Brändén's Conjectures on the Boros-Moll Polynomials

William Y. C. Chen[1], Donna Q. J. Dou[2] and Arthur L. B. Yang[3]

[1,3]Center for Combinatorics, LPMC-TJKLC
Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, P. R. China

[2]School of Mathematics
Jilin University, Changchun, Jilin 130012, P. R. China

Email: [1]chen@nankai.edu.cn, [2]qjdou@jlu.edu.cn,
[3]yang@nankai.edu.cn

Abstract. We prove two conjectures of Brändén on the real-rootedness of polynomials $Q_n(x)$ and $R_n(x)$ which are related to the Boros-Moll polynomials $P_n(x)$. In fact, we show that both $Q_n(x)$ and $R_n(x)$ form Sturm sequences. The first conjecture implies the 2-log-concavity of $P_n(x)$, and the second conjecture implies the 3-log-concavity of $P_n(x)$.

1 Introduction

In this paper, we prove two conjectures of Brändén [3] concerning the Boros-Moll polynomials. Brändén introduced two polynomials based on the coefficients of the Boros-Moll polynomials and conjectured that these polynomials have only real roots. As pointed out by Brändén, the first conjecture implies the 2-fold log-concavity, or 2-log-concavity, for short, of the Boros-Moll polynomials, whereas the second conjecture implies the 3-log-concavity.

Let us start with some definitions. Given a finite nonnegative sequence $\{a_i\}_{i=0}^n$, we say that it is unimodal if there exists an integer $m \geq 0$ such that

$$a_0 \leq \cdots \leq a_{m-1} \leq a_m \geq a_{m+1} \geq \cdots \geq a_n,$$

and we say that it is log-concave if

$$a_i^2 - a_{i+1}a_{i-1} \geq 0$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. Define $\mathcal{L}$ to be an operator acting on the sequence $\{a_i\}_{i=0}^n$ as given by

$$\mathcal{L}(\{a_i\}_{i=0}^n) = \{b_i\}_{i=0}^n,$$

where $b_i = a_i^2 - a_{i+1}a_{i-1}$ for $0 \leq i \leq n$ under the convention that $a_{-1} = 0$ and $a_{n+1} = 0$. Clearly, the sequence $\{a_i\}_{i=0}^n$ is log-concave if and only if the sequence $\{b_i\}_{i=0}^n$ is nonnegative. Given a sequence $\{a_i\}_{i=0}^n$, we say that it is

k-fold log-concave, or k-log-concave, if $\mathcal{L}^j(\{a_i\}_{i=0}^n)$ is a nonnegative sequence for any $1 \le j \le k$. A sequence $\{a_i\}_{i=0}^n$ is said to be infinitely log-concave if it is k-log-concave for all $k \ge 1$. Given a polynomial

$$f(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + \cdots + a_n x^n,$$

we say that $f(x)$ is log-concave (or k-log-concave, or infinitely log-concave) if the sequence $\{a_i\}_{i=0}^n$ of coefficients is log-concave (resp., k-log-concave, infinitely log-concave).

The notion of infinite log-concavity was introduced by Boros and Moll [2] in their study of the following quartic integral

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{(t^4 + 2xt^2 + 1)^{n+1}} \mathrm{d}t.$$

For any $x > -1$ and any nonnegative integer n, they obtained the following formula,

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{(t^4 + 2xt^2 + 1)^{n+1}} \mathrm{d}t = \frac{\pi}{2^{n+3/2}(x+1)^{n+1/2}} P_n(x),$$

where

$$P_n(x) = \sum_{j,k} \binom{2n+1}{2j}\binom{n-j}{k}\binom{2k+2j}{k+j} \frac{(x+1)^j (x-1)^k}{2^{3(k+j)}}$$

are the Boros-Moll polynomials. Using Ramanujan's Master Theorem, they derived an alternative representation of $P_n(x)$,

$$P_n(x) = 2^{-2n} \sum_j 2^j \binom{2n-2j}{n-j}\binom{n+j}{j}(x+1)^j. \tag{1.1}$$

Write

$$P_n(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n d_i(n) x^i. \tag{1.2}$$

We call $\{d_i(n)\}_{i=0}^n$ a Boros-Moll sequence. Boros and Moll proposed the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1.1 ([2]) *The sequence $\{d_i(n)\}_{i=0}^n$ is infinitely log-concave.*

The log-concavity of $\{d_i(n)\}_{i=0}^n$ was conjectured by Moll [15], and it was proved by Kauers and Paule [11] by establishing recurrence relations of the coefficients $d_i(n)$. Chen and Xia [6] showed that the polynomials $P_n(x)$ are ratio monotone. Notice that for a positive sequence, the ratio monotone property implies both log-concavity and the spiral property. It is worth mentioning that there are proofs of the log-concavity without using

recurrence relations. Llamas and Martínez-Bernal [13] proved that if $f(x)$ is a polynomial with nondecreasing and nonnegative coefficients, then $f(x+1)$ is log-concave. Furthermore, Chen, Yang and Zhou [8] proved that if $f(x)$ is a polynomial with nondecreasing and nonnegative coefficients, then $f(x+1)$ is ratio monotone. From (1.1) it is easily seen that the coefficients of $P_n(x-1)$ are nondecreasing and nonnegative. Hence $P_n(x)$ are log-concave and ratio monotone. A combinatorial interpretation of the log-concavity of $P_n(x)$ has been found by Chen, Pang and Qu [5].

There was little progress on the higher-fold log-concavity of the Boros-Moll polynomials. As remarked by Kauers and Paule [11], it seems that there is little hope to prove the 2-log-concavity of $\{d_i(n)\}_{i=0}^{n}$ using recurrence relations. By constructing an intermediate function, Chen and Xia [7] proved the 2-log-concavity of $P_n(x)$ by applying recurrence relations. Based on a technique of McNamara and Sagan [14], Kauers verified the infinite log-concavity of $P_n(x)$ for $n \leq 129$.

Brändén [3] presented an approach to Conjecture 1.1 by relating higher-order log-concavity to real-rooted polynomials. Boros and Moll [2] conjectured that for any nonnegative integer n the sequence $\{\binom{n}{k}\}_{k=0}^{n}$ is infinitely log-concave. Fisk [10], McNamara and Sagan [14] and Stanley independently made the following conjecture which implies the conjecture of Boros and Moll. This conjecture has been proved by Brändén [3].

Theorem 1.2 *If $f(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + \cdots + a_n x^n$ is a real-rooted polynomial with nonnegative coefficients, the polynomial*

$$a_0^2 + (a_1^2 - a_0 a_2)x + \cdots + (a_{n-1}^2 - a_{n-2}a_n)x^{n-1} + a_n^2 x^n$$

is also real-rooted.

Brändén's proof is based on a symmetric function identity and the Grace-Walsh-Szegö theorem concerning the location of zeros of multi-affine and symmetric polynomials. Moreover, Brändén obtained a general result about the characterization of nonlinear transformations preserving real-rootedness, in the spirit of the characterization of linear transformations preserving stability given by Borcea and Brändén [1]. Cardon and Nielsen [4] found a combinatorial proof of Theorem 1.2 in terms of directed acyclic weighted planar networks. Although the Boros-Moll polynomials $P_n(x)$ are not real-rooted, Brändén [3] introduced two polynomials related to $P_n(x)$, and conjectured that they are real-rooted.

Conjecture 1.3 ([3, Conjecture 8.5]) *For any $n \geq 1$, the polynomial*

$$Q_n(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \frac{d_i(n)}{i!} x^i \tag{1.3}$$

has only real zeros.

Conjecture 1.4 ([3, Conjecture 8.6]) *For any $n \geq 1$, the polynomial*

$$R_n(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \frac{d_i(n)}{(i+2)!} x^i \qquad (1.4)$$

has only real zeros.

As pointed out by Brändén [3], the real-rootedness of $Q_n(x)$ implies the 2-log-concavity of $P_n(x)$, and the real-rootedness of $R_n(x)$ implies the 3-log-concavity of $P_n(x)$. It is worth mentioning that Csordas [9] proved the real-rootedness of some polynomials related to $Q_n(x)$. In this paper, we shall prove the above conjectures.

2 Proofs of Brändén's Conjectures

To prove Brändén's conjectures, we shall show that the polynomials $Q_n(x)$ and $R_n(x)$ form Sturm sequences. Let us recall a criterion of Liu and Wang [12] which can be used to deduce that a polynomial sequence is a Sturm sequence.

Throughout this paper, we shall be concerned with polynomials with real coefficients. We say that a polynomial is standard if it is zero or its leading coefficient is positive. Let RZ denote the set of polynomials with only real zeros. Suppose that $f(x) \in$ RZ is a polynomial of degree n with zeros $\{r_k\}_{k=1}^{n}$, and $g(x) \in$ RZ is a polynomial of degree m with zeros $\{s_k\}_{k=1}^{m}$. We say that $g(x)$ interlaces $f(x)$ if $n = m + 1$ and

$$r_n \leq s_{n-1} \leq r_{n-1} \leq \cdots \leq r_2 \leq s_1 \leq r_1,$$

and we say that $g(x)$ strictly interlaces $f(x)$ if, in addition, they have no common zeros. We use $g(x) \preceq f(x)$ to denote that $g(x)$ interlaces $f(x)$, and use $g(x) \prec f(x)$ to denote that $g(x)$ strictly interlaces $f(x)$. For any real numbers a, b and c, we assume that $a \in$ RZ and $a \prec bx + c$. A sequence $\{f_n(x)\}_{n \geq 0}$ of standard polynomials is said to be a Sturm sequence if, for $n \geq 0$, we have $\deg f_n(x) = n$ and

$$f_n(x) \in \text{RZ and } f_n(x) \prec f_{n+1}(x).$$

Liu and Wang [12] gave a sufficient condition for a polynomial sequence $\{f_n(x)\}_{n \geq 0}$ to form an interlacing sequence.

Theorem 2.1 ([12, Corollary 2.4]) *Let $\{f_n(x)\}_{n \geq 0}$ be a sequence of polynomials with nonnegative coefficients and $\deg f_n(x) = n$, which satisfy the following recurrence relation:*

$$f_{n+1}(x) = a_n(x)f_n(x) + b_n(x)f_n'(x) + c_n(x)f_{n-1}(x), \qquad (2.1)$$

where $a_n(x), b_n(x), c_n(x)$ are some polynomials with real coefficients. Assume that, for some $n \geq 1$, the following conditions hold:

4

(i) $f_{n-1}(x), f_n(x) \in \mathrm{RZ}$ *and* $f_{n-1}(x) \prec f_n(x)$; *and*

(ii) for any $x \le 0$ *both of* $b_n(x)$ *and* $c_n(x)$ *are nonpositive, and at least one of them is nonzero.*

Then we have $f_{n+1}(x) \in \mathrm{RZ}$ *and* $f_n(x) \prec f_{n+1}(x)$.

To prove Conjectures 1.3 and 1.4, we proceed to derive recurrence relations for $Q_n(x)$ and $R_n(x)$ based on the recurrence relations of the coefficients $d_i(n)$ of the Boros-Moll polynomials $P_n(x)$. Kauers and Paule [11] proved that

$$d_i(n+1) = \frac{n+i}{n+1}d_{i-1}(n) + \frac{4n+2i+3}{2(n+1)}d_i(n), \quad 0 \le i \le n+1, \tag{2.2}$$

$$d_i(n+2) = \frac{8n^2 + 24n + 19 - 4i^2}{2(n+2-i)(n+2)}d_i(n+1)$$
$$- \frac{(n+i+1)(4n+3)(4n+5)}{4(n+2-i)(n+1)(n+2)}d_i(n), \quad 0 \le i \le n+1. \tag{2.3}$$

In fact, (2.2) can be easily derived from (2.3). Note that Moll [16] independently derived the relation (2.3) via the WZ-method.

Theorem 2.2 *For* $n \ge 1$, *we have the following recurrence relation*

$$Q_{n+1}(x) = \left(\frac{(2n+1)x}{(n+1)^2} + \frac{8n^2 + 8n + 3}{2(n+1)^2} \right) Q_n(x)$$
$$- \frac{(4n-1)(4n+1)}{4(n+1)^2}Q_{n-1}(x) + \frac{x}{(n+1)^2}Q'_n(x). \tag{2.4}$$

Proof. For $n \ge 1$, relation (2.4) can be rewritten as

$$4(n+1)^2 d_i(n+1) = 2(8n^2 + 8n + 3 + 2i)d_i(n) + 4i(2n+1)d_{i-1}(n)$$
$$- (16n^2 - 1)d_i(n-1), \tag{2.5}$$

where $0 \le i \le n+1$. From (2.2) it follows that

$$d_{i-1}(n) = \frac{n+1}{n+i}d_i(n+1) - \frac{4n+2i+3}{2(n+i)}d_i(n). \tag{2.6}$$

Substituting (2.6) into (2.5), we get

$$d_i(n+1) = \frac{8n^2 + 8n + 3 - 4i^2}{2(n+1-i)(n+1)}d_i(n)$$
$$- \frac{(n+i)(4n-1)(4n+1)}{4n(n+1)(n+1-i)}d_i(n-1). \tag{2.7}$$

It is easily checked that the above relation (2.7) coincides with (2.3) with n replaced by $n-1$. This completes the proof. ∎

Using the above recurrence relation and the criterion of Liu and Wang, we can deduce that the polynomials $Q_n(x)$ form a Sturm sequence. This leads to an affirmative answer to Conjecture 1.3.

Theorem 2.3 *The polynomial sequence $\{Q_n(x)\}_{n \geq 0}$ is a Sturm sequence.*

Proof. Clearly, we have $\deg(Q_n(x)) = n$. It suffices to prove that $Q_n(x) \in$ RZ and $Q_n(x) \prec Q_{n+1}(x)$ for any $n \geq 0$. We use induction on n. By convention,
$$Q_0(x), Q_1(x) \in \text{RZ} \quad \text{and} \quad Q_0(x) \prec Q_1(x).$$
Assume that
$$Q_{n-1}(x), Q_n(x) \in \text{RZ} \quad \text{and} \quad Q_{n-1}(x) \prec Q_n(x).$$
We proceed to verify that
$$Q_{n+1}(x) \in \text{RZ} \quad \text{and} \quad Q_n(x) \prec Q_{n+1}(x).$$
We see that the recurrence relation (2.4) of $Q_n(x)$ is of the form (2.1) in Theorem 2.1, where the polynomials $a_n(x), b_n(x), c_n(x)$ are given by
$$a_n(x) = \frac{(2n+1)x}{(n+1)^2} + \frac{8n^2 + 8n + 3}{2(n+1)^2},$$
$$b_n(x) = \frac{x}{(n+1)^2},$$
$$c_n(x) = -\frac{(4n-1)(4n+1)}{4(n+1)^2}.$$
For $n \geq 1$ and $x \leq 0$, one can check that
$$b_n(x) \leq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad c_n(x) < 0.$$
In view of Theorem 2.1, we find that $Q_{n+1}(x) \in \text{RZ}$ and $Q_n(x) \prec Q_{n+1}(x)$. This completes the proof. ∎

The following recurrence relation for $R_n(x)$ can be proved in a way similar to the proof of Theorem 2.2.

Theorem 2.4 *For $n \geq 1$, we have*
$$R_{n+1}(x) = \left(\frac{(2n+1)x}{(n+1)(n+3)} + \frac{8n^2 + 8n + 7}{2(n+1)(n+3)} \right) R_n(x)$$
$$- \frac{(4n-1)(4n+1)(n-2)}{4n(n+1)(n+3)} R_{n-1}(x) + \frac{5x}{(n+1)(n+3)} R_n'(x).$$
$$(2.8)$$

Using the above recurrence relation, we obtain the following theorem, which leads to an affirmative answer to Conjecture 1.4.

Theorem 2.5 *The polynomial sequence $\{R_n(x)\}_{n \geq 0}$ is a Sturm sequence.*

Proof. The proof is analogous to that of Theorem 2.3. It is routine to verify that

$$R_0(x), R_1(x), R_2(x), R_3(x) \in \mathrm{RZ} \quad \text{and} \quad R_0(x) \prec R_1(x) \prec R_2(x) \prec R_3(x).$$

It remains to show that $R_n(x) \in \mathrm{RZ}$ and $R_{n-1}(x) \prec R_n(x)$ for $n \geq 3$. We use induction n. Assume that

$$R_{n-1}(x), R_n(x) \in \mathrm{RZ} \quad \text{and} \quad R_{n-1}(x) \prec R_n(x).$$

We wish to prove that

$$R_{n+1}(x) \in \mathrm{RZ} \quad \text{and} \quad R_n(x) \prec R_{n+1}(x).$$

The recurrence relation (2.8) of $R_n(x)$ is of the form (2.1) in Theorem 2.1, and the polynomials $a_n(x), b_n(x), c_n(x)$ are given by

$$a_n(x) = \frac{(2n+1)x}{(n+1)(n+3)} + \frac{8n^2 + 8n + 7}{2(n+1)(n+3)},$$
$$b_n(x) = \frac{5x}{(n+1)(n+3)},$$
$$c_n(x) = -\frac{(4n-1)(4n+1)(n-2)}{4n(n+1)(n+3)}.$$

For $n \geq 3$ and $x \leq 0$, we find that

$$b_n(x) \leq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad c_n(x) < 0.$$

By Theorem 2.1, we conclude that $R_{n+1}(x) \in \mathrm{RZ}$ and $R_n(x) \prec R_{n+1}(x)$. This completes the proof. ∎

References

[1] J. Borcea and P. Brändén, Pólya-Schur master theorems for circular domains and their boundaries, Ann. Math. (2) 170 (2009), 465–492.

[2] G. Boros and V. H. Moll, Irresistible Integrals, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004.

[3] P. Brändén, Iterated sequences and the geometry of zeros, J. Reine Angew. Math. 658 (2011), 115–131.

[4] D.A. Cardon and P.P. Nielsen, Nonnegative minors of minor matrices, Linear Algebra Appl. 436 (2012), 2187–2200.

[5] W.Y.C. Chen, S.X.M. Pang and E.X.Y. Qu, Partially 2-colored permutations and the Boros-Moll polynomials, Ramanujan J. 27 (2012), 297–304.

[6] W.Y.C. Chen and E.X.W. Xia, The ratio monotonicity of the Boros-Moll polynomials, Math. Comput. 78 (2009), 2269–2282.

[7] W.Y.C. Chen and E.X.W. Xia, 2-log-concavity of the Boros-Moll polynomials, arXiv: 1010.0416.

[8] W.Y.C. Chen, A.L.B. Yang, and E.L.F. Zhou, Ratio Monotonicity of Polynomials Derived from Nondecreasing Sequences, Electron. J. Combin. 17 (2010), N37.

[9] G. Csordas, Iterated Turán inequalities and a conjecture of P. Brändén, in Notions of Positivity and the Geometry of Polynomials, Trends in Mathematics, 2011 Springer Basel AG, 103–113.

[10] S. Fisk, Questions about determinants and polynomials, arXiv:0808.1850.

[11] M. Kauers and P. Paule, A computer proof of Moll's log-concavity conjecture, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 135 (2007), 3847–3856.

[12] L.L. Liu and Y. Wang, A unified approach to polynomial sequences with only real zeros, Adv. Appl. Math. 38 (2007), 542–560.

[13] A. Llamas and J. Martínez-Bernal, Nested log-concavity, Commun. Algebra 38 (2010), 1968–1981.

[14] P.R.W. McNamara and B.E. Sagan, Infinite log-concavity: Developments and conjectures, Adv. Appl. Math. 44 (2010), 1–15.

[15] V.H. Moll, The evaluation of integrals: A personal story, Notices Amer. Math. Soc. 49 (2002), 311–317.

[16] V.H. Moll, Combinatorial sequences arising from a rational integeral, Online J. Anal. Combin. 2 (2007), #4.