Cauchy problem for a class of coupled hyperbolic systems of conservation laws

SUN Werr hua, YANG Harr chun

(Department of Mathematics, Yunnan University, Kunming 650091, China)

Abstract: The Cauchy problem for a class of coupled hyperbolic system of conservation laws is studied. Using correct vex hull of a potential function, the global solution including delta shock waves is constructed explicitly, and is then proved to be a measure solution directly.

assume

Key words: Cauchy problem; coupled hyperbolic system; convex hull; delta shock

CLC number: 0 175.27 **Document code**: A **Article ID**: 0258- 7971(2004) 01- 0006- 05

MSC(2000): 35L65

Consider the coupled hyperbolic system

$$\begin{cases} v_t + (vf(u))_x = 0, \\ (vu)_t + (vuf(u))_x = 0, \end{cases}$$
 (1)

where f(u) is a smooth monotone function, the sign of v is assumed to be unchangeable. The system (1) is coinciding with the one dimensional transportation equations (i. e., zero pressuregas dynamics) when $f(u) = u, v \geqslant 0$ and u are thought as the density and velocity, respectively.

The Riemann problem for (1) was solved completely in Ref. [1]. A distinctive feature is that delta shock waves develop in solutions. In earlier paper [2], the delta shock waves were found independently for a simplified mathematical model of Euler system. This kind of waves has been studied by many authors. The generalized Rankine—Hugoniot condition for a delta shock wave was proposed to describe the relationship among the location, propagation speed, weight and assignment of u on its discontinuity relative to the delta shock [1—3]. In particular, the weight denotes the mass of concentrated particles.

Thus the deltar shock may be interpreted as the galaxies in the universe, or the concentration of particles.

As for the Cauchy problem involving the deltar shock solutions, by introducing convex hull of a potential function, Chen et al (see [4]) obtained explicit construction of global measure solutions of Cauchy problem for the transportation equations. Making use of the method in [4], the present paper consider Cauchy problem of (1) with the initial data

$$(u, v)(0, x) = (u0(x), v0(x)),$$
 (2)
where $v0(x)$ expresses the mass distribution at $t=0$. $u0(x)$ is assumed to be boundedly measurable
with respect to $v0(x)$ and the total mass
$$\int_{R^1} v0(dx) < + \infty$$
. If supp (v) is unbounded, we

$$\int_0^x \eta_{v,0}(d\eta) \xrightarrow{\rightarrow} + \infty \text{ as } |x| \xrightarrow{\rightarrow} + \infty.$$
 (3)

Definition 1 A pair $(u(x, t), v(\Delta, t))$ is called a measure solution of (1-2), if $(u(x, t), v(\Delta, t))$ satisfies

^{*} Received date: 2003- 08- 08

$$\begin{cases}
\int_{R_{+}^{2}} (\Phi_{t} + f(u) \Phi_{x}) v(dx; t) dt + \\
\int_{t=0} \Phi(x, 0) v_{0}(dx) = 0, \\
\int_{R_{+}^{2}} u(\Phi_{t} + f(u) \Phi_{x}) v(dx; t) dt + \\
\int_{t=0} u_{0}(x) \Phi(x, 0) (dx) = 0,
\end{cases} (4)$$

for all test functions φ , $\psi \in C_0^\infty(R_+^2)(R_+^2 = R \times [0, \infty))$, where $v(\Delta; t) \ge 0$ denote the mass distribution on Borel measurable set Δ at t and u(x, t) is boundedly measurable with respect to $v(\Delta; t)$.

1 Construction of solution and main result

The system (1) has a double eigenvalue $\lambda = f(u)$ and only one right eigenvector $\mathbf{r} = (1, 0)^T$, furthermore $\nabla \lambda \mathbf{r} \equiv 0$, which shows (1) is non-strictly hyperbolic. The characteristic equations of (1) are

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\mathrm{d}t} = f(u), \\ \frac{\mathrm{d}u}{\mathrm{d}t} = 0, \\ \frac{\mathrm{d}v}{\mathrm{d}t} = -v \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (f(u)). \end{cases}$$
 (5)

For simplicity, we suppose

$$f'(u) > 0, v \ge 0.$$
 (6)

The rest cases are considered similarly. If $u'_{0}(x) > 0$, then the characteristics do not intersect. If $u'_{0}(x) < 0$, the characteristics will overlap and the δ shock will appear in the solutions. To solve (1-2), we need to construct a convex hull.

Introduce two projections $F: R^1 \xrightarrow{} R^1$ and $B: \stackrel{1 \to}{} R^1$

$$\begin{cases} F(x_0, t) = \int_A (\Pi + f(u_0(\Pi))) v_0(d\Pi), \\ z = B(x_0) = \int_\infty^{x_0} v_0(d\Pi), \end{cases}$$

(7)

in which $A = [0, x_0)$ if $x_0 > 0$, and $A = [x_0, 0)$ if $x_0 < 0$. It is obvious that $B(x_0)$ is nondecreasing, then there exists inverse $B^{-1}(z) = x_0 = x_0(z)$. Let

$$G(z) = F \circ x_0(z) = F \circ B^{-1}(z),$$
 (8)

and when $x_0 = B^{-1}(z) = \overline{x}(\text{constant})$ ($z \in [z_1, z_2]$), we define

$$G(z) = F(x \circ (z \cdot 1)) + \frac{F(x \circ (z \cdot 2)) - F(x \circ (z \cdot 1))}{z \cdot 2 - z \cdot 1} (z - z \cdot 1),$$

$$z \in (z \cdot 1, z \cdot 2), \qquad (9)$$

where $z_1 = B(x_0 - 0)$, $z_2 = B(x_0 + 0)$. Noting (6), we get G(z) is convex if only $u_0(x)$ is nonder creasing and

$$x = \frac{dG}{dz}\Big|_{z=B(x_0)} = x_0 + tf(u_0(x_0)), \text{ a. e.},$$
(10)

where x can be explained as the particle location of x_0 at t. If $u_0(x)$ is decreasing, particles will interact and stick together at time t, i. e., δ shock will appear. To get the global solution, we now construct the convex hull H(z) of G(z)

$$H(z) = \inf\{(G(z), \widetilde{G}(z)); \widetilde{G}(z) = G(z_1) + \frac{G(z_1) - G(z_2)}{z_1 - z_2}(z - z_1),$$

$$\forall z_1, z_2 \in \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} v_0(\mathrm{d}\eta) \}, \quad (11)$$

which is tuitively a rubber band covering $G\left(z\right)$ tightly, and has the property

$$H'(z-0) \le H'(z+0).$$
 (12)

Then R^1 can be divided into two kinds intervals L_1 and L_2 , where $L_1 = \{x_0; G(z(x_0)) = H(z(x_0))\}$. For any $x_0 \in L_1$, the convex hull satisfies

$$G'(z-0) \le H'(z-0) \le H'(z+0) \le G'(z+0).$$
 (13)
If $G'(z-0) = G'(z+0)$, then

 $\frac{dH}{dH} = \frac{dG}{dG}$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}H}{\mathrm{d}z}\bigg|_{z=B(x_0)} = \frac{\mathrm{d}G}{\mathrm{d}z}\bigg|_{z=B(x_0)} =$$

$$x_0 + tf(u(x_0))$$
 hold a. e. (14)

$$L_2 = \{x_0 \in R^1; H(z(x_0)) \neq G(z(x_0))\}$$
 is

constructed by nor intersecting intervals Δ , which are open sets and $\Delta \cap \Delta = \Phi(i \neq j)$. It is obvious that Δ are countable. On every Δ , the tangent of H(z) is a constant

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}H}{\mathrm{d}z} = \frac{G(z_2) - G(z_1)}{z_2 - z_1} =$$

$$\frac{\int_{(x_{1}, x_{2})} (\, \Pi + \, t f \, (\, u \, o (\, \Pi) \,) \,) \, v \, o (\, \mathrm{d} \Pi)}{\int_{(x_{1}, \, x_{2})} v \, o (\, \mathrm{d} \Pi)} \, , \tag{15}$$

where $z_1 = B(x_1)$, $z_2 = B(x_2)$, $(x_1, x_2) = \Delta$. Then we can define reflection $h(\cdot; t) : R^1 \to R^1$

$$x = h(x_0; t) = \frac{dH(z)}{dz}\Big|_{z=B(x_0)},$$
 (16)

which reflects a point or a set to a point. Thus $h^{-1}(\bullet;t)$ maps a point to a point or a set. We now give some properties about $h(x_0;t)$.

Lemma 1 For fixed $t \ge 0$ and $x_1, x_2 \in R^1$, if

$$h(x_1; t) \le h(x_2; t)$$
, then $x_1 \le x_2$.
Lemma 2 For $0 < t^* < t$, then
$$h^{-1}(\cdot, t^*) \subset h^{-1}(\cdot, t).$$
Lemma 3 If $x_0 \in h^{-1}(x; t)$, then
$$|x_0 - x| \le \max_{x \in R^1} |f(u_0(x))| t. \tag{17}$$

Based on the above analysis, the following main result can be obtained.

Theorem 1 If the initial data (2) satisfies the condition imposed, then Cauchy problem (1–2) possesses a measure solution (v (Δ , t), u (x, t)) constructed as follows

$$\begin{cases} u(x;t) = \begin{cases} u_0(x_0), \text{ hold on } R^1 \text{ a. e., } h^{-1}(x;t) = x_0, \\ \int_{(x_1, x_2)} u_0(\eta) v_0(d\eta) \\ \int_{(x_1, x_2)} v_0(d\eta), \end{cases} \qquad h^{-1}(x;t) = (x_1, x_2),$$

$$v(\Delta; t) = v_0(h^{-1}(\Delta; t)),$$
(18)

where v denotes the mass distribution on Borel measurable set Δ at t.

2 Proof of theorem

For convenience, denote $h(h^{-1}(x;t), t + \delta_t) = h(x;t, t + \delta_t)$, which presents the location of particle x from time t to $t + \delta$. We first introduce some lemmas before we proof the theorem.

Lemma 4 For $\forall t^*, 0 \le t^* \le t$, if $h^{-1}(x; t) \text{ is a open set } (x_1, x_2), \text{ then}$ $x = \frac{\int_{h((x_1, x_2); t^*)} (\Pi + (t - t^*) f(u(\Pi, t^*))) v(d\Pi, t^*)}{\int_{h((x_1, x_2); t^*)} v(d\Pi; t^*)}.$ (19)

It can be verified easily by (15).

Lemma 5 Suppose $x^* \in h(h^{-1}(x;t); t^*)$, $(0 < t^* < t)$, then $|x - x^*| \leq \max_{x \in R^1} |f(u(x, t^*))| (t - t^*).$ (20)

Lemma 6 Suppose $\widetilde{u}(t) = \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^1} |u(x, t)|$, then $\widetilde{u}(t)$ is monotone and non-increasing.

Proof of Theorem Let's first verify the solution (18) satisfying the first equation of (4). For any $\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $\exists T \text{ and } (a, b) \text{ having}$

$$\int_{(a,b)} (\, \, \Psi(x,T) \, v(\, \mathrm{d}x;T) - \, \, \, \Psi(x,0) \, v_{\,0}(\, \mathrm{d}x)) +$$

$$\int_{(a,b)} \Psi(x) \, v_{\,0}(\, \mathrm{d}x) = 0.$$
(21)

So we just need to prove

$$\int_{(a,b)} (\, \, \, \, \, (x,T) \, v \, (\mathrm{d}x;T) - \, \, \, \, \, \, (x,0) \, v \, o(\mathrm{d}x)) =$$

$$\int_{0}^{T} \int_{(a,b)} (\, \, \, \, \, (x,t) \, \, \, (x,t) \, \, \, dt \, . \tag{22}$$

We now separate [0, T] into n parts $[t_i, t_{i+1}]$ $(i=0, 1, \dots n-1)$, where $t_0=0$, $t_n=T$, and denote $t_{i+1}-t_i$ by Δt_i , then the right side of (22) is transformed into

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int_{(a,b)} \varphi_{i}(x, \overline{t_{i}}) v(dx; t_{i+1}) \cdot \Delta + \int_{(a,b)} (\varphi(h(x; t_{i}, t_{i+1}), t_{i}) - \varphi(x, t_{i})) v(dx; t_{i}) J = J_{1} + J_{2}$$
(23)

where $\overline{t_i} \in (t_i, t_{i+1})$. Because

$$\int_{(a,b)} \Phi_{t}(x,t+\Delta t) v(\mathrm{d}x;t+\Delta t) - \Phi_{t}(x,t) v(\mathrm{d}x;t) = \int_{(a,b)} (\Phi_{t}(h(x;t,t+\Delta t),t) - \Phi_{t}(x,t)) v(\mathrm{d}x;t) = \int_{(a,b)} \Phi_{tx}(x+\theta(h(x;t,t+\Delta t)-x),t) \cdot (h(x;t,t+\Delta t)-x) v(\mathrm{d}x;t),$$
(24)

where $\theta \in (0, 1)$, and

$$h(x; t, t + \Delta t) - x \xrightarrow{\rightarrow} 0(\Delta t \xrightarrow{\rightarrow} 0),$$
 (25)

then $\int_{(a,b)} \varphi_t(x,t) v(dx;t)$ is continuous, and

$$J_{1} \xrightarrow{\longrightarrow} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{(a, b)} \varphi_{t}(x, t) v(dx; t), n \xrightarrow{\longrightarrow} \infty,$$

$$\max(\Delta t_{i}) \xrightarrow{\longrightarrow} 0. \tag{26}$$

For J_2 , we have

$$J_{2} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int_{(a,b)} \varphi_{x}(\overline{x}, t_{i}) (h(x; t_{i}, t_{i+1}) - x) v(dx; t_{i}), \qquad (27)$$

where (a, b) can be separated into $\Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_2$, $\Sigma_1 = \{ \bigcup h^{-1}(x; ti, ti+1); h^{-1}(x; ti, ti+1) = \{xi\} \}$, and $\Sigma_2 = \{ \bigcup \Delta_j; \Delta_i \cap \Delta_j = \Phi(i \neq j),$

 $h(\Delta; t_i, t_{i+1}) = x$. Then

$$J_{2} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left[\int_{\sum_{1}} \Phi_{x}(\overline{x}, t_{i}) (h(x; t_{i}, t_{i+1}) - x) \cdot v(dx; t_{i}) + \int_{\sum_{2}} \Phi_{x}(\overline{x}, t_{i}) (h(x; t_{i}, t_{i+1}) - x) \cdot v(dx; t_{i}) \right] = J_{21} + J_{22}.$$
(28)

From (10), we have

$$J_{21} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int_{\sum_{1}} \varphi_{x}(\bar{x}, t_{i}) f(u(x, t_{i})) v(dx; t_{i}) \Delta t_{i}.$$
(29)

For J_{22} , we have

$$J_{22} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j} \int_{\Delta_{j}} \varphi_{x}(\bar{x}, t_{i}) \cdot$$

$$\frac{\int_{\Delta_{j}} (\eta - x) v(d\eta; t_{i})}{\int_{\Delta_{j}} v(d\eta; t_{i})} v(dx; t_{i}) + \frac{\int_{\Delta_{j}} v(d\eta; t_{i})}{\int_{\Delta_{j}} \varphi_{x}(x, t_{i})} v(d\eta; t_{i}) \cdot \frac{\int_{\Delta_{j}} f(u(\eta, t_{i})) v(d\eta; t_{i})}{\int_{\Delta_{j}} v(d\eta; t_{i})} - \frac{f(u(x, t_{i})) J(dx; t_{i}) \Delta t_{i} + \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j} \int_{\Delta_{j}} \varphi_{x}(x, t_{i}) f(u(x, t_{i}))}{\int_{\Delta_{j}} v(d\eta; t_{i})} v(dx; t_{i}) \Delta t_{i} + \frac{v(dx; t_{i}) \Delta t_{i}}{v(dx; t_{i})} \Delta t_{i} = J_{22}^{(1)} + J_{22}^{(2)} + J_{22}^{(3)}.$$
We now prove $J_{22}^{(1)} \xrightarrow{} 0 (\max_{i} \Delta_{i} \xrightarrow{} 0)$. For $\forall \xi$ $\eta \in \Delta_{j}$,

we now prove $J_{22} = 0 \pmod{\max_{i} \Delta_{i}} = 0$. For $\forall \zeta$ $\eta \in \Delta_{i},$ $| \eta - \xi | \leq | \Delta_{i} | \leq 2 \max_{x \in \Delta_{i}} | f(u(x; t_{i})) | \Delta t_{i} \leq 2 \max_{x \in D^{1}} | f(u_{0}(x, t_{i})) | \Delta t_{i} = C_{1} \Delta t_{i},$

(31)

in which $| \Delta_j |$ is the Radon measurement of Δ_j , $C_1 = 2 \max | f(u_0(x, t_i))|$. Then

$$J_{22}^{(1)} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j} \frac{1}{2 \int_{\Delta_{j}} v(d\eta; t_{i})} \cdot \int_{\Delta_{j}} \int_{\Delta_{j}} (\varphi_{x}(\overline{x}, t_{i})(\eta - x) + \varphi_{x}(\overline{\eta}, t_{i})(x - \eta)) v(dx; t) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j} \frac{1}{2 \int_{\Delta_{j}} v(d\eta; t_{i})} \cdot \int_{\Delta_{j}} \int_{\Delta_{j}} \varphi_{xx}(\widetilde{x}, t_{i})(\widetilde{\eta} - \widetilde{x})(\eta - x) \cdot v(d\eta; t_{i}) v(dx; t_{i}),$$

$$v(d\eta; t_{i}) v(dx; t_{i}),$$

$$(32)$$

where $\overline{\P} = \P + \theta(h(\P; t_i) - \P)(\theta \in (0, 1)), \widetilde{x} \in (\overline{x}, \overline{\P})$, then

$$| J_{22}^{(1)} | \leqslant \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j} \frac{1}{2 \int_{\Delta_{j}} v(\,\mathrm{d}\eta;\,t_{i})} \cdot$$

$$\int_{\Delta_{j}} \int_{\Delta_{j}} | \varphi_{xx}(\,\widetilde{x},\,t_{i}) | | \overline{\eta} - \overline{x} | \cdot$$

$$| (\,\eta - x\,) | v(\,\mathrm{d}\eta;\,t_{i}) v(\,\mathrm{d}x;\,t_{i}) \leqslant$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j} \frac{C_{1}^{2} C_{2} (\Delta t_{i})^{2}}{2 \int_{\Delta_{j}} v (d \eta; t_{i})} \cdot \int_{\Delta_{j}} \int_{\Delta_{j}} v (d \eta; t_{i}) v (d x; t_{i}) =$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{C_{1}^{2} C_{2}}{2} \int_{\sum_{2}} v (d \eta; t_{i}) (\Delta t_{i})^{2} \leqslant \frac{C_{1}^{2} C_{2} T C_{3}}{2} \max_{i} (\Delta t_{i}), \tag{33}$$

in which $C_2 = \max_{x \in (a,b)} | \varphi_{xx}(x,t) |$, $C_3 = \int_{(a,b)} v(\mathrm{d} \mathbb{Q})$. Then $J_{22}^{(1)} \stackrel{\rightarrow}{\to} 0(\max_i (\Delta_i) \stackrel{\rightarrow}{\to} 0)$. Similarly we can prove $J_{22}^{(2)} \stackrel{\rightarrow}{\to} 0(\max_i (\Delta_i) \stackrel{\rightarrow}{\to} 0)$. Then we get

we get
$$J_{2} \xrightarrow{\int_{0}^{T} \int_{(a, b)} \varphi_{x}(x, t) f(u, t) v(dx; t) dt},$$

$$\max(\Delta t_{i}) \xrightarrow{\int_{0}^{T} \int_{(a, b)} \varphi_{x}(x, t) f(u, t) v(dx; t) dt},$$
(34)

Combining with (26), the proof of (21) is completed. By introducing momentum

$$I(\Delta; t) = \int_{\Delta} u(\eta, t) v(d\eta; t), \qquad (35)$$

the second equation of (4) can be verified in the same way. The proof is omitted.

References:

- [1] YANG Harr chun. Riemann problems for a class of courpled hyperbolic systems of conservation laws[J]. J Differential Equations, 1999, 159: 447—484.
- [2] TAN De chun, ZHANG Tong. Two dimensional Riemann problem for a hyperbolic system of nonlinear correservation laws (I): four Jcases[J]. J Differential Equations, 1994, 111: 203—254.
- [3] LI Jie quan, YANG Shur li, ZHANG Tong. The two dimensional Riemann problem in gas dynamics[M]. Harlow UK: Longman Scientific & Technical, 2000.
- [4] CHEN Shaσ zhou, LI Jie quan, ZHANG Tong. Explicit construction of measure solution for Cauchy problem of transportation equations [J]. Science in China (Ser. A), 1997, 29 (17): 997—1 008.

一类偶合双曲守恒律系统的柯西问题

孙文华,杨汉春 (云南大学 数学系,云南 昆明 650091

摘要:借助于一个势函数的凸包,研究了一类偶合双曲守恒律系统的柯西问题.构造了包含狄拉克激波的整体显示解,并直接证明了所构造的解是一个测度解.

关键词: 柯西问题; 偶合双曲系统; 凸包; 狄拉克激波

^{*} 作者简介:孙文华(1976-),男,山东人,硕士生,主要从事非线性偏微分方程研究.