , by the Theorem 4.

Wherefore, if  $N$  is odd, the  $k_1 k_2 \dots k_n \neq 2$ . So  $\sigma(N) \neq 2N$ , and the  $N$  is not a perfect number by definition.  $\square$

## 4 Conclusion

Now, we can say that may no odd perfect number . From above various aspects have been proved that can not has exist of odd perfect number . The perfect number is only a characteristic of even number .

## References

- [1] G.H.Hardy E.M.Wright, *An Introduction to the Theorem of Numbers*, Posts and telecom press, Beijing, 2007,19-256.
- [2] Zhou Xiaorao, Yu Mo, *Interesting Famous Quistions of Number Theorem*, Hunan university press, Changsha, 2012,115-116.
- [3] (U.A.S)Du De Li, (Zhou Zhongliang translated) *Basic Number Theory*, Harbin institute of technology press, Harbin, 2011,47-50.
- [4] From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia, *Perfect Number*; available at [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Perfect\\_number](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Perfect_number).
- [5] San Zun, *The Knowledge and Question of Elementary Number Theory*, Harbin institute of technology press, Harbin, 2011,54-55.
- [6] Paul Pollack, *Finiteness Theorems for Perfect Numbers and Their Kin*, Amer. Math. Monthly 119 (2012),670-681; available at <http://www.maa.org/>.