

Study on Urban Residents' Willingness to Buy the Products of Rural Tourism^{*}

Haibo Zhu, Ruihu Wu

Business School, Center South of Forest and Technology University, Changsha, China. Email: qnlkw@126.com

Received April 19th, 2012; revised May 4th, 2012; accepted May 20th, 2012

ABSTRACT

The level of value injected into the rural economy is dependent consumers acceptance of rural tourism. Data was obtained through primary research conducted in the three provinces in China of Hunan, Guangdong and Gansu, the paper explores urban residents' attitude to rural tourism and then estimates their willingness to pay for rural tourism products, utilizing hypothesis-evaluation to find a valid conclusion. The results show that their willingness is affected by gender, educational background, level of income; personal travel frequency, tourism form, aggregate demand form rural tourism, acceptance for rural tourism and the price of tourism in other cities have significant effect on their willingness to pay. The WTP proves that urban residents are usually willing to pay 35.1% more for non-rural tourism than they are for rural tourism.

Keywords: Rural Tourism; Purchase Intention; Empirical Analysis; Urban Residents

1. Introduction

As a major tourism form, rural tourism has developed so rapidly that it has become a major engine of domestic tourism and a catalyst to economic development and further reform. However, there are some problems associated with this economic development. Sustainability is a key concern. Ultimately it will be the urban resident's type of demand that will shape the future of rural tourism.

The research of rural tourism began in 1958; when Agar explored the benefits tourism in mountain areas brought to local peasants. This kind of researches developed significantly in the 1960s and 1970s, but most of the focus was on farm tourism [1]. It continued through into the beginning of 21th century. From 1980s to 1990s, some scholars' research direction turned into the marketing meaning of rural tourism and its effects on local economy and society. The research field was extended and it is in this stage that human being became one of focuses of the research. Keogh (1990) and Hernanadezetal (1996) thought that it was necessary to design a questionnaire and survey the local residents attitude towards tourism development, before facilities were built [2]. Peter Mason (2000) chose New Zealand because the case made a conclusion based on a national survey about how community residents' attitudes toward developing

tourism was with apparent sex difference [3].

At the beginning of this century, rural tourism became a main research topic in the area of tourism with the development of rural tourism scholars that is significantly important for rural tourism [4], which emphasized the research on their behaviour of motive and manner. Lourdes Molera had got the view of market choice after investigating rural tourist to the southeast of Spain. Martin Oppermann (1996) explored the effect of German tourist's characteristic on rural tourism. Rosa M. Hernandez Maestro explained tourist's attitude toward rural tourism and the process of their emotional change between perceived service quality and satisfaction [5].

In China, The present research on the relationship between tourist and the product of rural tourism is at the beginning of stage. Taking French enterprise Le Relais de Chenillé for example, Fang Zhongquan and Guo Yixian summarized the marketing and its experiences of rural tourism in France [6]. Zhang Jianguo and Yu Yiwu firstly made a research on the trend of urban resident's demands for the product of rural tourism and found that urban resident's consumption motives involve demands in their body and mind, for example the demands for returning to the nature, learning demand, nostalgic demand and multiple demand [7]. However, there is nearly no research on the purchase intention of rural tourism products from the present literature, it is only mentioned a little in the literature on rural tourism products. So ana-

^{*}The empirical analysis is derived from urban residents living in Hunan, Guangdong and Gansu Province in China.

lyzing the purchase intention to rural tourism products from the standpoint of urban residents from this research is able to fill in the gap of the field about the relationship between rural tourism products and tourist's purchase intention, and provide constructive advice to the development of rural tourism in China.

2. Investigation and Statistics

2.1. Investigation Method

In May and July in 2008, February and August in 2009, the author conducted masters and undergraduates to randomly send out 400 questionnaires to tourists at some pastoral restaurants and farms nearby Changsha city, 100 questionnaires at universities, organizations and enterprises, in all 500 questionnaires. They mostly are filled in on the spot and totally 500 questionnaires have been retrieved, among which there are 477 available questionnaires and with a ratio of 95.4%.

2.2. The Result of Investigation

- Respondents' basic statistical characteristics. As shown in **Table 1**.
- The analysis of tourist's recognition of rural tourism products. The investigation has showed that tourists are familiar with rural tourism and there 89.1% of tourists are with some recognition of rural tourism products (**Table 2**).
- The analysis of tourist's recognition of rural tourism benefits. 42.8% of tourists think that rural tourism is good for relaxation in both mentally and physically, they can relieve working-pressure and breathe the fresh air by the countryside travel. 40.7% take rural tourism as a right way to gather and communicate with friends; 25.2% want to broaden their horizon by doing farm work and recognizing farm products in rural tourism; and 48.4% believe that rural tourism contributes cultivating hardship endurance which is help-ful for child's education (**Table 3**).
- Tourists' attitude toward developing rural tourism. In survey, it is also clear that most of tourists are admissible for rural tourism. Tourists who are consent and completely consent are 81.1%, while there are only 5.9% who expressed dissent about it (**Table 4**).
- Tourist's recognition on rural tourism development. According to Richter scale ("great, comparatively great, normal, a little, little"), tourist's recognition of rural tourism on enhancing local economy development can be concluded as **Table 5**.

3. The Estimation of Tourist's Purchase Intention

3.1. Regression Analysis

In regression analysis, Classification dependent variable

Table 1. Respondents' demographic characteristics.

Characteristics	Description	Person Number	Ratio
Gender	male	248	52
	female	229	48
Age	<22	10	2.1
	22 - 35	238	49.9
	36 - 45	143	30.0
	46 - 55	70	
	56 or more	16	
Residence	city	411	86.2
	suburban	52	10.9
	country	14	2.9
Birth family	country	28	
	suburban	82	
	city	367	
Family	single	237	49.7
constructure	couple	42	8.8
	three	155	32.5
	more than three	43	9.0
Educational attainment	technical/high school or lower	79	16.6
utumment	Junior college	171	35.8
	regular college	155	32.5
	Master or higher	72	15.5
Monthly	¥1000 or less	121	25.4
income	¥1001 - ¥2000	129	27.0
	¥2001 - ¥3000	84	17.6
	¥3001 - ¥4000	76	16.0
	¥4000 or more	67	14.0
Rural tourism	Once a week or more	45	9.4
frequency	Once a month or more	78	15.5
	Once two months	148	31.1
	Once three months	110	
	Once six moths	65	
	Once a year or less	35	
Annual tourism consumption	¥501 - ¥1000	72	16.9
	¥1001 - ¥3000	77	18.1
	More than ¥3000	126	29.6
Rural tourism	Travel agent	108	
purchase form	By self	369	

Table 2. Tourist's recognition of rural tourism products.

	Person number	Ratio
Unfamiliar	52	10.9
Familiar	194	40.7
Very familiar	231	48.4

Table 3. Rural tourism benefits.

Benefits	Person number	Ratio
Gather and communicate with friends	194	40.7
Relieve mental stress and breathe the fresh air	204	42.8
Broaden their horizon	120	25.2
Helpful for child's education	231	48.4

Table 4. Tourists' attitude toward developing rural tourism.

Tourists' attitude	Person number	Ratio	
Completely sympathy	145	30.4	
Sympathy	242	50.7	
No interest	37	7.8	
Little sympathy	25	5.2	
Dissent	28	5.9	

Table 5. Tourist's recognition of enhancing effect of ruraltourism on local society or economy.

Tourist's recognition	Person number	Ratio
Great	85	17.8
Comparatively great	162	34.0
Normal	147	30.8
A little	71	14.9
Little	22	4.6

is analysed with logit, namely Consumer's rural tourism product purchase is looked as the result of synergism of price, accessibility and respondent's characteristic, illustrated with a function: V = F (price, accessibility, income, gender, age, the recognition of rural tourism product, purchase frequency), namely as:

$$V_{in} = \beta_{i0} + \beta_1 P_{in} + \beta_{i2} X_{n2} + \wedge + \beta_{ik} X_{nk} + \varepsilon_{in}$$
(1)

In the function, V_{in} is the utility when the *n*th respondent chooses *i*th rural tourism product; P_{in} is the price of product *i*; X_{n1}, \dots, X_{nk} is respondent *n*'s characteristic; The error item ε_{in} is supposed to be independent and consistent; Parameters (except β_1) in the function are all seemed as zero; The probability when the *n*th consumer purchases product *i* is:

$$P_n = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-\beta_{in}X_{in})} = \frac{\exp(\sum \beta_{in}X_{in})}{1 + \exp(\beta_{in}X_{in})} = \frac{\exp(V_{in})}{\sum_{j}\exp(V_{in})}$$
(2)

For logistic regression, the linear combination of independent variable need to be put at a side of the equation, which can turn to be a linear form of independent variable. Then through log conversion the linear equation of both probability function and independent variable can be:

$$\ln\left[\frac{P_n}{1-P_n}\right] = \sum \left(\beta_{in} X_{in}\right) \tag{3}$$

The purpose of this research is to estimate tourist's purchase intention to rural tourism products. The binary choice probability in purchasing certificated and non-certificated rural tourism products lies on both price and consumer characteristic, whose relation follows logistic function. Logit regression is conducted with SPSS17.0, and i = 0, 1 respectively represented certificated and non-certificated rural tourism products.

Apparently, In accordance with the principle of equal marginal utilities. So consumer's purchase intention to certificated rural tourism products can be represented as:

$$\beta_{1}P_{0n} + \varepsilon_{0n} = \beta_{10} + \beta_{1}(P_{1n} + WTP_{1n}) + \beta_{12}X_{n2} + \wedge$$

+ $\beta_{1k}X_{nk} + \varepsilon_{1n}$
(4)

Suppose the expectation value of error item:

 $E(\varepsilon_{0n}) = E(\varepsilon_{1n}) = 0$, then consumer's average purchase intention to each product is:

$$\overline{WTP} = -\frac{1}{\beta_1} \left(\beta_{10} + \beta_{12} \overline{X_2} + \wedge + \beta_{1k} \overline{X_k} \right)$$
(5)

3.2. Hypothesis-Evaluation Method

This research employ the hypothesis-evaluation method, which after being asked some question the tourist is required to make a choice between two products and the choice change with different price could be observed in this situation.

The drawback of this method (including other method to explain consumer purchase intention) has some difference between consumer's actual choice and the choice in answering questionnaire. After all, respondents need not to pay real money and there is no stimulus to inspire showing their real preference. With the lack of product appropriate to do empirical confirmation it is no better than to use hypothesis-evaluation method. The choice experiment consists of two steps. Step 1, inquire respondents how to make a choice between rural tourism products and other tourism products without any indication. Step 2, each respondent face the same choice, but drive down the price of the product which is not chosen by most respondents. The result shows that the fall in price will attract consumer choosing other tourism products(as urban tourism and sightseeing): with the fall of 5% price, consumers who turn to choose urban tourism are 10.02%; with the fall of 10%, the consumers are 8.64%; with the fall of 15%, the consumers are 7.96%; with the fall of 20%, the consumers are 26.47%; with the fall of 25%, the consumers are 16.32%; with the fall of 30%, the

consumers are 9.48%; with the fall of 35%, the consumers are 3.28%; with the fall of more than 40%, the consumers are 27.83%.

3.3. Regression Result

The paper estimates the purchase intention to rural tourism with binary logit regression. In the regression model each choice is introduced as a group of observation into regression equation. If a respondent sees no difference between rural tourism products and other ones then his or her choice should be considered as two groups of observation; if a respondent have already made a clear choice his or her choice should be looked as a group of observation. A consumer's choice of rural tourism products is set to be basic status which is represented with 0; his or her choice of urban tourism products is represented with 1; explanatory variables consist of the price of rural tourism products, respondents' typical demographic characteristic (as age, gender, education, income and region), the degree of urban residents' recognition of rural tourism products and tourism frequency. Table 5 shows the definition of variables and the mean of sample. The regression analysis in research tries to explore how much urban residents would like to pay for avoiding purchasing rural tourism products or how much the price of rural tourism products falls that can attract urban residents to purchase them. Through forward conditional step-wise regression the regression result (Table 6) is got in Step 8, it can be seen in Table 6 that the coefficients of such variables as gender, education, monthly income, purchase frequency, purchase way, the payout in last year, the accessibility and the price of rural tourism products are significantly not 0 at 5% significant level, and the significance of other variables is not great. The coefficient of variable gender is significantly positive, which shows that the proportion between the female and the male is nearly not great, but the male think higher of social interaction and the female think higher of family relation and child's education.

The coefficient of education is significantly negative which shows that urban residents think higher of purchasing rural tourism products as the increasing education and that consumers with higher education emphasize more tourism quality, environmental protection and environmental education. The negative coefficient of income shows that with increasing income consumers may pay more attention to life quality, leisure and participation in tourism, but not price, which results in the low elastic of price. The positive coefficient of purchase frequency shows consumers with higher purchase frequency

Table 6. Parameter estimate of regressive model.

	β	S.E	Wald	df.	Sig.	$\mathrm{Exp}(\beta)$
GENDER	0.475**	0.089	28.349	1	0.000	1.607
EDUCA	-0.685^{**}	0.050	186.455	1	0.000	0.504
INCOME	-0.214^{**}	0.051	17.836	1	0.000	0.807
FREQU	0.127^{**}	0.049	6.553	1	0.010	1.135
TRAWAY			9.021	2	0.011	
TRAWAY(1)	-0.338**	0.129	6.833	1	0.009	0.713
TRAWAY(2)	-0.045	0.111	0.161	1	0.688	0.956
EXPENSE	0.266^{**}	0.050	28.246	1	0.000	1.304
GREE	0.253^{**}	0.051	24.786	1	0.000	1.288
PRICE	-3.205^{*}	0.330	94.229	1	0.034	0.04
CONSTANT	-0.304^{*}	0.124	6.010	1	0.014	0.738
n < 0.001 $n < 0.01$ n values are unilatoral						

p < 0.001, p < 0.01, p values are unilateral.

more probably choose rural tourism products, because urban residents with higher purchase frequency will be afraid of the increase of expenditure on tourism for consumption may lead to the accidental choice of rural tourism products. The positive coefficient of the purchase way of tourism agent means that rural tourism products purchased through tourism agent is fewer and most are supplementary not chief tourism destination. The negative coefficient of annual expense of rural tourism means that consumers with higher expense in last year more probably choose urban tourism. The positive coefficient of accessibility means that the increase demand of rural tourism products belongs to inelastic demand, namely the choice of rural tourism products is affected little by tourism expense. The negative coefficient of price means that the higher of the price of rural tourism products, the lower urban residents' purchase intention to rural tourism products and that urban residents are not sensitive to the price in purchasing rural tourism products.

4. The Estimation of Purchase Intention Ations

With means and regression coefficients of all available urban residents' purchase intention can be gotten according to Equation (5) and then deduce the purchase intention to rural tourism. The meaning of urban residents' purchase intention to rural tourism products is that urban residents will purchase rural tourism products only at how much lower price of rural tourism products than the price of urban tourism. Purchase intention to rural tourism is defined as the amount of money which urban residents intend to pay for rural tourism. According Equation (5) it is:

$$\overline{WTP_{1}} = -\frac{1}{\beta_{1}} \Big(\beta_{10} + \beta_{12} \overline{X_{2}} + \wedge + \beta_{1k} \overline{X_{k}} \Big) = -\frac{1}{-3.205} \\ \times \Big[-0.304 + 0.475 \times 0.52 + (-0.685 \times 2.51) + (-0.214) \times 2.32 + 0.127 \times 2.99 + 0.338 \times 0.27 + 0.266 \times 2.48 + 0.253 \times 1.93 \Big] \\ = -26\%$$

In the model, the price of rural tourism products is standardized as 1, so all of respondents' average purchase intentions are -26%, which suggests that usually urban residents will intend to purchase rural tourism products only only at 26% lower price than that of urban tourism. If on the base of rural tourism products, urban residents would like to pay 35.1% (0.26/(1-0.26) = 35.1%) more for urban tourism.

5. Conclusion

The result of research with hypothesis-evaluation on tourists' purchase intention to rural tourism in Changsha city Hunan province shows that the difference of purchase intention among tourists with different characteristic is great. This paper trys to apply some econometrics methods to analysis major factors which affect respondents' purchase intention to rural tourism. The result also suggests that urban residents' purchase intention to rural tourism is significantly affected by gender, education, monthly income, purchase frequency, purchase way, annual expense in rural tourism, the accessibility of rural tourism, the price of rural tourism products. Additionally, the tourists who are male, high educated, high-income, with the purchase way of tourism agent and high accessibility of rural tourism more probably choose to purchase rural tourism products, but the tourists with high purchase frequency and annual expense in tourism more probably choose to purchase urban tourism products.

6. Author in Brief

ZHU Haibo (1971~), male, Associate Professor, received the Master's degree from Center South of Forest and Technology University and now studying for a doctorate in Central South University. Mainly engaged in marketing channel management research work.

7. Acknowledgements

This article is supported by the Youth Project funding of Education Department of Hunan Province (project numbers: 09b115), allow us to thank them for the kindness.

REFERENCES

- Z. G. Yao, Q. Su, *et al.*, "Research Perspective of Abroad Rural Tourism," *Economic Geography*, Vol. 27, No. 6, 2007, pp. 1046-1049.
- [2] S. A. Hernandez, J. Cohen and H. L. Garcia, "Residents' Attitudes towards an Instant Resort Enclave," *Annals of Tourism Research*, Vol. 23, No. 4, 1996, pp. 755-779.
- [3] P. Mason and J. Cheyne, "Residents' Attitudes to Proposed Tourism Development," *Annals of Tourism Research*, Vol. 27, No. 2, 2000, pp. 391-411. doi:10.1016/S0160-7383(99)00084-5
- [4] Q. L. Wu and S. W. Zhen, "Abroad Rural Tourism Research and Its Apocalypse for China-Based on Status Cerebration of the Development of Chinese Rural Tourism," *China Price*, 2007, pp. 58-61.
- [5] M. Rosa, A. Pablo and S. Libia, "The Moderating Role of Amiliarity in Rural Tourismin Spain," *Tourism Management*, Vol. 28, No. 4, 2006, pp. 951-954.
- [6] Z. Q. Fang and Y. X. Guo, "The Marketing and Experience of French Rural Tourism Products—The Case of Le Relais de Chenillé," *Cultural Geography*, Vol. 97, No. 5, 2007, pp. 76-80.
- [7] J. G. Zhang, Y. W. Yu, *et al.*, "The Trend of Urban Residents' Demand for Rural Tourism Products—The Case of Ningbo Residens," *Business Research*, Vol. 21, No. 362, 2007, pp. 195-198.