# A Method for Generating Uniformly Scattered Points on the $L_p$ -norm Unit Sphere and Its Applications<sup>\*</sup>

Jiajuan Liang $^{\rm a,1}$  and Kai Wang  $\rm Ng^b$ 

<sup>a</sup>University of New Haven, School of Business

300 Boston Post Road, West Haven, CT 06516, U.S.A.

<sup>b</sup>Department of Statistics and Actuarial Science

The University of Hong Kong, Pokfulam Road, Hong Kong, China

## Abstract

In this paper we propose a method associated with an algorithm for generating uniformly scattered points on the the  $L_p$ -norm unit sphere and discuss its applications in statistical simulation, representative points of multivariate probability distributions and optimization problems.

## MSC: 62D05

Keywords: glp set;  $L_p$ -norm uniform distribution;  $L_p$ -norm spherical distribution; p-generalized normal distribution; uniformly scattered points

<sup>\*</sup>This research is supported by The University of Hong Kong Research Grant and a University of New Haven 2005 Summer Faculty Fellowship

 $<sup>^1\</sup>mathrm{Corresponding}$  author, Email: <a>jliang@newhaven.edu</a>

#### 1. Introduction

Sets of uniformly scattered points in some regular regions in the Euclidean space  $R^s$  ( $s \ge 2$ ) have found many applications in the field of numerical integration, quasi Monte Carlo methods and statistical simulation, see, for example, Hua and Wang (1981), Niederreiter (1992), Fang and Wang (1994), and Sloan and Joe (1994). Some methods have been proposed to generate uniformly scattered points in the regular regions such as the square (in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ), the cube (in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ ) or the hypercube (in  $\mathbb{R}^s$ , s > 3), the simplex, and the unit sphere (in the sense of the Euclidean norm) in  $R^s$  ( $s \ge 2$ ). These methods have been used to generate empirical samples or representative points (Fang and Wang, 1994) from some multivariate probability distributions such as the spherical and elliptical distributions (Fang, Kotz and Ng, 1990). In the literature of number-theoretic methods or quasi Monte Carlo methods, there are different criteria on the uniformity of a set of points in a given region, see, for example, Fang and Wang (1994). For an arbitrarily given region  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^s$   $(s \ge 2)$ , it is often difficult to find a direct method for generating a set of uniformly scattered points in D. A transformation method is usually necessary. One of the commonly used approaches as pointed out by Fang and Wang (1994, Sections 1.5-1.6) is to generate a set of uniformly scattered points  $\mathcal{P} = \{\boldsymbol{z}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{z}_n\}$  in the hypercube

$$C^{s} = [0,1]^{s} = \{ \boldsymbol{z} = (z_{1}, \cdots, z_{s})' \in R^{s}, \ 0 \le z_{i} \le 1, \ i = 1, \dots, s \}$$
(1)

and then use the inverse transformation method (Fang and Wang, 1994, p.45) to project the set of points in  $\mathcal{P} = \{\boldsymbol{z}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{z}_n\} \subset C^s$  into the given region  $D \subset R^s$ . The uniformity of the set of points in  $\mathcal{P} \subset C^s$  is measured by discrepancy defined by (Fang and Wang, 1994, p. 15)

$$D(n, \mathcal{P}) = \sup_{\boldsymbol{\gamma} \in C^s} \left| \frac{N(\boldsymbol{\gamma}, \mathcal{P})}{n} - v([\boldsymbol{0}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}]) \right|,$$
(2)

where  $v([0, \gamma])$  denotes the volume of the rectangle  $[0, \gamma]$ , and  $N(\gamma, \mathcal{P})$  denotes the number of points in  $\mathcal{P}$  satisfying  $z_i \leq \gamma$  (" $\leq$ " means componentwise, i = 1, ..., n). After projecting the points in  $\mathcal{P} \subset C^s$  into the region D by the inverse transformation method, the uniformity of the projected set of points  $\mathcal{P}_F \subset D$  can be measured by the quasi F-discrepany as defined as follows.

**Definition 1.** (Fang and Wang, 1994, p. 42) Let  $\boldsymbol{x}$  be an  $s \times 1$  random vector with c.d.f. (cumulative distribution function)  $F(\boldsymbol{x})$ .  $\boldsymbol{x}$  has a stochastic representation  $\boldsymbol{x} = \boldsymbol{h}(\boldsymbol{z})$ , where  $\boldsymbol{z} \sim U(C^t)$  (the uniform distribution on the hypercube  $C^t$  as defined by (1) with s replaced by  $t, t \leq s$ ). Let  $\{\boldsymbol{c}_k : k = 1, \ldots, n\}$  be a set of points that are uniformly scattered in  $C^t$  with discrepancy d in the sense of (2). Then the set of points  $\mathcal{P}_F = \{\boldsymbol{h}(\boldsymbol{c}_k) : k = 1, \ldots, n\}$  is said to a quasi F-discrepancy d with respect to  $F(\boldsymbol{x})$ .

**Definition 2.** (Fang and Wang, 1994, p. 15) Let  $F(\boldsymbol{x})$  be a c.d.f. in  $\mathbb{R}^s$  and  $\mathcal{P} = \{\boldsymbol{x}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{x}_n\}$  a set of points on  $\mathbb{R}^s$ . The empirical distribution function on  $\mathcal{P}$  is defined by

$$F_n(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n I\{\boldsymbol{x}_i \leq \boldsymbol{x}\},\$$

where  $I(\cdot)$  stands for the indicator function on a set and " $x_i \leq x$ " means componentwise. Then

$$D_F(n, \mathcal{P}) = \sup_{\boldsymbol{x} \in R^s} |F_n(\boldsymbol{x}) - F(\boldsymbol{x})|$$
(3)

is called the *F*-discrepancy of  $\mathcal{P}$  with respect to  $F(\boldsymbol{x})$ .

Fang and Wang (1994, pp. 42-44) provides a detailed discussion on using the quasi *F*-discrepany as a reasonable measure of uniformity on the projected set of points  $\mathcal{P}_F \subset D$  in Definition 1. When the random vector  $\boldsymbol{z} = (z_1, \ldots, z_t)'$ in the stochastic representation  $\boldsymbol{x} = \boldsymbol{h}(\boldsymbol{z})$  in Definition 1 has independent components  $z_1, \ldots, z_t$  with a c.d.f.  $H_i(z_i)$  for  $z_i$   $(i = 1, \ldots, t)$ , Fang and Wang (1994, pp. 42-44) proves that the quasi *F*-discrepancy *d* with respect to c.d.f.  $F(\boldsymbol{x})$  is actually the regular *F*-discrepancy (3) with respect to the c.d.f. of  $\boldsymbol{z}$  $H(\boldsymbol{z}) = \prod_{i=1}^{t} H_i(z_i)$ . It turns out that

$$d = \sup_{\boldsymbol{r}\in C^t} \left| \frac{N(\mathcal{P}_F, G\boldsymbol{r})}{n} - H(\boldsymbol{r}) \right|,\tag{4}$$

where  $\mathbf{r} = (r_1, \ldots, r_t)' \in C^t$ ,  $H(\mathbf{r}) = \prod_{i=1}^t H_i(r_i)$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_F$  is the set defined in Definition 1,  $N(\mathcal{P}_F, G_{\mathbf{r}})$  stands for the number of points in  $\mathcal{P}_F$  that fall in the set  $G_{\mathbf{r}}$  defined by

$$G_{\boldsymbol{r}} = \{ \boldsymbol{x} : \ \boldsymbol{x} = \boldsymbol{h}(\boldsymbol{z}), \ \boldsymbol{z} \leq \boldsymbol{r} \}.$$
(5)

Equation (4) is exactly the regular *F*-discrepancy of  $\mathcal{P}_F$  with respect to the c.d.f.  $H(\boldsymbol{z})$  of  $\boldsymbol{z}$ . Therefore, in order to obtain a set of uniformly scattered points  $\mathcal{P}_F \subset D$  in a given region D, three steps are essential:

- Step (1). Find a set of uniformly scattered points  $\mathcal{P} = \{c_1, \ldots, c_n\} \subset C^s$ with the smallest discrepancy d in the sense of (2);
- Step (2). Find a suitable stochastic representation  $\boldsymbol{x} = \boldsymbol{h}(\boldsymbol{z})$  so that  $\boldsymbol{z} = (z_1, \ldots, z_s)'$  has independent components  $z_1, \ldots, z_t$  with some c.d.f.  $H_i(z_i)$  for  $z_i$   $(i = 1, \ldots, s)$ ;
- Step (3). Perform the inverse transformations

$$\boldsymbol{x}_{k} = \boldsymbol{h} \Big( H_{1}^{-1}(c_{k1}), \dots, H_{s}^{-1}(c_{ks}) \Big), \quad k = 1, \dots, n,$$
(6)

where  $\mathbf{c}_k = (c_{k1}, \ldots, c_{ks}) \in \mathcal{P} = \{\mathbf{c}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{c}_n\} \subset C^s$  in step (1). Then the set of points  $\mathcal{P}_F = \{\mathbf{x}_k \text{ given by } (6) : k = 1, \ldots, n\} \subset D$  has the smallest quasi *F*-discrepancy (with respect to the c.d.f. of  $\mathbf{x}$  in step (2)) d that is equal to (4), or  $\mathcal{P}_F$  has the smallest *F*-discrepancy with respect to the c.d.f.  $H(\mathbf{z}) = \prod_{i=1}^s H_i(z_i)$  of  $\mathbf{z}$  in step (2).

Step (1) is realized by using the set of good lattice points (called the glp set by Fang and Wang (1994, p. 21) in  $C^s$  as defined below. Step (2) will be realized by using the uniform distribution on the given region D.

**Definition 3.** (Fang and Wang, 1994, p. 21) Let  $(n; h_1, \ldots, h_s)$  be a vector with integer components satisfying  $1 \le h_i \le n$ ,  $h_i \ne h_j$   $(i \ne j)$ , s < n, and the greatest common divisors  $(n, h_i) = 1$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, s$ . Let

$$\begin{cases} q_{ki} = kh_i \pmod{n}, \\ x_{ki} = (2q_{ki} - 1)/2n, \end{cases} \quad k = 1, \dots, n; \ i = 1, \dots, s, \tag{7}$$

where  $1 \leq q_{ki} < n$ . Then the set  $\mathcal{P}_n = \{ \boldsymbol{x}_k = (x_{k1}, \ldots, x_{ks})', k = 1, \ldots, n \}$ is called the lattice point set with the generating vector  $(n; h_1, \ldots, h_s)$ . If the set  $\mathcal{P}_n$  has the smallest discrepancy in the sense of (2) among all possible generating vectors, then the set  $\mathcal{P}_n$  is called a *glp* set.

It can be verified that the points  $\boldsymbol{x}_k = (x_{k1}, \ldots, x_{ks})'$  given by (7) can be calculated by

$$x_{ki} = \left\{\frac{2kh_i - 1}{2n}\right\}, \quad k = 1, \dots, n; \ i = 1, \dots, s,$$
(8)

where  $\{x\}$  stands for the fractional part of a real number x. Fang and Wang (1994, p. 286, Appendix A) tabulates the generating vectors for obtaining the *glp* sets for many choices of n and the dimension  $2 \leq s \leq 18$ . In order to realize the above step (2), we need to construct the uniform distribution in the given region D. In this paper we consider the surface of the  $L_p$ -norm unit sphere as the region D and construct the inverse transformation from the uniform distribution on the  $L_p$ -norm unit sphere.

#### **Definition 4**. Let

$$S_s^p = \left\{ \boldsymbol{x} = (x_1, \cdots, x_s)' \in R^s, \ \|\boldsymbol{x}\|_p = (|x_1|^p + \cdots + |x_s|^p)^{1/p} = 1, \ p > 0, \ s \ge 2 \right\}.$$
(9)

 $S_s^p$  ( $s \ge 2$  is a positive integer) is called the surface of the  $L_p$ -norm unit sphere or simply called the  $L_p$ -norm unit sphere.

**Definition 5.** (Song and Gupta, 1997) A random vector  $\boldsymbol{u}_s = (U_1, \dots, U_s)'$ is said to have an  $L_p$ -norm uniform distribution (p > 0), denoted by  $\boldsymbol{u}_s \sim \mathcal{U}(s,p)$ , if  $\sum_{i=1}^s |U_i|^p = 1$  and the joint p.d.f. (probability density function) of  $U_1, \dots, U_{s-1}$  is given by

$$g(u_1, \dots, u_{s-1}) = \frac{p^{s-1} \Gamma(s/p)}{2^{s-1} \Gamma^s(1/p)} \left( 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} |u_i|^p \right)^{(1-p)/p},$$
  
-1 < u\_i < 1, i = 1, ..., s - 1,  $\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} |u_i|^p < 1.$  (10)

The  $L_p$ -norm uniform distribution is a generalization of the uniform distribution on the usual unit sphere  $S_s^2$  (p = 2), see Fang, Kotz and Ng (1990, Chapter 3). However, some algorithms, such as the *TFWW algorithm* given by Tashiro (1977), and Fang and Wang (1994, pp. 167-170), which has been used to generate uniformly scattered points on  $S_s^2$ , cannot be directly generalized to generating uniformly scattered points on  $S_s^p$  for any p > 0 ( $p \neq 2$ ). We will present the theoretical derivation for the inverse transformation in Section 2. Some applications of the proposed method are illustrated in Section 3.

## 2. Theoretical Derivation

The following two theorems provide the basis for our inverse transformation method for generating uniformly scattered points in the  $L_p$ -norm unit sphere  $S_s^p$  defined by (9).

**Theorem 1.** Let  $\boldsymbol{u}_s = (U_1, \dots, U_s)' \sim \mathcal{U}(s, p)$ . Define the random variables  $B_i \ (i = 1, \dots, s - 1)$  by the following conditional distributions:

$$B_{1} \stackrel{d}{=} |U_{1}|^{p},$$

$$B_{2} \stackrel{d}{=} \{(1 - |U_{1}|^{p})^{-1} |U_{2}|^{p} | U_{1} \},$$

$$\vdots$$

$$B_{m} \stackrel{d}{=} \{(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} |U_{i}|^{p})^{-1} |U_{m}|^{p} | (U_{1}, \cdots, U_{m-1}) \},$$
(11)

where m = 2, ..., s - 1, the sign " $\stackrel{\text{de}}{=}$ " means that the two sides of the equality have the same probability distribution, and  $\{\cdot | \cdot\}$  stands for the conditional distribution given the part on the right hand side of "|". Then  $B_1, ..., B_{s-1}$ are mutually independent and  $B_k \sim \text{Beta}[1/p, (s-k)/p]$  (the beta distribution, k = 1, ..., s - 1).

**Proof**. Define the following conditional random variables

$$T_{1} \stackrel{d}{=} U_{1},$$

$$T_{2} \stackrel{d}{=} \{U_{2} | U_{1} \}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$T_{s-1} \stackrel{d}{=} \{U_{s-1} | U_{1}, \dots, U_{s-2} \}.$$
(12)

Because the random vector  $(U_1, \ldots, U_{s-1})'$  has a joint p.d.f. given by (10), according to the conditional probability integral transformation (Rosenblatt,

1952), the random variables  $T_1, \ldots, T_{s-1}$  are mutually independent. Note that

- $B_1$  is a function of  $T_1$  and uniquely determined by  $T_1$
- $B_2$  is a function of  $T_2$  and uniquely determined by  $T_2$ :

 $B_{s-1}$  is a function of  $T_{s-1}$  and uniquely determined by  $T_{s-1}$ .

So  $B_1, \ldots, B_{s-1}$  are also mutually independent.

From Theorem 2.1 of Song and Gupta (1997), the random variables  $|U_i|^p$ (i = 1, ..., s) has a beta distribution  $|U_i|^p \sim \text{Beta}[1/p, (s - 1)/p]$ , and the marginal density of  $(U_1, ..., U_m)$  (m = 2, ..., s - 1) is given by

$$h(u_1, \dots, u_m) = \frac{p^m \Gamma(s/p)}{2^m \Gamma^m(1/p) \Gamma[(s-m)/p]} \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^m |u_i|^p\right)^{(s-m)/p-1}, \quad (13)$$
  
-1 < u\_i < 1, i = 1, ..., m,  $\sum_{i=1}^m |u_i|^p < 1.$ 

Therefore  $B_1 \stackrel{d}{=} |U_1|^p \sim \text{Beta}[1/p, (s-1)/p]$ , and the conditional density function of  $(U_m|U_1, \ldots, U_{m-1})$   $(m = 2, \ldots, s - 1)$  is given by

$$f(u_m|u_1, \dots, u_{m-1}) = \frac{p\Gamma[(s-m+1)/p]}{2\Gamma(1/p)\Gamma[(s-m)/p]} \cdot \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} |u_i|^p\right)^{-1/p} \left(1 - \frac{|u_m|^p}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} |u_i|^p}\right)^{(s-m)/p-1},$$
  
for  $|u_m| < \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} |u_i|^p\right)^{1/p}.$  (14)

Then from (14), we can obtain the density function of the random variable  $Y = B_m$  defined in (11) as

$$b(y) = \frac{\Gamma[(s-m+1)/p]}{\Gamma(1/p)\Gamma[(s-m)/p]} y^{\frac{1}{p}-1} (1-y)^{\frac{s-m}{p}-1}, \qquad |y| < 1,$$
(15)

which is the density function of the beta distribution  $B_m \sim \text{Beta}[1/p, (s-m)/p]$  $(m = 2, \dots, s - 1)$ . This completes the proof.

**Theorem 2.** Assume that  $\boldsymbol{u} = (U_1, \dots, U_s)' \sim \mathcal{U}(s, p)$ . Let  $V_1, \dots, V_s$  be i.i.d. and  $V_i \sim \mathcal{U}(0, 1)$  (the uniform distribution in  $(0, 1), 1 \leq i \leq s$ ), and  $B_1, \dots, B_{s-1}$  be independent such that  $B_k \sim \text{Beta}[1/p, (s-k)/p]$  (k = 1,..., s-1). Denote by  $F_k(\cdot)$  the c.d.f. of  $B_k$  and  $F_k^{-1}(\cdot)$  the inverse function of  $F_k(\cdot)$ . Then the random vector  $\boldsymbol{u} = (U_1, \cdots, U_s)' \sim \mathcal{U}(s, p)$  has a stochastic representation

$$\boldsymbol{u} \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} \boldsymbol{x} = (X_1, \cdots, X_s)', \tag{16}$$

,

where the components  $X_1, \ldots, X_s$  are given by

$$X_{1} = \operatorname{sign}(2V_{1} - 1) \left\{ F_{1}^{-1}[(2V_{1} - 1)\operatorname{sign}(2V_{1} - 1)] \right\}^{1/p},$$

$$X_{2} = \operatorname{sign}(2V_{2} - 1) \left\{ (1 - |X_{1}|^{p})F_{2}^{-1}[(2V_{2} - 1)\operatorname{sign}(2V_{2} - 1)] \right\}^{1/p},$$

$$\vdots$$

$$X_{s-1} = \operatorname{sign}(2V_{s-1} - 1) \left\{ (1 - \sum_{i=1}^{s-2} |X_{i}|^{p})F_{s-1}^{-1}[(2V_{s-1} - 1)\operatorname{sign}(2V_{s-1} - 1)] \right\}^{1/p},$$

$$X_{s} = \operatorname{sign}(2V_{s} - 1) \left( 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} |X_{i}|^{p} \right)^{1/p},$$
(17)

here  $sign(\cdot)$  stands for the sign function.

**Proof.** From the assumption that  $V_1, \ldots, V_s$  are i.i.d. and  $V_i \sim U(0, 1)$ , we can verify that the random variables  $\{(2V_k - 1) \text{sign}(2V_k - 1)\}_{k=1}^{s-1}$  are i.i.d. with a uniform distribution U(0, 1). Then

$$B_k = F_k^{-1} \left[ (2V_k - 1)\operatorname{sign}(2V_k - 1) \right] \sim \operatorname{Beta}\left(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{s - k}{p}\right)$$
(18)

for k = 1, ..., s - 1. With probability 1, the equations given by (17) can be written as

$$X_{1} = \pm B_{1}^{1/p},$$

$$X_{2} = \pm \left[ (1 - |X_{1}|^{p}) B_{2} \right]^{1/p},$$

$$\vdots$$

$$X_{s-1} = \pm \left[ (1 - \sum_{i=1}^{s-2} |X_{i}|^{p}) B_{s-1} \right]^{1/p},$$

$$X_{s} = \pm \left( 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} |X_{i}|^{p} \right)^{1/p},$$
(19)

where  $B_1, \ldots, B_{s-1}$  given by (18) are independent and  $B_k \sim \text{Beta}[1/p, (s-k)/p]$  $(k = 1, \ldots, s - 1).$  Consider the transformation  $(X_1, \dots, X_{s-1}) \to (B_1, \dots, B_{s-1})$  given by (19). In each of the  $2^{s-1}$  quadrants of  $R^{s-1}$ , the transformation given by (19) is uniquely determined. The first-order differential form for the transformation (19) can be calculated as follows:

$$dx_1 \cdots dx_{s-1} = \pm p^{1-s} \left( 1 - |x_1|^p \right)^{1/p} \cdots \left( 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{s-2} |x_i|^p \right)^{1/p} (b_1 \cdots b_{s-1})^{1/p-1} db_1 \cdots db_{s-1}$$
(20)

Then the Jacobian

$$J((X_1, \dots, X_{s-1}) \to (B_1, \dots, B_{s-1}))$$

$$= 2^{s-1} p^{1-s} (1 - |x_1|^p)^{1/p} \cdots (1 - \sum_{i=1}^{s-2} |x_i|^p)^{1/p} (b_1 \cdots b_{s-1})^{1/p-1}.$$
(21)

The joint p.d.f. of  $(B_1, \dots, B_{s-1})$  given by (18) is given by

$$\frac{1}{B(\frac{1}{p},\frac{s-1}{p})}b_{1}^{\frac{1}{p}-1}(1-b_{1})^{\frac{s-1}{p}-1}\cdot\frac{1}{B(\frac{1}{p},\frac{s-2}{p})}b_{2}^{\frac{1}{p}-1}(1-b_{2})^{\frac{s-2}{p}-1}\cdots$$

$$\cdot\frac{1}{B(\frac{1}{p},\frac{1}{p})}b_{s-1}^{\frac{1}{p}-1}(1-b_{s-1})^{\frac{1}{p}-1}$$

$$=\frac{\Gamma(s/p)}{\Gamma^{s}(1/p)}(b_{1}\cdots b_{s-1})^{\frac{1}{p}-1}(1-b_{1})^{\frac{s-1}{p}-1}(1-b_{2})^{\frac{s-2}{p}-1}\cdots(1-b_{s-1})^{\frac{1}{p}-1},$$
(22)

where  $0 < b_i < 1, i = 1, ..., s - 1$ . From (18), we obtain

$$1 - b_{1} = 1 - |x_{1}|^{p},$$

$$1 - b_{2} = (1 - |x_{1}|^{p})^{-1}(1 - |x_{1}|^{p} - |x_{2}|^{p}),$$

$$\vdots$$

$$1 - b_{s-1} = \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{s-2} |x_{i}|^{p}\right)^{-1} \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} |x_{i}|^{p}\right).$$
(23)

By (21)-(23), we obtain the joint p.d.f. of  $(X_1, \dots, X_{s-1})$ :

$$\frac{p^{s-1}\Gamma(s/p)}{2^{s-1}\Gamma^{s}(1/p)} (1 - |x_{1}|^{p})^{\frac{s-1}{p}-1} [(1 - |x_{1}|^{p})^{-1}(1 - |x_{1}|^{p} - |x_{2}|^{p})]^{\frac{s-2}{p}-1} \cdot \cdots \left[ (1 - \sum_{i=1}^{s-2} |x_{i}|^{p})^{-1}(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} |x_{i}|^{p}) \right]^{\frac{1}{p}-1} = \frac{p^{s-1}\Gamma(s/p)}{2^{s-1}\Gamma^{s}(1/p)} \left( 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} |x_{i}|^{p} \right)^{(1-p)/p},$$
(24)

where  $-1 < x_i < 1$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, s - 1$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} |x_i|^p < 1$ . Thus, the random vector  $\boldsymbol{x} = (X_1, \cdots, X_s)'$  with the components  $X_1, \ldots, X_s$  given by (19) has a uniform distribution  $\mathcal{U}(s, p)$ , i.e.,  $\boldsymbol{u} = (U_1, \cdots, U_s)' \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} \boldsymbol{x} = (X_1, \cdots, X_s)'$ . This completes the proof.

The stochastic representation given by (16) and (17) for  $\boldsymbol{x} \sim \mathcal{U}(s, p)$  determines a transformation from  $C^s$  (defined by (1)) to  $S_s^p$  (defined by (9)). Let  $\mathcal{P}_z = \{\boldsymbol{z}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{z}_n\} \subset C^s$  be a *glp* set with discrepancy *d* in the sense of (2). Let us obtain the set of points  $\mathcal{P}_x = \{\boldsymbol{x}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{x}_n\} \subset S_s^p$  in the following way: denote by  $\boldsymbol{x}_i = (x_{i1}, \ldots, x_{is})'$  and  $\boldsymbol{z}_i = (z_{i1}, \ldots, z_{is})'$   $(i = 1, \ldots, n)$ , let

$$x_{i1} = \operatorname{sign}(2z_{i1} - 1) \left\{ F_1^{-1}[(2z_{i1} - 1)\operatorname{sign}(2z_{i1} - 1)] \right\}^{1/p},$$
  

$$x_{i2} = \operatorname{sign}(2z_{i2} - 1) \left\{ (1 - |x_{i1}|^p) F_2^{-1}[(2z_{i2} - 1)\operatorname{sign}(2z_{i2} - 1)] \right\}^{1/p},$$
  

$$\vdots$$

$$x_{i,s-1} = \operatorname{sign}(2z_{i,s-1} - 1) \left\{ \left( 1 - \sum_{j=1}^{s-2} |x_{ij}|^p \right) F_{s-1}^{-1}[(2z_{i,s-1} - 1)\operatorname{sign}(2z_{i,s-1} - 1)] \right\}^{1/p}$$

$$x_{is} = \left\{ \operatorname{sign}(2z_{is} - 1) \left( 1 - \sum_{j=1}^{s-1} |x_{ij}|^p \right)^{1/p}, \quad \text{if } z_{is} \neq 0.5, \\ \left( 1 - \sum_{j=1}^{s-1} |x_{ij}|^p \right)^{1/p}, \quad \text{if } z_{is} = 0.5.$$

$$(25)$$

Based on the discussion in steps (1)-(3) in the introduction, the set of points  $\mathcal{P}_x = \{ \boldsymbol{x}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{x}_n \} \subset S_s^p$  has a quasi *F*-discrepancy *d* with respect to the c.d.f. of  $\boldsymbol{x} \sim \mathcal{U}(s, p)$ , or  $\mathcal{P}_x$  has an *F*-discrepancy *d* with respect to the c.d.f.  $H(\boldsymbol{v}) = \prod_{i=1}^s v_i$  of the random vector  $\boldsymbol{V} = (V_1, \ldots, V_s)'$  with independent components  $V_i \sim U(0, 1)$  ( $\boldsymbol{v} = (v_1, \ldots, v_s) \in C^s$ ). According to equation (4), *d* is equal to:

$$d = \sup_{\boldsymbol{r} \in C^s} \left| \frac{N(\mathcal{P}_x, G_{\boldsymbol{r}})}{n} - H(r) \right|,$$
(26)

,

where  $\boldsymbol{r} = (r_1, \ldots, r_s)' \in C^s$ ,  $H(\boldsymbol{r}) = \prod_{i=1}^s r_i$ ,  $N(\mathcal{P}_x, G_{\boldsymbol{r}})$  stands for number of points in  $\mathcal{P}_x$  that fall in the set  $G_{\boldsymbol{r}}$  defined by

$$G_{\boldsymbol{r}} = \{ \boldsymbol{x} : \ \boldsymbol{x} = \boldsymbol{h}(\boldsymbol{v}), \ \boldsymbol{v} \le r \}.$$
(27)

where 
$$\boldsymbol{h}(\boldsymbol{v}) = (h_1(\boldsymbol{v}), \dots, h_s(\boldsymbol{v})) \ (\boldsymbol{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_s) \in C^s)$$
 with  
 $h_1(\boldsymbol{v}) = \operatorname{sign}(2v_1 - 1) \left\{ F_1^{-1}[(2v_1 - 1)\operatorname{sign}(2v_1 - 1)] \right\}^{1/p},$   
 $h_2(\boldsymbol{v}) = \operatorname{sign}(2v_2 - 1) \cdot \left\{ \left[ 1 - F_1^{-1}((2v_1 - 1)\operatorname{sign}(2v_1 - 1)) \right] F_2^{-1}((2v_2 - 1)\operatorname{sign}(2v_2 - 1)) \right\}^{1/p},$   
 $h_m(\boldsymbol{v}) = \operatorname{sign}(2v_m - 1) \cdot \left\{ \left[ 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} F_i^{-1}((2v_i - 1)\operatorname{sign}(2v_i - 1)) \right] F_m^{-1}((2v_m - 1)\operatorname{sign}(2v_m - 1)) \right\}^{1/p}$   
 $m = 2, \dots, s - 1,$   
 $h_s(\boldsymbol{v}) = \operatorname{sign}(2v_s - 1) \left( 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} |h_i(\boldsymbol{v})|^p \right)^{1/p}.$ 

(28)

Therefore, if we choose the set of points  $\mathcal{P}_z = \{\boldsymbol{z}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{z}_n\}$  in (25) as a *glp* set in  $C^s$  with the smallest discrepancy *d* in the sense of (2), then the set of points  $\mathcal{P}_x = \{\boldsymbol{x}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{x}_n\}$  determined by (25) has the smallest quasi *F*-discrepancy *d* with respect to the c.d.f. of the uniform distribution  $\boldsymbol{x} \sim \mathcal{U}(s, p)$  on  $S_s^p$ , or  $\mathcal{P}_x$  has the smallest *F*-discrepancy *d* with respect to the uniform c.d.f.  $H(\boldsymbol{r}) = \prod_{i=1}^s r_i$  with independent components, where  $\boldsymbol{r} = (r_1, \ldots, r_s)' \in C^s$ .

The algorithm given by (25) is easily implemented in practice. The computation of the inverse function  $F_k^{-1}(\cdot)$   $(k = 1, \ldots, s-1)$  (i.e., the inverse beta function) in (25) is provided by many statistical packages such as SAS and MATLAB. Figure 1 illustrates the projection of some glp sets in  $C^2$  onto  $S_2^p$ by the algorithm given by (25) for the 2-dimensional case and p = 1/2, 1, 2and 3, respectively, where the generating vectors for the glp sets are given in Appendix A of Fang and Wang (1994). From Figure 1, it can be seen that the projected points of the glp sets in  $C^2$  are nearly uniformly scattered on  $S_2^p$  for each chosen p.

(1)  $s = 2, n = 8, p = 1/2, 1, 2, 3, (h_1, h_2) = (1, 5)$ , the *glp* set in  $C^2$  is  $\left(\frac{k-0.5}{n}, \left\{\frac{h_2k-0.5}{n}\right\}\right)$  for n = 8 and  $1 \le k \le n$ . Here  $\{\cdot\}$  has the same meaning as in (8);

- (2)  $s = 2, n = 21, p = 1/2, 1, 2, 3, (h_1, h_2) = (1, 13)$ , the *glp* set in  $C^2$  is  $\left(\frac{k-0.5}{n}, \left\{\frac{h_2k-0.5}{n}\right\}\right)$  for n = 21 and  $1 \le k \le n$ ;
- (3)  $s = 2, n = 55, p = 1/2, 1, 2, 3, (h_1, h_2) = (1, 34)$ , the glp set in  $C^2$  is  $\left(\frac{k-0.5}{n}, \left\{\frac{h_2k-0.5}{n}\right\}\right)$  for n = 55 and  $1 \le k \le n$ ;
- (4)  $s = 2, n = 144, p = 1/2, 1, 2, 3, (h_1, h_2) = (1, 89)$ , the *glp* set in  $C^2$  is  $\left(\frac{k-0.5}{n}, \left\{\frac{h_2k-0.5}{n}\right\}\right)$  for n = 144 and  $1 \le k \le n$ .

Put Figure 1 around here

## 3. Applications

Stochastic representation of a random variable (or vector) plays an important role in statistical simulation. Johnson (1987) comprehensively studied statistical simulation by the method of stochastic representation. Fang and Wang (1994, Chapter 4) used stochastic representation to generate the *representative points* of a multivariate probability distribution. The stochastic representation given by (16) and (17) provides a way to generate the representative points or empirical samples from the uniform distribution  $\mathcal{U}(s, p)$ . Based on (16) and (17) and the properties of the  $L_p$ -norm spherical distributions, we can generate empirical samples from a given  $L_p$ -norm spherical distribution conveniently. The following definition was given by Gupta and Song (1997).

**Definition 6.** An *s*-variate random vector  $\boldsymbol{x}$  is said to have an  $L_p$ -norm spherical distribution (denoted by  $\boldsymbol{x} \sim SP(s, p)$ ) if

$$\boldsymbol{x} \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} R \boldsymbol{u},\tag{29}$$

where  $\boldsymbol{u} \sim \mathcal{U}(s, p)$ , R is a univariate nonnegative random variable that is independent of  $\boldsymbol{u}$ .

By Definition 6, we can generate a random sample from a given  $L_p$ -norm spherical distribution as follows: generate a sample  $\boldsymbol{u}_i = (U_{i1}, \ldots, U_{is})' \sim \mathcal{U}(s, p)$  by using a uniform sample  $\boldsymbol{z}_i = (z_{i1}, \ldots, z_{is})' \in C^s$  with  $z_{ij}$  (i =  $1, \ldots, n; j = 1, \ldots, s$  being i.i.d. U(0, 1) and the algorithm given by (25), then generate a random sample  $r_i$   $(i = 1, \ldots, n)$  such that  $r_i \stackrel{d}{=} R$  and  $r_i$  is independent of  $\boldsymbol{u}$ , where R in (29) is a given nonnegative random variable that is independent of  $\boldsymbol{u}$ . Then  $\{\boldsymbol{x}_i = (X_{i1}, \ldots, X_{is})' = (r_i U_{i1}, \ldots, r_i U_{is})' :$  $i = 1, \ldots, n\}$  is a random sample satisfying (29).

**Example 1.** Application in generating empirical samples from the class of *p*-generalized normal distributions. The *p*-generalized normal distribution was given by Goodman and Kotz (1973). Denote it by  $N_s(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}_s, p)$ .  $\mathbf{x} = (X_1, \ldots, X_s)' \sim N_s(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}_s, p)$  has a p.d.f.

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_s) = \frac{p^s r^{s/p}}{2^s \Gamma^s(1/p)} \cdot \exp\left\{-r \sum_{i=1}^s |x_i|^p\right\}, \quad (x_1, \dots, x_s)' \in \mathbb{R}^s,$$

where r > 0 is a parameter. It is easy to verify that  $\boldsymbol{x} \sim N_s(\boldsymbol{0}, \boldsymbol{I}_s, p) \stackrel{\text{d}}{=} R\boldsymbol{u}$ ,  $\boldsymbol{u} \sim \mathcal{U}(s, p)$ , and R has a p.d.f. (Lemma 2.1 of Gupta and Song (1997))

$$g(t) = \frac{pr^{s/p}}{\Gamma(s/p)} \cdot t^{s-1} \exp(-rt^p), \quad t > 0.$$

Then the random variable  $Y = rR^p$  has a gamma distribution with a p.d.f.

$$g(y) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s/p)} y^{s/p-1} \exp(-y), \quad y > 0.$$
(30)

An i.i.d. sample  $\{Y_1, \ldots, Y_n\}$  can be easily generated from the gamma distribution (31). Then an i.i.d. sample  $\{R_1, \ldots, R_n\}$  can be obtained by

$$R_i = (Y_i/r)^{1/p}, \qquad i = 1, \dots, n.$$
 (31)

A random sample  $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$  from  $N_s(0, I_s, p)$  is obtained by

$$\boldsymbol{x}_i = R_i \boldsymbol{u}_i, \qquad i = 1, \dots, n.$$
 (32)

where  $\{\boldsymbol{u}_i : i = 1, ..., n\}$  is a random sample from  $\mathcal{U}(s, p)$ , which is obtained through (25) by generating a uniform sample  $\boldsymbol{z}_i = (z_{i1}, ..., z_{is})' \in C^s$  with  $z_{ij}$ (j = 1, ..., s) i.i.d. U(0, 1). **Example 2**. Application in generating representative points (simply called rep-points) for the class of  $L_p$ -norm spherical distributions. We need the following definition.

**Definition 7.** (Fang and Wang, 1994, p. 155) Let  $F(\boldsymbol{x}) = F(x_1, \ldots, x_s)$ be a given s-dimensional continuous c.d.f. and  $\mathcal{P} = \{\boldsymbol{x}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{x}_n\}$  a set of points on  $\mathbb{R}^s$ . The F-discrepancy  $D_F(n, \mathcal{P})$  defined by (3) is a measure of the representation of  $\mathcal{P}$  to  $F(\boldsymbol{x})$ . If we can find a set of points  $\mathcal{P}^* = \{\boldsymbol{x}_1^*, \ldots, \boldsymbol{x}_n^*\}$ such that

$$D_F(n, \mathcal{P}^*) = \min_{\mathcal{P}} D_F(n, \mathcal{P}), \tag{33}$$

where  $\mathcal{P}$  runs over all sets of n points in  $\mathbb{R}^s$ , then  $\mathcal{P}^*$  is called a set of cdf-reppoints of  $F(\boldsymbol{x})$ .

It can be seen that the empirical distribution function based on the set of cdf-rep-points of  $F(\mathbf{x})$  is the best approximation of  $F(\mathbf{x})$  in the sense of (33). For the one-dimensional case s = 1, it is easy to find the set of cdf-rep-points of any given continuous c.d.f. as stated in Theorem 4.1 of Fang and Wang (1994, p. 156). As pointed out by Fang and Wang (1994, p. 156), for the high-dimensional case s > 1, it is usually difficult to find the set of cdf-rep-points  $\mathcal{P}^*$  of any given c.d.f.  $F(\mathbf{x})$ . We have to turn to looking for a sequence of rep-points  $\mathcal{P}_n = {\mathbf{x}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_n}$  of  $F(\mathbf{x})$  such that

$$D_F(n, \mathcal{P}_n) = o(n^{-\frac{1}{2}}), \tag{34}$$

where  $o(n^{-\frac{1}{2}})$  stands for a term satisfying  $\lim_{n\to\infty} n^{\frac{1}{2}}o(n^{-\frac{1}{2}}) = 0$ . Fang and Wang (1994, pp. 158-159) gave the NTSR algorithm for generating a sequence of sets of rep-points of a c.d.f.  $F(\boldsymbol{x})$  ( $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^s$ ,  $s \geq 2$ ) with the associated random vector  $\boldsymbol{x}$  that has the stochastic representation of the type

$$\boldsymbol{x} \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} R \boldsymbol{y},\tag{35}$$

where  $\boldsymbol{x} \sim F(\boldsymbol{x}), R > 0$  is a positive random variable, and  $\boldsymbol{y} \sim U(D)$  is the uniform distribution on an (s-1)-dimensional bounded domain  $D \subset R^s$ . The NTSR algorithm consists of the following steps:

- Step 1. Generate a uniformly scattered set of points  $\mathcal{P}_c = \{ c_k = (c_{k1}, \ldots, c_{ks})' : k = 1, \ldots, n \}$  in  $C^s$  defined by (1);
- Step 2. Denote the c.d.f. of R by  $F_R(r)$  and let  $F_R^{-1}$  be its inverse function. Compute  $r_k = F_R^{-1}(c_{ks}), k = 1, ..., n;$
- Step 3. Generate a uniformly scattered set of points  $\mathcal{P}_y = \{ \boldsymbol{y}_k : k = 1, ..., n \}$ on the bounded domain  $D \subset R^s$  with the first (s-1)-components of the point  $\boldsymbol{c}_k = (c_{k1}, \ldots, c_{ks})'$  in step 1  $(k = 1, \ldots, n)$ ;
- Step 4. Then the set of points  $\mathcal{P}_x = \{ \boldsymbol{x}_k = r_k \boldsymbol{y}_k : k = 1, ..., n \}$  is a set of rep-points of  $F(\boldsymbol{x})$ .

By the above NTSR algorithm, to generate a set of rep-points  $\mathcal{P}_x = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$  for an  $L_p$ -norm spherical distribution defined by (29), we can carry out step 1 by choosing the  $\mathcal{P}_c = \{c_k = (c_{k1}, \ldots, c_{ks})' : k = 1, \ldots, n\} \subset C^s$  in step 1 as the glp set defined by (7). That is, let  $(n; h_1, \ldots, h_s)$  be a generating vector for given n and

$$\boldsymbol{c}_{ki} = \left\{\frac{2kh_i - 1}{2n}\right\}, \quad k = 1, \dots, n. \ i = 1, \dots, s,$$
 (36)

In step 2, the c.d.f.  $F_R(r)$  of R is known in the  $L_p$ -norm spherical distribution defined by (29). So the above step 2 can be carried out. In step 3, the bounded domain  $D \subset R^s$  is the  $L_p$ -norm unit sphere  $S_s^p$  defined by (9). A uniformly scattered set  $\mathcal{P}_y = \mathcal{P}_u = \{ u_k = (u_{k1}, \ldots, u_{ks})' : k = 1, \ldots, n \}$  on  $S_s^p$  in the above step 3 is realized by the algorithm defined by (25). That is, the components of  $\boldsymbol{u}_k = (u_{k1}, \ldots, u_{ks})' \in \mathcal{P}_u \subset S_s^p$  are given by

$$u_{k1} = \operatorname{sign}(2c_{k1} - 1) \left\{ F_1^{-1}[(2c_{k1} - 1)\operatorname{sign}(2c_{k1} - 1)] \right\}^{1/p},$$
  

$$u_{k2} = \operatorname{sign}(2c_{k2} - 1) \left\{ (1 - |u_{k1}|^p) F_2^{-1}[(2c_{k2} - 1)\operatorname{sign}(2c_{k2} - 1)] \right\}^{1/p},$$
  

$$\vdots$$

$$u_{k,s-1} = \operatorname{sign}(2c_{k,s-1}-1) \left\{ \left(1 - \sum_{j=1}^{s-2} |u_{kj}|^p\right) F_{s-1}^{-1}[(2c_{k,s-1}-1)\operatorname{sign}(2c_{k,s-1}-1)] \right\}^{1/p} \\ u_{ks} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \operatorname{sign}(2c_{ks}-1) \left(1 - \sum_{j=1}^{s-1} |u_{kj}|^p\right)^{1/p}, & \text{if } c_{ks} \neq 0.5, \\ \left(1 - \sum_{j=1}^{s-1} |u_{kj}|^p\right)^{1/p}, & \text{if } c_{ks} = 0.5, \end{array} \right.$$

$$(37)$$

where  $\{c_{ki}: i = 1, ..., s; k = 1, ..., n\}$  are the components given by (36). The inverse functions  $F_l^{-1}(\cdot)$  (l = 1, ..., s - 1) are the same as in (25). Then the set of points  $\mathcal{P}_x = \{\boldsymbol{x}_k = r_k \boldsymbol{u}_k : k = 1, ..., n\}$  from the above step 4 is a set of rep-points of the  $L_p$ -norm spherical distribution defined by (29). **Example 3**. Application in optimization problems. Let  $f(\boldsymbol{x})$   $(\boldsymbol{x} = (x_1, ..., x_s)'$ 

 $\in R^s$ ) be a continuous function. Suppose that we want to find the maximal point  $\boldsymbol{x}^* \in S_s^p$  such that

$$M = f(\boldsymbol{x}^*) = \max_{\boldsymbol{x} \in S_s^p} f(\boldsymbol{x}).$$
(38)

This is an optimization problem of  $f(\boldsymbol{x}) = f(x_1, \dots, x_s)$  subject to the restriction

$$|x_1|^p + \dots + |x_s|^p = 1, \qquad p > 0.$$

Assume that  $\{\mathcal{P}_{n_i}: i = 1, \ldots, \}$   $(n_1 < n_2 < \cdots, n_i \to \infty \text{ as } i \to \infty)$  is a sequence of sets such that the points in  $\mathcal{P}_{n_i}$  are obtained by (37). So the points in  $\mathcal{P}_{n_i}$  can be considered as uniformly scattered on  $S_s^p$ . From Example 1.2 of Fang and Wang (1994, p. 19) or Hua and Wang (1981), the discrepancy d of  $\mathcal{P}_c = \{c_k = (c_{k1}, \ldots, c_{ks})': k = 1, \ldots, n\} \subset C^s$  with  $c_k$  given by (36) satisfies

$$c_1(s)n^{-1/s} \le d \le c_2(s)n^{-1/s},$$

where  $c_1(s)$  and  $c_2(s)$  are two constants determined only by s. Let  $d_{n_i}$  be the discrepancy (in the sense of (2)) of  $\mathcal{P}_c(n_i) = \{ \mathbf{c}_k = (c_{k1}, \ldots, c_{ks})' : k = 1, \ldots, n_i \}$ . According to Theorem 1.6 of Fang and Wang (1994, pp. 44-45),  $d_{n_i}$  is the quasi F-discrepany of  $\mathcal{P}_{n_i}$  with respect to the c.d.f. of  $\mathbf{u}_s \sim \mathcal{U}(s, p)$ , or the F-discrepany of  $\mathcal{P}_{n_i}$  with respect to the c.d.f.  $H(z_1, \ldots, z_s) = \prod_{i=1}^s z_i$  $(0 < z_i < 1, i = 1, \ldots, s)$  by the discussion following equations (3)-(5). Then we have

$$c_1(s)n_i^{-1/s} \le d_{n_i} \le c_2(s)n_i^{-1/s}.$$

So  $\lim_{n_i\to\infty} d_{n_i} = \lim_{i\to\infty} d_{n_i} = 0$ . We write  $d_{n_i} = o(1)$ . Suppose that  $\boldsymbol{x}_{n_i}^* \in \mathcal{P}_{n_i}$  be a point satisfying

$$M_{n_i} = f(\boldsymbol{x}_{n_i}^*) = \max\{f(\boldsymbol{x}_k^{(n_i)}) : \ \boldsymbol{x}_k^{(n_i)} \in \mathcal{P}_{n_i}\}.$$
(39)

By Theorem 3.1 of Fang and Wang (1994, p. 107), we have

$$M_{n_i} \to M, \qquad i \to \infty.$$
 (40)

Equation (40) implies that the optimization problem (38) can be approximated by way of (39) through generating a suitable sequence of sets  $\mathcal{P}_{n_i}$  such that the points in  $\mathcal{P}_{n_i}$  are uniformly scattered on  $S_s^p$ .

Furthermore, if the function  $f(\boldsymbol{x}) = f(x_1, \dots, x_s)$  is differentiable such that  $\nabla f = (\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_s})$  is continuous and

$$\| \nabla f \|_2 = \left[ \sum_{i=1}^s \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \right)^2 \right]^{1/2} < C, \tag{41}$$

where C is a constant, a more accurate approximation of the  $M_{n_i}$  given by (40) to the solution M defined by (38) is possible based on the following definition. **Definition 8.** (Fang and Wang, 1994, p. 34) Let D be a closed and bounded domain and  $\mathcal{P} = \{ \boldsymbol{x}_k : k = 1, ..., n \} \subset D$  a set of points on D. The term

$$DP(\mathcal{P}, D) = \max_{\boldsymbol{x} \in D} \min_{1 \le k \le n} d(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x}_k),$$
(42)

is called the dispersion of  $\mathcal{P}$  on D, where  $d(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x}_k)$  denotes the Euclidean distance between the two points  $\boldsymbol{x}$  and  $\boldsymbol{x}_k$ . By the above suitably chosen sequence of sets  $\mathcal{P}_{n_i}$  and using Theorem 3.2 of Fang and Wang (1994, p. 108), we have

$$M_{n_i} \le M \le M_{n_i} + CDP(\mathcal{P}_{n_i}, S^p_s), \tag{43}$$

where C is the constant given in (41) and  $DP(\mathcal{P}_{n_i}, S_s^p)$  is the dispersion of  $\mathcal{P}_{n_i}$  on  $S_s^p$ . When the points in  $\mathcal{P}_{n_i}$  are fairly uniformly scattered on  $S_s^p$ , one can expect the dispersion  $DP(\mathcal{P}_{n_i}, S_s^p)$  to be very small for large  $n_i$ . But unfortunately, obtaining the exact value or the accurate upper bound of the dispersion  $DP(\mathcal{P}_{n_i}, S_s^p)$  can be extremely difficult, see Fang and Wang (1994, pp. 34-36) for more discussion on dispersion.

Examples 1-3 above provide a few applications of the proposed method in this paper. By following the same discussions in Chapters 2, 5 and 6 of Fang and Wang (1994), it is possible to find more applications of the proposed method in this paper. We only present the above three examples as illustrations for applying the sets of uniformly scattered points on the  $L_p$ -norm unit sphere to various problems. The method in this paper sheds some additional light to the application of number-theoretic methods in statistics as comprehensively studied by Fang and Wang (1994).

#### References

- Fang, K.T., Kotz, S., Ng, K.W., 1990. Symmetric Multivariate and Related Distributions. Chapman and Hall, London and New York.
- Fang, K.T., Wang, Y., 1994. Number-theoretic Methods in Statistics. Chapman and Hall, London.
- Goodman, C.R., Kotz, S., 1973. Multivariate β-generalized normal distributions. J. Multivar. Anal., 3, 204-219.
- Gupta, A.K., Song, D., 1997. L<sub>p</sub>-norm spherical distributions. J. Statist. Plann. & Infer., 60, 241-260.

- Hickernell, F.J., 1998. A generalized discrepancy and quadrature error bound. Math. Comp., 67, 299-322.
- Hua, L.G., Wang, Y., 1981. The Application of Number Theory to Approximate Analysis. Science Press, Beijing, China.
- Johnson, M.E., 1987. Multivariate Statistical Simulation. John Wiley & Sons, New York.
- Niederreiter, H., 1992. Random number generation and quasi-Monte Carlo methods. SIAM, Philadelphia.
- Rosenblatt, M. (1952). Remarks on a multivariate transformation. Ann. Math. Statist., 23, 470-472.
- Sloan, I.H., Joe, S., 1994. Lattice Methods for Multiple Integration. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Song, D., Gupta, A.K., 1997.  $L_p$ -norm uniform distribution. Proced. Amer. Math. Soc., 125 (2), 595-601.
- Tashiro, D., 1977. On methods for generating uniform points on the surface of a sphere. Ann. Inst. Statist. Math., 29, 295-300.



Figure 1: Illustration of projecting some glp sets in  $C^2$  onto the  $L_p$ -norm unit sphere  $S_2^p$  by the algorithm given by (25) for some selected values of p.