

Bullous Dermatitis Artefacta in a 17 Year-old Girl Induced by a Native Herb

Mina Zarei¹, Mohammad Kamali², Reza Bidaki^{3,*}

¹ Department of Dermatology and Cutaneous Surgery, University of Miami, Miller School of Medicine, Miami, USA

² Department of Psychiatry, Rafsanjan University of Medical Sciences, Rafsanjan, IR Iran

³ Department of Psychiatry, Rafsanjan University of Medical Sciences, Rafsanjan, IR Iran

*Corresponding author: Reza Bidaki, Department of Psychiatry, Rafsanjan University of Medical Sciences, Rafsanjan, IR Iran. Tel: +98-9121955521, Fax: +98-3915230081, E-mail: reza.bidakini@gmail.com.

Received: October 30, 2012; **Accepted:** July 24, 2013

Dermatitis artefacta is a factitious dermatological disorder with many forms of presentation of self inflicted skin lesions in any part of the body. Dermatitis artefacta is a rare and difficult condition for diagnosis and treatment mostly because of the patient's denial. The liaison among primary care physicians, psychiatrists and dermatologists can be important in the management of these patients. In this report we describe a 17-year-old girl with dermatitis artefacta which was presented as bullous lesions on her face induced with a native herb combining with fake headaches.

Keywords: Dermatitis; Factitious Disorders; Bullous lesions

1. Introduction

Dermatitis artefacta is a factitious dermatological disorder with many forms of presentation of self inflicted skin lesions in any part of the body (1). In dermatology, there are various mechanical injuries which caused with pressures, friction, occlusion, biting, cutting, stabbing, thermal burns or self-inflicted infections with wound-healing impairment, abscesses, mutilations or damages with acids and other toxic to the skin (2). Dermatitis artefacta is a rare and difficult condition for diagnosis and treatment, with the highest incidence of onset in late adolescence to early adulthood. Most patients are young women who have a personality disorder; borderline features are common and patient's denial of psychological distress makes management and treatment difficult (3). Triggering factors are psychiatric disorders or specific stress situations (4). Diagnosis of the disorder is often time-consuming and complicated process that precedes acceptance of the underlying pathology by both the therapist and patient and is often concluded after rigorous and repeated investigation (1, 4).

Recovery period is more associated with change in life situation compare to treatment period. The upper dose range of selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs), or low dose atypical antipsychotic agents, may be effective (5, 6).

2. Case Presentation

A 17-year-old girl came to the Neurology clinic with her family with the chief complaint of a chronic headache. She had pulsatile, mostly generalized headaches since 5 years ago. The patient had experienced these headaches twice a week or sometimes every two or three months. She didn't have any nausea, vomiting, dizziness or paresis of extremities during the episodes of headache. She was living in a village with her family with very poor socio-economical situation. She quit school after the sixth grade, was single and wasn't work. She had four siblings and was the last child of the family. There were no abnormal medical or psychological histories in her family. Based on the information that she and her family gave to the physicians she had no physical or psychological abnormalities before. The only positive physical finding at the neurology clinic was bullous and erythematous lesions on her forehead, cheeks and eyelids. The bullous were multiple, tens with 2 to 3 cm diameter, symmetrical on the frontal and zygomatic regions of her face without involving a specific dermatome. The lesions had no pain, purities or discharge. There were no lesions elsewhere on her body.

The patient was admitted to the neurology ward for more evaluation and with the primary diagnosis of migraine attack. During hospitalization she was examined

Implication for health policy/practice/research/medical education:

We described a 17-year-old girl with dermatitis artefacta which was presented as bullous lesions on her face induced with a native herb combining with fake headaches. Awareness of this rare condition will assist in the prevention of unnecessary investigation in similar cases, allow the early referral of patients for appropriate psychological counseling and early diagnosis.

Copyright © 2013, Iranian Red Crescent Medical Journal; Licensee KowsarKowsar Ltd. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

and all of her laboratory tests including reumatological tests and imaging were normal. EMG- NCV test was also performed and the result showed no abnormality. Based on her complaint, Giant Cell Arthritis examination also was done which resulted normal ESR and normal temporal artery biopsy. The patient was visited by an ophthalmologist and with the diagnosis of bilateral blepharitis and conjunctivitis Tetracycline ointment and eye drop. An ophthalmologist diagnosed bilateral blepharitis and conjunctivitis Tetracycline ointment and eye drop about the patient. Chloramphenicol eye drop and artificial tear drop was administered for her. Also, according to the consultation with a dermatologist, contact dermatitis was diagnosed for her and the treatment started with Hydrocortisone cream and 20 mg/day prednisone. The result of her skin biopsy revealed dermatological disorders like bullous pemphigoid or pemphigus vulgaris. With all these treatments she still had the headaches and new lesions were appeared on her face. Therefore, psychiatric consultation and interview by an expert psychiatrist was done for her.

During the interview, she was so shy and rolled her hands and crossed her legs together. She spoke with a very low tone voice and didn't like to talk with the doctor. She was so sensitive and irritable with a slight tremor on her cheeks and lips and was crying most of the time. At the first session she wasn't cooperative with the interviewer but in the next sessions she was more cooperative and reliable than before. The patient explained that she had committed suicide once before because of her nephew death about five years ago. Depakin was prescribed for her to cure her headache and depression. She attempted another suicide by taking poison a few months ago after an argument with her sister. She said that she had been physically and emotionally but not sexually abused by her brother, sister, father and uncle several times. She was so upset regarding arguments among her family members. She had no control on her life in the family; only men had the right to make decisions. She also had lack of kindness and attention from her family members and was so nervous and depressed. Because of her emotional distress and irritability she used a native herbal medication without any professional advice. When she started to use the herb her headaches have been started and the bullouses appeared on her skin. These problems resulted attentions from her family to her what she experienced before rarely. When she understood the herb was the reason for disorder, she started to fake the headaches without taking the herb and using the herb locally to induce the skin bullouses.

Eventually, psychiatric interviews specified that she had a sensitive and dependent personality. After several examinations and considering all her medical problems Major Depressive Disorder (MDD) and factitious disorder were diagnosed based on the DSM-IV criteria and treatment was started. Citalopram tablet 20 mg per day and Clonazepam 0.5 mg every night was prescribed for her. The patient and her family were registered for psycho-

logical therapy sessions. She also underwent ventilation therapy for one hour in three separate sessions. The skin bullouses were treated by occlusion techniques. She has been followed for six months with monthly visits at the psychiatry clinic. She didn't complaint from headaches anymore and the lesions were about to heal completely. Family training was obviously effective for her therapy. The patient gave the informed consent to report her case but without revealing her name or any picture of her lesions even with covering her eyes.

3. Conclusion

Dermatitis artefacta is a rare condition and most patients are young women who deny their problem like this case (3). Other psychiatric disorders are also common and include major depression, generalized anxiety disorder and phobic states like our patient who was suffering from major depression (7). Treatment with selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs), or low dose atypical antipsychotic agents was effective and this patient had an acceptable response to citalopram and clonazepam (6).

Cooperation among dermatologists, psychiatrists and the patient's family members is required for ensuring a favorable prognosis. These patients often resist psychiatric referral, and the liaison among primary care physicians; psychiatrists and dermatologists can be important in the management of these patients. Unfortunately, there are research data suggesting that the detection of psychiatric disorders by dermatologists is not completely satisfactory, and that dermatologists miss a substantial proportion of psychiatric pathology (8). Therefore, having an awareness of this rare condition will assist in the prevention of unnecessary investigation of such cases and will allow the early referral of patients for appropriate psychological counseling. Also, early diagnosis is important to prevent unnecessary drug therapy and chronic morbidity; by this way society can be protected from escalating and unnecessary expenditure of medical resources.

Acknowledgements

None declared.

Authors' Contribution

First author has written the manuscript. second author helped data collection and the third author has revised the manuscript.

Financial Disclosure

The authors do not have an affiliation with or financial interest in any organization that might pose a conflict of interest.

Funding Support

There are no funding supports.

References

1. Soong TK, Soong V, Samsudin A, Soong F, Sharma V, O'Donnell N. Periocular dermatitis artefacta in a child. *JAAPOS*. 2006;**10**(6):585-6.
2. Harth W, Taube KM, Gieler U. Factitious disorders in dermatology. *J Dtsch Dermatol Ges*. 2010;**8**(5):361-72.
3. Gregurek-Novak T, Novak-Bilic G, Vucic M. Dermatitis artefacta: unusual appearance in an older woman. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol*. 2005;**19**(2):223-5.
4. Korber A, Grabbe S, Dissemond J. [Dermatitis artefacta (factitial dermatitis)—an interdisciplinary diagnostic and therapeutic challenge]. *MMWFortschr Med*. 2006;**148**(11):52-3.
5. Gupta MA, Gupta AK, Haberman HF. The self-inflicted dermatoses: a critical review. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry*. 1987;**9**(1):45-52.
6. Koblenzer CS. Dermatitis artefacta. Clinical features and approaches to treatment. *Am J Clin Dermatol*. 2000;**1**(1):47-55.
7. Garcia-Hernandez MJ, Ruiz-Doblado S, Rodriguez-Pichardo A, Camacho F. Alopecia areata, stress and psychiatric disorders: a review. *J Dermatol*. 1999;**26**(10):625-32.
8. Wessely SC, Lewis GH. The classification of psychiatric morbidity in attenders at a dermatology clinic. *Br J Psychiatry*. 1989;**155**:686-91.