A Newton Method for a Nonsmooth Nonlinear Complementarity Problem^{*}

$GAO Yan^1$

Abstract This paper is devoted to a nonlinear complementarity problem with nonsmooth data. The nonlinear complementarity problem is reformulated as a system of nonsmooth equations. Then, a Newton method for solving the nonsmooth equations is proposed. In each iteration of the Newton method, an element of the B-differential of related functions, not nonlinear complementarity function, is required. The superlinear convergence is shown.

Keywords nonlinear complementarity problem, nonsmooth analysis, optimization, Newton methods

Chinese Library Classification O22 2010 Mathematics Subject Classification 90C30, 65H10

中文题目



摘要 关键词 中图分类号 O22 数学分类号 90C30, 65H10

0 Introduction

The nonlinear complementarity problem

$$F(x) \ge 0, x \ge 0, x^{\mathrm{T}} F(x) = 0, \qquad (0.1)$$

where $F : \Re^n \to \Re^n$ and $x \in \Re^n$ is to find a solution $x \in \Re^n$, which satisfies (0.1). The complementarity problem plays an important role in economics equilibrium, system engineering, optimization and others. It has been studied extensively when F is smooth, see

收稿日期: 2009年1月6日.

^{*} Supported by the National Science Foundation of China (under grant: 10671126), Shanghai Municipal Committee of Science and Technology (under grant:10550500800) and Shanghai Leading Discipline Project (under grant: S30501).

^{1.} School of Management University of Shanghai for Science and Technology, Shanghai 200093, China; 西北工业大学理学院应用数学系, 西安 710072

for instance [3-4, 6] and references therein. Based on nolinear complementarity function, a nonlinear complementarity problem is equivalently transformed into a system of nonsmooth equations. A generalized Newton method is used to solve the system of nonsmooth equations.

For the case where F is nonsmooth function, to our knowledge, only [1-2, 5] dealt with the problem (0.1). They transform (0.1) into a unconstrained optimization, then solve it by nonsmooth optimization method. In the present paper, we try to study nonlinear complementarity with nonsmooth data. We first reformulate the nonlinear complementarity problem as a system of nonsmooth equation, then propose a Newton to solve the nonsmooth equations.

Let us consider the following nonlinear complementarity problem

$$F(x) \ge 0, Z(x) \ge 0, Z(x)^{\mathrm{T}} F(x) = 0, \qquad (0.2)$$

where $F : \Re^n \to \Re^n$ is locally Lipschitzian, $Z : \Re^n \to \Re^n$ is continuously differentiable. When Z(x) = x, the problem (1.2) happens to be the problem (0.1). Throughtout of the paper, we denote $F(x) = (f_1(x), \ldots, f_n(x))^T$, $Z(x) = (z_1(x), \ldots, z_n(x))$, $B(x, \delta)$ the open ball with x and δ as its center and radium, respectively.

1 Preliminaries

We start with a brief review of nonsmooth analysis and Newton method for solving nonsmooth equations.

Let both X and Y be subsets of finite dimensional spaces. As in [3], the set-valued mapping $x \to S(x)$ from X to 2^Y is said to be upper-semicontinuous at $x_0 \in X$ if for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $||x - x_0|| \leq \delta$ implies that

$$S(x) \subset S(x_0) + \varepsilon B(0, 1).$$

We say the set-valued mapping $x \to S(x)$ to be upper-semicontinuous on X if it is uppersemicontinuous at all $x \in X$.

Let $H: \Re^n \to \Re^m$ be locally Lipschitzian. By the definition in [3-4],

$$\partial_B H(x) = \{ \lim_{y \to x} JH(y) \mid y \in D_H \},\$$

where D_H is the set of differentiable points of H, is called the B-differential of H at x; $\partial_{Cl}H(x) = \operatorname{co}\partial_B H(x)$ is called the Clarke generalized Jacobian of H at x; when H is from \Re^n to \Re , $\partial_{Cl}H(x)$ is said to be the Clarke generalized gradient at x.

Both set-valued mappings $x \to \partial_{Cl} H(x)$ and $x \to \partial_B H(x)$ are upper-semicontinuous, see [3].

$$\lim_{V\in\partial_{Cl}H(x+th')\atop h'\to h,\ t\to 0^+}Vh'$$

exists for any $h \in \Re^n$.

Lemma 1.1(see [3-4]). Suppose that $H : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ is locally Lipschitzian on \mathbb{R}^n and semismooth at x. Then, one has that

$$\xi h - H'(x;h) = o(||h||), \ \xi \in \partial_{Cl} H(x+h), \tag{1.1}$$

$$H(x+h) - H(x) - H'(x;h) = o(||h||).$$
(1.2)

Let us consider the nonsmooth equations:

$$H(z) = 0,$$

where $H : \Re^n \to \Re^n$ is locally Lipschitzian. Newton method for solving the nonsmooth equations is given by

$$x_{k+1} = x_k - \xi_k^{-1} H(x_k), \tag{1.3}$$

where ξ_k is an element of $\partial_B H(x_k)$, $\partial_{Cl} H(x_k)$ or $\partial_B h_1(x_k) \times \cdots \times \partial_B h_n(x_k)$, and $H(x) = (h_1(x), \ldots, h_n(x))^{\mathrm{T}}$. The locally superlinear convergence of Newton methods are shown when F is semismooth and all elements of corresponding subdifferentials, mentioned above, of H at the solution are nonsingular. The Newton of (1.3) can be performed if an element of related subdifferential can be computed.

2 A Newton and its convergence analysis

Evidently, the nonlinear completion robbe (0.2) can be reformulated as the following

$$\min\{z_i(x), f_i(x)\} = 0, i = 1, \dots, n.$$
(2.1)

Denote $G(x) = (g_1(x) \dots g_n(x))^T$, where

$$g_i(x) = \min\{z_i(x), f_i(x)\}, i = 1, \dots, n$$
(2.2)

Of course, as mentioned in [1], the Newton method (1.3) can be used to solve the equations (2.2) directly. As is known, that method need to compute an element of some kind subdifferential of G at each iteration.

Define the set-valued mapping $x \to V(x)$ from \Re^n to subsets of $\Re^{n \times n}$ as the following

$$V(x) = V_1(x) \times \cdots \times V_n(x),$$

where

$$V_i(x) = \begin{cases} \{\nabla z_i(x)\}, & \text{if } z_i(x) \leq f_i(x), \\ \partial_B f_i(x), & \text{if } z_i(x) > f_i(x). \end{cases}$$
(2.3)

We give a Newton method for solving the nonlinear complementarity problem (0.2), equivalently solving the equations G(x) = 0 as the following:

$$x_{k+1} = x_k - \xi_k^{-1} G(x_k), \quad \xi_k \in V(x_k).$$
(2.4)

It should be mentioned that V(x) is not a subdifferential of G(x) and is even not upper-semicontinuous as a set-valued mapping. We next define another set-valued mapping $x \to \overline{V}(x)$ from \Re^n to subsets of $\Re^{n \times n}$ as follows

$$\overline{V}(x) = \overline{V}_1(x) \times \cdots \times \overline{V}_n(x),$$

where

$$\bar{V}_{i}(x) = \begin{cases} \{\nabla z_{i}(x)\}, & \text{if } z_{i}(x) < f_{i}(x), \\ \{\nabla z_{i}(x)\} \bigcup \partial_{B} f_{i}(x), & \text{if } z_{i}(x) = f_{i}(x), \\ \partial_{B} f_{i}(x), & \text{if } z_{i}(x) > f_{i}(x). \end{cases}$$
(2.5)

It is easy to see that $\bar{V}(x) \subset \bar{V}(x)$ for any $x \in \Re^n$. Next two lemmas characterize the upper-semicontinuity of the set-valued mapping $x \to \bar{V}(x)$.

Lemma 2.1 The set-valued mapping $x \to \overline{V}(x)$ is upper-semicontinuous.

Proof It is enough to prove that each $x \to \overline{V}_i(x)$ is upper-semicontinuous. Given a fixed point $x_0 \in \Re^n$ and a fixed index i, in what follows, we prove that $x \to \overline{V}_i(x)$ is upper-semicontinuous at x_0 . If $z_i(x_0) < f_i(x_0)$, then there is a neighbourhood $B(x_0, \delta)$ of x_0 such that $z_i(x) < f_i(x)$, $\forall x \in B(x_0, \delta)$. Hence, $\overline{V}_i(x) = \{\nabla z_i(x)\}, \forall x \in B(x_0, \delta)$. By the continuity of the function $\nabla z_i(x)$, the set-valued mapping $\overline{V}_i(x)$ is upper-semicontinuously at x_0 . If $z_i(x_0) > f_i(x_0)$, then there is a neighbourhood $B(x_0, \delta_1)$ of x_0 such that $z_i(x) > f_i(x)$, $\forall x \in B(x_0, \delta_1)$. Therefore, $\overline{V}_i(x) = \partial_B f_i(x), \forall x \in B(x_0, \delta_1)$. By the upper-semicontinuity of the set-valued mapping $x \to \partial_B f_i(x)$, the set-valued mapping $x \to \overline{V}_i(x)$ is upper-semicontinuous at x_0 . Now we consider the case where $z_i(x_0) = f_i(x_0)$. Suppose that $x_k \to x_0$ and $\xi_k \to \xi$ with $\xi_k \in \overline{V}_i(x_k)$. Then, $\xi_k \in \{\nabla z_i(x_k)\} \bigcup \partial_B f_i(x_k)$. Evidently, the set-valued mapping $x \to \{\nabla z_i(x)\} \bigcup \partial_B f_i(x)$ is upper-semicontinuous. Therefore, $\xi \in \{\nabla z(x_0)\} \bigcup \partial_B f_i(x_0)\} = \overline{V}_i(x_0)$, i.e. $\overline{V}(x)$ is upper-semicontinuous at x_0 . This completes the proof of the lemma.

Lemma 2.2 Let $x_0 \in \Re^n$. If all $\xi \in \overline{V}(x_0)$ are nonsingular, then there exists $\beta > 0$ such that

$$\|\xi^{-1}\| \leqslant \beta, \quad \forall \xi \in V(x_0). \tag{2.6}$$

15 卷

Proof Since the set-valued mapping $x \to \overline{V}(x)$ is upper-semicontinuous, the set $\overline{V}(x_0)$ is compact and all $\xi \in \overline{V}(x_0)$ are nonsingular, there exists $\beta > 0$ such that $\|\xi^{-1}\| \leq \beta, \forall \xi \in \overline{V}(x_0)$. Noticing $V(x_0) \subset \overline{V}_0(x)$, (2.6) holds. This completes the proof of the lemma.

The next theorem is on the convergence analysis for the Newton method (2.4).

Theorem 2.1 Suppose that x^* is a solution of the complementarity problem (0.3), F is semismooth at x^* and all $\xi \in \overline{V}(x^*)$ are nonsingular. Then, the iteration (2.4) is well-defined and generates the sequence $\{x_k\}$ converging to x^* superlinearly in a neighborhood of x^* .

Proof We first prove

$$V(x) \subset \partial_{Cl} g_1(x) \times \cdots \partial_{Cl} g_n(x).$$
(2.7)

It is enough to prove that $V_i(x) \subset \partial_{Cl}g_i(x), i = 1, ..., n$. Let $x_0 \in \Re^n$ and *i* be fixed point and index, respectively. If $z_i(x_0) < f_i(x_0)$, then there is a neighbourhood $B(x_0, \delta)$ of x_0 such that $z_i(x) < f_i(x), \forall x \in B(x_0, \delta)$. Hence, $g_i(x) = z_i(x)$ and $g_i(x)$ is continuously differentiable with $\nabla g_i(x) = \nabla z_i(x)$ for all $x \in B(x_0, \delta)$. Therefore,

$$V_i(x_0) = \{\nabla z_i(x_0)\} = \{\nabla g_i(x_0)\} = \partial_B g_i(x_0),$$

that is $V_i(x_0) \subset \partial_{Cl} g_i(x_0)$.

If $z_i(x_0) > f_i(x_0)$, then there is a neighbourhood of $B(x_0, \delta_1)$ of x_0 such that $z_i(x) > f_i(x), \forall x \in B(x_0, \delta_1)$. Therefore,

$$g_i(x) = f_i(x)$$
 and $\partial_B g_i(x) = \partial_B f_i(x)$

for all $x \in B(x_0, \delta_1)$. This leads to

$$V_i(x_0) = \partial_B f_i(x_0) = \partial_B g_i(x_0) \subset \partial_{Cl} g_i(x_0),$$

that is $V_i(x_0) \subset \partial_{Cl} g_i(x_0)$.

Now we suppose that $z_i(x_0) = f_i(x_0)$. There are two cases.

Case one: there is a sequence $\{x_k\}$ with $x_k \to x_0$ such that $z_i(x_k) < f_i(x_k)$;

Case two: there exists a neighbourhood $B(x_0, \delta')$ of x_0 such that $z_i(x) \ge f_i(x)$ for all $x \in B(x_0, \delta')$.

In the case one, there exists an neighbourhood $B(x_k, \delta_k)$ of x_k such that $z_i(x) < f_i(x), x \in B(x_k, \delta_k)$. Thus, $g_i(x) = z_i(x)$ and $g_i(x)$ is continuously differentiable with $\nabla g_i(x_k) = \nabla z_i(x_k)$ for all $x \in B(x_k, \delta_k)$. Then,

$$V_i(x_k) = \{\nabla z_i(x_k)\} = \{\nabla g_i(x_k)\} = \partial_B g_i(x_k)$$

According to the continuity of ∇z and the upper-semicontinuity of $\partial_B g_i(x)$, we have

$$V_i(x_0) = \{\nabla z_i(x_0)\} \subset \partial_B g_i(x_0) \subset \partial_{Cl} g_i(x_0)$$

This leads to $V_i(x_0) \subset \partial_{Cl} g_i(x_0)$.

For the case two, since $f_i(x) - z_i(x) \leq 0$ for all $x \in B(x_0, \delta')$ and $f_i(x_0) - z_i(x_0) = 0$, x_0 is a maximizer of the function $f_i(x) - z_i(x)$. According to the optimality condition of locally Lipschitizian function, one has that

$$0 \in \partial_{Cl}(f_i(x) - z_i(x)) \mid_{x=x_0} = \partial_{Cl}f_i(x_0) - \nabla z_i(x_0).$$

Thus, $\nabla z_i(x_0) \subset \partial_{Cl} f_i(x_0)$. On the other hand, the fact that $z_i(x) \ge f_i(x), \forall x \in B(x_0, \delta')$ means $g_i(x) = f_i(x)$ and $\partial_{Cl} g_i(x) = \partial_{Cl} f_i(x)$ for all $x \in B(x_0, \delta')$. Then, we have

$$V_i(x_0) = \{\nabla z_i(x_0)\} \subset \partial_{Cl} g_i(x_0),$$

i. e., $V_i(x_0) \subset \partial_{Cl} g_i(x_0)$.

By virtue of Lemma 2.2, (2.4) is well-defined in a neighbourhood of x^* for the first step. Let $\xi_k = (\xi_{1k}, \ldots, \xi_{nk})$. Since $g_i, i = 1, \ldots, n$ are semismooth at x^* and $\xi_{ik} \in V_i(x_k) \subset \partial_{Cl}g_i(x_k)$, it follows from (1.1) that

$$\xi_{ik}(x_k - x^*) - g'_i(x^*; x_k - x^*) = o(||x_k - x^*||), i = 1, \dots, n.$$
(2.8)

Hence, one has that

$$\xi_k(x_k - x^*) - G'(x^*; x_k - x^*) = o(||x_k - x^*||).$$
(2.9)

Introducing $x = x^*, h = x_k - x^*$ and H = G to (1.2), we have

$$G(x_k) - G(x^*) - G'(x^*; x_k - x^*) = o(||x_k - x^*||).$$
(2.10)

From Lemma 1.1, the formula (2.9) and the formula (2.10), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{k+1} - x^*\| &= \|x_k - x^* - \xi_k^{-1} G(x_k)\| \\ &\leqslant \|\xi_k^{-1} [G(x_k) - G(x^*) - G'(x^*; x_k - x^*)]\| \\ &+ \|\xi_k^{-1} [\xi_k(x_k - x^*) - G'(x^*; x_k - x^*)]\| \\ &= o(\|x_k - x^*\|). \end{aligned}$$

This shows the superlinear convergence of $\{x_k\}$ to x^* in a neighborhood of x^* . We thus have completed the proof of the theorem.

3 Conclusions

In this paper, a nonsmooth Newton method for a nonlinear complementarity problem with nonsmooth data is developed. In each iteration of this Newton method, an element

 $\mathbf{6}$

of B-differential of nonsmooth function f_i is needed, but an element of a subdifferential of G or max $\{z_i(x), f_i(x)\}$ not needed. The present method can be performed easily for some practice.

References

- A. Fischer, Solution of monotone complementarity problems with locally Lipschitzian functions, Mathematical Programming, 1997, 76, 513-523.
- [2] A. Fischer, V. Jeyakumar and D. T. Luc, Solution point characterization and convergence anlysis of a descent algorithm for nonsmooth continuous complementarity problems, J Optimization Theory and Applications, 2001, 110, 493-513.
- [3] F. Facchinei and J.S. Pang, Finite-Dimensional Variational Inequalities and Complementarity Problems, Springer, Berlin, 2003.
- [4] L. Qi, Convergence analysis of some algorithms for solving nonsmooth equations, Mathematics of Operations Research, 1993, 18, 227-244.
- [5] H. Jiang, Unconstrained minimization approaches to nonlinear complementarity problems, J. Global Optimization, 1996, 9, 169-181.
- [6] D. Sun and J. Han, Newton and quasi-Newton methods for a class of nonsmooth equations and related problems, SIAM J. Optimization, 1997, 7, 463-480.