

# Random Attractors for Stochastic Wave Equations with Critical Exponents on $\mathbb{R}^n$

**Bixiang Wang**

**Department of Mathematics**

**New Mexico Tech**

**June 8-12, 2009      Tianjin**

# Random Attractors for Wave Equations

---

## Outline

- Stochastic wave equations on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .
- Random attractors theory.
- Random absorbing sets for wave equations.
- Pullback asymptotic compactness.
- Existence of random attractors.

## Wave Equations

---

The stochastic wave equation on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ :

$$u_{tt} + \alpha u_t - \Delta u + \lambda u + f(x, u) = g(x) + h(x) \frac{dw}{dt}.$$

- $\lambda$  and  $\alpha$  are positive constants.
- $g$  and  $h$  are given functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .
- $w$  is a two-sided Wiener process.
- $f$  is a smooth nonlinear function satisfying certain growth conditions.

**Question:** Long term behavior of solutions?

- Stochastic PDEs on **bounded domains**:
  - Crauel and Flandoli (1994);
  - Flandoli and Schmalfuss (1996);
  - Arnold (1998);
  - Caraballo, Langa and Robinson (2001);
  - Chueshov and Scheutzow (2004);
  - Chueshov and Schmalfuss (2007);
  - Li and Guo (2008), etc.
- Stochastic systems on **unbounded domains**:
  - Bates, Lisei and Lu (2006);
  - Brzezniak and Li (2006);
  - Bates, Lu and Wang (2008).

**Difficulty:** Sobolev embeddings are not compact on unbounded domains.

**Some methods to overcome the difficulty:**

- **Weighted spaces:**  
Abergel (1989); Babin and Vishik (1990), etc.
- **Energy equation approach:**  
Ball (1997, 2004); Rosa (1998); Moise, Rosa and X.Wang (1998); Ju (2000, 2001); Goubet and Rosa (2002); Brzezniak and Li (2006), etc.
- **Tail estimates approach:**  
B.Wang (1999); Antoci and Prizzi (2001, 2002); Morillas and Valero (2005); Prizzi (2005); Yang, Sun and Zhong (2007); Bates, Lu and Wang (2008), etc.

## Stochastic Wave Equation

---

**Goal:** Prove existence of a random attractor for the stochastic wave equation.

The concept of random attractors for stochastic PDEs is an extension of global attractors of deterministic PDEs. This extension was developed by Crauel-Flandoli (1994) and Flandoli-Schmalalfuss (1996).

## Random Attractors

---

$(X, d)$ : metric space with Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}(X)$ .

$(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ : probability space.

$\mathcal{D}$ : collection of some random subsets of  $X$ .

**Definition (Shift Operators).** Let  $\theta : \mathbb{R} \times \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$  be  $(\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F})$ -measurable. Then  $(\theta_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  is called a family of shift operators on  $\Omega$  if

- $\theta_0$  is the identity on  $\Omega$ ;
- $\theta_{s+t} = \theta_t \circ \theta_s$  for all  $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Definition (Metric Dynamical System).** Let  $(\theta_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  be a family of shift operators. Then  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P, (\theta_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}})$  is called a metric dynamical system if  $(\theta_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  is measure preserving, i.e.,  $\theta_t P = P$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Definition (Random Dynamical System).** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P, (\theta_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}})$  be a metric dynamical system, and  $\Phi$  a mapping:

$$\Phi : \mathbb{R}^+ \times \Omega \times X \rightarrow X \quad (t, \omega, x) \mapsto \Phi(t, \omega, x).$$

Then  $\Phi$  is called a continuous random dynamical system on  $X$  if  $\Phi$  is  $(\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^+) \times \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{B}(X), \mathcal{B}(X))$ -measurable and satisfies, for  $P$ -a.e.  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,

- (i)  $\Phi(0, \omega, \cdot)$  is the identity on  $X$ ;
- (ii)  $\Phi(t+s, \omega, \cdot) = \Phi(t, \theta_s \omega, \cdot) \circ \Phi(s, \omega, \cdot) \quad \forall t, s \geq 0$ ;
- (iii)  $\Phi(t, \omega, \cdot) : X \rightarrow X$  is continuous  $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ .



## Random Attractors

---

**Definition (Tempered Sets).** Let  $\{B(\omega)\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  be a random bounded subset of  $X$ . Then  $\{B(\omega)\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  is called tempered with respect to  $(\theta_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  if there exists  $x_0 \in X$  such that for  $P$ -a.e.  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} e^{\beta t} d(x_0, B(\theta_t \omega)) = 0 \quad \text{for all } \beta > 0.$$

**All bounded deterministic sets are tempered.**

## Random Attractors

---

**Definition (Random Absorbing Sets).** Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a collection of random subsets of  $X$  and  $\{K(\omega)\}_{\omega \in \Omega} \in \mathcal{D}$ . Then  $\{K(\omega)\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  is called a random absorbing set of  $\Phi$  in  $\mathcal{D}$  if for every  $B \in \mathcal{D}$  and  $P$ -a.e.  $\omega \in \Omega$ , there exists  $T(\omega, B) > 0$  such that

$$\Phi(t, \theta_{-t}\omega, B(\theta_{-t}\omega)) \subseteq K(\omega) \quad \text{for all } t \geq T(\omega, B).$$

**Definition (Pullback Asymptotic Compactness).**  $\Phi$  is said to be  $\mathcal{D}$ -pullback asymptotically compact in  $X$  if for  $P$ -a.e.  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,  $\{\Phi(t_n, \theta_{-t_n}\omega, x_n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  has a convergent subsequence in  $X$  whenever  $t_n \rightarrow \infty$ , and  $x_n \in B(\theta_{-t_n}\omega)$  with  $\{B(\omega)\}_{\omega \in \Omega} \in \mathcal{D}$ .

## Random Attractors

---

**Definition (Random Attractor).** A random set  $\{\mathcal{A}(\omega)\}_{\omega \in \Omega} \in \mathcal{D}$  is called a  $\mathcal{D}$ -random attractor for  $\Phi$  if for  $P$ -a.e.  $\omega \in \Omega$  and all  $t \geq 0$ ,

- $\mathcal{A}(\omega)$  is compact, and  $\omega \mapsto d(x, \mathcal{A}(\omega))$  is measurable for every  $x \in X$ ;
- $\{\mathcal{A}(\omega)\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  is invariant:  $\Phi(t, \omega, \mathcal{A}(\omega)) = \mathcal{A}(\theta_t \omega)$ ;
- $\{\mathcal{A}(\omega)\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  attracts every set in  $\mathcal{D}$ : for every  $B = \{B(\omega)\}_{\omega \in \Omega} \in \mathcal{D}$ ,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} d(\Phi(t, \theta_{-t} \omega, B(\theta_{-t} \omega)), \mathcal{A}(\omega)) = 0,$$

where  $d$  is the Hausdorff semi-distance.

**Definition (Inclusion-Closed Collection).** A collection  $\mathcal{D}$  of random subsets of  $X$  is called inclusion closed if  $\{D(\omega)\}_{\omega \in \Omega} \in \mathcal{D}$  and  $\tilde{D}(\omega) \subseteq D(\omega)$  for all  $\omega \in \Omega$  imply  $\tilde{D} \in \mathcal{D}$ .

**Proposition (see, e.g., Bates-Lisei-Lu, 2006).** Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be inclusion closed and  $\Phi$  be continuous on  $X$  over  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P, (\theta_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}})$ . If  $\Phi$  has a closed absorbing set  $\{K(\omega)\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  in  $\mathcal{D}$  and is also  $\mathcal{D}$ -pullback asymptotically compact in  $X$ , then  $\Phi$  has a unique  $\mathcal{D}$ -random attractor  $\{\mathcal{A}(\omega)\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$ :

$$\mathcal{A}(\omega) = \bigcap_{\tau \geq 0} \overline{\bigcup_{t \geq \tau} \Phi(t, \theta_{-t}\omega, K(\theta_{-t}\omega))}.$$

## Stochastic Wave Equation

---

**The stochastic wave equation on  $\mathbb{R}^3$ :**

$$u_{tt} + \alpha u_t - \Delta u + \lambda u + f(x, u) = g(x) + h(x) \frac{dw}{dt}.$$

**The nonlinearity  $f$  and its antiderivative  $F$  satisfy, for some  $\gamma \in [1, 3]$ ,**

$$|f(x, u)| \leq c_1 |u|^\gamma + \phi_1(x),$$

$$|f'_u(x, u)| \leq c_2 |u|^{\gamma-1} + \phi_2(x),$$

$$f(x, u)u - c_3 F(x, u) \geq \phi_3(x),$$

$$F(x, u) \geq c_4 |u|^{\gamma+1} - \phi_4(x),$$

**Example.**  $f(u) = |u|^{\gamma-1}u$ ,  $\gamma = 3$ : **critical.**

## Stochastic Wave Equation

---

The probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  is given by

- $\Omega = \{\omega \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) : w(0) = 0\}$ .
- $\mathcal{F}$  is the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra induced by the compact-open topology of  $\Omega$ .
- $P$  is the Wiener measure on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ .

The shift operator is given by

$$\theta_t \omega(\cdot) = \omega(\cdot + t) - \omega(t), \quad \omega \in \Omega, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

$(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P, (\theta_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}})$  is a metric dynamical system.

## Stochastic Wave Equation

---

**Change of variables:**

$$z = u_t + \delta u, \quad \delta > 0.$$

**The system for  $(u, z)$  is given by**

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \delta u = z,$$

$$\frac{\partial z}{\partial t} + (\alpha - \delta)z + (\lambda + \delta^2 - \alpha\delta)u - \Delta u + f(x, u) = g + h \frac{dw}{dt},$$

**with the initial conditions:**

$$u(x, \tau) = u_\tau(x), \quad z(x, \tau) = z_\tau(x).$$

**Change of variables:**

$$v(t, \tau, \omega, v_\tau) = z(t, \tau, \omega, z_\tau) - h\omega(t).$$

## Stochastic Wave Equation

---

The system for  $(u, v)$  is given by

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \delta u - v = h\omega(t),$$

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + (\alpha - \delta)v + (\lambda + \delta^2 - \alpha\delta)u - \Delta u + f(x, u) = g + (\delta - \alpha)h\omega(t),$$

with the initial conditions:

$$u(x, \tau) = u_\tau(x), \quad v(x, \tau) = v_\tau(x) = z_\tau(x) - h(x)\omega(\tau).$$

This problem is well-posed in  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ .

$z$  is given by  $z(t, \tau, \omega, z_\tau) = v(t, \tau, \omega, v_\tau) + h\omega(t)$ .



## Stochastic Wave Equation

---

**Let  $\Phi$  be a mapping given by**

$$\Phi : \mathbb{R}^+ \times \Omega \times (H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^3),$$

$$\Phi(t, \omega, (u_0, v_0)) = (u(t, 0, \omega, u_0), z(t, 0, \omega, z_0)),$$

**where  $z(t, 0, \omega, z_0) = v(t, 0, \omega, v_0) + h\omega(t)$ .**

**$\Phi$  is a continuous random dynamical system on  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$  over  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P, (\theta_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}})$ .**

$$\mathcal{D} = \{ \{B(\omega)\}_{\omega \in \Omega} : B \text{ is tempered in } H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \}.$$

## Random Absorbing Set

---

**Lemma.** For every  $B = \{B(\omega)\}_{\omega \in \Omega} \in \mathcal{D}$  and  $P$ -a.e.  $\omega \in \Omega$ , there exists  $T = T(\omega, B) < 0$  such that for all  $\tau \leq T$  and  $t \in [\tau, 0]$ ,

$$\|u(t, \tau, \omega, u_\tau)\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \|v(t, \tau, \omega, v_\tau)\|^2 \leq e^{-\sigma t} r(\omega),$$

where  $\sigma > 0$  is a constant, and  $r(\omega)$  is tempered.

Particularly, for  $t = 0$ , we have:

$$\|u(0, \tau, \omega, u_\tau)\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \|v(0, \tau, \omega, v_\tau)\|^2 \leq r(\omega).$$

**A random absorbing set is given by:**

$$K(\omega) = \{(u, v) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) : \|u\|_{H^1}^2 + \|v\|^2 \leq r(\omega)\}$$

## Uniform Tail Estimates

---

**Lemma.** For every  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $B = \{B(\omega)\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  and  $P$ -a.e.  $\omega \in \Omega$ , there exist  $T = T(\epsilon, \omega, B) < 0$  and  $K(\epsilon, \omega) > 0$  such that for all  $\tau \leq T$  and  $t \in [\tau, 0]$ ,

$$\int_{|x| \geq K} (|u(t, \tau, \omega, u_\tau)|^2 + |\nabla u(t, \tau, \omega, u_\tau)|^2) dx \leq \epsilon e^{-\sigma t},$$

$$\int_{|x| \geq K} |v(t, \tau, \omega, v_\tau)|^2 dx \leq \epsilon e^{-\sigma t},$$

where  $\sigma$  is a positive deterministic constant.

The estimates for  $t = 0$  are of particular interest.

## Asymptotic Compactness: Subcritical Case

---

**Asymptotic compactness:** For  $P$ -a.e.  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,  $\{\Phi(t_n, \theta_{-t_n}\omega, (u_{0,n}, v_{0,n}))\}$  has a convergent subsequence in  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$  provided  $t_n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $B = \{B(\omega)\}_{\omega \in \Omega} \in \mathcal{D}$  and  $(u_{0,n}, v_{0,n}) \in B(\theta_{-t_n}\omega)$ .

**Idea of proof:**

- By tail estimates,  $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists K(\epsilon), N(\epsilon) > 0$ :

$$\|\Phi(t_n, \theta_{-t_n}\omega, (u_{0,n}, v_{0,n}))\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus Q_K) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus Q_K)} \leq \epsilon,$$

for  $n \geq N$  and  $Q_K = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : |x| \leq K(\epsilon)\}$ .

- Prove  $\Phi$  is asymptotically compact in  $H^1(Q_K) \times L^2(Q_K)$  by a decomposition trick.

## Asymptotic Compactness: Subcritical Case

---

Let  $\psi$  be a smooth function such that

$$\psi(s) = 1 \quad \text{if } |s| \leq 1; \quad \psi(s) = 0 \quad \text{if } |s| \geq 2.$$

Given  $k \geq 1$ , set  $\tilde{u} = \psi(\frac{|x|}{k})u$  and  $\tilde{v} = \psi(\frac{|x|}{k})v$ .

The system for  $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})$  is defined on  $Q_{2k}$ :

$$\tilde{u}_t + \delta \tilde{u} - \tilde{v} = \psi h \omega(t),$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{v}_t + (\alpha - \delta) \tilde{v} + (\lambda + \delta^2 - \alpha \delta) \tilde{u} - \Delta \tilde{u} + \psi f(x, u) \\ = \psi g + (\delta - \alpha) \psi h \omega(t) - u \Delta \psi - 2 \nabla \psi \nabla u, \end{aligned}$$

with zero boundary conditions.

## Asymptotic Compactness: Subcritical Case

---

Consider the eigenvalue problem:

$$-\Delta \tilde{u} = \lambda \tilde{u} \quad \text{in } Q_{2k}, \quad \text{with } \tilde{u}|_{\partial Q_{2k}} = 0.$$

**Eigenvalues:**  $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Eigenfunctions:**  $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ , a basis of  $L^2(Q_{2k})$ .

$X_n = \text{span}\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  and  $P_n : L^2(Q_{2k}) \rightarrow X_n$ .

**Lemma.** For every  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $B = \{B(\omega)\}_{\omega \in \Omega} \in \mathcal{D}$  and  $P$ -a.e.  $\omega \in \Omega$ , there exist  $K = K(\omega, \epsilon) > 0$ ,  $N = N(\omega, \epsilon) > 0$  and  $T = T(B, \omega, \epsilon) < 0$  such that for all  $k \geq K$ ,  $n \geq N$  and  $\tau \leq T$ ,

$$\|(I - P_n)\tilde{u}(0, \tau, \omega)\|_{H_0^1(Q_{2k})} + \|(I - P_n)\tilde{v}(0, \tau, \omega)\|_{L^2(Q_{2k})} \leq \epsilon.$$

## Asymptotic Compactness: Subcritical Case

---

**Proof.** Set  $\tilde{u}_n = (I - P_n)\tilde{u}$  and  $\tilde{v}_n = (I - P_n)\tilde{v}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \left( \|\tilde{v}_n\|^2 + \alpha_1 \|\tilde{u}_n\|^2 + \|\nabla \tilde{u}_n\|^2 + 2(\psi f(x, u), \tilde{u}_n) \right) \\ & + \sigma \left( \|\tilde{v}_n\|^2 + \alpha_1 \|\tilde{u}_n\|^2 + \|\nabla \tilde{u}_n\|^2 + 2(\psi f(x, u), \tilde{u}_n) \right) \\ & \leq c \lambda_{n+1}^{\frac{\gamma-3}{2}} \left( \|v\|^6 + \|u\|_{H^1}^{3\gamma-3} \right) + \frac{c}{k^2} \|u\|_{H^1}^2 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

For  $\gamma < 3$  and sufficiently large  $n$  and  $k$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \left( \|\tilde{v}_n\|^2 + \alpha_1 \|\tilde{u}_n\|^2 + \|\nabla \tilde{u}_n\|^2 + 2(\psi f(x, u), \tilde{u}_n) \right) \\ & + \sigma \left( \|\tilde{v}_n\|^2 + \alpha_1 \|\tilde{u}_n\|^2 + \|\nabla \tilde{u}_n\|^2 + 2(\psi f(x, u), \tilde{u}_n) \right) \\ & \leq \epsilon \left( \|v\|^6 + \|u\|_{H^1}^{3\gamma-3} \right) + \epsilon \|u\|_{H^1}^2 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

## Asymptotic Compactness: Subcritical Case

---

**Asymptotic compactness:**  $\{\Phi(t_n, \theta_{-t_n}\omega, (u_{0,n}, v_{0,n}))\}$  has a convergent subsequence in  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$  if  $t_n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $(u_{0,n}, v_{0,n}) \in B(\theta_{-t_n}\omega)$ .

**Proof:**

- **Tail estimates:**  $\forall \epsilon, \exists K, \exists N$  s.t. for  $n \geq N$ ,

$$\|\Phi(t_n, \theta_{-t_n}\omega, (u_{0,n}, v_{0,n}))\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus Q_K) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus Q_K)} \leq \epsilon,$$

- **There is  $m > 0$  such that for  $n \geq N$ ,**

$$\|(I - P_m)\Phi(t_n, \theta_{-t_n}\omega, (u_{0,n}, v_{0,n}))\|_{H^1(Q_K) \times L^2(Q_K)} \leq \epsilon.$$

- **$P_m(\Phi(t_n, \theta_{-t_n}\omega, (u_{0,n}, v_{0,n})))$  is bounded in the finite dimensional space  $P_m(H^1(Q_K) \times L^2(Q_K))$ .**



## Asymptotic Compactness: Critical Case

---

**Method:** Tail estimates and energy equations.

Idea of the energy equation approach:

$$u_n \rightarrow u \text{ in } L^2 \iff u_n \rightharpoonup u \text{ in } L^2 \text{ and } \|u_n\|_{L^2} \rightarrow \|u\|_{L^2}$$

The energy equation approach was

- introduced by J. M. Ball (1997, 2004);
- used by Rosa (1998); Moise, Rosa and X.Wang (1998); Ju (2000, 2001); Goubet and Rosa (2002); Brzezniak and Li (2006), and many others.

## Asymptotic Compactness: Critical Case

---

$H^1 \times L^2$  energy of wave equation:

$$\frac{d}{dt}E(u, v) + 4\sigma E(u, v) = \Psi(u, v),$$

$$E(u, v) = \|v\|^2 + (\lambda + \delta^2 - \alpha\delta)\|u\|^2 + \|\nabla u\|^2 + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F(x, u) dx,$$

$$\Psi(u, v) = -2(\alpha - \delta - 2\sigma)\|v\|^2 - 2(\delta - 2\sigma)(\lambda + \delta^2 - \alpha\delta)\|u\|^2$$

$$-2(\delta - 2\sigma)\|\nabla u\|^2 + 8\sigma \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F(x, u) dx - 2\delta \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(x, u) u dx$$

$$+ 2(\lambda + \delta^2 - \alpha\delta)(u, h)\omega(t) + 2(\nabla u, \nabla h)\omega(t)$$

$$+ 2\omega(t) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(x, u) h(x) dx + 2(g, v) + 2(\delta - \alpha)(v, h)\omega(t).$$

## Asymptotic Compactness: Critical Case

---

$H^1 \times L^2$  energy equation:

$$\begin{aligned} E(u(t, \tau, \omega, u_\tau), v(t, \tau, \omega, v_\tau)) &= e^{-4\sigma(t-\tau)} E(u_\tau, v_\tau) \\ &+ \int_\tau^t e^{4\sigma(\xi-t)} \Psi(u(\xi, \tau, \omega, u_\tau), v(\xi, \tau, \omega, v_\tau)) d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma (asymptotic compactness)**

$\{\Phi(t_n, \theta_{-t_n}\omega, (u_{0,n}, v_{0,n}))\}$  is precompact in  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$  if  $t_n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $(u_{0,n}, v_{0,n}) \in B(\theta_{-t_n}\omega)$ ; that is,

$\{(u(0, -t_n, \omega, u_{0,n}), v(0, -t_n, \omega, v_{0,n}))\}$  has a convergent subsequence in  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$  if  $t_n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $(u_{0,n}, v_{0,n}) \in B(\theta_{-t_n}\omega)$ .

## Asymptotic Compactness: Critical Case

---

**Proof.**

- **There is  $N$  such that for all  $n \geq N$ ,**

$$\|u(0, -t_n, \omega, u_{0,n})\|_{H^1}^2 + \|v(0, -t_n, \omega, v_{0,n})\|^2 \leq R(\omega).$$

- **There is  $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$  such that, up to a subsequence,**

$$(u(0, -t_n, \omega, u_{0,n}), v(0, -t_n, \omega, v_{0,n})) \rightarrow (\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) \text{ weakly,}$$

**This implies that**

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(u(0, -t_n, \omega, u_{0,n}), v(0, -t_n, \omega, v_{0,n}))\| \geq \|(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})\|$$

**We only need to prove**

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(u(0, -t_n, \omega, u_{0,n}), v(0, -t_n, \omega, v_{0,n}))\| \leq \|(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})\|$$

## Asymptotic Compactness: Critical Case

---

- Notice that, for any fixed  $m \geq 1$ ,

$$u(0, -t_n, \omega, u_{0,n}) = u(0, -m, \omega, u(-m, -t_n, \omega, u_{0,n})),$$

and

$$v(0, -t_n, \omega, v_{0,n}) = v(0, -m, \omega, v(-m, -t_n, \omega, v_{0,n})).$$

- Energy equation in  $H^1 \times L^2$ :

$$E(u(t, \tau, \omega, u_\tau), v(t, \tau, \omega, v_\tau)) = e^{-4\sigma(t-\tau)} E(u_\tau, v_\tau)$$

$$+ \int_\tau^t e^{4\sigma(\xi-t)} \Psi(u(\xi, \tau, \omega, u_\tau), v(\xi, \tau, \omega, v_\tau)) d\xi.$$

## Asymptotic Compactness: Critical Case

---

$$\begin{aligned}
& E(u(0, -t_n, \omega, u_{0,n}), v(0, -t_n, \omega, v_{0,n})) \\
&= e^{-4\sigma m} E(u(-m, -t_n, \omega, u_{0,n}), v(-m, -t_n, \omega, v_{0,n})) \\
& -2(\alpha - \delta - 2\sigma) \int_{-m}^0 e^{4\sigma\xi} \|v(\xi, -m, \omega, v(-m, -t_n, \omega, v_{0,n}))\|^2 d\xi \\
& -2(\delta - 2\sigma)(\lambda + \delta^2 - \alpha\delta) \int_{-m}^0 e^{4\sigma\xi} \|u(\xi, -m, \omega, u(-m, -t_n, \omega, u_{0,n}))\|^2 d\xi \\
& -2(\delta - 2\sigma) \int_{-m}^0 e^{4\sigma\xi} \|\nabla u(\xi, -m, \omega, u(-m, -t_n, \omega, u_{0,n}))\|^2 d\xi \\
& +8\sigma \int_{-m}^0 e^{4\sigma\xi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F(x, u(\xi, -m, \omega, u(-m, -t_n, \omega, u_{0,n}))) dx d\xi \\
& -2\delta \int_{-m}^0 e^{4\sigma\xi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u(\xi, -m, \omega, u(-m, -t_n, \omega, u_{0,n})) \times f(x, u(\xi, -m, \omega, u)) \\
& +2(\lambda + \delta^2 - \alpha\delta) \int_{-m}^0 e^{4\sigma\xi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} h(x) u(\xi, -m, \omega, u(-m, -t_n, \omega, u_{0,n})) \omega(\xi) \\
& +2 \int_{-m}^0 e^{4\sigma\xi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla h(x) \cdot \nabla u(\xi, -m, \omega, u(-m, -t_n, \omega, u_{0,n})) \omega(\xi) \\
& +2 \int_{-m}^0 e^{4\sigma\xi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} h(x) f(x, u(\xi, -m, \omega, u(-m, -t_n, \omega, u_{0,n}))) \omega(\xi) \\
& +2 \int_{-m}^0 e^{4\sigma\xi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} g(x) v(\xi, -m, \omega, v(-m, -t_n, \omega, v_{0,n})) dx d\xi \\
& +2(\delta - \alpha) \int_{-m}^0 e^{4\sigma\xi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} h(x) v(\xi, -m, \omega, v(-m, -t_n, \omega, v_{0,n})) \omega(\xi) dx d\xi.
\end{aligned}$$

## Asymptotic Compactness: Critical Case

---

- For any fixed  $m$ , there is  $(\tilde{u}_m, \tilde{v}_m) \in H^1 \times L^2$ :

$$\tilde{u} = u(0, -m, \omega, \tilde{u}_m) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{v} = v(0, -m, \omega, \tilde{v}_m),$$

- Energy equation in  $H^1 \times L^2$ :

$$E(u(t, \tau, \omega, u_\tau), v(t, \tau, \omega, v_\tau)) = e^{-4\sigma(t-\tau)} E(u_\tau, v_\tau)$$

$$+ \int_{\tau}^t e^{4\sigma(\xi-t)} \Psi(u(\xi, \tau, \omega, u_\tau), v(\xi, \tau, \omega, v_\tau)) d\xi.$$

## Asymptotic Compactness: Critical Case

---

$$\begin{aligned}
E(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) &= e^{-4\sigma m} E(\tilde{u}_m, \tilde{v}_m) \\
&- 2(\alpha - \delta - 2\sigma) \int_{-m}^0 e^{4\sigma\xi} \|v(\xi, -m, \omega, \tilde{v}_m)\|^2 d\xi \\
&- 2(\delta - 2\sigma)(\lambda + \delta^2 - \alpha\delta) \int_{-m}^0 e^{4\sigma\xi} \|u(\xi, -m, \omega, \tilde{u}_m)\|^2 d\xi \\
&- 2(\delta - 2\sigma) \int_{-m}^0 e^{4\sigma\xi} \|\nabla u(\xi, -m, \omega, \tilde{u}_m)\|^2 d\xi \\
&+ 8\sigma \int_{-m}^0 e^{4\sigma\xi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F(x, u(\xi, -m, \omega, \tilde{u}_m)) dx d\xi \\
&- 2\delta \int_{-m}^0 e^{4\sigma\xi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u(\xi, -m, \omega, \tilde{u}_m) \times f(x, u(\xi, -m, \omega, \tilde{u}_m)) \\
&+ 2(\lambda + \delta^2 - \alpha\delta) \int_{-m}^0 e^{4\sigma\xi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} h(x) u(\xi, -m, \omega, \tilde{u}_m) \omega(\xi) \\
&+ 2 \int_{-m}^0 e^{4\sigma\xi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla h(x) \cdot \nabla u(\xi, -m, \omega, \tilde{u}_m) \omega(\xi) dx d\xi \\
&+ 2 \int_{-m}^0 e^{4\sigma\xi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} h(x) f(x, u(\xi, -m, \omega, \tilde{u}_m)) \omega(\xi) \\
&+ 2 \int_{-m}^0 e^{4\sigma\xi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} g(x) v(\xi, -m, \omega, \tilde{v}_m) dx d\xi \\
&+ 2(\delta - \alpha) \int_{-m}^0 e^{4\sigma\xi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} h(x) v(\xi, -m, \omega, \tilde{v}_m) \omega(\xi) dx d\xi.
\end{aligned}$$



## Asymptotic Compactness: Critical Case

---

$$\begin{aligned}
& E(u(0, -t_n, \omega, u_{0,n}), v(0, -t_n, \omega, v_{0,n})) \\
&= e^{-4\sigma m} E(u(-m, -t_n, \omega, u_{0,n}), v(-m, -t_n, \omega, v_{0,n})) \\
&-2(\alpha - \delta - 2\sigma) \int_{-m}^0 e^{4\sigma\xi} \|v(\xi, -m, \omega, v(-m, -t_n, \omega, v_{0,n}))\|^2 d\xi \\
&-2(\delta - 2\sigma)(\lambda + \delta^2 - \alpha\delta) \int_{-m}^0 e^{4\sigma\xi} \|u(\xi, -m, \omega, u(-m, -t_n, \omega, u_{0,n}))\|^2 d\xi \\
&-2(\delta - 2\sigma) \int_{-m}^0 e^{4\sigma\xi} \|\nabla u(\xi, -m, \omega, u(-m, -t_n, \omega, u_{0,n}))\|^2 d\xi \\
&+8\sigma \int_{-m}^0 e^{4\sigma\xi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F(x, u(\xi, -m, \omega, u(-m, -t_n, \omega, u_{0,n}))) dx d\xi \\
&-2\delta \int_{-m}^0 e^{4\sigma\xi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u(\xi, -m, \omega, u(-m, -t_n, \omega, u_{0,n})) \times f(x, u(\xi, -m, \omega, u)) \\
&+2(\lambda + \delta^2 - \alpha\delta) \int_{-m}^0 e^{4\sigma\xi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} h(x) u(\xi, -m, \omega, u(-m, -t_n, \omega, u_{0,n})) \omega(\xi) \\
&+2 \int_{-m}^0 e^{4\sigma\xi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla h(x) \cdot \nabla u(\xi, -m, \omega, u(-m, -t_n, \omega, u_{0,n})) \omega(\xi) dx d\xi \\
&+2 \int_{-m}^0 e^{4\sigma\xi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} h(x) f(x, u(\xi, -m, \omega, u(-m, -t_n, \omega, u_{0,n}))) \omega(\xi) \\
&+2 \int_{-m}^0 e^{4\sigma\xi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} g(x) v(\xi, -m, \omega, v(-m, -t_n, \omega, v_{0,n})) dx d\xi \\
&+2(\delta - \alpha) \int_{-m}^0 e^{4\sigma\xi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} h(x) v(\xi, -m, \omega, v(-m, -t_n, \omega, v_{0,n})) \omega(\xi) dx d\xi.
\end{aligned}$$

## Asymptotic Compactness: Critical Case

---

For instance, the following convergence holds:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-m}^0 e^{4\sigma\xi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F(x, u(\xi, -m, \omega, u(-m, -t_n, \omega, u_{0,n}))) \\ = \int_{-m}^0 e^{4\sigma\xi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F(x, u(\xi, -m, \omega, \tilde{u}_m)) dx d\xi, \end{aligned}$$

which is implied by

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{-m}^0 e^{4\sigma\xi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left( F(x, u(\xi, -m, \omega, u(-m, -t_n, \omega, u_{0,n}))) - F(x, u(\xi, -m, \omega, \tilde{u}_m)) \right) \right| \\ & \leq \int_{-m}^0 e^{4\sigma\xi} \int_{|x|>k} |F(x, u(\xi, -m, \omega, u(-m, -t_n, \omega, u_{0,n}))) - F(x, u(\xi, -m, \omega, \tilde{u}_m))| \\ & + \left| \int_{-m}^0 e^{4\sigma\xi} \int_{|x|<k} F(x, u(\xi, -m, \omega, u(-m, -t_n, \omega, u_{0,n}))) - F(x, u(\xi, -m, \omega, \tilde{u}_m)) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

## Asymptotic Compactness: Critical Case

---

**Finally, we have**

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(u(0, -t_n, \omega, u_{0,n}), v(0, -t_n, \omega, v_{0,n})) \leq E(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}),$$

**which implies that**

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(u(0, -t_n, \omega, u_{0,n}), v(0, -t_n, \omega, v_{0,n}))\| \leq \|(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})\|.$$

**We also have**

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(u(0, -t_n, \omega, u_{0,n}), v(0, -t_n, \omega, v_{0,n}))\| \geq \|(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})\|.$$

**Then it follows that**

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(u(0, -t_n, \omega, u_{0,n}), v(0, -t_n, \omega, v_{0,n}))\| = \|(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})\|,$$

**which along with the weak convergence yields**

$$(u(0, -t_n, \omega, u_{0,n}), v(0, -t_n, \omega, v_{0,n})) \rightarrow (\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) \text{ **strongly**}. \quad \square$$

## Existence of Random Attractors

---

**Theorem.** The random dynamical system  $\Phi$  has a unique  $\mathcal{D}$ -random attractor  $\{\mathcal{A}(\omega)\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  in  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ , i.e., for  $P$ -a.e.  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,

- $\mathcal{A}(\omega)$  is compact in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .
- $\{\mathcal{A}(\omega)\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  is invariant:

$$\phi(t, \omega, \mathcal{A}(\omega)) = \mathcal{A}(\theta_t \omega), \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

- $\{\mathcal{A}(\omega)\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  attracts every tempered random subset  $\{B(\omega)\}_{\omega \in \Omega} \in \mathcal{D}$ :

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} d_{H^1 \times L^2}(\phi(t, \theta_{-t} \omega, B(\theta_{-t} \omega)), \mathcal{A}(\omega)) = 0.$$

## Conclusion

---

Remarks:

- Existence of attractors in

$$\mathcal{D}_\sigma = \{D = \{D(\omega)\}_{\omega \in \Omega} : \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{-\sigma t} \|D(\theta_{-t}\omega)\| = 0\}.$$

- Existence of invariant measures.

Future work:

- Uniqueness of invariant measures.
- Structures of attractors.