Antifeedant Activity and Toxicity of Some Plant Essential Oils to Colorado Potato Beetle,

Leptinotarsa decemlineata Say (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae)

Akram TAGHIZADEH SAROUKOLAI¹, Gadir NOURI-GANBALANI¹, Hooshang RAFIEE-DASTJERDI¹ and Javad HADIAN²

¹Department of Plant Protection, Agricultural Science Faculty, Mohaghegh Ardabili University, Ardabil, Iran; ²Institute of Medicinal Plants, Shahid Beheshti University, Tehran, Iran

Abstract

TAGHIZADEH SAROUKOLAI A., NOURI-GANBALANI G., RAFIEE-DASTJERDI H., HADIAN J. (2014): Antifeedant activity and toxicity of some plant essential oils to Colorado potato beetle, *Leptinotarsa decemlineata* Say (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae). Plant Protect. Sci., **50**: 207–216.

Essential oils of Satureja khuzistanica Jamzad, Ocimum basilicum L., Myrtus communis L., Thymus daenensis Celak, Mentha spicata L., and Eugenia caryophyllus (Sprengel) were evaluated for nutritional indices and mortality of the 4th instar larvae and adults of Leptinotarsa decemlineata (Say). Relative growth rate, relative consumption rate, efficiency of conversion of ingested food and feeding deterrent index were measured. Results showed that the most efficient essential oil on the 4th instar larvae and adults was S. khuzistanica (LC₅₀ = 23.36 and 167.96 ppm, respectively). Even if all essential oils were effective on feeding deterrence of both stages of L. decemlineata, the essential oil of S. khuzistanica was the most effective. So, these essential oils can be used as potential control agents against both stages of L. decemlineata.

Keywords: Satureja khuzistanica; Ocimum basilicum; Myrtus communis; Thymus daenensis; Mentha spicata; Eugenia caryophyllus; mortality; nutritional indices

Over the last 50 years, the control of Colorado potato beetle, Leptinotarsa decemlineata (Say), has been mostly based on the use of synthetic insecticides. In this way, resistance of this pest to insecticides remains a serious threat to potato production (DICKENS 2002; GOUAMENE-LAMINE et al. 2003). This pest is a destructive pest of potato throughout the world and in Iran especially in Ardabil (northwest of Iran) (NOURI-GANBALANI 1989). Due to the use of insecticides it is needed to develop selective and environmentally friendly materials that will result in better management of Colorado potato beetle (CPB) (CUTLER et al. 2007). Antifeedant, insecticidal, growth-inhibiting, and antiovipositional effects (Pavela et al. 2008; Rani & Murty 2009) of botanical insecticides on pests are well documented

(ISMAN 2006). Botanical insecticides have found increasing popularity both in integrated and in ecological pest management. This is due to their special nature. Firstly, these products are considered as safe for the health and for the environment; secondly, they usually contain a mixture of several dozens of active substances, and thus do not cause pest resistance (PAVELA 2011). Among botanical insecticides, essential oil is one of the best suggestions that are natural products and can negatively affect the food consumption of insects; they are known as feeding deterrents or antifeedants (WAWRZYNIAK 1996).

Therefore one of the promising sources for controlling this pest is using the essential oils of some of these plants. Several studies have assessed the ability of plant extracts and essential oils and their

Supported by Mohaghegh Ardabili University of Iran under PhD Project.

constituents as antifeedants and insecticides against CPB (KORDALI et al. 2007; PAVELA 2010). Piper nigrum L. and Piper tuberculatum Jacq. extracts have a strong insecticidal effect on larvae and adults of CPB (SCOTT et al. 2003), Plantago major L. and Tilia cordata Mill. extracts have an antifeedant activity against CPB adults (KUTAS et al. 2003). Secondary metabolites extracted from Geraniaceae plants were effective on feeding and development of CPB (LAMPARSKI & WAWRZYNIAK 2005), extract from Humulus lupulus L. and Xanthium strumarium L. had the highest toxic effect on all stages of CPB (Gokce et al. 2006; Erdogan & Toros 2007), extract from Satureja hortensis L. and Thymus vulgaris L. had strong deterrent effects on the 4th instar larvae (PAVELA et al. 2009). Essential oil of Flourensia oolepis Blake is a feeding inhibitor of the CPB adults (GARCIA et al. 2007). Methanol extracts obtained from Angelica archangelica L., Grindelia camporum E., and Inula auriculata Boiss. & Balansa have antifeedant activities on the fourth instar larvae of CPB (PAVELA 2010).

Because of the long history of using plants in the treatment of different human diseases, controlling pests, most of the botanical insecticides are believed to be safer and more effective than synthetic insecticides, so the plants chosen in this study are based on their safety to humans and environment and medicinal properties. However, there are no reports concerning the toxicity and nutritional indices activity of essential oils from these plants that were mentioned above against CPB. We aimed here to asses and compare nutritional indices and insecticidal activity of essential oils from six medicinal plants against the fourth instar larvae and adults of CPB.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Plant material and essential oil extraction and analysis of essential oil. The fresh leaves and flowers of Satureja khuzistanica Jamzad and Thymus daenensis Celak were collected from the 2200 m highland of Zagros Mountains in Lorestan province on May 13–15, 2013. Ocimum basilicum L. and Myrtus communis L. were collected on May 20, 2013 from a greenhouse of the Medicinal Plant Institute of Shahid Beheshti University (Tehran, Iran), Mentha spicata L. and Eugenia caryophyllus (Sprengel) were collected from a plant garden of Shiraz (south of Iran) on May 25, 2013. Then they were dried naturally on laboratory benches at room temperature (23–27°C) until they were crisp. Dried leaves and flowers were subjected to hydrodistillation using a modified Clevenger-type apparatus in order to obtain essential oil. Conditions of extraction were as follows: 50 g of leaves and flowers, 500 ml distilled water and 2 h distillation for each plant. Then the essential oils were stored in a refrigerator (Electrosteel, Tehran, Iran) at 4°C. Anhydrous sodium sulphate was used to remove water from oils after they were obtained.

GC analysis was carried out on Varian CP 3800 equipped with DB-5 capillary column (25 m \times 0.25 mm; 0.25 µm film thickness). The oven temperature was maintained at 60°C for 1 min, then programmed at 4°C/min to 250°C and for 10 min stopped at this temperature. Other operating conditions were as follows: carrier gas N, at a flow rate of 1.1 ml/min; injector temperature 250°C; detector temperature 280°C. Mass system, the operating conditions were the same as described above, but the carrier gas was helium. Mass spectra were taken at 70 eV. Detection of compounds using different parameters and retention index, study of the range and comparison of their mass spectra with those published in the literature (ADAMS 1995) and presented in the MS computer library and standard compound.

Insect. The first generation adults of *L. decemlineata* were collected from potato fields of Ardabil (northeast of Iran) and newly ecdysed fourth instar larvae were obtained from a colony reared on potato, *Solanum tuberosum*, cv. Agria, in a plastic container $(10 \times 20 \times 30 \text{ cm})$ in a growth chamber Binder K.B.W.F 240 L set at 24–26°C, 60–70% RH and 16:8 h (L:D) photoperiod.

Bioassay of essential oils. Glass Petri dishes (9 cm in diameter) were used as exposure chambers to test the toxicity of essential oil against the fourth instar larvae and adults of CPB (KORDALI et al. 2007). Preliminary experiments were done, then the concentrations were determined with logarithmic distance. Potato leaves were impregnated at different concentrations (diluted with acetone), put in glass Petri dishes and then dried for 30 min at room temperature. Ten individuals were transferred to each Petri dish for each developmental stage and experimental treatment. The Petri dishes were covered with a lid and transferred into an incubator, under standard conditions as described above. Control treatments were with acetone in the same way. Each experiment was replicated six times at each dose. The number of live and dead insects was counted after 24 h exposure. The insects were considered to be dead when they showed no leg or antennal movements. The mortality data were corrected by using Abbott's formula

(Abbott 1925) and they were subjected to probit analysis to estimate LC_{50} values (Finney 1971) using the SAS statistical program.

Nutritional indices assay. For evaluation of nutritional indices we used the methods described by HUANG et al. (2000) with some modifications by PAVELA (2010). The experiment was done in Petri dishes (9 cm in diameter). The 4th instar larvae of CPB were kept without food for 3 h before the experiment. Damp filter paper was laid on the bottom of the dishes, and 6 disks (2 cm in diameter inside each Petri dish from potato leaves) were placed on the filter paper. The weight of the leaf was determined at the beginning of the experiment. The solutions were prepared from the formulations by further dilution in acetone to produce four different concentrations (10, 12, 14, 16 ppm); then the solvent was allowed to evaporate for 10 min at room temperature. Disks to which only the solvent had been applied were used as the control. The two starved 4th instar larvae of CPB were placed into the centre of each Petri dish. The weight of the larvae before and after each experiment was determined, and then Petri dishes containing the insects were transferred to the growth chamber set at the above stated conditions. Leaves were changed every day during the bioassay. The amount of leaves consumed by the larvae was estimated by reweighing the left leaves of potato and compared to the control. The experiment was counted for 5 days and observations were recorded every 24 hours. This experiment was also done for adults like above just to estimate the FDI. The nutritional indices were calculated for larvae according to Huang et al. (2000) formula:

Relative Growth Rate (RGR):

 $RGR = (A - B)/(B \times day)$

where: A – weight of live insect after experiment (mg to each insect); B – weight of insect before experiment (mg to each insect)

Relative Consumption Rate (RCR):

 $RCR = D/(B \times day)$

where: D - dried weight of food consumed by insect (mg)

Efficacy of Conversion of Ingested Food (ECI):

 $ECI = RGR/RCR \times 100\%$

Feeding Deterrence Index (FDI):

 $FDI = [(C - T)/C] \times 100\%$

where: C – food consumed in control (mg); T – food consumed in treatment (mg) This study was conducted in a completely randomised and factorial experiment with 5 replications. Factors included four concentrations and control of each plant. ANOVA and Tukey's multiple range tests at a 5% level were used for means and comparison of means.

RESULT

Chemical constituents of essential oil. The chemical constituents of the essential oil of six medicinal plants, the retention indices, and the percentage of the individual components and the yield of each essential oil are summarised in Table 1. In *S. khuzistanica* the major compound was carvacrol (81.1%), and the analysis of *T. daenensis* essential oil revealed that thymol (72.3%) was the main product. The chemical constituents of *O. basilicum* and *M. communis* have shown that linalool (54.34%) and α-pinene (23.0%) accounted for the highest percentage, respectively. In the essential oil of *E. caryophyllus* and *M. spicata*, eugenol (68.4%) and carvone (18.7%) were the components with the highest percentage, respectively.

Bioassay of essential oils. The LC_{50} values in this study showed that the CPB was affected significantly by different essential oils. Both stages were more susceptible to the essential oil of *S. khuzistanica* than to the other treatments, the LC_{50} value of this oil for the 4th instar larvae and adults was 23.36 and 167.96 ppm, respectively (Table 2). The 4th instar larvae were more susceptible stages to the essential oils compared to adults. LC_{50} values of *S. khuzistanica*, *T. daenensis*, *O. basilicum*, *M. spicata*, *M. communis*, and *E. caryophyllus* were 23.36, 43.71, 103.58, 75.31, 58.77, and 69.06 ppm, respectively, for the 4th instar larvae (Table 2).

Nutritional indices assay. The result showed that the effect of six essential oils on RGR of the 4th instar larvae of CPB at different concentrations was also significantly different; RGR index was significantly reduced with increased concentrations of all essential oils (Table 4). This showed that 16 ppm is the best concentration for forcing CPB to use less food and to have less growth. It was found that the essential oil of S. khuzistanica had the highest effect and that of T. daenensis had the lowest effect on RCR compared with the essential oils of the other medicinal plants in the fourth instar larvae (Table 4). Also, ECI is significantly reduced with increasing concentrations in all essential oils in the fourth instar larvae. At the lowest concentration (10 ppm) of the essential oil of S. khuzistanica, O. basilicum, M. communis, T. daenensis, M. spicata, and E. caryophyllus the ECI was

Table 1. Chemical constituents of the essent	tial oil from six medicinal plants
--	------------------------------------

Compound	Retentio	n					
Compound	index	S. khuzistanica	T. daenensis	O. basilicum	M. communis	M. spicata	E. caryophyllus
α-Thujene	931	0.3	2.3	_	0.6	_	_
α-Pinene	934	0.04	0.7	0.30	23.0	0.8	-
Camphene	957	0.11	0.2	_	_	0.3	_
Sabinene	953	_	_	0.29	_	0.4	_
β-Pinene	968	0.1	0.1	0.65	0.4	1.0	_
Myrcene	958	1.1	1.6	0.79	0.2	_	_
1,8-Cineole	1040	_	_	7.70	20.3	2.9	0.3
z-β-ocimene	1021	_	_	0.33	_	_	_
cis-Sabinene hydrate	1038	0.3	_	0.26	_	_	_
trans-Sabinene hydrate	1071	_	0.5	_	_	_	_
α-Terpinolene	1106	0.2	_	_	_	_	_
Terpinolene	1046	_	_	0.11	0.5	0.1	_
Linalool	1109	1.2	_	54.34	12.3	1.0	0.6
cis-Epoxy ocimene	1080	_	_	0.17	_	_	_
Camphor	1106	_	_	0.20	_	_	-
γ-Terpinene	1076	2.3	4.8		_	0.8	0.1
α-Terpinene	1022	_	1.8	_	0.1	0.1	_
α-Terpineol	1109	0.26	_	0.55	3.3		_
Borneol	1181	0.52	_	1.12	_	_	_
4-Terpineol	1184	_	0.2	_	0.2	_	_
<i>p</i> -Menth-1-one-8-ol	1193	_	_	0.16	_	_	_
Bornyl acetate	1203	_	_	1.19	_	_	_
Thymol	1297	0.44	72.3	_	_	2.1	0.3
Carvacrol	1304	81.1	7.1	0.35	_	6.8	0.3
Eugenol	1256	_	-	7.52	_	-	68.4
α-Copaene	1297	_	_	0.24	_	_	0.05
β-Caryophyllene	1463	0.38	2.5	4.52	_	3.4	1.7
Caryophyllene oxide	1405	0.73	0.1	-	0.1	0.1	0.8
Aromadendrene	1535	-	_	0.33	-	-	-
α-Humulene	1622	_	_	0.56	1.5	_	0.4
γ-Muurolene	1622	_	_	0.57	-	_	-
Germacrene D	1500	_	—	0.57	_	—	_
	1500	_	_	4.25	_	_	_
β-Guaiene Δ-Cadinene						_	_
	1530	—	—	0.98	-	_	_
<i>cis</i> -Calamenene	1537	—	—	1.51	-	_	_
α-Muurolene	1545	—	—	2.84	-	-	_
trans-Nerolidole	1565	—	—	0.23	-	—	-
10-Epi-eudesmol	1587	—	—	0.53	-	_	_
1-Epi-cubenol	1632	_	—	0.78	_	_	_
τ-Cadinol	1659	_	-	5.36	-	_	_
α-Cadinol	1671	_	-	0.46	-	_	_
α-Bisabolol	1694	_	_	0.12	-	_	-
2-Hexyl-(e)-cinnamaldehyde		—	_	0.11	-	—	-
β-Phellandrene	1035	_	0.1	-	-	_	-
o-Cymene	1026	_	_	_	-	_	0.1
<i>p</i> -Cymene	1029	3.3	5.4	_	0.3	0.7	-
Limonene	1033	1.02	0.1	_	17.8		0.1
α-Phellandrene	1010	0.1	0.1	_	0.4	-	_
Δ -3-Carene	1016	_	-	_	0.4	_	_
Terpinen-4-ol	1193	1.14	_	_	-	0.3	_
<i>p</i> -Menth-1-en-8-ol	1203	0.23	_	_	-	-	_
Carvacrol methyl ether	1256	0.29	_	_	-	-	_
(e,e)-α-Farnesene	1535	0.19	—	_	_	_	_
β-Bisabolene	1544	2.72	_	_	_	_	_
<i>cis-</i> α-Bisabolene	1574	0.59	_	_	_	_	_

C I	Retentio	n		Compos	sition (%)		
Compound	index	S. khuzistanica	T. daenensis	O. basilicum	M. communis	M. spicata	E. caryophyllus
β-Eudesmole	1634	0.37	_	_	_	_	_
Eugenol acetate	1245	_	_	_	_	_	22.9
Methyl eugenol	1402	_	_	_	1.6	_	0.1
Isoeugenol	1449	-	_	_		_	0.1
<i>trans-</i> β-Ocimene	1407	_	_	_	0.2	_	_
Methyl acetophenone	1063	_	_	_	0.8	_	_
Humulene oxide	1635	_	_	_	0.1	_	0.1
trans-Caryophyllene	1442	_	_	_	1.7	_	_
(e)-2-Hexenal	848	-	_	_	0.5	_	_
Isobutyl isobutyrate	910	-	_	_	1.1	_	_
Flavesone	1553	_	_	_	0.3	_	_
Neryl acetate	1362	_	_	_	0.2	_	_
Geranyl acetate	1381	_	_	_	3.1	_	_
α-Terpinyl acetate	1356	_	_	_	1.8	_	0.2
<i>p</i> -Arill arisol	1202	_	_	_	1.2	_	_
Linalyl acetate	1255	_	_	_	4.6	_	_
Methyl citronellate	1258	_	_	_	0.3	_	_
Carvone		_	_	_	_	18.7	
Methyl chavicol	1198	_	_	_	_		1.8
Chavicol	1253	-	_	_	_		0.4
Spathulenol	1593	_	_	_	_	0.5	0.2
Sylvestrene	1032	_	—	_	_	5.1	_
cis-Dihydrocarvone	1205	_	_	_	_	18.6	_
trans-Dihydrocarvone	1212	_	_	_	_	2.7	_
trans-Piperitone epoxide	1265	_	_	_	_	9.8	_
Geranial	1271	_	_	_	_	2.8	_
Geranyl acetate	1379	_	_	_	_	5.1	_
Yield (%)		1.5	1.6	2	1.3	1.5	1.2
Total (%)		99.05	100	99.99	98.64	99.8	100

Table 1 to be continued

8.357, 5.589, 6.361, 5.309, 6.994, and 5.968%, respectively, and at the highest concentration (16 ppm) the ECI was 3.118, 3.228, 3.153, 2.279, 4.099, and 2.526%, respectively, and the essential oils that were studied in this research show a significant difference at all concentrations from the control (Table 4).

With increasing concentrations of essential oils of *S. khuzistanica*, *O. basilicum*, *M. communis*, *T. dae*-

Table 2. LC_{50} values of six medicinal plant essential oils in adults and 4^{th} instar larvae of Leptinotarsa decemlineata

		Adults				4 th instar larvae					
Plants	LC ₅₀ (ppm) (95% CL)	slop ± SE	op \pm SE df χ^2 <i>P</i> -value		LC ₅₀ (ppm) (95% CL)	slop ± SE	df	χ^2	<i>P</i> -value		
Satureja khuzistanica	167.96 (156.84–181.00)	4.64 ± 0.59	34	13.58	0.99	23.36 (20.65–26.59)	2.60 ± 0.26	34	12.64	0.99	
Thymus daenensis	226.99 (203.62–256.23)	2.93 ± 0.36	34	10.29	1.00	43.71 (38.46–50.04)	2.50 ± 0.30	34	13.13	0.99	
Ocimum basilicum	196.35 (180.10–214.98)	3.75 ± 0.43	34	13.50	0.99	103.58 (92.44–115.00)	3.02 ± 0.40	34	24.49	0.88	
Mentha spicata	259.73 (246.16–275.92)	5.96 ± 0.72	34	10.26	1.00	75.31 (68.43–82.61)	3.53 ± 0.39	34	15.70	0.99	
Myrtus communis	314.18 (296.83–336.29)	5.73 ± 0.70	34	11.22	0.99	58.77 (51.16–68.60)	2.30 ± 0.28	34	15.32	0.99	
Eugenia caryophyllus	243.85 (230.23–262.22)	5.79 ± 0.74	34	8.71	1.00	69.06 (57.56–86.37)	1.74 ± 0.24	34	23.03	0.92	

Concentration (ppm)	S. khuzistanica	O. basilicum	M. communis	T. daenensis	M. spicata	E. caryophyllus
10	15.57 ± 0.73^{d}	11.95 ± 0.52^{d}	7.73 ± 0.78^{d}	$16.19 \pm 1.10^{\rm d}$	11.90 ± 0.65^{d}	11.05 ± 0.80^{d}
12	$29.64 \pm 0.91^{\circ}$	21.18 ± 0.99^{c}	$16.44 \pm 0.98^{\circ}$	24.09 ± 1.21^{c}	$20.26 \pm 1.01^{\circ}$	$20.98 \pm 0.96^{\circ}$
14	44.90 ± 1.01^{b}	$27.49 \pm 1.18^{\mathrm{b}}$	22.17 ± 1.26^{b}	31.49 ± 1.61^{b}	$33.83\pm1.10^{\rm b}$	27.42 ± 1.13^{b}
16	57.66 ± 1.14^{a}	35.07 ± 1.14^{a}	28.09 ± 1.35^{a}	33.91 ± 1.11^{a}	39.26 ± 0.82^{a}	31.88 ± 1.12^{a}
Р	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
F	267.2	95.76	52.16	38.93	81.76	186.54
df	3	3	3	3	3	3

Table 3. The effects of essential oils of six medicinal plants on Feeding Deterrence Index (FDI %) (mean ± SE) of the adults of *Leptinotarsa decemlineata*

Different letters in the same column indicate significant differences ($P \le 0.05$) between treatments according to ANOVA and Tukey's Multiple Range Test

nensis, *M. spicata*, and *E. caryophyllus* from 10 ppm to 16 ppm FDI in larvae increased 64.53, 26.35, 23.17, 26.8, 31.76, and 15.83%, respectively (Table 4). This result continued in adults, with increasing concentrations of essential oils of *S. khuzistanica*, *O. basilicum*, *M. communis*, *T. daenensis*, *M. spicata*, and *E. caryophyllus* from 10 ppm to 16 ppm FDI increased 42.09, 23.12, 20.36, 17.72, 27.36, and 20.83%, respectively (Table 3).

DISCUSSION

Natural products or botanical pesticides are an excellent alternative to synthetic pesticides as a means to reduce negative impacts on the human health and the environment (MOHAN et al. 2011). One possible way to reduce the high consumption of synthetic insecticides is the application of botanical insecticides, generally considered to be environmentally and medically safe (PAVELA 2007; DAYAN et al. 2009). Among them essential oils are the bestknown substances and act as fumigants, insecticides, repellents, and antifeedants (SHAAYA et al. 1997). Chemical constituents of essential oils grouped as monoterpenes (hydrocarbons and oxygenated derivatives), sesquiterpenes (hydrocarbons and oxygenated derivatives), and aliphatic compounds (alkanes, alkenes, ketones, aldehydes, acids, and alcohols) provide characteristic odours and belong to different genera (ISMAN et al. 2001; KIM & AHN 2001; KORDALI et al. 2007). The chemical composition of essential oil depends on chemotype, leaf and flower colours, aroma and origin of plants (SAJJADI 2006). In the chemical composition of essential oils we found that carvacrol (81.1%) and thymol (72.3%) were the main products of S. khuzistanica and T. daenensis, respectively; SAJJADI and KHATAMSAZ (2003) also found thymol (73.9%) in *T. daenensis* and MOA-ZENI *et al.* (2012) found that carvacrol (94.9%) was the major compound of *S. khuzistanica*. According to ZINI *et al.* (2011) carvone (29%) was the major constituent of *M. spicata* and MERCHAN ARENAS *et al.* (2011) reported that eugenol (60.5%) was the most important constituent, which is the same as our finding with different percentage. SAMBUL *et al.* (2011) showed that linalool and OZEK *et al.* (1995) showed that alpha-pinene were the major constituents of *O. basilicum* and *M. communis*, respectively; according to our findings the main composition of essential oils was the same but the percentage was different because of that described above.

According to the results the concentration that was used for an antifeedant experiment was below the mortality concentration (Table 2), so the dose that was used was an efficient dose without killing the insect but had an antifeedant activity. The results of this study showed that the essential oil of S. khuzistanica has strong toxicity and nutritional indices activity against the 4th instar larvae and adults of CPB. This plant is used in folk medicine in the southern part of Iran for relief of toothache, strengthening the gum, healing the wound, as well as antimicrobial (AMANLU et al. 2004), anti-inflammatory activities (AMANLU et al. 2005), and antioxidant properties of this plant (ABDOLLAHI et al. 2003) were reported, so the use of essential oil of this plant can be safe for humans and environment. Also, may be because its main constituent (carvacrol) was so effective. This component has a broad insecticidal activity and acts against agricultural and stored-product insects (Ahn et al. 1998; Isman 2000). Kordali et al. (2007) reported that 1,8-cineole, fenchone, β -pinene, and y-terpinene can be used as potential control agents against both the larvae and adults of CPB; SAFAEI

ra- m)		S. khuz	istanica			T. dae	enensis			M. sj	picata	
Concentra- tion (ppm)	RGR	RCR	ECI	FDI	RGR	RCR	ECI	FDI	RGR	RCR	ECI	FDI
Cortion	(mg/ı	mg/h)	('	%)	(mg/mg/h)		(%)		(mg/mg/h)		(%)	
0	0.033 ± 0.001^{a}	0.334 ± 0.004^{a}	9.949 ± 0.371ª		0.024 ± 0.000^{a}	0.312 ± 0.001^{a}	7.935 ± 0.293ª	_	0.027 ± 0.001^{a}	0.323 ± 0.003^{a}	8.395 ± 0.282^{a}	_
10	$0.021 \pm 0.000^{\rm b}$	$0.257 \pm 0.002^{\rm b}$	8.357 ± 0.066 ^b	21.645 ± 0.676^{d}	$0.013 \pm 0.000^{\rm b}$	0.246 ± 0.002^{b}	5.309 ± 0.250 ^b	20.721 ± 0.611 ^d	$0.018 \pm 0.000^{\rm b}$	$0.268 \pm 0.007^{\rm b}$	6.994 ± 0.424^{b}	18.191 ± 1.151 ^d
12	$0.012 \pm 0.000^{\circ}$	0.171 ± 0.003 ^c	7.128 ± 0.163 ^c	47.662 ± 1.002 ^c	$0.007 \pm 0.000^{\circ}$	0.202 ± 0.005c	3.709 ± 0.102c	35.210 ± 1.484 ^c	$0.014 \pm 0.000^{\circ}$	0.229 ± 0.004 ^c	6.243 ± 0.285 ^{bc}	31.001 ± 0.768 ^c
14	0.006 ± 0.000^{d}	0.109 ± 0.003 ^d	6.019 ± 0.181 ^c	65.800 ± 0.927^{b}	$0.005 \pm 0.000^{\circ}$	0.168 ± 0.003d	3.283 ± 0.316c	46.9109 ± 0.853^{b}	0.010 ± 0.0000^{d}	0.191 ± 0.004 ^d	5.192 ± 0.372 ^{cd}	39.989 ± 0.761^{b}
16	0.001 ± 0.000 ^e	0.054 ± 0.001 ^e	3.118 ± 0.458d	82.738 ± 0.232^{a}	0.002 ± 0.000^{d}	0.106 ± 0.005e	2.279 ± 0.676d	67.085 ± 1.547^{a}	$0.005 \pm 0.000^{\rm e}$	0.151 ± 0.003 ^e	4.099 ± 0.165 ^d	55.436 ± 1.101ª
Р	0.000	0.000	0.263	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
F	301.33	139.49	80.42	114.82	169.64	364.43	9.90	269.22	110.13	184.14	26.79	264.45
df	4	4	4	3	4	4	4	3	4	4	4	3
		O. bas	ilicum			M. con	nmunis		E. caryophyllus			
	RGR	RCR	ECI	FDI	RGR	RCR	ECI	FDI	RGR	RCR	ECI	FDI
	(mg/i	mg/h)	(%)	(mg/mg/h)		(%)		(mg/mg/h)		(%)	
0	0.025 ± 0.001^{a}	0.324 ± 0.003^{a}	$7.819 \pm 0.550^{\rm a}$	-	0.026 ± 0.000^{a}	0.329 ± 0.005^{a}	7.945 ± 0.326 ^a	-	0.026 ± 0.001^{a}	0.336 ± 0.006 ^a	7.751 ± 0. 231 ^a	-
10	0.015 ± 0.000^{b}	0.269 ± 0.003^{b}	5.589 ± 0.229 ^b	16.930 ± 0.486^{d}	0.016 ± 0.000^{b}	$\begin{array}{c} 0.264 \pm \\ 0.002^{b} \end{array}$	6.361 ± 0.169 ^b	17.944 ± 1.064 ^d	0.016 ± 0.001^{b}	0.278 ± 0.003^{b}	5.968 ± 0.387 ^b	16.236 ± 0.839 ^d
12	0.009 ± 0.000 ^c	0.217 ± 0.008 ^c	4.201 ± 0.215 ^c	32.120 ± 2.635 ^c	0.014 ± 0.000 ^c	0.244 ± 0.002^{c}	5.829 ± 0.136 ^b	25.339 ± 0.520 ^c	$0.010 \pm 0.0000^{\circ}$	0.239 ± 0.008 ^c	4.314 ± 0.226 ^c	27.938 ± 1.107 ^c
14	0.006 ± 0.000^{cd}	0.187 ± 0.006 ^d	3.390 ± 0.276c	41.033 ± 1.069 ^b	0.009 ± 0.000^{d}	0.200 ± 0.005^{d}	4.718 ± 0.273 ^c	38.934 ± 1.473 ^b	0.006 ± 0.000^{cd}	0.215 ± 0.004^{d}	3.196 ± 0.184 ^{cd}	35.740 ± 0.783^{b}
16	0.004 ± 0.000^{d}	0.132 ± 0.004 ^e	3.228 ± 0.242 ^c	60.284 ± 1.722 ^a	$0.005 \pm 0.000^{\rm e}$	0.173 ± 0.004 ^e	3.153 ± 0.229 ^d	46.220 ± 1.581^{a}	0.004 ± 0.000^{d}	$0.187 \pm 0.002^{\rm e}$	2.526 ± 0.323 ^d	43.911 ± 0.653^{a}
Р	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
F	91.46	182.52	33.83	116.14	168.60	207.66	57.25	107.99	95.74	114.98	57.36	186.86
df	4	4	4	3	4	4	4	3	4	4	4	3

Table 4. The effects of essential oils of *S. khuzistanica* and *T. daenensis* on nutritional indices (mean± SE) of the fourth instar larvae of *Leptinotarsa decemlineata* (the essential oil was used in potato leaves)

RGR – relative growth rate; RCR – relative consumption rate; ECI – efficiency of conversion of ingested food; FDI – Feeding Deterrence Index; different letters in the same column indicate significant differences ($P \le 0.05$) between treatments according to ANOVA and Tukey's Multiple Range Test

KHORAM *et al.* (2011) reported that limonene and myrcene have a strong toxicity to the 2^{nd} instar larvae and adults and α -pinene has a relatively high toxicity to adults. In this study we found that carvacrol can exert a high effect on nutritional indices of this pest.

The 4th instar larvae and the adults of the CPB cause the greatest damage to the potatoes, eggplants, and tomatoes, which results in a significant loss of

the yield of these crops (NOURI-GANBALANI 1989), therefore in this study the effect of the essential oils was investigated on nutritional indices and mortalities of the fourth instar larvae and the adults of CPB. The adults were less sensitive to plant essential oils than the larvae. Adult tolerance to plant extracts and insecticides was previously reported by Scott *et al.* (2003), who found that adults were 10-fold less susceptible to *P. tuberculatum* extract than early instar larvae, GOKCE *et al.* (2006) also reported that *Humulus lupulus* L. extract had a higher effect on the 4th instar larvae than on the adults of CPB.

Faster growth occurs when the amount of food consumed by insect increases and the efficiency of food that is consumed is different according to the quality of food. So, if the insect consumes a good diet, its feeding rate increases. In this experiment with increases of concentrations of essential oils of all six plants, RGR, RCR, and ECI of the CPB decreased. In fact, with the tendency of insect to consume food, growth rate and food consumption decreased. Also, with increased concentrations of all essential oils FDI increased.

Effects of essential oils on nutritional indices have been studied by different researchers (HAUNG et al. 2000; Taghizadeh Saroukolai & Behzadi 2010). ERDOGAN and TOROS (2007) reported that the maximum consumed leaf area was in the control extraction of X. strumarium amounting to $5.70 \pm$ 0.123 cm² and the least consumed leaf area by adults of CPB was at the 20% concentration and it was $0.08 \pm 0.0.053 \text{ cm}^2$. According to Gokce *et al.* (2012) the effect of different concentrations of H. lupulus extract on L. decemlineata adult FDI was decreased after 24 h, so at the lowest concentration (0.4 mg/ml) FDI was 3.5% and at the highest concentration (40 mg/ml) no feeding occurred and it was 100%. LIU and Ho (1999), ZAPATA et al. (2009), and PAVELA et al. (2009) also reported a reduction in feeding indices after treatment of their respective insects with plant extracts or essential oils. Lower RGR, RCR, and ECI probably lead to larval growth retardation and formation of smaller pupa, which results in reduced fecundity and longevity of the adult insect and makes them susceptible to diseases and natural enemies (KHOSRAVI et al. 2010). Also, reductions of nutritional indices of the 5th instar larvae of *Spodoptera littoralis* (Boisduval) induced by Reynoutria sp. extract were reported by PAVELA et al. (2008).

Pest management in agriculture, forestry and managed landscapes has often relied on toxic, broadspectrum insecticides with negative impacts on natural enemies, pollinators, and other non-target organisms (ISMAN 2002). So, purification and synthesis of active compound may allow these natural plant essential oils to compete successfully with conventional insecticide. Development of natural insecticides will help to decrease the negative effects of synthetic chemicals such as residues in products, insect resistance, and environmental pollution. On the basis of these results we would suggest that the essential oil from S. khuzistanica plays a role in pest control due to its antifeedant and toxicity effects. In this way there is an increasing interest not only in the synthesis of chemical analogues of natural compounds more available for practical use, but also in finding the structural elements that evoke insect antifeedant activity, but given the costs and practical aspects, e.g. their low content in plants, natural antifeedants are difficult to apply on a large scale (GABRYS et al. 2006). If cost-effective commercial problems are solved, essential oils obtained from plants can be used as part of integrated pest management strategies. Therefore, large quantities of plant material must be processed to obtain sufficient quantities of essential oils for commercial-scale tests, situation which also requires breeding these plants in great quantities.

References

- ABBOTT W.S. (1925): A method for computing the effectiveness of an insecticide. Journal of Economic Entomology, **18**: 265–267.
- ABDOLLAHI M., SALEHNIA A., MORTAZAVI S.H., EBRAHIMI M., SHAFIEE A., FOULADIAN F., KESHAVARZ K., SOROURI S., KHORASANI R., KAZEMI A. (2003): Antioxidant, antidiabetic, antihyperlipidemic, reproduction stimulatory properties and safety of essential oil of *Satureja khuzestanica* in rat *in vivo*: a toxico-pharmacological study. Medicinal Sciences Monitoring, **9**: 331–335.
- ADAMS R.P. (1995): Identification of Essential oil Components by Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectroscopy. Allured Publishing Corporation, Carol Stream.
- AHN Y.J., LEE S.B., LEE H.S., KIM G.H. (1998): Insecticidal and acaricidal activity of carvacrol and thujaplicine derived from *Thujopsis dolabrata* var. *hondai* sawdust. Journal of Chemical Ecology, **24**: 81–90.
- AMANLOU M., FAZELI M.R., ARVIN A., AMIN H.G., FARSAM
 H. (2004): Antimicrobial activity of crude methanolic extract of *Satureja khuzistanica*. Fitoterapia, **75**: 768–70.
- AMANLOU M., DADKHAH F., SALEHNIA A., FARSAM H., DEHPOUR A.R. (2005): An anti-inflammatory and antinociceptive effects of hydroalcoholic extract of *Satureja khuzistanica* Jamzad extract. Journal of Pharmacology Science, **8**: 102–106.
- CUTLER G.C., SCOTT-DUPREE C.D., TOLMAN J.H., HARRIS C.R. (2007): Field efficacy of novaluron for control of Colorado potato beetle (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) on potato. Crop Protection, **26**: 760–767.
- DAYAN F.E., CANTRELL C.L., DUKE S.O. (2009): Natural products in crop protection. Bioorganic and Medical Chemistry, **17**: 4022–4034.

DICKENS J.C. (2002): Behavioral responses of larvae Colorado potato beetle *Leptinotarsa decemlineata* (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) to host plant volatile blends attractive to adults. Agricultural Forest Entomology, **4**: 309–314.

ERDOGAN P., TOROS S. (2007): Investigations on the effects of *Xanthium strumarium* L. extracts on Colorado potato beetle, *Leptinotarsa decemlineata* Say (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae). Munnis Entomology and Zoology, **2**: 423-432.

- FINNEY D.J. (1971): Probit Analysis. 3rd Ed. Cambridge University Press, London.
- GABRYS B., SZCZEPANIK M., DANCEWICZ K., SZUMNY A., WAWRZENCZYK Cz. (2006): Environmentally safe insect control: feeding deterrent activity of alkyl-substituted γ - and δ -actones to peach potato aphid (*Myzus persicae* [Sulz.]) and Colorado potato beetle (*Leptinotarsa decemlineata* Say). Polish Journal of Environmental Study, **15**: 549–556.
- GARCIA M., GONZALEZ-COLOMA A., DONADEL O.J., AR-DANAZ C.E., TONN C.E., SOSA M.E. (2007): Insecticidal effects of *Flourensia oolepis* Blake (Asteraceae) essential oil. Biochemical Systematic and Ecology, **35**: 181–187.
- GOKCE A., ISAACS R., WHALON M. (2012): Dose–response relationships for the antifeedant effects of *Humulus lupulus* extracts against larvae and adults of the Colorado potato beetle. Pest Management Science, **68**: 476–481.
- GOKCE A., WHALON M.E., CAM H., YNAR Y., DEMIRTAS I., GOREN N. (2006): Plant extract contact toxicities to various developmental stages of Colorado potato beetles (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae). Annals of Applied Biology, **149**: 197–202.
- GOUAMENE-LAMINE C.N., YOON K.S., CLARK J.M. (2003): Differential susceptibility to abamectin and two bioactive avermectin analogs in abamectin-resistant and susceptible strains of Colorado potato beetle, *Leptinotarsa decemlineata* (Say) (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae). Pesticide Biochemistry and Physiology, **76**: 15–23.
- HUANG Y., LAM S.L., HO S.H. (2000): Bioactivities of essential oil *Elletaria cardamomum* to *Sitophilus zeamais* and *Tribolium castaneum*. Journal of Stored Products Research, **36**: 107–117.
- ISMAN M.B. (2000): Plant essential oils for pest and disease management. Crop Protection, **19**: 603–608.
- ISMAN M. (2002): Insect antifeedants. Pesticides Outlook, 13: 152–157.
- ISMAN M.B. (2006): Botanical insecticides, deterrents, and repellents in modern agriculture and an increasingly regulated world. Annual Review of Entomology, **51**: 45–66.
- ISMAN M.B., WAN A.J., PASSREITER C.M. (2001): Insecticidal activity of essential oils to the tobacco cutworm, *Spodoptera litura*. Fitoterapia, **72**: 65–68.
- KHOSRAVI R., JALALI SENDI J., GHADAMYARI M. (2010): Effect of *Artemisia annua* L. on deterrence and nutritional efficiency of lesser mulberry pyralid (*Glyphodes pylolais*

Walker) (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae). Journal of Plant Protection Research, **50**: 423–428.

- KIM D.H., AHN Y.J. (2001). Contact and fumigant activities of constituents of *Foeniculum vulgare* fruit against three coleopteran stored-product insects. Pest Management Science, **57**: 301–306.
- KORDALI S., KESDEK M., CAKIR A. (2007): Toxicity of monoterpenes against larvae and adults of Colorado potato beetle, *Leptinotarsa decemlineata* Say (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae). Industrial Crops and Products, 26: 278–297.
- KUTAS J., NADASY M., GRAF L., ASBOTH B. (2003): Antifeedant effects of several natural substances on some phytophaghous insect species. In: Book of Abstracts. Proceeding and papers Slovenian Conference on Plant Protection, Zrece, Slovenia, March 4–6, 2009.
- LAMPARSKI R., WAWRZYNIAK M. (2005): Effect of water extracts from Geraniaceae plants on feeding and development of Colorado potato beetle (*Leptinotarsa decemlineata* Say). Journal of Plant Protection Research, **45**: 115–123.
- LIU Z.L., HO H.L. (1999): Bioactivity of essential oils extracted from *Evodia rutaecarpa* F. et Thomas against the grain storage insects, *Sitophilus zeamais* Motsch. and *Tribolium castaneum* (Herbst). Journal of Stored Product Research, **35**: 317–328.
- MERCHAN ARENAS D.R., MUNOZ ACEVEDO A., VARGAS MENDEZ L.Y., KOUZNETSOV V.V. (2011): Scavenger activity evaluation of the clove bud essential oil (*Eugenia caryophyllus*) and eugenol derivatives employing ABTS decolorization. Scientia Pharmaceutica, **79**: 779–791.
- MOAZENI M., SAHARKHIZ M., HOSEINI A.A., ALAVI A.M. (2012): *In vitro* scolicidal effect of *Satureja khuzistanica* (Jamzad) essential oil. Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Biomedicine, **2**: 616–620.
- Монал М., HAIDER Z., ANDOLA H., PUROHIT V.K. (2011): Essential oils as green pesticides: for sustainable agriculture. Research Journal of Pharmaceutical, Biology and Chemical Sciences, **2**: 100–106.
- NOURI-GANBALANI G., (1989): Seasonal biology of the Colorado potato beetle, *Leptinotarsa decemlineata* (Say) (Col. Chrysomelidae), in Ardabil, Iran. Journal of Agricultural Sciences, **29**: 1–9. (in Persian with English summary)
- Ozek T., Beis S., Demircakmak B., Baser K.H.C. (1995): Composition of the essential oil of *Ocimum basilicum* L. cultivated in Turkey. Journal of Essential oil Research, 7: 203–205.
- PAVELA R. (2007): Possibilities of botanical insecticide exploitation in plant protection. Pest Technology, 1: 47–52.
- PAVELA R. (2010): Antifeedant activity of plant extracts on *Leptinotarsa decemlineata* Say. and *Spodoptera littoralis* Bois. larvae. Industrial Crops and Products, **32**: 213–219.

- PAVELA R. (2011). Insecticidal and repellent activity of selected essential oils against of the pollen beetle, *Meligathes aeneus* (Fabricius) adults. Industrial Crops and Products, 34: 888–892.
- PAVELA R., VRCHOTOVA N., SERA B. (2008): Growth inhibitory effect of extracts from *Reynoutria* sp. plants against *Spodoptera littoralis* larva. Agrociencia, **42**: 573–584.
- PAVELA R., SAJFRTOVA M., SOVOVA H., KARBAN J., BARNET M. (2009): The effect of extracts obtained by supercritical fluid extraction and traditional extraction techniques on larvae *Leptinotarsa decemlineata* Say. Journal of Essential Oil Research, **21**: 367–373.
- RANI A.S., MURTY U.S. (2009): Antifeedant activity of Spilanthes acmella flower head extract against Spodoptera litura (Fabricius). Journal of Entomology Research, 33: 55–57.
- SAFAEI KHORAM M., TAHER NASABI N., JAFARIAN S. KHOS-ROSHAHI S., (2011): The toxicity of selected monoterpene hydrocarbons as single compounds and mixtures against different developmental stages of Colorado potato beetle, *Leptinotarsa decemlineata* Say (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae). Journal of Entomology, **8**: 404–416.
- SAJJADI S.E., KHATAMSAZ M. (2003): Composition of the essential oil of *Thymus daenensis* Celak. Journal of Essential Oil Research, **15**: 34–35.
- SAJJADI SE. (2006): Analysis of the essential oils of two cultivated basil (*Ocimum basilicum* L.) from Iran. DARU Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences, **14**: 128–130.
- SAMBUL S., AFTAB AHMAD M., ASIF M., AKHTAR M. (2011): *Myrtus communis* Linn. – A review. Indian Journal of Natural Products and Resources, **2**: 395–402.

- SCOTT I.M., JENSEN H., SCOTT J.G., ISMAN M.B., ARNASON J.T., PHILOGENE B.J.R. (2003): Botanical insecticides for controlling agricultural pests: Piperamides and the Colorado potato beetle *Leptinotarsa decemlineata* Say (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae). Insect Biochemistry and Physiology, **54**: 212–225
- SHAAYA E., KOSTJUKUVSKY M., EILBERG J., SUKPRAKARN C. (1997): Plant oils as fumigants and contact insecticides for the control of stored-product insects. Journal of Stored Products Research, **33**: 7–15.
- TAGHIZADEH SAROUKOLAI A. BEHZADI F. (2010): Investigation of feeding inhibition of essential oils from *Thymus persicus* and *Prangos acaulis* on feeding behavior of *Tribolium castaneum*. In: Book of Abstracts. Proceeding 1st National Conference on Susceptible Agriculture and Healthy Product. Isfahán, Iran, November 9–10, 2010. (in Persian with English summary)
- WAWRZYNIAK M. (1996): The effect of selected plant extracts on the cabbage butterfly, *Pieris brassicae* L. (Lepidoptera). Polish Journal of Entomology, **65**: 93–99.
- ZAPATA N., BUDIA F., VINUELA E., MEDINA P. (2009): Antifeedant and growth inhibitory effects of extracts and drimanes of *Drimys winteri* stem bark against *Spodoptera littoralis* (Lep: Noctuidae). Industrial Crop Production, **30**: 119–125.
- ZINI M., BOUKLAH M., MAJIDI L., KHARCHOUF S., AOUN-TINI A., BOUYANZER A., HAMMOUTI B., COSTA J., AL-DEEYBA S.S. (2011): Chemical composition and inhibitory effect of *Mentha spicata* essential oil on the corrosion of steel in molar hydrochloric acid. International Journal of Electrochemistry Science, **6**: 691–704.

Received for publication January 29, 2014 Accepted after corrections May 21, 2014

Corresponding author:

Аккам Тадніzаден Saroukolai, PhD, Mohaghegh Ardabili University, Agricultural Sciences Faculty, Department of Plant Protection, P.O. Box 5619911367, Ardabil, Iran; E-mail: taghizadeh_saro@yahoo.com, janparvar@um.ac.ir