

On the homogeneous distance of negacyclic codes over Z_{2^a}

5 ZHU Shixin, KAI Xiaoshan

(School of Mathematics, Hefei University of Technology)

Abstract: In this paper, we investigate the homogeneous distance of negacyclic codes over Z_{2^a} of any length. We determine the torsion codes of a negacyclic code over Z_{2^a} for a given length. Using the higher torsion codes, we give a bound for the homogeneous distance of negacyclic codes over Z_{2^a} of any length. The exact homogeneous distance of some negacyclic codes over Z_{2^a} is also obtained.

Key words: cyclic code; negacyclic codes; homogeneous distance.

1 Introduction

15 Negacyclic codes over finite fields are a class of important codes that were initiated by Berlekamp in the early 1960s [1,2]. After successful applications of codes over Z_4 to good error-correcting codes [3] and unimodular lattices [4], codes over finite rings have received a lot of attention. In 1999, Wolfmann first introduced negacyclic codes over Z_4 of odd length and studied their binary images [5,6]. Later, Blackford [7] used a transform approach to
20 classify negacyclic codes over Z_4 of even length. Recently, Dinh [8,9] computed various kinds of distances of all negacyclic codes of length 2^s over Z_{2^a} .

In the present work, we investigate the distances of negacyclic codes over Z_{2^a} for an arbitrary length. We consider the homogeneous distance of negacyclic codes over Z_{2^a} and the Euclidean distance of self-dual negacyclic codes over Z_{2^a} . It is well known that for a
25 linear code C over Z_4 , the Lee distance can be bounded by $Res(C)$ and $Tor(C)$ [10]. We extend this bound to the homogeneous distance of negacyclic codes over Z_{2^a} in terms of the Hamming distances of torsion codes. To do this, we determine all torsion codes of a negacyclic code over Z_{2^a} . The material is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce some basic definitions and notations. We also review main results about negacyclic
30 codes over Z_{2^a} . Section 3 determines all torsion codes of a negacyclic code Z_{2^a} . Bounds on the homogeneous distance of a negacyclic code Z_{2^a} are presented in Section 4.

2 Preliminaries

Let Z_{2^a} denote the finite commutative ring of integers modulo 2^a where $a \geq 2$ is a positive integer. Denote by $Z_{2^a}[x]$ the ring of polynomials in the indeterminate x with coefficients in
35 Z_{2^a} . A polynomial in $Z_{2^a}[x]$ is called a basic irreducible polynomial if its reduction modulo 2,

Foundations: Specialized Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of High Education (No.200803590003) and the Fundamental Research Fund for the Central Universities (No.2011HGXJ1079)

Brief author introduction: 1962年生, 男, 教授, 博导, 主要研究领域: 编码理论与密码. E-mail: zhushixin@hfut.edu.cn

denoted by $\bar{f}(x)$, is irreducible in $F_2[x]$. Each element $r \in Z_{2^a}$ can be written uniquely as

$$r = r_0 + 2r_1 + 2^2r_2 + \cdots + 2^{a-1}r_{a-1},$$

where $r_i \in \{0,1\}$ for $0 \leq i \leq a-1$.

Two polynomials $f_1(x), f_2(x) \in Z_{2^a}[x]$ are said to be coprime if there exist
 40 $\lambda_1(x), \lambda_2(x) \in Z_{2^a}[x]$ such that $\lambda_1(x)f_1(x) + \lambda_2(x)f_2(x) = 1$. It is known that $f_1(x)$ and $f_2(x)$ are coprime in $Z_{2^a}[x]$ if and only if $\bar{f}_1(x)$ and $\bar{f}_2(x)$ are coprime in $F_2[x]$ (cf. [11]).

A code of length N over Z_{2^a} is a nonempty subset of $Z_{2^a}^N$, and a code of length N over Z_{2^a} is linear if it is a Z_{2^a} -submodule of $Z_{2^a}^N$. A linear code of length N over Z_{2^a} is
 45 negacyclic if C is invariant under the permutation of $Z_{2^a}^N$:

$$(c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{N-1}) \rightarrow (-c_{N-1}, c_0, \dots, c_{N-2}).$$

We identify a codeword $c = (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{N-1})$ with its polynomial representation $c(x) = c_0 + c_1x + \cdots + c_{N-1}x^{N-1}$. Then $xc(x)$ corresponds to a negacyclic shift of $c(x)$ in the ring $Z_{2^a}[x]/\langle x^N + 1 \rangle$. Thus negacyclic codes of length N over Z_{2^a} can be identified as
 50 ideals in the ring $Z_{2^a}[x]/\langle x^N + 1 \rangle$. Let $N = 2^k n$, where k is a nonnegative integer and n is an odd number. Denote

$$\mathfrak{R}_a = Z_{2^a}[x]/\langle x^N + 1 \rangle.$$

In particular, when $a=1$, $\mathfrak{R}_1 = F_2[x]/\langle x^N + 1 \rangle$. This means that a binary cyclic code of length $N = 2^k n$ (n odd) is an ideal of \mathfrak{R}_1 . It has been shown in [12,13] that negacyclic codes
 55 over Z_{2^a} of any length are principally generated. The following theorem gives the generators of negacyclic codes over Z_{2^a} for an arbitrary length.

Theorem 2.1 ([13]). Let $x^n - 1 = \prod_{i=1}^r f_i(x)$ be the unique factorization of $x^n - 1$ into a product of monic basic irreducible divisors in $Z_{2^a}[x]$. If C is a negacyclic code over Z_{2^a} of
 60 length $N = 2^k n$ (n odd), then $C = \langle \prod_{i=1}^r f_i(x)^{k_i} \rangle$. Moreover

$$|C| = 2^{\sum_{i=0}^r (2^k a - i) \deg(f_i)}.$$

The homogeneous weight on Z_{2^a} is a weight function on Z_{2^a} defined by

$$w_{\text{hom}}(r) = \begin{cases} 2^{a-2}, & \text{if } r \neq 2^{a-1} \\ 2^{a-1} & \text{if } r = 2^{a-1} \\ 0, & \text{if } r = 0. \end{cases}$$

The homogeneous weight of $c = (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{N-1})$ over Z_{2^a} is the rational sum of the

65 homogeneous weights of components of C . The homogeneous distance $d_{\text{hom}}(C)$ of a linear code C is the smallest homogeneous weight of nonzero codewords of C . The homogeneous weight on Z_4 coincides with the Lee weight. Carlet [14] introduced a generalized Gray isometry on Z_{2^a} with the above homogeneous weight to obtain the generalized Kerdock codes. Duursma et.al [15] used this Gray isometry on Z_8 to construct a nonlinear $(96, 2^{37}, 24)$ binary code.

70 **3 Torsion codes**

Let C be any code over Z_{2^a} of length N . We now associate C with some related codes. We define $\bar{C} = \{\bar{c} \mid c \in C\}$. For each i , $0 \leq \gamma \leq a-1$, we define the code $(C:2^\gamma) = \{c \in Z_{2^a}^N \mid 2^\gamma c \in C\}$. For a linear code C over Z_{2^a} of length N , it is easy to verify that $(C:2^j) \subseteq (C:2^{j+1})$ and $\overline{(C:2^j)} \subseteq \overline{(C:2^{j+1})}$, $0 \leq j \leq a-2$. In general, $\bar{C} = \overline{(C:2^0)}$ is called the residue code and is denoted by $\text{Res}(C)$. Let γ be a fixed integer with $0 \leq \gamma \leq a-1$. Let C be a linear code of length N over Z_{2^a} , If C is negacyclic over Z_{2^a} , then it is easy to check that $(C:2^\gamma)$ is negacyclic over Z_{2^a} and $\overline{(C:2^\gamma)}$ is cyclic over F_2 . Norton and Salagean introduced these codes [16] and used them to study the Hamming distance of linear codes over finite chain rings[17]. The code $(C:2^\gamma)$ is called the γ th torsion code of C in [18]. The following is a special case of [18, Theorem 6.2].

Theorem 3.1 ([18]). For any linear code C over Z_{2^a} , we have $|C| = \prod_{\gamma=0}^{a-1} |\overline{(C:2^\gamma)}|$.

Next, we will determine the γ th torsion code of C , for $0 \leq \gamma \leq m-1$. For this, we first give several helpful lemmas.

Lemma 3.2. In \mathfrak{R}_a , we have $\langle (x^n - 1)^{2^k} \rangle = \langle 2 \rangle$.

85 **Proof.** The proof is similar to that for [7, Lemma 1]. By induction on n , it can be shown that $(x^n - 1)^{2^k} = x^{2^k n} + 1 + 2\alpha_k(x^n)$, where $\alpha_k(x^n)$ is a unit in \mathfrak{R}_a . Therefore, $\langle (x^n - 1)^{2^k} \rangle = \langle 2 \rangle$ in \mathfrak{R}_a .

Lemma 3.3. Let $f(x)$ be a divisor of $x^n - 1$ in $F_2[x]$. Then, in \mathfrak{R}_1 , $\langle f(x)^{2^k+l} \rangle = \langle f(x)^{2^k} \rangle$, for any positive integer l

90 **Proof.** Let $g(x) = (x^n - 1)/f(x)$. Since $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ are coprime in $F_2[x]$, it follows that $f(x)^l$ and $g(x)^{2^k}$ are coprime in $F_2[x]$, for any positive integer l . Hence, there exist $\theta(x), \mathcal{G}(x) \in F_2[x]$ such that $\theta(x)f(x)^l + \mathcal{G}(x)g(x)^{2^k} = 1$ in $F_2[x]$. Computing in

\mathfrak{R}_1 , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(x)f(x)^{2^k+l} &= \left[1-\vartheta(x)g(x)^{2^k}\right]f(x)^{2^k} \\ &= f(x)^{2^k} - \vartheta(x)g(x)^{2^k}f(x)^{2^k} \\ &= f(x)^{2^k} \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, $\langle f(x)^{2^k+l} \rangle = \langle f(x)^{2^k} \rangle$ for any positive integer l .

Lemma 3.4. Let C be a negacyclic code over Z_{2^a} of length $N=2^k n$ (n odd) with generator polynomial $\prod_{i=1}^r f_i(x)^{k_i}$, where $f_i(x)(1 \leq i \leq r)$ are monic basic irreducible divisors of $x^n - 1$ in $Z_{2^a}[x]$ and $0 \leq k_i \leq 2^k a$. Let γ be a fixed integer with $0 \leq \gamma \leq a-1$.

Then $(C:2^\gamma)$ contains the negacyclic code over Z_{2^a} of length $N=2^k n$ (n odd) with generator polynomial $\prod_{i=1}^r f_i(x)^{l_i^{(\gamma)}}$, where $l_i^{(\gamma)} = k_i - \min\{2^k \gamma, k_i\}$.

Proof. Let $D = \langle \prod_{i=1}^r f_i(x)^{l_i^{(\gamma)}} \rangle \subseteq \mathfrak{R}_a$ with $l_i^{(\gamma)} = k_i - \min\{2^k \gamma, k_i\}$. For any $f(x) \in D$, we have $f(x) = g(x) \prod_{i=1}^r f_i(x)^{l_i^{(\gamma)}}$, for some $g(x) \in \mathfrak{R}_a$. By Lemma 3.2, there exists an invertible element $\beta(x)$ in \mathfrak{R}_a such that $\beta(x)(x^n - 1)^{2^k} = 2$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} 2^\gamma f(x) &= 2^\gamma g(x) \prod_{i=1}^r f_i(x)^{l_i^{(\gamma)}} \\ &= \beta(x)^\gamma (x^n - 1)^{2^k \gamma} g(x) \prod_{i=1}^r f_i(x)^{l_i^{(\gamma)}} \\ &= g(x) \beta(x)^\gamma \prod_{i=1}^r f_i(x)^{\tau_i^{(\gamma)}} \end{aligned}$$

Where $\tau_i^{(\gamma)} = 2^k \gamma + k_i - \min\{2^k \gamma, k_i\}$. Obviously, $2^\gamma f(x) \in C$, so $f(x) \in (C:2^\gamma)$. This gives that $D \subseteq (C:2^\gamma)$.

Combining the above lemmas with Theorem 3.1, we can determine the torsion codes of a negacyclic code over Z_{2^a} of length $N=2^k n$ (n odd) explicitly.

Theorem 3.5. Let C be a negacyclic code over Z_{2^a} of length $N=2^k n$ (n odd) with generator polynomial $\prod_{i=1}^r f_i(x)^{k_i}$, where $f_i(x)(1 \leq i \leq r)$ are monic basic irreducible divisors of $x^n - 1$ in $Z_{2^a}[x]$ and $0 \leq k_i \leq 2^k a$. Let γ be a fixed integer with $0 \leq \gamma \leq a-1$. Then $\overline{(C:2^\gamma)}$ is a binary cyclic code of length $N=2^k n$ (n odd) with generator polynomial $\prod_{i=1}^r \overline{f_i(x)^{\tau_i^{(\gamma)}}}$, where $\tau_i^{(\gamma)} = \min\{2^k(\gamma+1), k_i\} - \min\{2^k \gamma, k_i\}$

Proof. By Lemma 3.4, for each γ , $0 \leq \gamma \leq a-1$, it is obvious that $\overline{(C:2^\gamma)} \supseteq \left\langle \prod_{i=1}^r \overline{f_i(x)^{l_i^{(\gamma)}}} \right\rangle$, where $l_i^{(\gamma)} = k_i - \min\{2^k \gamma, k_i\}$. Let $\overline{D} = \left\langle \prod_{i=1}^r \overline{f_i(x)^{l_i^{(\gamma)}}} \right\rangle \subseteq \mathfrak{R}_1$. Applying

120 Lemma 3.3, we get that

$$\overline{D} = \left\langle \prod_{i=1}^r \overline{f_i(x)^{l_i^{(\gamma)}}} \right\rangle = \left\langle \prod_{i=1}^r \overline{f_i(x)^{\tau_i^{(\gamma)}}} \right\rangle,$$

Where

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_i^{(\gamma)} &= \min\{2^k, k_i - \min\{2^k \gamma, k_i\}\} \\ &= \min\{2^k(\gamma+1), k_i\} - \min\{2^k \gamma, k_i\}. \end{aligned}$$

This gives that $\left| \overline{(C:2^\gamma)} \right| \geq 2^{t_\gamma}$ where

125
$$t_\gamma = N - \sum_{i=1}^r \tau_i^{(\gamma)} \cdot \deg(f_i).$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{\gamma=0}^{a-1} \left| \overline{(C:2^\gamma)} \right| &\geq 2^{t_0+t_1+\dots+t_{a-1}} \\ &= 2^{aN - \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{\gamma=0}^{a-1} \tau_i^{(\gamma)} \cdot \deg(f_i)} \\ &= 2^{aN - \sum_{i=1}^r k_i \cdot \deg(f_i)}. \end{aligned}$$

From Theorem 3.1, we know that

130
$$|C| = \prod_{\gamma=0}^{a-1} \left| \overline{(C:2^\gamma)} \right| = 2^{aN - \sum_{i=1}^r k_i \cdot \deg(f_i)}.$$

Hence, for each γ , $0 \leq \gamma \leq a-1$, it must have $\left| \overline{(C:2^\gamma)} \right| = \left| \overline{D} \right|$. This shows that $\overline{(C:2^\gamma)} = \overline{D}$. The desired result follows.

From the above theorem, we can express that the residue code $\text{Res}(C) = \left\langle \prod_{i=1}^r \overline{f_i(x)^{\tau_i^{(0)}}} \right\rangle$,

where $\tau_i^{(0)} = \min\{2^k, k_i\}$, and $\overline{(C:2^{a-1})} = \left\langle \prod_{i=1}^r \overline{f_i(x)^{\tau_i^{(a-1)}}} \right\rangle$ where $\tau_i^{(a-1)} = k_i -$

135 $\min\{2^k(a-1), k_i\}$

4 Homogeneous distance

Let $C = \left\langle \prod_{i=1}^r f_i(x)^{k_i} \right\rangle$ be a negacyclic code over \mathbb{Z}_{2^a} of length $N = 2^k n$ (n odd), where $f_i(x)$ ($1 \leq i \leq r$) are monic basic irreducible divisors of $x^n - 1$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{2^a}[x]$ and $0 \leq k_i \leq 2^k a$. For each γ , $0 \leq \gamma \leq a-1$, let d_γ denote the Hamming distance of the binary

140 cyclic code $\overline{(C:2^\gamma)} = \left\langle \prod_{i=1}^r f_i(x)^{\tau_i^{(\gamma)}} \right\rangle$, where $\tau_i^{(\gamma)} = \min\{2^k(\gamma+1), k_i\} - \min\{2^k \gamma, k_i\}$.

Clearly, $d_0 \geq d_1 \geq \dots \geq d_{a-1}$. We first consider the Hamming distance of a negacyclic code over \mathbb{Z}_{2^a} of length $N = 2^k n$ (n odd). The Hamming distance is completely determined by the

binary cyclic code $\overline{(C:2^{a-1})}$.

Theorem 4.1. Let C be a negacyclic code over \mathbb{Z}_{2^a} of length $N=2^k n$ (n odd) with generator polynomial $\prod_{i=1}^r f_i(x)^{k_i}$, where $f_i(x)(1 \leq i \leq r)$ are monic basic irreducible divisors of $x^n - 1$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{2^a}[x]$ and $0 \leq k_i \leq 2^k a$. Then $d_H(C) = d_{a-1}$.

Proof. The result follows from [12, Theorem 4.2] and Theorem 3.5.

Theorem 4.2. Let C be a negacyclic code over \mathbb{Z}_{2^a} of length $N=2^k n$ (n odd) with generator polynomial $\prod_{i=1}^r f_i(x)^{k_i}$, where $f_i(x)(1 \leq i \leq r)$ are monic basic irreducible divisors of $x^n - 1$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{2^a}[x]$ and $0 \leq k_i \leq 2^k a$. Then

$$2^{a-2} \min\{d_{a-2}, 2d_{a-1}\} \leq d_{\text{hom}}(C) \leq 2^{a-1} d_{a-1}.$$

Proof. Let c be any nonzero codeword in C . Then there exists ν , $0 \leq \nu \leq a-1$, such that c can be expressed in the form $2^\nu b$, where $b \in \mathbb{Z}_{2^a}^N$ is not divisible by 2. This gives that

$0 \neq \bar{b} \in \overline{(C:2^\nu)}$, which implies $w_H(\bar{b}) \geq d_\nu$. If $0 \leq \nu \leq a-2$, then $w_{\text{hom}}(c) \geq 2^{a-2} d_\nu$.

Because $d_0 \geq d_1 \geq \dots \geq d_{a-2}$, we have $w_{\text{hom}}(c) \geq 2^{a-2} d_{a-2}$, which means $d_{\text{hom}}(C) \geq 2^{a-2} d_{a-2}$. If $\nu = a-1$, then $d_{\text{hom}}(C) \geq 2^{a-1} d_{a-1}$. Hence, $d_{\text{hom}}(C) \geq \min\{2^{a-2} d_{a-2}, 2^{a-1} d_{a-1}\}$. On the other hand, note that $2^{a-1} \bar{b} = 2^{a-1} b \in C$, so $d_{\text{hom}}(C) \leq 2^{a-1} d_{a-1}$. Therefore, $2^{a-2} \min\{d_{a-2}, 2d_{a-1}\} \leq d_{\text{hom}}(C) \leq 2^{a-1} d_{a-1}$.

For the case $a = 2$, the upper bound in the above theorem specializes to the bound given by Rains in [10, Lemma 4]. As special cases, we have the following two corollaries which provide the exact homogeneous distance of some negacyclic codes over \mathbb{Z}_{2^a} .

Corollary 4.3. Let C be a negacyclic code over \mathbb{Z}_{2^a} of length $N=2^k n$ (n odd) with generator polynomial $\prod_{i=1}^r f_i(x)^{k_i}$, where $f_i(x)(1 \leq i \leq r)$ are monic basic irreducible divisors of $x^n - 1$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{2^a}[x]$ and $0 \leq k_i \leq 2^k a$. If $d_{a-2} \geq 2d_{a-1}$ then $d_{\text{hom}}(C) = 2^{a-1} d_{a-1}$.

Corollary 4.4. Let $C = \left\langle \prod_{i=1}^r f_i(x)^{k_i} \right\rangle$ be a negacyclic code over \mathbb{Z}_{2^a} of length $N=2^k n$ (n odd), where $f_i(x)(1 \leq i \leq r)$ are monic basic irreducible divisors of $x^n - 1$ in \mathbb{Z}_{2^a} and $0 \leq k_i \leq 2^k a$. Let $\lambda = \max_{1 \leq i \leq r} \{k_i\}$.

(1) If $1 \leq \lambda \leq 2^k(a-2)$, then $d_{\text{hom}}(C) = 2^{a-2}$.

(2) If $2^k(a-2) + 1 \leq \lambda \leq 2^k(a-1)$, then $d_{\text{hom}}(C) = 2^{a-1}$.

Proof. (1) If $1 \leq \lambda \leq 2^k(a-2)$, then, by Theorem 3.5, we get that $\overline{(C:2^{a-2})} = \overline{(C:2^{a-1})} = \langle 1 \rangle$.

From Theorem 4.2, it must be $2^{a-2} \leq d_{\text{hom}}(C) \leq 2^{a-1}$. Note that $\prod_{i=1}^r f_i(x)^{2^k(a-2)} =$

$(x^n - 1)^{2^k(a-2)} = (2\beta)^{a-2} \in C$ for some unit β in R_a , which means $2^{a-2} \in C$. This implies that $d_{\text{hom}}(C) \leq 2^{a-2}$. So, it must have $d_{\text{hom}}(C) = 2^{a-2}$.

(2) if $2^k(a-2)+1 \leq \lambda \leq 2^k(a-1)$, then $\overline{(C:2^{a-2})}$ is not $\langle 0 \rangle$ or $\langle 1 \rangle$, but $\overline{(C:2^{a-1})} = \langle 1 \rangle$. Hence, $d_{a-2} \geq 2d_{a-1}$. From Theorem 4.2, we obtain that $d_{\text{hom}}(C) = 2^{a-1}$.

Using torsion codes we can find the exact homogeneous distance of some negacyclic codes over \mathbb{Z}_{2^a} of length $N = 2^k n$ (n odd). However, for the case when $\lambda = \max_{1 \leq i \leq r} \{k_i\} > 2^k(a-1)$, it is difficult to determine the exact homogeneous distance for a negacyclic code over \mathbb{Z}_{2^a} of length $N = 2^k n$ (n odd) in general. Thus, there are still a large number of negacyclic codes over \mathbb{Z}_{2^a} of length $N = 2^k n$ (n odd) with homogeneous distance uncertain. Now we will give an upper bound for this case using simple-root binary cyclic code $C_0 = \langle \bar{f}(x) \rangle$ of length n . Let C be a negacyclic code over \mathbb{Z}_{2^a} of length $N = 2^k n$ (n odd) with generator polynomial $g(x) = \prod_{i=1}^r f_i(x)^{k_i}$, where $f_i(x)$ ($1 \leq i \leq r$) are monic basic irreducible divisors of $x^n - 1$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{2^a}[x]$ and $0 \leq k_i \leq 2^k a$. Define $f(x)$ as the product of those basic irreducible polynomials $f_i(x)$ of $g(x)$ with multiplicity $k_i > 2^k(a-1)$. The following lemma easily follows from [19, Theorem 1].

Lemma 4.5. Let $C_1 = \langle \bar{f}(x)^{2^k} \rangle$ be the binary cyclic code of length $N = 2^k n$ (n odd), and let $C_2 = \langle \bar{f}(x) \rangle$ be the binary cyclic code of length n . Then $d_H(C_1) = d_H(C_2)$.

Corollary 4.6. Let C be a negacyclic code of length $N = 2^k n$ (n odd) with generator polynomial $g(x) = \prod_{i=1}^r f_i(x)^{k_i}$. Let C_0 be defined as above and d be the Hamming distance of C_0 . Let $\lambda = \max_{1 \leq i \leq r} \{k_i\} > 2^k(a-1)$ and l be the number of nonzero coefficients of the 2-adic expansion of $\lambda - 2^k(a-1)$.

(1) If $\lambda = 2^k a$, then $d_{\text{hom}}(C) \leq 2^{a-1} d$.

(2) If $2^k(a-1) < \lambda < 2^k a$, then

$$d_{\text{hom}}(C) \leq \min\{2^{a+l-1}, 2^{a-1} d\}.$$

Proof. (1) Note that $f(x)$ is the product of those basic irreducible polynomials $f_i(x)$ of $g(x)$ with multiplicity $k_i > 2^k(a-1)$, so $\overline{(C:2^{a-1})} \supseteq \langle \bar{f}(x)^{2^k} \rangle$. This implies that $d_{a-1} \leq d_H(\langle \bar{f}(x)^{2^k} \rangle)$. Combining Lemma 4.5 yields $d_{\text{hom}}(C) \leq 2^{a-1} d$.

(2) If $2^k(a-1) < \lambda < 2^k a$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i=1}^r f_i(x)^\lambda &= (x^n - 1)^\lambda \\ &= (x^n - 1)^{2^k(a-1)}(x^n - 1)^{\lambda - 2^k(a-1)} \\ &= 2^{a-1}u(x)(x^n - 1)^{\lambda - 2^k(a-1)} \in C, \end{aligned}$$

for some unit $u(x) \in \mathfrak{R}_a$. Hence, $2^{a-1}(x^n - 1)^{\lambda - 2^k(a-1)}$ be in C . This gives $d_{\text{hom}}(C) \leq 2^{a+l-1}$. Also, we have $d_{\text{hom}}(C) \leq 2^{a-1}d$ from (1). Thus, $d_{\text{hom}}(C) \leq \min\{2^{a+l-1}, 2^{a-1}d\}$.

205 **Example 4.7.** Let $C_i = \langle (x-1)^i \rangle$ be a negacyclic code of length 2^k over Z_{2^a} , for some $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, 2^k a\}$. Then by Corollary 4.4, we easily get that if $0 \leq i \leq 2^k(a-2)$, then $d_{\text{hom}}(C_i) = 2^{a-2}$; if $2^k(a-2) + 1 \leq i \leq 2^k(a-1)$, then $d_{\text{hom}}(C_i) = 2^{a-1}$. If $2^k a - 2^{s-m} + 1 \leq i \leq 2^k a - 2^{s-m-1}$ for $0 \leq m \leq k-1$, then $\overline{(C : 2^{a-1})} = \langle (x-1)^j \rangle$ with $2^k - 2^{k-m} + 1 \leq j \leq 2^k - 2^{k-m-1}$ and $\overline{(C : 2^{a-2})} = \langle 0 \rangle$. By Corollary 4.3, $d_{\text{hom}}(C_i) = 2d_{a-1} = 2^{a+m}$. This in fact
210 gives an alternative method of computing the homogeneous distance of negacyclic codes of length 2^k over Z_{2^a} [9].

5 Conclusion

In this paper, we give a bound for the homogenous distance of negacyclic codes over Z_{2^a} using their higher torsion codes. The bound of the homogenous distance enables us to determine
215 the exact distance of some negacyclic codes over Z_{2^a} . A further work is to consider the Euclidean distance of negacyclic codes over Z_{2^a} .

References

- [1] Berlekamp E R. Negacyclic codes for the Lee metric. In Proc. Conf. Combin. Math. and Its Appl., Chapel Hill, NC, 1968: 298-316.
220 [2] Berlekamp E R. Algebraic Coding Theory. Revised 1984 ed. Laguna Hills, CA: Aegean Park.
[3] Hammons Jr A R, Kumar P V, Calderbank A R, Sloane N J A, Sole P. The Z_4 -linearity of Kerdock Preparata, Goethals, and related codes [J]. IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, 1994, 40: 301-319.
[4] Bonnetcaze A, Sole P, Calderbank A R. Quaternary quadratic residue codes and unimodular lattices [J]. IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, 1995, 41: 366-377.
225 [5] Wolfmann J. Negacyclic and cyclic codes over Z_4 [J]. IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, 1999, 45, 2527-2532.
[6] Wolfmann J. Binary images of cyclic codes over Z_4 [J]. IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, 2001, 47: 1773-1779.
[7] Blackford T. Negacyclic codes over Z_4 of even length [J]. IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, 2003, 49: 1417-1424.
[8] Dinh H Q. Negacyclic codes of length 2^a s over Galois rings [J]. IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, 2005, 51: 4252-4262.
230 [9] Dinh H Q. Complete distances of all negacyclic codes of length 2^a s over Z_{2^a} [J]. IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, 2007, 53: 147-161.
[10] Rains E. Optimal self-dual codes over Z_4 [J]. Discr. Math., 1999, 203: 215-288.
[11] McDonald B R. Finite Rings with Identity. Dekker, New York, 1974.
[12] Salagean A. Repeated-root cyclic and negacyclic codes over finite chain rings [J]. Discr. Appl. Math., 2006, 235, 154: 413-419.
[13] Zhu S, Kai X. Dual and self-dual negacyclic codes of even length over Z_{2^a} [J]. Discr. Math., 2009, 309: 2382-2391.
[14] Carlet C. Z_{2^k} -Linear codes [J]. IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, 1998, 44: 1543-1547.
240 [15] Duursma I M, Greferath M, Litsyn S N, Schmidt S E. A Z_8 -linear lift of the binary Golay code and a nonlinear binary $(96, 2^{37}, 24)$ -code [J]. IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, 2001, 47: 1596-1598.
[16] Norton G H, Salagean A. On the structure of linear and cyclic codes over a finite chain ring [J]. Appl. Algebra Engrg. Comm. Comput., 2000, 10: 489-506.
[17] Norton G H, Salagean A. On the Hamming distance of linear codes over a finite chain ring [J]. IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, 2000, 46: 1060-1067.

- 245 [18] Dougherty S T, Park Y H. On modular cyclic codes [J]. Finite Fields Appl., 2007, 13: 31-57.
[19] Castagnoli G, Massey J L, Schoeller P A, von Seemann N. On repeated-root cyclic codes [J]. IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, 1991, 37: 337-342.

250 关于 Z_2^a 上的负循环码的齐次距离

朱士信, 开晓山

(合肥工业大学数学学院)

255 **摘要:** 本文研究了 Z_2^a 上任意长度的负循环码的齐次距离。确立了 Z_2^a 上任意长度的负循环码的各阶挠码; 利用高阶挠码给出了 Z_2^a 上任意长度的负循环码的齐次距离界, 得到了 Z_2^a 上某些负循环码的确切的齐次距离。

关键词: 循环码; 负循环码; 齐次距离

中图分类号: TN911.22