Scientific Research

On Elliptic Curves with Everywhere Good Reduction over Certain Number Fields

Shun'ichi Yokoyama
Faculty of Mathematics, Kyushu University, Fukuoka, Japan
Email: s-yokoyama@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp

ABSTRACT

We prove the existence and nonexistence of elliptic curves having good reduction everywhere over certain real quadratic fields $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$ for $m \leq 200$. These results of computations give best-possible data including structures of Mordell-Weil groups over some real quadratic fields via two-descent. We also prove similar results for the case of certain cubic fields. Especially, we give the first example of elliptic curve having everywhere good reduction over a pure cubic field using our method.

Keywords: Elliptic Curves over Number Fields; Mordell-Weil Group; Two-Descent

1. Introduction

Tables of elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$ have been of great value in mathematical research. In particular, some databases are very famous and useful in number theory, and Cremona's index (classification of elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$) becomes popular. Nowadays, modularity theorem that explains correspondence between elliptic curves and modular forms becomes one of the most important facts in number theory and arithmetic geometry.

Meanwhile, computing elliptic curves (rank of curves, Mordell-Weil groups etc.) over general number fields is still hard. There are only a few databases of such curves and these databases use Cremona-Lingham's general algorithm over number fields. It seems ideal from the viewpoint of computational approach, and we can also observe the case of elliptic curves over cubic fields that are not totally real. However, updating of this algorithm with supplementary tables had been stopped since September 2005. In addition, though we apply this general algorithm, we have to determine many Mordell-Weil groups (=sets of rational points) and this task is the most difficult in creating databases.

Therefore, we have to find a more efficient way (reducing the number of Mordell-Weil groups that we have to determine) to achieve this project and also easy-to-read sorted tables of such curves, including information (with references) which case is already known and which case is still open.

Let K_m be the real quadratic field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$ where m is a square-free positive integer with $m \leq 200$ and

O_{K_m} the ring of integers of K_m. We already know the following results concerning elliptic curves with everywhere good reduction over certain real quadratic fields [1-16]:

Theorem 1.1.

1). There are no elliptic curves with everywhere good reduction over K_m if

$$m = 2, 3, 5, 10, 11, 13, 15, 17, 19, 21, 23, 30, 31, 34, 35,$$
$$39, 42, 47, 53, 55, 57, 58, 61, 66, 69, 70, 73,$$
$$74, 78, 82, 83, 85, 89, 93, 94, 95 \text{ and } 97.$$

2). The elliptic curves with everywhere good reduction over K_m are determined completely for

$$m = 6, 7, 14, 22, 29, 33, 37, 38, 41, 65 \text{ and } 77.$$

3). There are elliptic curves with everywhere good reduction over K_m if $m = 26, 79$ and 86.

We can also consider the pure cubic field case. Let L_m be the pure cubic field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{m})$ where m is cube-free, positive integer with $m \leq 200$ and O_{L_m} the ring of integers of L_m. The first known result is given by Bertolini-Canuto [17]:

Theorem 1.2. Let L be the field $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ where α is the real cube root of 2 (*i.e.* $L = L_2$). Then there are no elliptic curves over L with good reduction everywhere.

Recently, N. Takeshi applied Bertolini-Canuto's method and showed the following criterion in her master's thesis.

Theorem 1.3. ([18]) Let L be the cubic field (not only

pure cubic) satisfying the following conditions:

a) 2 does not split on $L/\mathbb{Q}$,

b) The narrow class number of L is coprime to 6.

Then there are no admissible curves over L (= elliptic curves with everywhere good reduction over L which have L-rational point of order 2).

In this paper, we extend Theorem 1.1 and apply our method to determine the existence and nonexistence of elliptic curves having good reduction everywhere over certain pure cubic fields. The following two theorems are our main result:

Theorem 1.4.

1). There are no elliptic curves with everywhere good reduction over K_m if $m = 43, 46, 59, 62, 67, 71, 103, 107, 127, 137, 139, 151$ and 163.

2). The elliptic curves with everywhere good reduction over K_m are determined completely for $m = 109$.

3). There are admissible curves over K_m if $m = 118, 134, 161$ and 166.

4). There are no admissible curves over K_m if $m = 131, 179$ and 199.

5). There is an elliptic curve E with everywhere good reduction and not having K_m-rational point of order 2 if $m = 158$ and 161.

Theorem 1.5.

1). There are no elliptic curves with everywhere good reduction over L_m if

$$m = 3, 5, 6, 10, 12, 17, 18, 29, 116, 137, 173 \text{ and } 197.$$

2). If $m = 23, 44, 45, 75$ and 87, there are no admissible curves and elliptic curves with everywhere good reduction over L_m which have cubic discriminant.

3. There is an elliptic curve E with everywhere good reduction and not having L_m-rational point of order 2 over L_m if $m = 46$.

We would like to remark that this result is an extension of the author's previous result [19].

2. Strategy

In this section, we introduce the strategy to prove our results. Our strategy for the proof is close to that of T. Kagawa [7]. However, we use different kinds of computer softwares and computational techniques.

Important processes of our result are the following: At first, we divide all elliptic curves having everywhere good reduction into two types. One is "admissible case", and the other is "nonadmissible case". Next we consider some criteria of S. Comalada to determine whether admissible curves exist or not (Section 2.1). After that, we observe (non)existence of all nonadmissible curves using some criteria from algebraic number theory (Section 2.2). Using this method, we can get the list of important invariants having constraint condition, and this condition can be expressed using certain elliptic curves over K_m

or L_m. Finally, we directly compute Mordell-Weil groups of specific elliptic curves (Section 2.3). Explicit data are given from Section 2.4 to 2.6. We note that easy-to-read sorted tables will be given in Chapter 3.

2.1. For the Case of Admissible Curves

First of all, S. Comalada [20] determines all admissible curves defined over K_m with $m \leq 100$. Comalada also gives some criteria to find admissible curves over K_m for an arbitrary m.

Definition 2.1. An elliptic curve defined over K_m is called g-admissible if it is admissible and has a global minimal model.

Proposition 2.2. The following two conditions are equivalent:

1) There exists a g-admissible elliptic curve over K_m.

2) $m = 1023$ or either of these sets of diophantine equations has a solution:

a) $x^2 - 4my^2 = -7$, $7 \mid m$,

b) $x^2 - 4my^2 = 65$, $65 \mid m$,

c) $x^2 - my^2 = -2$, $m \equiv -2 \pmod{8}$,

d) $x^2 - my^2 = -8$ and $r^2 - ms^2 = \pm 256$, r is odd, $m \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$.

e) $r^2 - ms^2 = -16384$ and $t^2 - mw^2 = 8r$, $r \equiv 3 \pmod 4$, $(t, r) = 1$, $128w \equiv st \pmod r$, $m \equiv 1 \pmod 8$.

Thus we can find some admissible curves appearing Theorem 1.4 using Comalada's method.

2.2. For the Case of Nonadmissible Curves

Next we assume that a number field K is K_m or L_m. We also assume that the class number of K is 1 and every elliptic curve E with everywhere good reduction over K has no K-rational point of order 2. For our convenience, we say "nonadmissible" if E has everywhere good reduction over K with no K-rational point of order 2. First we use the following result:

Proposition 2.3. ([21]) Let E be an elliptic curve over a number field K. If the class number of K is prime to 6 then E has a global minimal model.

Let E be an elliptic curve with everywhere good reduction over a number field K. By Proposition 2.3, E has a global minimal model

$$E : y^2 + a_1 xy + a_3 y = x^3 + a_2 x^2 + a_4 x + a_6$$

with coefficients $a_i \in O_K$ $(i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 6)$.

The discriminant of E (denoted by $\Delta(E)$) is

$$\Delta(E) = \frac{c_4^3 - c_6^2}{1728}$$

where $c_4, c_6 \in O_K$ are, as in [22] (Chapter III, p. 42), written as polynomials in the a_i's with integer coefficients. Moreover, the following conditions are equivalent (cf. [22], Chapter VII, Prop. 5.1):

1) E has everywhere good reduction over K,

2) $\Delta(E) \in O_K^\times$.

In our case, all elements of $O_K^\times$ are written in the form $\pm\varepsilon^n$ where ε is a fundamental unit of K (let us fix ε for each m). Thus to determine the elliptic curves with everywhere good reduction over K, we shall compute the sets

$$E_n^\pm(O_K)$$
$$= \left\{ (x,y) \in O_K \times O_K \,\middle|\, y^2 = x^3 \pm 1728\varepsilon^n \right\} \quad (0 \le n < 12)$$

However, the set of coefficients $(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_6) \in O_K^{\oplus 5}$, which gives rise to $(c_4, c_6) \in O_K^{\oplus 2}$, does not necessarily exist. Therefore, we check whether the curve

$$E_C : y^2 = x^3 - 27c_4 x - 54c_6,$$

which is isomorphic to E over K, has trivial conductor for each $(c_4, c_6) \in E_n^\pm(O_K)$.

Actually, it is very hard to compute all $E_n^\pm(O_K)$ because of the limitation of efficiency of equipments. To reduce the amount of computation, we show that some values of n are irrelevant by using Kagawa's results. Before that, we can easily reduce for the cases of $6 \le n < 12$ because the map

$$E_n^\pm(O_K) \to E_{n+6}^\pm(O_K), (x,y) \mapsto (x\varepsilon^2, y\varepsilon^3)$$

is a bijection. Hence, we observe $E_n^\pm(O_K)$ only for $0 \le n < 6$.

In [7], Kagawa shows a criterion whether the discriminant of an elliptic curve with everywhere good reduction over K_m is a cube in K_m:

Lemma 2.4. If the following five conditions hold, then the discriminant of every elliptic curve with everywhere good reduction over K_m is a cube in K_m:

1) The class number of K_m is prime to 6;

2) $K_m/\mathbb{Q}$ is unramified at 3;

3) The class number of $K_m(\sqrt{-3})$ is prime to 3;

4) The class number of $K_m(\sqrt[3]{\varepsilon})$ is odd;

5) For some prime ideal P of K_m dividing 3, the congruence $X^3 \equiv \varepsilon \pmod{P^2}$ does not have a solution in O_{K_m}.

Using the criterion, Kagawa shows the following:

Lemma 2.5. ([23]) If $m = 107, 127, 161, 166$ or 193, every elliptic curve with everywhere good reduction over K_m has a global minimal model whose discriminant is a cube in K_m.

Therefore, we have $\Delta(E) = \pm\varepsilon^{3n}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

By applying the next lemma, we can further discard some cases:

Lemma 2.6. ([7]) Let K be a number field and E an elliptic curve defined over K. If E has good reduction outside 2 and has no K-rational point of order 2, then $K(E[2])\big/K\left(\sqrt{\Delta(E)}\right)$ is a cyclic cubic extension unramified outside 2. In particular, the ray class number of $K\left(\sqrt{\Delta(E)}\right)$ modulo $\mathfrak{M} = \prod_{P|2} P$ is a multiple of 3.

Note that $K\left(\sqrt{\Delta(E)}\right) = K\left(\sqrt{\pm\varepsilon^n}\right)$ is either K, $K\left(\sqrt{-1}\right)$ or $K\left(\sqrt{\pm\varepsilon}\right)$. Thus we compute the ray class number of $K\left(\sqrt{\Delta(E)}\right)$ modulo $\mathfrak{M}$. The following computations in **Tables 1** and **2** are carried out by using Pari/GP [24] (Same type results were obtained in [25] by using KASH [26]). The bold-faced numbers in this table are the ones divisible by 3.

Remark 2.7. Using Lemma 2.6 with some arguments, we conclude that if the class number of K is 2 and the ray class numbers of K, $K\left(\sqrt{-1}\right)$ and $K\left(\sqrt{\pm\varepsilon}\right)$ are

Table 1. Ray class number of $K\left(\sqrt{\Delta(E)}\right)$ modulo $\mathfrak{M}$.

m	Ray class number		
	$K_m\left(\sqrt{-1}\right)$	$K_m\left(\sqrt{\varepsilon}\right)$	$K_m\left(\sqrt{-\varepsilon}\right)$
43	**3**	10	1
46	4	1	**3**
59	**9**	**6**	1
62	8	**3**	1
67	**3**	14	1
71	7	**3**	4
103	5	1	20
107	**9**	1	**6**
109	**3**	1	1
127	5	**3**	16
131	**15**	**6**	1
137	4	1	1
139	**9**	14	1
151	7	**12**	1
161	8	**3**	1
163	**3**	22	**3**
179	**15**	1	**6**
193	2	1	1
199	**9**	20	1

Table 2. Ray class number of $L_m\left(\sqrt{\Delta(E)}\right)$ modulo $\mathfrak{M}$.

m	Ray class number		
	$L_m\left(\sqrt{-1}\right)$	$L_m\left(\sqrt{\varepsilon}\right)$	$L_m\left(\sqrt{-\varepsilon}\right)$
M_1	1	1	1
M_2	**3**	1	1
M_3	1	**3**	1
M_4	1	1	**3**
M_5	**3**	**3**	1
M_6	**3**	1	**3**
M_7	**6**	1	1
M_8	**21**	1	1

M_k's $(1 \le k \le 8)$ appearing in the above are as follows:

$M_1 \in \{2,3,5,6,10,12,17,18,29,116,137,173,197\}$,

$M_2 \in \{23,33,44,46,53,71,82,99,145,167,179\}$,

$M_3 \in \{45,87\}$, $\quad M_4 \in \{75\}$, $\quad M_5 \in \{41,55\}$, $\quad M_6 \in \{59,69,188\}$,

$M_7 \in \{107\}$, $\quad M_8 \in \{177\}$.

all prime to 3 then each elliptic curve with everywhere good reduction over K is admissible (See [25], Cor. 2.3). In this way, we compute them for K_m with $m = 118$, 134, 158, 166 and we conclude that the it cannot be determined for these 4 cases whether there is an elliptic curve with everywhere good reduction over K_m which have no K_m-rational point of order 2. Meanwhile, we can show the (non)existence of an admissible curve (see the next section).

For example, the case of $m = 46$, we can conclude that $K_m\left(\sqrt{\Delta(E)}\right) = K_m\left(\sqrt{-\varepsilon}\right)$ thus the discriminant has the form $\Delta(E) = -\varepsilon^{2k+1}$ $\left(k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}\right)$. Hence we should determine three sets of integral points $E_1^+\left(O_{K_m}\right)$,

$E_3^+\left(O_{K_m}\right)$ and $E_5^+\left(O_{K_m}\right)$.

2.3. Computing Mordell-Weil Basis and Integral Points

To compute $E_n^\pm\left(O_K\right)$, we first compute the Mordell-Weil group

$$E_n^\pm\left(K\right) = \left\{(x,y) \in K \times K \,\middle|\, y^2 = x^3 \pm 1728\varepsilon^n\right\} \left(0 \le n < 6\right).$$

It is decomposed into a direct-sum of $E_n^\pm\left(K\right)_{tors}$ (torsion part) and $E_n^\pm\left(K\right)_{free} \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus r}$ (free part, which is not canonical, with $r \ge 0$). The torsion part can be determined by observing reduction at good primes and de-

composition of division polynomials. On the other hand, the free part can be computed by applying two-descent and infinite descent (the process of decompression from $E_n^\pm\left(K\right)/2E_n^\pm\left(K\right)$ to $E_n^\pm\left(K\right)$). We used Denis Simon's two-descent program (cf. [27]) on Pari-GP [24]. To compute some related data efficiently, we executed the Pari-GP program on Sage [28] as a built-in software. We also use Magma [29] for verification.

The procedure of explicit computation of $E(K)$ is the following:

(1) Determine $p_1, \cdots, p_r$ whose images in $E(K)/E(K)_{tors}$ generate a subgroup of finite index of $E(K)/E(K)_{tors}$. Usually, these are obtained by performing an m-descent for some $m \ge 2$, especially we often choose $m = 2$.

(2) Compute an upper bound on the index:

$$\left[E(K)/E(K)_{tors} : \left\langle p_1, \cdots, p_r\right\rangle\right].$$

(3) A sieving procedure (See [30], Section 4) is then used to deduce a Mordell-Weil basis for $E(K)$.

We certainly wish to have an upper bound for

$\left[E(K)/E(K)_{tors} : \left\langle p_1, \cdots, p_r\right\rangle\right]$ as small as possible. In particular, $p_1, \cdots, p_r$ will certainly be a Mordell-Weil basis of $E(K)$ if the value is equal to 1.

To compute the subset $E_n^\pm\left(O_K\right)$ of integral points in $E_n^\pm\left(K\right)$, we use the method of elliptic logarithm to compute the linear form:

$$L = \sum_{i=1}^r m_i p_i + nT \in E_n^\pm\left(O_K\right) \left(m_1, \cdots, m_r, n \in \mathbb{Z}\right)$$

where p_i's and T are generators of the free part and the torsion part. Moreover, the maximum of the absolute values of the coefficients of the linear form

$$M = \max\left\{|m_1|, \cdots, |m_r|, |n|\right\}$$

can be bounded using the LLL-algorithm (by Lenstra-Lenstra-Lovasz, cf. [31]).

Finally, we compute that the elliptic curve

$$E_C : y^2 = x^3 - 27c_4x - 54c_6$$

has trivial conductor.

2.4. Computation I: Admissible Curves for Real Quadratic Case

First we prove the (non)existence of g-admissible curves:
Proposition 2.8.

1). There are no g-admissible curves over K_m if $m = 103, 107, 109, 127, 131, 137, 139, 151, 158, 163, 179$, and 199.

2). There are g-admissible curves over K_m if m = 118, 134, 161 and 166..

Proof. For all m's appearing in 1., the third equivalent condition a)-e) of Proposition 2.2 does not be satisfied.

For $m = 118$, 134 and 166, we can find a solution of 2)-c) of Prop. 2.2. The equation has the form

$$x^2 - my^2 = -2$$

and we can get the following solutions:

(Case $m = 118$) $554^2 - 118 \cdot 51^2 = -2$,

(Case $m = 134$) $382^2 - 134 \cdot 33^2 = -2$,

(Case $m = 166$) $41242^2 - 166 \cdot 3201^2 = -2$.

For $m = 161$, we can find a solution of 2)-a) of Prop. 2.2. The equation has the form

$$x^2 - 4my^2 = -7$$

and we can get the following solution

$$203^2 - 4 \cdot 161 \cdot 8^2 = -7.$$

Remark 2.9. In fact, [20] proved that the number of g-admissible elliptic curves over K_m (up to isomorphism) for $m = 118, 134, 166$ is 2 if

$$x^2 - my^2 = -2$$

is solvable and $m \neq 6$. Thus we conclude that the number of admissible elliptic curves over K_m for m = 118, 134, 166 is greater than or equal to 2. Note that it is not true in general that all admissible curves defined over K_m are g-admissible. However, assume the class number of K_m is odd, it is true except some cases.

2.5. Computation II: Nonadmissible Curves for Real Quadratic Case

Proposition 2.10. If $m = 43, 46, 59, 62, 67, 71, 107, 127, 139, 151$ and 163, there are no elliptic curves with everywhere good reduction over K_m.

Proof. We compute Mordell-Weil bases and the sets of integral points for each of the 11 cases using method appearing in Section 2.3. In this paper, we omit data of bases of $E_n^{\pm}(K_m)$ and the sets of integral points to avoid being intricate. A complete data are available from the author's website: http://www2.math.kyushu-u.ac.jp/~s-yokoyama/ECtable.html (*).

As a result, there are no pairs $(c_4, c_6) \in E_n^{\pm}(O_{K_m})$ for which the elliptic curve E_C has trivial conductor.

For the case $m = 109$, we can find (c_4, c_6) (that gives the elliptic curve with everywhere good reduction appearing in Theorem 1.4) from $E_4^+(O_{K_{109}})$.

Proposition 2.11. The elliptic curves with everywhere good reduction over K_m are determined completely for $m = 109$.

Proof. First, we compute Mordell-Weil bases:

1) $E_0^+(K_{109}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$; basis is $\{T_{109}\}$ $(T_{109} = (-12, 0))$.

2) $E_2^+(K_{109}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2}$; basis is $\{p_{109A}, p_{109B}\}$ where

$$p_{109A} = \left(-5688/25 - (544/25)\sqrt{109},\right.$$
$$\left. -307444/125 - (29452/125)\sqrt{109}\right),$$

$$p_{109B} = \left(3026/9 + (290/9)\sqrt{109},\right.$$
$$\left. -277340/27 - (26564/27)\sqrt{109}\right).$$

3) $E_4^+(K_{109}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2}$; basis is $\{p_{109C}, p_{109D}\}$ where

$$p_{109C} = \left(-5596 - 536\sqrt{109}, -1286204 - 123196\sqrt{109}\right),$$

$$p_{109D} = \left(916346/81 + (87770/81)\sqrt{109},\right.$$
$$\left. 1613792380/729 - (154573276/729)\sqrt{109}\right).$$

The sets of integral points are

1) $E_0^+(O_{K_{109}}) = \{O, T_{109}\}$,

2)
$$E_2^+(O_{K_{109}})$$
$$= \{O, \pm p_{109A} \mp p_{109B}, \pm p_{109A} \mp 2p_{109B}, \pm 2p_{109A} \mp 2p_{109B}\},$$

c) $E_4^+(O_{K_{109}}) = \{O, \pm p_{109C}, \pm 2p_{109C}, \pm 3p_{109C} \mp p_{109D}\}$.

From $2p_{109C}$ in $E_4^+(O_{K_{109}})$, we can construct the elliptic curve having everywhere good reduction over K_{109} as follows:

$$y^2 + \frac{1 + \sqrt{109}}{2} xy = x^3 - \frac{3 + \sqrt{109}}{2} x^2$$
$$- \left(274 + 29\sqrt{109}\right)x - \left(3259 + 315\sqrt{109}\right).$$

According to [16], there are no elliptic curves having good reduction everywhere and no K_{109}-rational point of order 2 (= non-admissible) except the above up to isogenies.

For the case $m = 158$, the class number of K_m is 2 so our strategy cannot apply. However, we can find one elliptic curve with everywhere good reduction over K_m with computing $E_3^-(O_{K_{158}})$.

Proposition 2.12. There is an elliptic curve E having everywhere good reduction over K_{158}. E is given by

$$y^2 + xy + \sqrt{158}y$$
$$= x^3 - x^2 + \left(A + B\sqrt{158}\right)x + \left(C + D\sqrt{158}\right)$$

where
$A = -361817559192191668851$,
$B = -2878465947580314415$,
$C = 369128833319186381273841768108$,
$D = 29366313214636764917584806281.3$.

For the case $m = 161$, we can find (c_4, c_6) (that gives the elliptic curve with everywhere good reduction

appearing in Theorem 1.4) from $E_3^-\left(O_{K_{161}}\right)$.

Proposition 2.13. There is an elliptic curve E having everywhere good reduction over K_{161}. E is given by

$$y^2 + xy + y = x^3 - x^2 + \left(-3680 + 290\sqrt{161}\right)x$$
$$+ \left(-148482 + 11702\sqrt{161}\right).$$

2.6. Computation III: Nonadmissible Curves for Pure Cubic Case

Proposition 2.14. If $m = 23, 44, 75$ and 87, there are no elliptic curves with everywhere good reduction over L_m which have no L_m-rational point of order 2 (not admissible) and cubic discriminant.

Proof. In this case, it is enough to determine

$$E_0^+\left(O_{L_{23}}\right), E_0^+\left(O_{L_{44}}\right), E_3^-\left(O_{L_{45}}\right), E_3^+\left(O_{L_{75}}\right), E_3^-\left(O_{L_{87}}\right).$$

The result of computing Mordell-Weil bases and the sets of integral points is available from the online data (*). As a result, there are no pairs $\left(c_4, c_6\right) \in E_n^{\pm}\left(O_{L_m}\right)$ for which the elliptic curve E_C has trivial conductor.

Finally, to complete the proof of Theorem 1.5, we show the existence of an elliptic curve having everywhere good reduction over L_{46}. We can find $\left(c_4, c_6\right)$ from $E_0^+\left(O_{L_{46}}\right)$.

Proposition 2.15. The elliptic curve E as follows is having everywhere good reduction and not admissible over L_{46}:

$$y^2 + \sqrt[3]{46}xy + \frac{\sqrt[3]{46^2} + \sqrt[3]{46} + 1}{3}y$$
$$= x^3 + \left(\sqrt[3]{46} + 1\right)x^2 + \frac{C_1\sqrt[3]{46^2} + C_2\sqrt[3]{46} + C_3}{3}x$$
$$+ \frac{C_4\sqrt[3]{46^2} + C_5\sqrt[3]{46} + C_6}{3}$$

where C_k's $\left(1 \le k \le 6\right)$ are as follows:
$C_1 = 9421959375743339068149386470 6,$
$C_2 = 1081334709186632184731947617604,$
$C_3 = 508408703554383043712880855011 9,$
$C_4 = 2325842333447929570947327547498602564045 7867$
$C_5 = 8278921164629266675049461337787599903779 13857$
$C_6 = 3264974121115333449055262059201401614426686175$

Proof. We can easily compute the discriminant of the curve and the result is

$$\Delta(E) = -\varepsilon^{24}$$

where $\varepsilon = 309\sqrt[3]{46^2} + 48\sqrt[3]{46} - 4139$ is a fundamental unit of L_{46}.

3. Tables

We give **Tables 3** and **4** showing the existence or nonexistence of elliptic curves with everywhere good reduction defined over certain number fields. We note that

DET = Determined,
NEX = Nonexistence,
PEX = Partly existence,
PNEX = Partly nonexistence,
UNDET = Undetermined

and **a** is a generator of each base field, given by Magma's setup "**K**<**a**>:=NumberField(**f**);" where **f** is defining polynomial of **K**.

We remark that precise version of the following tables are available from the author's website (*). These contain data of fundamental units and references.

4. Conclusion

In this paper we proved the (non)existence of elliptic curves having everywhere good reduction over certain real quadratic fields for 14 cases and partly determined the (non)existence for 9 cases. We also proved such results over certain pure cubic fields for 12 cases and partially proved for 6 cases.

Table 3. The case of real quadratic fields K_m.

m	Existence result		
	Admissible	Non admissible	Progress
$m_1 \in S_1$	No	No	NEX
$m_2 \in S_2$	Yes	Yes	DET
$m_3 \in S_3$	Yes	No	DET
$m_4 \in S_4$	No	Yes	DET
$m_5 \in S_5$	Yes	No	PEX/UNDET
$m_6 \in S_6$	No	Yes	PEX/UNDET
$m_7 \in S_7$	Yes	Yes	PEX/UNDET
$m_8 \in S_8$	Yes	-	PEX/UNDET
$m_9 \in S_9$	No	-	PNEX/UNDET
$m_{10} \in S_{10}$	-	No	PNEX/UNDET

where
$S_1 = \{2, 3, 5, 10, 11, 13, 15, 17, 19, 21, 23, 30, 31, 34, 35, 37,$
$\quad 39, 42, 43, 46, 47, 53, 55, 57, 58, 59, 61, 62, 66, 67,$
$\quad 69, 70, 71, 73, 74, 78, 82, 83, 85, 89, 93, 94, 95, 97, \quad ,$
$\quad 101, 103, 107, 113, 127, 129, 137, 139, 141, 149, 151,$
$\quad 163, 167, 173, 177, 181, 191, 197\},$

$S_2 = \{38, 77\}$, $\quad S_3 = \{6, 7, 14, 22, 41, 65\}$,

$S_4 = \{29, 33, 109, 133, 157\}$, $\quad S_5 = \{118\}$,

$S_6 = \{26, 79, 158\}$, $\quad S_7 = \{86, 161\}$, $\quad S_8 = \{134, 166\}$,

$S_9 = \{51, 87, 91, 131, 179, 199\}$, $\quad S_{10} = \{193\}$.

Table 4. The case of pure cubic fields L_m **.**

m	Existence result		
	Admissible	Non admissible	Progress
$m_1 \in T_1$	No	No	NEX
$m_2 \in T_2$	No	-	PNEX/UNDET
46	No	Yes	PEX/UNDET
107	-	-	UNDET

where

$T_1 = \{2,3,5,6,10,12,17,18,29,116,137,173,197\}$,

$T_2 = \{23,33,41,44,45,53,55,59,69,71,75,82,87,99,145,167,177,179,188\}$.

It seems extremely difficult to extend these results using same approach because of some limitations of computational tools and equipments. To improve these results, we need to discover efficient algorithms or new mathematical tools.

5. Acknowledgements

REFERENCES

[1] J. Cremona and M. Lingham, "Finding All Elliptic Curves with Good Reduction Outside a Given Set of Primes," *Experimental Mathematics*, Vol. 16, No. 3, 2007, pp. 303-312. doi:10.1080/10586458.2007.10129002

[2] J. Cremona, "Elliptic Curves with Everywhere Good Reduction over Quadratic Fields." http://www.warwick.ac.uk/staff/J.E.Cremona//ecegr/ecegrqf.html

[3] H. Ishii, "The Non-Existence of Elliptic Curves with Everywhere Good Reduction over Certain Quadratic Fields," *Japanese Journal of Mathematics*, Vol. 12, 1986, pp. 45-52.

[4] T. Kagawa, "Determination of Elliptic Curves with Everywhere Good Reduction over $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{37}\right)$," *Acta Arithmetica*, Vol. 83, 1998, pp. 253-269.

[5] T. Kagawa, "Determination of Elliptic Curves with Everywhere Good Reduction over Real Quadratic Fields," *Acta Arithmetica*, Vol. 73, No. 1, 1999, pp. 25-32.

doi:10.1007/s000130050016

[6] T. Kagawa, "Determination of Elliptic Curves with Everywhere Good Reduction over Real Quadratic Fields $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{3p}\right)$," *Acta Arithmetica*, Vol. 96, 2001, pp. 231-245. doi:10.4064/aa96-3-4

[7] T. Kagawa, "Determination of Elliptic Curves with Everywhere Good Reduction over Real Quadratic Fields, II", 2012 (in print).

[8] M. Kida, "On a Characterization of Shimura's Elliptic Curve over $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{37}\right)$," *Acta Arithmetica*, Vol. 77, No. 2, 1996, pp. 157-171.

[9] M. Kida and T. Kagawa, "Nonexistence of Elliptic Curves with Good Reduction Everywhere over Real Quadratic Fields," *Journal of Number Theory*, Vol. 66, No. 2, 1997, pp. 201-210.

[10] M. Kida, "Reduction of Elliptic Curves over Certain Real Quadratic Number Fields," *Mathematics Computation*, Vol. 68, 1999, pp. 1679-1685. doi:10.1090/S0025-5718-99-01129-1

[11] M. Kida, "Nonexistence of Elliptic Curves Having Good Reduction Everywhere over Certain Quadratic Fields," *Acta Arithmetica*, Vol. 76, No. 6, 2001, pp. 436-440. doi:10.1007/PL00000454

[12] M. Kida and T. Kagawa, "Nonexistence of Elliptic Curves with Good Reduction Everywhere over Real Quadratic Fields," *Journal of Number Theory*, Vol. 66, No. 2, 1997, pp. 201-210. doi:10.1006/jnth.1997.2177

[13] H. Muller, H. Stroher and H. Zimmer, "Torsion Groups of Elliptic Curves with Integral J-Invariant over Quadratic Fields", *Journal Für Die Reine und Angewandte Mathematik*, Vol. 1989, No. 397, 2009, 1989, pp. 100-161.

[14] R. G. E. Pinch, "Elliptic Curves over Number Fields," Ph.D. Thesis, Oxford, 1982.

[15] T. Thongjunthug, "Heights on Elliptic Curves over Number Fields, Period Lattices, and Complex Elliptic Logarithms," Ph.D. Thesis, The University of Warwick, Coventry, 2011.

[16] A. Umegaki, "A Construction of Everywhere Good $\mathbb{Q}$ -Curves with P-Isogeny," *Tokyo Journal of Mathematics*, Vol. 21, No. 1, 1998, pp. 183-200.

[17] M. Bertolini and G. Canuto, "Good Reduction of Elliptic Curves Defined over $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt[3]{2}\right)$," *Acta Arithmetica*, Vol. 50, No. 1, 1988, pp. 42-50. doi:10.1007/BF01313493

[18] N. Takeshi, "On Elliptic Curves Having Everywhere Good Reduction over Cubic Fields," Master's Thesis, Tsuda College, Tokyo, 2012.

[19] S. Yokoyama and Y. Shimasaki, "Non-Existence of Elliptic Curves with Everywhere Good Reduction over Some Real Quadratic Fields," *Journal of Math-for-Industry*, Vol. 3, 2011, pp. 113-117.

[20] S. Comalada, "Elliptic Curves with Trivial Conductor over Quadratic Fields," *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, Vol. 144, No. 2, 1990, pp. 233-258. doi:10.2140/pjm.1990.144.237

[21] B. Setzer, "Elliptic Curves over Complex Quadratic Fields," *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, Vol. 74, No. 1, 1978, pp. 235-250.

[22] J. H. Silverman, "The Arithmetic of Elliptic Curves," 2nd Edition, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 106, Springer-Verlag, Berlib, 2009.

[23] T. Kagawa, "Computing Integral Points of Elliptic Curves over Real Quadratic Fields, and Determination of Elliptic Curves Having Trivial Conductor."
http://www.ritsumei.ac.jp/se/~kagawa/waseda.pdf.

[24] G. P. Pari, "A Computer Algebra System Designed for Fast Computations in Number Theory."
http://pari.math.u-bordeaux.fr/.

[25] T. Kagawa, "Elliptic Curves with Everywhere Good Reduction over Real Quadratic Fields," Ph.D. Thesis, Waseda University, Tokyo, 1998.

[26] KANT/KASH, "Computational Algebraic Number Theory."
http://www.math.tu-berlin.de/~kant/kash.html.

[27] D. Simon, "Computing the Rank of Elliptic Curves over Number Fields," *LMS Journal of Computation and Mathematics*, Vol. 5, 2002, pp. 7-17.

[28] Sage, "Open Source Mathematics Software."
http://www.sagemath.org/

[29] W. Bosma, J. Cannon and C. Playoust, "The Magma Algebra System. I. The User Language," *Journal of Symbolic Computation*, Vol. 24 No. 3-4, 1997, pp. 235-265.

[30] S. Siksek, "Infinite Descent on Elliptic Curves," *Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics*, Vol. 25, No. 4, 1995, pp. 1501-1538. doi:10.1216/rmjm/1181072159

[31] N. P. Smart, "The Algorithmic Resolution of Diophantine Equations," London Mathematical Society Student Text 41, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.