

# Initial-oblique derivative boundary value problem for nonlinear parabolic equations of second order

Guo Chun Wen

LMAM, School of Mathematical Sciences, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China

E-mail: wengc@math.pku.edu.cn

**Abstract.** In this article, we discuss that an initial-oblique derivative boundary value problem for nonlinear uniformly parabolic complex equation of second order

$$A_0 u_{z\bar{z}} - \operatorname{Re}[Q u_{zz} + A_1 u_z] - \hat{A}_2 u - u_t = A_3 + G(z, t, u, u_z) \text{ in } G,$$

in a multiply connected domain, the above boundary value problem will be called Problem O. If the above complex equation satisfies the conditions similar to Condition  $C'$  and (1.12), and the boundary conditions satisfy the conditions similar to (1.4)-(1.7) and (1.11), then we can obtain some solvability results of Problem O in  $G$ .

**Key Words:** Initial-oblique derivative problem, nonlinear parabolic complex equations, multiply connected domains

**AMS Mathematics Subject Classification:** 35K60, 35K55, 35K20

## 1. Formulation of initial-oblique derivative problems for second order parabolic complex equations

Let  $D$  be an  $(N+1)$ -connected bounded domain in the  $z = x + iy$  plane  $\mathbb{C}$  with the boundary  $\Gamma = \sum_{j=0}^N \Gamma_j \in C_\mu^2(0 < \mu < 1)$ . Without loss of generality, we may consider that  $D$  is a circular domain in  $|z| < 1$  with the boundary  $\Gamma = \sum_{j=0}^N \Gamma_j$ , where  $\Gamma_j = \{|z - z_j| = \gamma_j\}$ ,  $j = 0, 1, \dots, N$ ,  $\Gamma_0 = \Gamma_{N+1} = \{|z| = 1\}$  and  $z = 0 \in D$ . Denote  $G = D \times I$ , in which  $I = \{0 < t \leq T\}$ . Here  $T$  is a positive constant, and  $\partial G = \partial G_1 \cup \partial G_2$  is the parabolic boundary of  $G$ , where  $\partial G_1, \partial G_2$  are the bottom  $\{z \in D, t = 0\}$  and the lateral boundary  $\{z \in \Gamma, t \in \bar{I}\}$  of the domain  $G$  respectively.

We consider the nonlinear nondivergent parabolic equation of second order

$$\Phi(x, y, t, u, u_x, u_y, u_{xx}, u_{xy}, u_{yy}) - u_t = 0 \text{ in } G, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $\Phi$  is a real-valued function of  $x, y, t (\in G)$ ,  $u, u_x, u_y, u_{xx}, u_{xy}, u_{yy} (\in \mathbb{R})$ . Under certain conditions, the equation (1.1) can be reduced to the complex form

$$A_0 u_{z\bar{z}} - \operatorname{Re}[Q u_{zz} + A_1 u_z] - \hat{A}_2 u - u_t = A_3, \quad (1.2)$$

where  $z = x + iy$ ,  $\Phi = \Psi(z, t, u, u_z, u_{z\bar{z}})$ , and

$$\begin{aligned}
A_0 &= \int_0^1 \Psi_{\tau u_{z\bar{z}}}(z, t, u, u_z, \tau u_{zz}, \tau u_{z\bar{z}}) d\tau = A_0(z, t, u, u_z, u_{zz}, u_{z\bar{z}}), \\
Q &= -2 \int_0^1 \Psi_{\tau u_{zz}}(z, t, u, u_z, \tau u_{zz}, \tau u_{z\bar{z}}) d\tau = Q(z, t, u, u_z, u_{zz}, u_{z\bar{z}}), \\
A_1 &= -2 \int_0^1 \Psi_{\tau u_z}(z, t, u, \tau u_z, 0, 0) d\tau = A_1(z, t, u, u_z), \\
\hat{A}_2 &= - \int_0^1 \Psi_{\tau u}(z, t, \tau u, 0, 0, 0) d\tau = A_2(z, t, u) + |u|^\sigma, \\
A_3 &= -\Psi(z, t, 0, 0, 0, 0) = A_3(z, t),
\end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

where  $\sigma$  is a positive constant (see [4]).

Suppose that the equation (1.2) satisfies the following conditions, namely

**Condition C.** (1)  $A_0(z, t, u, u_z, u_{zz}, u_{z\bar{z}})$ ,  $Q(z, t, u, u_z, u_{zz}, u_{z\bar{z}})$ ,  $A_1(z, t, u, u_z)$ ,  $A_2(z, t, u)$ ,  $A_3(z, t)$  are measurable for any continuously differentiable function  $u(z, t) \in C^{1,0}(\bar{G})$  and measurable functions  $u_{zz}, u_{z\bar{z}} \in L_2(G^*)$  and satisfy the conditions

$$0 < \delta \leq A_0 \leq \delta^{-1}, \tag{1.4}$$

$$|A_j| \leq k_0, \quad j = 1, 2, \quad L_p[A_3, \bar{G}] \leq k_1, \quad p > 4, \tag{1.5}$$

where  $G^*$  is any closed subset in the domain  $G$ .

(2) The above functions with respect to  $u \in \mathbb{R}, u_z \in \mathbb{C}$  are continuous for almost every point  $(z, t) \in G$  and  $u_{zz} \in \mathbb{C}, u_{z\bar{z}} \in \mathbb{R}$ .

(3) For almost every point  $(z, t) \in G$  and  $u \in \mathbb{R}, u_z, U^j \in \mathbb{C}, V^j \in \mathbb{R}, j = 1, 2$ , there is

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Psi(z, t, u, u_z, U^1, V^1) - \Psi(z, t, u, u_z, U^2, V^2) \\
&= \tilde{A}_0(V^1 - V^2) - \operatorname{Re}[\tilde{Q}(U^1 - U^2)], \quad \delta < \tilde{A}_0 \leq \delta^{-1},
\end{aligned} \tag{1.6}$$

$$\sup_G(\tilde{A}_0^2 + |\tilde{Q}|^2) / \inf_G \tilde{A}_0^2 \leq q < 4/3. \tag{1.7}$$

In (1.4)-(1.7),  $\delta (> 0)$ ,  $q (\geq 1)$ ,  $k_0, k_1, p (> 4)$  are non-negative constants. For instance the nonlinear parabolic complex equation

$$\begin{aligned}
u_{z\bar{z}} &= G(z, t, u, u_z, u_{zz}) + (1 + |u|^4)u + u_t, \\
G(z, t, u, u_z, u_{zz}) &= \begin{cases} u_{zz}^2/8 & \text{for } |u_{zz}| \leq 1, \\ u_{zz}^{-2}/8 & \text{for } |u_{zz}| > 1, \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

satisfies Condition C. In this article, the notations are the same as in References [1-8].

Now we explain the derivation of 3/4 in the condition (1.7). Let  $\Lambda = r \inf_G A_0^2 > 0$ , thus  $\inf_G \tilde{A}^2 = \inf_G A_0^2 / \Lambda = \inf_G A_0^2 / (r \inf_G A_0^2) = 1/r$ . By the requirement below, we

need the inequality

$$\eta = \sup_G [(\tilde{A}_0 - 1)^2 + |\tilde{Q}|^2] < \frac{1}{4}, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \sup_G [\tilde{A}_0^2 + |\tilde{Q}|^2 - 2\tilde{A}_0] < \frac{1}{4} - 1,$$

so it is sufficient that

$$\frac{\sup_G [A_0^2 + |Q|^2]}{r^2 \inf_G A_0^2} < \frac{2}{r} - \frac{3}{4}, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \frac{\sup_G [A_0^2 + |Q|^2]}{\inf_G A_0^2} < 2r - \frac{3}{4}r^2 = f(r).$$

We can find the maximum of the function  $f(r) = 2r - (3r^2)/4$  on  $(0, \infty)$ , due to  $f'(r) = 2 - (3r)/2 = 0$ . It is easy to see that  $f(r)$  takes its maximum on  $(0, \infty)$  at the point  $r = 4/3$ , and then  $f(4/3) = 2(4/3) - (3/4)(4/3)^2 = 4/3$ , leading to the inequality (1.7). (see [2,4])

In this article, we mainly discuss the nonlinear parabolic equation of second order

$$A_0 u_{z\bar{z}} - \text{Re}[Q u_{zz} + A_1 u_z] - \hat{A}_2 u - u_t = A_3 + F(z, t, u, u_z), \quad (1.8)$$

satisfying Condition  $C'$ , in which the coefficients  $A_j (j = 0, 1, 2, 3), Q$  of equation (1.8) satisfy the conditions (1.4)–(1.7) and  $F(z, t, u, u_z)$  satisfies the the condition:

$$(4) \quad |F(z, u, u_z)| \leq B_1(z)|u_z|^\eta + B_2(z)|u|^\tau, \quad |B_j| \leq k_0, \quad j = 1, 2, \quad (1.9)$$

for positive constants  $\eta, \tau, k_0$ . We can see that  $F(z, t, u, u_z)$  implies the nonlinear items.

**Problem O.** The so-called initial-oblique derivative boundary value problem for the equation (1.8) is to find a continuous solution  $u(z, t) \in C^{1,0}(\bar{G})$  of (1.8) in  $\bar{G}$  satisfying the initial-boundary conditions

$$\begin{cases} u(z, 0) = g(z) \quad \text{on } \partial G_1 = D, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} + b_1(z, t)u = b_2(z, t) \quad \text{on } \partial G_2, \quad \text{i.e.} \\ 2\text{Re}[\overline{\lambda(z, t)}u_z] + b_1(z, t)u = b_2(z, t) \quad \text{on } \partial G_2, \end{cases} \quad (1.10)$$

where  $\nu$  is the unit vector at every point on  $\partial G_2$ . There is no harm in assuming that  $\nu$  is parallel to the plane  $t = 0$ . In addition,  $g(z), b_j(z, t) (j = 1, 2)$  and  $\lambda(z, t) = \cos(\nu, x) - i \cos(\nu, y)$  are known functions satisfying the conditions

$$\begin{cases} C_\alpha^2[g, \partial G_1] \leq k_2, \quad \frac{\partial g}{\partial \nu} + b_1(z, 0)g = b_2(z, 0) \quad \text{on } \partial G_1 \times \{t = 0\}, \\ C_{\alpha, \alpha/2}^{1,0}[\eta, \partial G_2] = C_{\alpha, \alpha/2}^{0,0}[\eta, \partial G_2] + C_{\alpha, \alpha/2}^{0,0}[\eta_z, \partial G_2] \leq k_0, \quad \eta = \{b_1, \lambda\}, \\ C_{\alpha, \alpha/2}^{2,1}[b_2, \partial G_2] \leq k_3, \quad b_1(z, t) \geq 0, \quad \cos(\nu, n) > 0 \quad \text{on } \partial G_2, \end{cases} \quad (1.11)$$

in which  $n$  is the unit outward normal vector at every point on  $\partial G_2$ ,  $\alpha(1/2 < \alpha < 1), k_0, k_2, k_3$  are non-negative constants. The above initial-boundary value problem is the initial-oblique derivative boundary value problem (Problem O). In particular, Problem O with the condition  $\nu = n, a_1(z, t) = 1, a_2(z, t) = 0$  on  $\partial G_2$  is the so-called initial-Neumann boundary value Problem, which will be called Problem N. Problem O for (1.2) with  $A_3(z, t) = 0$  and  $g(z) = 0, b_2(z, t) = 0$  is called Problem  $O_0$ .

In order to discuss the uniqueness of solutions of Problem O for the equation (1.2), we add the condition: For any  $u^j \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $u_z^j (j = 1, 2)$ ,  $U \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $V \in \mathbb{R}$ , there is

$$\begin{aligned} & \Psi(z, t, u^1, u_z^1, U, V) - \Psi(z, t, u^2, u_z^2, U, V) \\ &= \tilde{A}_0(u_1 - u_2)_{z\bar{z}} - \operatorname{Re}[\tilde{Q}u_{zz} + \tilde{A}_1(u^1 - u^2)_z + \tilde{A}_2(u^1 - u^2)] \text{ on } \partial G_2, \end{aligned} \quad (1.12)$$

where  $\tilde{A}$ ,  $\tilde{Q}$  satisfy (1.7) and  $\tilde{A}_j (j = 1, 2)$  satisfy

$$|\tilde{A}_j| < \infty \text{ in } \bar{G}, \quad j = 1, 2. \quad (1.13)$$

**Theorem 1.1.** *Suppose that the equation (1.2) satisfies Condition C and (1.12). Then the solution  $u(z, t)$  of Problem O for (1.2) is unique. Moreover the homogeneous Problem O (Problem O<sub>0</sub>) of equation (1.2) with  $A_3 = 0$  only has the trivial solution.*

*Proof.* Let  $u_j (j = 1, 2)$  be two solutions of Problem O for (1.2). It is easy to see that  $u = u_1(z, t) - u_2(z, t)$  is a solution of the following initial-boundary value problem

$$\tilde{A}_0 u_{z\bar{z}} - \operatorname{Re}[\tilde{Q}u_{zz} + \tilde{A}_2 u_z] - \tilde{A}_3 u - u_t = 0 \text{ in } G, \quad (1.14)$$

$$\begin{cases} u(z, 0) = 0 \text{ on } D, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} + b_1(z, t)u = 0 \text{ on } \partial G_2, \end{cases} \quad (1.15)$$

where

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{A}_0 = \int_0^1 \Psi_s(z, t, v, p, q, s) d\tau, \quad s = u_{2z\bar{z}} + \tau(u_1 - u_2)_{z\bar{z}}, \quad q = u_{2zz} + \tau(u_1 - u_2)_{zz}, \\ \tilde{Q} = -2 \int_0^1 \Psi_q(z, t, v, p, q, s) d\tau, \quad p = u_{2z} + \tau(u_1 - u_2)_z, \quad v = u_2 + \tau(u_1 - u_2), \\ \tilde{A}_1 = -2 \int_0^1 \Psi_p(z, t, v, p, q, s) d\tau, \quad \tilde{A}_2 = - \int_0^1 \Psi_v(z, t, v, p, q, s) d\tau. \end{cases} \quad (1.16)$$

Introducing a transformation  $v = v(z, t) = ue^{-Bt}$ , where  $B$  is an undetermined real constant, the complex equation (1.14) and the initial-boundary condition (1.15) can be reduced to the form

$$\tilde{A}_0 v_{z\bar{z}} - \operatorname{Re}[\tilde{Q}V_{zz} + \tilde{A}_1 v_z] - (\tilde{A}_2 + B)v - v_t = 0, \quad (1.17)$$

$$\begin{cases} v(z, 0) = 0 \text{ in } D, \\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} + b_1(z, t)v = 0 \text{ on } \partial G_2. \end{cases} \quad (1.18)$$

Let the above equation be multiplied by  $v$ , thus an equation of  $v^2$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2}[\tilde{A}_0(v^2)_{z\bar{z}} - \operatorname{Re}[\tilde{Q}(v^2)_{zz} - (v^2)_t]] \\ &= \tilde{A}_0 |v_z|^2 - \operatorname{Re}[\tilde{Q}(v_z)^2 + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{A}_1 \operatorname{Re}(v^2)_z] + (\tilde{A}_2 + B)v^2 \end{aligned} \quad (1.19)$$

can be obtained. If the maximum of  $v^2$  occurs at an inner point  $P_0 \in G$  with  $|v(P_0)|^2 \neq 0$ , then in a neighborhood of  $P_0$ , the right hand side of (1.19)  $\geq [B - k_0]v^2$ . Moreover, we choose the constant  $B$  such that  $B > k_0$ . By using the maximum principle (see [3,4]), the function  $v^2$  can not take the positive maximum in  $G$ . If  $v^2$  takes the positive maximum at a point  $P_0 \in \partial G_2$ , then we have

$$\left[ \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial v^2}{\partial \nu} + b_1(z, t)v^2 \right] \Big|_{P=P_0} > 0. \quad (1.20)$$

This contradicts (1.18). Hence we derive that  $u = 0$ , i.e.  $u_1 - u_2 = 0$  in  $\bar{G}$ . Similarly we can prove the other part in this theorem.

## 2. A prior estimate of solutions of the initial-oblique derivative problem of second order parabolic complex equations

**Theorem 2.1.** *If the equation (1.2) satisfies condition C, then the solution  $u(z, t)$  of Problem O for (1.2) satisfies the estimate*

$$\hat{C}_{\beta, \beta/2}^{1,0}[u, \bar{G}] = C_{\beta, \beta/2}^{1,0}[|u|^{\sigma+1}, \bar{G}] \leq M_1, \quad \|u\|_{W_2^{2,1}(G)} \leq M_2, \quad (2.1)$$

where  $\beta$  ( $0 < \beta \leq \alpha$ ),  $k = k(k_0, k_1, k_2, k_3)$ ,  $M_j = M_j(\delta, q, p, \beta, k, G)$  ( $j = 1, 2$ ) are non-negative constants only dependent on  $\delta, q, p, \beta, k, G$ .

*Proof.* We shall prove that the following estimate holds

$$\hat{C}^{1,0}[u, \bar{G}] = C^{1,0}[|u|^{\sigma+1}, \bar{G}] \leq M_3 = M_3(\delta, q, p, \beta, k, G). \quad (2.2)$$

If (2.2) is not true, then there exists a sequence of parabolic equations

$$A_0^m u_{z\bar{z}} - \operatorname{Re}[Q^m u_{zz} + A_1^m u_z] - \hat{A}_2^m u - u_t = A_3^m \quad \text{in } G, \quad (2.3)$$

and a sequence of initial-boundary conditions

$$\begin{cases} u(z, 0) = g^m(z) \quad \text{on } D, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} + b_1^m u = b_2^m \quad \text{on } \partial G_2, \end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

with  $\{A_0^m\}, \{Q^m\}, \{A_1^m\}, \{\hat{A}_2^m\}, \{A_3^m\}$  in  $G$  satisfying Condition C and  $g^m, b_1^m, b_2^m$  satisfying (1.11), where  $\{A_0^m\}, \{Q^m\}, \{A_1^m\}, \{\hat{A}_2^m\}, \{A_3^m\}$  in  $G$  weakly converge to  $A_0^0, Q^0, A_1^0, \hat{A}_2^0, A_3^0$  and  $\{g^m(z)\}, \{b_1^m(z, t)\}, \{b_2^m(z, t)\}$  in  $D, \partial G_2$  uniformly converge to  $g^0(z), b_1^0(z, t), b_2^0(z, t)$  respectively, and the initial-boundary value problem (2.3)–(2.4) have the solution  $u^m(z, t) \in \hat{C}^{1,0}(\bar{G})$  ( $m = 1, 2, \dots$ ) such that  $\hat{C}^{1,0}[u^m, \bar{G}] = H_m \rightarrow \infty$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . There is no harm in assuming that  $H_m \geq \max[k_1, k_2, k_3, 1]$ . Let  $U^m = u^m/H_m$ , it is easy to see that  $U^m$  satisfies the complex equation and initial-boundary

conditions

$$\begin{cases} A_0^m U_{z\bar{z}}^m - \operatorname{Re}[Q^m U_{z\bar{z}}^m + A_1^m U_z^m] - \hat{A}_2^m U^m - U_t^m = A_3^m / H_m \text{ in } G, \\ U^m(z, 0) = g^m(z) / H_m \text{ on } D, \\ \frac{\partial U^m}{\partial \nu} + b_1^m U^m = b_2^m / H_m \text{ on } \partial G_2. \end{cases} \quad (2.5)$$

We can see that the some coefficients in the above equation and boundary conditions satisfy the condition C and

$$\begin{aligned} |u^{(m)}|^{\sigma+1} / H_m &\leq 1, \quad L_p[A_3^{(m)} / H_m, \bar{G}] \leq 1, \\ C_\alpha[g^{(m)}(z) / H_m, D] &\leq 1, \quad |b_2^{(m)} / H_m| \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence by Theorem 5.3.1, [7], we can obtain the estimates

$$\hat{C}_{\beta, \beta/2}^{1,0}[u^m, \bar{G}] \leq M_4, \quad \|u^m\|_{W_2^{2,1}(G)} \leq M_5, \quad (2.6)$$

in which  $\beta$  ( $0 < \beta \leq \alpha$ ),  $M_j = M_j(\delta, q, p, \beta, k, G)$  ( $j = 4, 5$ ) are non-negative constants. Thus from  $\{U^m\}, \{U_z^m\}$  we can select the subsequences  $\{U^{m_k}\}, \{U_z^{m_k}\}$ , such that they uniformly converge to  $U^0, U_z^0$  in  $\bar{G}$  and  $\{U_{z\bar{z}}^{m_k}\}, \{U_{z\bar{z}}^{m_k}\}, \{U_t^{m_k}\}$  weakly converge to  $U_{z\bar{z}}^0, U_{z\bar{z}}^0, U_t^0$  in  $G$  respectively, and  $U^0$  is a solution of the following initial-boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} A_0^0 U_{z\bar{z}}^0 - \operatorname{Re}[Q^0 U_{z\bar{z}}^0 + A_1^0 U_z^0 + \hat{A}_2^0 U^0] - U_t^0 = 0 \text{ in } G, \\ U^0(z, 0) = 0 \text{ on } D, \\ \frac{\partial U_0}{\partial \nu} + b_1^0 U^0 = 0 \text{ on } \partial G_2. \end{cases} \quad (2.7)$$

From Theorem 1.1, we see that  $U^0 = 0$ . However, from  $\hat{C}^{1,0}[U^m, \bar{G}] = 1$ , there exists a point  $(z^*, t^*) \in \bar{G}$ , such that  $|U^0(z^*, t^*)| + |U_z^0(z^*, t^*)| > 0$ . This contradiction shows that the estimate (2.2) is true. Moreover, by using the method from (2.2) to (2.6), two estimates in (2.1) can be derived.

**Theorem 2.2.** *Suppose that Condition C' holds. Then any solution  $u(z, t)$  of Problem O for (1.8) satisfies the estimates*

$$\hat{C}_{\beta, \beta/2}^{1,0}[u, \bar{G}] = C_{\beta, \beta/2}^{1,0}[|u|^{\sigma+1}, \bar{G}] \leq M_6 k', \quad \|u\|_{W_2^{2,1}(G)} \leq M_7 k', \quad (2.8)$$

where  $\beta$  ( $0 < \beta \leq \alpha$ ),  $k' = k_1 + k_2 + k_3 + k_0(|u_z|^\eta + |u|^\tau)$ ,  $M_j = M_j(\delta, q, p, \beta, k_0, G)$  ( $j = 6, 7$ ) are non-negative constants.

*Proof.* If  $k' = 0$ , i.e.  $k_0 = k_1 = k_2 = k_3 = 0$ , from Theorem 1.1, it follows that  $u(z) = 0$  in  $\bar{G}$ . If  $k' > 0$ , it is easy to see that  $U(z) = u(z)/k'$  satisfies the complex equation and boundary conditions

$$A_0 U_{z\bar{z}} - \operatorname{Re}[Q U_{z\bar{z}} + A_1 U_z] - \hat{A}_2 U - U_t = [A_3 + F(z, t, u, u_z)] / k', \quad (2.9)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} U(z, 0) = \frac{g(z)}{k^*}, & z \in D, \\ \frac{\partial U}{\partial \nu} + b_1(z, t)U = \frac{b_2(z, t)}{k^*}, & (z, t) \in \partial G_2. \end{cases} \quad (2.10)$$

Noting that

$$L_p[A_3(z, t)/k', \overline{G}] \leq 1, \quad C_\alpha^1[g/k', D] \leq 1, \quad C_{\alpha, \alpha/2}^{1,0}[b_2/k', \partial G_2] \leq 1,$$

and according to the proof of Theorem 2.1, we have

$$\hat{C}_{\beta, \beta/2}^{1,0}[U, \overline{G}] \leq M_6, \quad \|U\|_{W_2^{2,1}(G)} \leq M_7. \quad (2.11)$$

From the above estimates, it immediately follows that two estimates in (2.8) hold.

### 3. Solvability of the initial-oblique derivative problem of second order parabolic complex equations

We consider the complex equation (1.8) namely the equation

$$\begin{aligned} A_0 u_{z\bar{z}} - \operatorname{Re}[Q u_{zz}] - u_t &= f(z, t, u, u_z), \quad f(z, t, u, u_z) = \\ &= \operatorname{Re}[Q u_{zz} + A_1 u_z] + \hat{A}_2 u + A_3 + F(z, t, u, u_z) \quad \text{in } G, \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

in which  $A_0 = A_0(z, t, u, u_z, u_{z\bar{z}})$ ,  $Q = Q(z, t, u, u_z, u_{z\bar{z}})$ ,  $A_1 = A_1(z, t, u, u_z)$ ,  $\hat{A}_2 = A_2(z, t, u) + |u|^\sigma$ ,  $A_3 = A_3(z, t)$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *Suppose that equation (1.8) satisfies Condition C' and (1.12).*

(1) *When  $0 < \eta, \tau < 1$ , Problem O for (1.8) has a solution  $u(z, t) \in C^{1,0}(\overline{G})$ .*

(2) *When  $\min(\eta, \tau) > 1$ , Problem O for (1.8) has a solution  $u(z, t) \in C^{1,0}(\overline{G})$ , provided that*

$$M_8 = L_p[A_3, \overline{G}] + C_\alpha^2[g, \overline{D}] + C_{\alpha, \alpha/2}^{2,1}[b_2, \partial G_2] \quad (3.2)$$

*is small enough.*

(3) *When  $F(z, t, u, u_z)$  in (1.8) possesses the form*

$$F(z, u, u_z) = \operatorname{Re} B_1 u_z + B_2 |u|^\tau \quad \text{in } D \quad (3.3)$$

*in which  $0 < \tau < \infty$ ,  $L_p[B_j, \overline{D}] \leq k_0$  ( $< \infty$ ,  $p > 4$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ ) with a positive constant  $k_0$ , if  $\tau < 1$ , and if  $\tau > 1$  and  $M_8$  in (3.2) is small enough, then (1.8) has a solution  $u(z, t) \in C^{1,0}(\overline{G})$ .*

*Proof.* (1) Consider the algebraic equation for  $t$

$$M_6[k_1 + k_0(t^\eta + t^\tau) + k_2 + k_3] = t. \quad (3.4)$$

Because  $0 < \eta, \tau < 1$ , the the above equation has a solution  $t = M_9 > 0$ , which is also the maximum of  $t$  in  $(0, +\infty)$ . Now, we introduce a closed, bounded and convex subset  $B$  of the Banach space  $C^{1,0}(\overline{G})$ , whose elements are of the form  $u(z)$  satisfying the condition

$$C^{1,0}[|u(z)|^{n+1}, \overline{G}] \leq M_9. \quad (3.5)$$

We choose an arbitrary function  $u(z) \in B$  and substitute it into the proper positions in the following equation and initial-boundary conditions (Problem  $O^h$ ) with the parameter  $h \in [0, 1]$

$$\begin{cases} A_0 u_{z\bar{z}} - \operatorname{Re}[Q u_{zz}] - u_t - h f(z, t, u, u_z) = A(z, t), & (z, t) \in G, \\ u(z, 0) = g(z), & z \in D, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} + h b_1(z, t) u = b(z, t), & (z, t) \in \partial G_2, \end{cases} \quad (3.6)$$

where  $A(z, t)$  are any measurable functions with the condition  $A(z, t) \in L_p(\bar{G})$ ,  $p > 4$ , and  $b(z, t)$  is a continuously differentiable function with the condition  $b(z, t) \in C_{\beta, \beta/2}^{1,0}(\partial G_2)$ . When  $h = 0$ , according to Theorem 4.3, Chapter IV, [4], we see that there exists a solution  $u_0(z, t) \in B = \hat{C}_{\beta, \beta/2}^{1,0}(\bar{G}) \cap W_2^{2,1}(G)$  of Problem  $O^0$ . Suppose that when  $h = h_0$  ( $0 \leq h_0 < 1$ ), Problem  $O^{h_0}$  for (3.6) is solvable. We shall prove that there exists a positive constant  $\varepsilon$  independent of  $h_0$ , such that for any  $h \in E = \{|h - h_0| \leq \varepsilon, 0 \leq h \leq 1\}$ , Problem  $O^h$  for (3.6) possesses a solution  $u(z, t) \in B$ . Let the above problem be rewritten in the form

$$\begin{cases} A_0 u_{z\bar{z}} - \operatorname{Re}[Q u_{zz}] - u_t - h_0 f(z, t, u, u_z) \\ = (h - h_0) f(z, t, u, u_z) + A(z, t) \text{ in } G, \\ u(z, 0) = g(z) \text{ on } D, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} + h_0 b_1 u = (h_0 - h) b_1 + b(z, t) \text{ on } \partial G_2. \end{cases} \quad (3.7)$$

We arbitrarily choose a function  $u^0(z, t) \in B$  and substitute it into the position of  $u$  on the right hand side of (3.7). It is easily seen that

$$\begin{aligned} (h - h_0) f(z, t, u^0, u_z^0) + A(z, t) &\in L_p(\bar{G}), \\ (h_0 - h) b_2(z, t) + b(z, t) &\in C_{\alpha, \alpha/2}^{0,0}(\partial G_2). \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

By the hypothesis of  $h_0$ , there exists a solution  $u^1(z, t) \in B$  of Problem  $O^h$  corresponding to

$$\begin{cases} A_0 u_{z\bar{z}} - \operatorname{Re}[Q u_{zz}] - u_t - h_0 f(z, t, u, u_z) \\ = (h - h_0) f(z, t, u^0, u_z^0) + A(z, t) \text{ in } G, \\ u(z, t) = g(z) \text{ in } D, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} + h_0 b_1 u = (h_0 - h) u^0 + b(z, t) \text{ on } \partial G_2. \end{cases} \quad (3.9)$$

By using the successive iteration, we obtain a sequence of solutions  $u^m(z, t)$  ( $m = 1, 2, \dots$ )  $\in B$  of Problem  $O^h$ , which satisfy



$$\begin{cases} A_0 u_{z\bar{z}}^{m+1} - \operatorname{Re}[Q u_{zz}^{m+1}] - u_t^{m+1} - h_0 f(z, t, u^{m+1}) \\ = (h - h_0) f(z, t, u^m) + A(z, t) \text{ in } G, \\ u^{m+1}(z, 0) = g(z) \text{ on } D, \\ \frac{\partial u^{m+1}}{\partial \nu} + h_0 b_1 u^{m+1} = (h_0 - h) b_1 u^m + b(z, t) \text{ on } \partial G_2, \\ m = 1, 2, \dots \end{cases} \quad (3.10)$$

According to the way in the proof of Theorem 2.2, we can obtain

$$C^{1,0}[u^{m+1}, \bar{G}] = \|u^{m+1}\| \leq \|h - h_0\| M_{10} C^{1,0}[u^m, \bar{G}],$$

where  $M_{10} = M_{10}(\delta, q, p, \beta, k, G) \geq 0$ . Setting  $\varepsilon = 1/2(M_{10} + 1)$ , we have

$$\|u^{m+1}\| = C^{1,0}[u^{m+1}, \bar{G}] \leq \frac{1}{2} \|u^m\| \text{ for } h \in E.$$

Hence when  $n \geq m > N + 2 (> 2)$ , there are

$$\begin{aligned} \|u^{m+1} - u^m\| &\leq 2^{-N} \|u^1 - u^0\|, \\ \|u^n - u^m\| &\leq 2^{-N} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{-j} \|u^1 - u^0\| = 2^{-N+1} \|u^1 - u^0\|. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that  $\|u^n - u^m\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n, m \rightarrow \infty$ . By the completeness of the Banach space  $B$ , there exists  $u^* \in B$ , such that  $\|u^n - u^*\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $u^*$  is the solution of Problem  $O^h$  with  $h \in E$ . Thus from the solvability of Problem  $O^0$ , we can derive the solvability of Problem  $O^1$ , in particular Problem  $O^1$  with  $A = 0$  and  $b(z, t) = 0$ , i.e. Problem  $O$  for (3.1) has a solution. This completes the proof.

(2) For the case  $\min(\eta, \tau) < 1$ , due to  $M_8$  in (3.2) is small enough, from

$$M_6[k_1 + k_0(t^\eta + t^\tau) + k_2 + k_3] = t,$$

a solution  $t = M_{11} > 0$  can be solved, which is also a maximum. Now we consider a subset  $B_*$  in the Banach space  $C^1(\bar{D})$ , i.e.

$$B_* = \{u(z) \mid C^{1,0}[u, \bar{G}] \leq M_{11}\},$$

and apply a similar method as before. We can prove that there exists a solution  $u(z) \in B_* = C^{1,0}(\bar{G})$  of Problem  $O$  for (1.8) with the constant  $\min(\eta, \tau) > 1$ .

(3) By using the similar method as in proofs of (1) and (2), we can verify the solvability of Problem  $O$  for (1.8) with the conditions  $0 < \tau < 1$  and  $1 < \tau < \infty$  as in (3) of the theorem.

## References

- [1] Vekua, IN: Generalized Analytic Functions. Pergamon, Oxford (1962)
- [2] Alkhutov, YuA, Mamedov, IT: The first boundary value problem for non-divergence second order parabolic equations with discontinuous coefficients. *Math. USSR Sbornik*, **59**, pp. 471–495 (1988)

- [3] Ladyzhenskaja, OA, Solonnikov, VA, Uraltseva, NN: Linear and Quasilinear Equations of Parabolic Type. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (1968)
- [4] Wen, GC: Linear and Nonlinear Parabolic Complex Equations. World Scientific Publishing Co., Singapore (1999)
- [5] Wen, GC, Begehr, H: Boundary Value Problems for Elliptic Equations and Systems. Longman Scientific and Technical Company, Harlow (1990)
- [6] Wen, GC: Conformal Mappings and Boundary Value Problems. Translations of Mathematics Monographs 106, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI(1992)
- [7] Wen, GC, Chen, DC., Xu, ZL: Nonlinear Complex Analysis and its Applications. Mathematics Monograph Series 12. Science Press, Beijing (2008)
- [8] Wen, GC: Recent Progress in Theory and Applications of Modern Complex Analysis. Science Press, Beijing (2010)