# GENERALIZED CONVEXITY AND THE EXISTENCE OF FINITE TIME BLOW-UP SOLUTIONS FOR AN EVOLUTIONARY PROBLEM

CONSTANTIN P. NICULESCU AND IONEL ROVENŢA

ABSTRACT. In this paper we study a class of nonlinearities for which a nonlocal parabolic equation with Neumann-Robin boundary conditions, for *p*-Laplacian, has finite time blow-up solutions.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

It is a well known fact that convexity plays an important role in the different parts of mathematics, including the study of boundary value problems. The aim of our paper is to introduce a new class of generalized convex functions and to illustrate its usefulness in establishing a sufficient condition for the existence of finite time blow-up solutions for the evolutionary problem

(1.1) 
$$\begin{cases} u_t - \Delta_p u = f(|u|) - \frac{1}{m(\Omega)} \int_{\Omega} f(|u|) \, dx & \text{in} \quad \Omega \\ |\nabla u|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = 0 & \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega \, , \end{cases}$$

with the initial conditions

(1.2) 
$$u(x,0) = u_0(x) \text{ on } \Omega, \text{ where } \int_{\Omega} u_0 \, dx = 0.$$

Here  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  is a bounded regular domain of class  $C^2$ ,  $f : [0, \infty) \mapsto [0, \infty)$  is a locally Lipschitz function,  $m(\Omega)$  represents the Lebesgue measure of the domain  $\Omega$ , and  $\Delta_p = div(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u)$ , for  $p \geq 2$ , is the *p*-Laplacian operator.

The particular case where p = 2 was recently considered by Soufi, Jazar and Monneau [22], and Jazar and Kiwan [12] (under the assumption that f is a power function of the form  $f(u) = u^{\alpha}$ , with  $\alpha > 1$ ), and also by the present authors [16] (for f belonging to a larger class of nonlinearities).

The problems of type (1.1) & (1.2) arise naturally in mechanics, biology and population dynamics. See [2], [5], [6], [8] and [10]. For example, if we consider a couple or a mixture of two equations of the above type, the resulting problem describes the temperatures of two substances which constitute a combustible mixture, or represents a model for the behavior of densities of two diffusion biological species which interact each other.

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 26A51, 35B40, 35B44. Secondary 35K92.

Key words and phrases. Finite time blow-up solutions, p-Laplacian, generalized convexity, regularly varying functions.

Corresponding author: Rovența Ionel.

#### 2. Generalized convexity of order $\alpha$

According to the classical Hermite-Hadamard inequality, the mean value of a continuous convex function  $f : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$  lies between the value of f at the midpoint of the interval [a, b] and the arithmetic mean of the values of f at the endpoints of this interval, that is,

(HH) 
$$f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \le \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x) \, dx \le \frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2} \, .$$

Moreover, each side of this double inequality characterizes convexity in the sense that a real-valued continuous function f defined on an interval I is convex if its restriction to each compact subinterval  $[a, b] \subset I$  verifies the left hand side of (HH) (equivalently, the right hand side of (HH)). See [1] and [15] for details.

In what follows we will be interested in a class of generalized convex functions motivated by the right hand side of the Hermite-Hadamard inequality.

**Definition 1.** A real-valued function f defined on an interval  $[a, \infty)$  belongs to the class  $GC_{\alpha}$  (for some  $\alpha > 0$ ), if it is continuous, nonnegative, and

(2.1) 
$$\frac{1}{\alpha+1}f(t) \ge \frac{1}{t-a}\int_a^t f(x)\,dx \quad \text{for } t \text{ large enough.}$$

Using calculus, one can see easily that the condition (2.1) is equivalent to the fact that the ratio

(2.2) 
$$\frac{\frac{1}{t-a}\int_a^t f(x)\,dx}{(t-a)^{\alpha}}$$

is nondecreasing for t bigger than a suitable value  $A \ge a$ . In turn, this implies that the mean value  $\frac{1}{t-a} \int_a^t f(x) dx$  has a polynomial growth at infinity. According to the Hermite-Hadamard inequality, every nonnegative, continuous

According to the Hermite-Hadamard inequality, every nonnegative, continuous and convex function  $f : [a, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$  with f(a) = 0 belongs to the class  $GC_1$ . The converse is not true because the membership of a function  $f : [a, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$  to the class  $GC_{\alpha}$  yields only an asymptotic inequality of the form

$$\frac{1}{\alpha+1}f(t) + \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}f(a) \ge \frac{1}{t-a}\int_a^t f(x)\,dx \quad \text{for } t \text{ large enough.}$$

If  $g \in C^1([0,\infty))$  and g is nondecreasing, then the function  $f(x) = g(x)(x-a)^{\alpha}$ belongs to the class  $CG_{\alpha}([0,\infty))$ , whenever  $\alpha > 0$ . In fact,

$$\frac{1}{t-a} \int_{a}^{t} f(x)dx = \frac{(t-a)^{\alpha}}{\alpha+1}g(t) - \frac{1}{t-a} \int_{a}^{t} g'(x)\frac{(x-a)^{\alpha+1}}{\alpha+1} dx$$
$$\leq \frac{1}{\alpha+1}f(t).$$

As a consequence,  $(x + \sin x)x$  provides an example of function of class  $GC_1$  on  $[0, \infty)$  which is not convex.

No positive constant can be a function of class  $GC_{\alpha}$  for any  $\alpha > 0$ .

Also, the restriction of a function  $f : [a, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$  of class  $GC_{\alpha}$  to a subinterval  $[b, \infty)$  is not necessarily a function of class  $GC_{\alpha}$ .

In the sequel we will describe some other classes of functions of class  $GC_{\alpha}$ .

The following concept of generalized convexity is due to S. Varosanec [23] and generalizes the usual convexity, s-convexity, the Godunova–Levin functions and P-functions.

**Definition 2.** Suppose that  $h : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$  is a function such that  $h(\lambda)+h(1-\lambda) \ge 1$  for all  $\lambda \in [0,1]$ . A nonnegative function f defined on an interval I is called h-convex if

(2.3) 
$$f(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y) \le h(\lambda)f(x) + h(1-\lambda)f(y).$$

whenever  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ , and  $x, y \in I$ .

**Proposition 1.** Suppose that f is a nonnegative continuous function defined on an interval  $[a, \infty)$  such that the following two conditions are fulfilled:

i) f(a) = 0;

ii) f is h-convex with respect to a function h with  $\int_0^1 h(\lambda) \ d\lambda \leq \frac{1}{\alpha+1}$ , for some  $\alpha > 0$ .

Then f belongs to the class  $GC_{\alpha}$ .

Proof. In fact,

$$\frac{1}{t-a} \int_{a}^{t} f(x) \, dx = \int_{0}^{1} f((1-\lambda)a + \lambda t) \, d\lambda$$
$$\leq f(t) \int_{0}^{1} h(\lambda) \, d\lambda + f(a) \int_{0}^{1} h(1-\lambda) \, d\lambda$$
$$\leq \frac{1}{\alpha+1} f(t).$$

An important class of nonlinearities in partial differential operators theory is that of regularly varying functions, introduced by Karamata in [13].

**Definition 3.** A positive measurable function f defined on interval  $[a, \infty)$  (with  $a \ge 0$ ) is said to be regularly varying at infinity, of index  $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$  (abbreviated,  $f \in RV_{\infty}(\sigma)$ ), provided that

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{f(tx)}{f(x)} = t^{\sigma} \quad \text{for all } t > 0.$$

All functions of index  $\sigma$  are of the form

$$f(x) = x^{\sigma} \exp\left(a(x) + \int_0^x \frac{\varepsilon(s)}{s} ds\right),$$

where a(x) and  $\varepsilon(x)$  are bounded and measurable,  $a(x) \to \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\varepsilon(x) \to 0$  as  $x \to \infty$ . In particular, so are

$$x^{\sigma} \log x, \ x^{\sigma} \log \log x, \ x^{\sigma} \exp\left(\frac{\log x}{\log \log x}\right), \ x^{\sigma} \exp\left((\log x)^{1/3} \left(\cos\left(\log x\right)^{1/3}\right)\right).$$

See [4] for details.

Semilinear problems with nonlinearities in the class of regularly varying functions have been studied by many people. See the paper by Cîrstea and Rădulescu [7] and the references therein.

**Proposition 2.** If  $f \in RV_{\infty}(\sigma)$  with  $\sigma > 0$ , then

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{F(x)}{xf(x)} = \frac{1}{\sigma + 1},$$

where

(2.4) 
$$F(x) := \int_0^x f(s) \, ds$$

As a consequence, if f is also continuous, then f is of class  $GC_{\alpha}$ , whenever  $\alpha \in$  $(0,\sigma).$ 

*Proof.* To prove this, consider the change of variable s = tx which yields

$$F(x) = \int_0^x f(s) \, ds = \int_0^1 x f(tx) \, dt.$$

The continuity of f and the fact that  $f \in RV_{\infty}(\sigma)$  assure the existence of a  $\delta > 0$ such that for every  $x > \delta$  we have

$$\frac{f(tx)}{f(x)} \le t^{\sigma} + 1,$$

whence the integrability of the function  $t \to \frac{f(tx)}{f(x)}$  on [0, 1]. Then

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{F(x)}{xf(x)} = \lim_{x \to \infty} \int_0^1 \frac{f(tx)}{f(x)} dt$$
$$= \int_0^1 \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{f(tx)}{f(x)} dt = \int_0^1 t^\sigma dt = \frac{1}{\sigma+1}.$$

The commutation of the limit with the integral is motivated by the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem. 

Another important class of nonlinearities which appear in connection with the study of boundary blow-up problems for elliptic equations is the class of functions satisfying the Keller-Osserman condition. See [18], [9], [21] and [16].

**Definition 4.** A nonnegative and nondecreasing function  $f \in C^1([0,\infty))$  with f(0) = 0 satisfies the generalized Keller-Osserman condition of order p > 1 if

(2.5) 
$$\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(F(t))^{1/p}} dt < \infty,$$

where F is the primitive of f given by the formula (2.4).

If  $f \in RV_{\infty}(\sigma+1)$  with  $\sigma+2 > p > 1$  is a nondecreasing and continuous function, then  $F \in RV_{\infty}(\sigma+2)$  and  $F^{-1/p} \in RV_{\infty}((-\sigma-2)/p)$ . Since  $(-\sigma-2)/p < -1$ , we infer that  $F^{-1/p} \in L^1([1,\infty))$  and thus f satisfies the generalized Keller-Osserman condition.

It is worth to notice that the function  $\exp(t)$  is not regularly varying at infinity though satisfies the generalized Keller-Osserman condition and belongs also to any class  $GC_{\alpha}$  with  $\alpha > 0$ .

Necessarily, if a function f satisfies the generalized Keller-Osserman condition of order p > 1, then

(2.6) 
$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{F(t)}{t^p} = \infty,$$

while  $\frac{F(t)}{t^p}$  may be (or may be not) a monotonic function. If  $\frac{F(t)}{t^p}$  is nondecreasing for some p > 2, then the function f belongs to the class  $GC_{p-1}$ . In particular, this is the case of the function  $f(t) = pt^{p-1}\log(t+1) + \frac{t^p}{t+1}$ 

4

(whose primitive is  $F(t) = t^p \log(t+1)$ ). Notice that this function does not satisfy the generalized Keller-Osserman condition of order p.

We end this section by discussing the connection Definition 1 with a class of functions due to W. Orlicz.

**Definition 5.** An N-function is any function  $M : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$  of the form

$$M(x) = \int_0^x p(t) \, dt,$$

where p is nondecreasing and right continuous, p(0) = 0, p(t) > 0 for t > 0, and  $\lim_{t\to\infty} p(t) = \infty$ .

An N-function M satisfies the  $\Delta_2$ -condition if there exist constants k > 0 and  $x_0 \ge 0$  such that

$$M(2x) \le kM(x)$$
 for all  $x \ge x_0$ .

Any N-function M is convex and plays the following properties:

$$N1) \ M(0) = 0 \text{ and } M(x) > 0 \text{ for } x > 0;$$
  

$$N2) \ \frac{M(x)}{x} \to 0 \text{ as } x \to 0 \text{ and } \frac{M(x)}{x} \to \infty \text{ as } x \to \infty.$$

Two examples of N-functions which satisfy the  $\Delta_2$ -condition are  $\frac{x^p}{p}$  (for  $p \ge 1$ ) and  $t(\log t)^+$ .

The N-functions which satisfy the  $\Delta_2$ -condition are instrumental in the theory of Orlicz spaces (which extend the  $L^p(\mu)$  spaces). Their theory is available in many books, such as [14] and [19], and has important applications to interpolation theory [3] and Fourier analysis [24].

According to [14], page 23, the constant k which appears in the formulation of  $\Delta_2$ -condition is always greater than or equal to 2.

**Proposition 3.** Every N-function  $M : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$  which satisfies the  $\Delta_2$ -condition belongs to the class  $GC_{\alpha}$ , whenever  $\alpha \in (0, 2 \log_2 k)$ .

*Proof.* Since M is nondecreasing,

$$M(tx) = M(2^{\log_2 t}x) \le M(2^{[\log_2 t]+1}x),$$

and taking into account the  $\Delta_2$ -condition we infer that

$$M(tx) \le M(x)k^{\lceil \log_2 t \rceil + 1} \le M(x)k^{\log_2 t + 1}$$
  
$$\le M(x)t^{2 \log_2 k},$$

for x big enough and  $t \ge 2$ . Hence,

$$\int_{0}^{t} M(x)dx = \int_{0}^{1} tM(ts)ds$$
$$\leq \int_{0}^{1} tM(t)s^{2\log_{2} k}ds = \frac{1}{2\log_{2} k + 1}tM(t)$$

and the proof is done.

### 3. An application to the existence of finite time blow-up solutions

This section is devoted to the existence of finite time blow-up solutions of the evolutionary p-Laplacian problem

(3.1) 
$$u_t - \Delta_p u = f(|u|) - \frac{1}{m(\Omega)} \int_{\Omega} f(|u|) \, dx \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

with Neumann-Robin boundary values,

(3.2) 
$$|\nabla u|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega,$$

and the initial conditions

(3.3) 
$$u(x,0) = u_0(x) \text{ on } \Omega, \text{ where } \int_{\Omega} u_0 \, dx = 0.$$

As was mentioned in the introduction, we restrict ourselves to the case where  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  is a bounded regular domain of class  $C^2$ , and  $f : [0, \infty) \mapsto [0, \infty)$  is a locally Lipschitz function;  $m(\Omega)$  represents the Lebesgue measure of the domain  $\Omega$ , and  $\Delta_p$ , for  $p \geq 2$ , is the *p*-Laplacian operator.

The purpose of this section, is to extend a natural energetic criterion for the blow-up in finite time of solutions of (3.1) - (3.3). Our proof relies on the same idea used by Jazar and Kiwan [12] in the case where p = 2 and f is a power function.

We start by noticing that each solution u of the problem above has the property

$$\int_{\Omega} u \, dx = 0$$

because the integral in the right hand side of (3.1) is 0 and

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left( \int_{\Omega} u \, dx \right) = \int_{\Omega} u_t \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \Delta_p u \, dx$$
$$= \int_{\Omega} div (|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) \, dx = 0.$$

Hence, by the initial condition (3.3), we have  $\int_{\Omega} u \, dx = 0$ .

Next, it is easy to see that for p > 1 the energy

$$E(u(t)) = \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{1}{p} |\nabla u|^p - \int_0^u f(|\tau|) \, d\tau\right) dx,$$

of any solution u of our evolutionary problem is nonincreasing in time. In fact,

$$\frac{dE(u(t))}{dt} = \int_{\Omega} \left( |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u_t \nabla u - u_t f(|u|) \right) dx$$
$$= \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} |\nabla u|^{p-2} u_t d\sigma - \int_{\Omega} u_t \Delta_p u \, dx - \int_{\Omega} u_t f(|u|) \, dx$$
$$= -\int_{\Omega} u_t (\Delta_p u + f(|u|)) \, dx = -\int_{\Omega} u_t^2 \, dx,$$

and by integrating both sides over [0, t] we obtain the formula

(3.4) 
$$E(u(t)) = E(u_0) - \int_0^t \int_\Omega u_t^2 \, dx \, dt, \quad \text{for all } t > 0$$

According to this formula, if the initial energy  $E(u_0)$  is nonpositive, then E(u(t)) is nonpositive for all t > 0. In the case of generalized convex functions of order  $\alpha$ , with  $\alpha > \frac{1}{p-1}$  we have

(3.5) 
$$C\int_{\Omega} uf(|u|) \, dx \ge \int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{u} f(|t|) \, dt dx \ge \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p},$$

where  $C = \frac{1}{1+\alpha} \in (0, \frac{p-1}{p}).$ 

**Theorem 1.** (The energetic criterion for blow-up in finite time, case  $p \ge 2$ ) Assume that  $f : [0, \infty) \mapsto [0, \infty)$  is a locally Lipschitz function belonging to the class  $GC_a$ , with  $\alpha > \frac{1}{p-1}$ , and let u be a solution of the problem (3.1)–(3.3) corresponding to an initial data  $u_0 \in C(\overline{\Omega})$ ,  $u_0$  not identically zero.

If  $E(u_0) \leq 0$ , then u, as a function of t, cannot be in  $L^{\infty}((0,T); L^2(\Omega))$  for all T > 0. In other word, there is T > 0 such that

(3.6) 
$$\limsup_{t \to T-} \|u(t)\|_{L^2} = \infty$$

Notice that the condition  $E(u_0) \leq 0$  in Theorem 1 is also necessary for the blow-up in finite time (of the  $L^2$  norm of u(t)). In fact, (3.6) forces that

$$\inf \{ E(u(t)) : 0 < t < T \} = -\infty.$$

This can be argued by contradiction. If  $E(u(t)) \ge -C_0$ , for some  $C_0 > 0$ , then the function

$$h(t) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^2(x, t) \, dx$$

verifies the condition

$$\frac{1}{2}h'(t) = \int_{\Omega} u u_t dx \le \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \left( u^2 + u_t^2 \right) dx$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} (h(t) - E'(u(t))),$$

which yields

$$(h(t) + E(u(t)) + C_0)' \le h(t) \le h(t) + E(u(t)) + C_0$$

Therefore

$$h(t) \le h(t) + E(u(t)) + C_0 \le (h(0) + E(u_0) + C_0)e^t$$
, for all  $t \in (0, T)$ ,

and thus the  $L^2$ -norm of u(t) is bounded.

The proof of Theorem 1 needs a preparation.

Lemma 1. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1 the two auxiliary functions

$$h(t) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^2(x, t) \, dx \quad and \quad H(t) := \int_0^t h(s) \, ds$$

verify the following three conditions:

(3.7) 
$$h'(t) \ge \frac{1}{C} \int_0^t \int_\Omega u_t^2 dt$$

(3.8) 
$$h'(t) \ge 2\left(\frac{1}{Cp} - p + 1\right)\lambda h(t), \text{ for some } \lambda > 0;$$

(3.9) 
$$\frac{1}{2C} \Big( H'(t) - H'(0) \Big)^2 \le H(t) H''(t).$$

Proof. In fact,

$$h'(t) = \int_{\Omega} u_t u \, dx = \int_{\Omega} u(\Delta_p u + f(|u|)) \, dx$$
  

$$\geq \int_{\Omega} \left( -(p-1)|\nabla u|^p + \frac{1}{C} \int_0^u f(|t|) \, dt \right) dx$$
  

$$= -\frac{1}{C} \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{1}{p} |\nabla u|^p - \int_0^u f(|t|) \, dt \right) dx + \left( \frac{1}{Cp} - p + 1 \right) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p \, dx.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{split} h'(t) &\geq -\frac{1}{C}E(u) + \left(\frac{1}{Cp} - p + 1\right) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p \, dx \\ &\geq -\frac{1}{C}E(u) \\ &= -\frac{1}{C}E(u_0) + \frac{1}{C} \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} u_t^2 \, dx dt \\ &\geq \frac{1}{C} \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} u_t^2 \, dx dt. \end{split}$$

On the other hand, by the Poincaré inequality, we have

$$h'(t) \ge \left(\frac{1}{Cp} - p + 1\right) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx$$
$$\ge \left(\frac{1}{Cp} - p + 1\right) \lambda \int_{\Omega} u^2 dx$$
$$= 2\left(\frac{1}{Cp} - p + 1\right) \lambda h(t),$$

where  $\lambda$  is a suitable positive constant.

We pass now to the proof of (3.9). Since

$$\begin{aligned} H'(t) - H'(0) &= \int_0^t h'(s) \, ds = \int_0^t \int_\Omega u u_t \, dx dt \\ &\leq \left( \int_0^t \int_\Omega u^2 \, dx dt \right)^{1/2} \left( \int_0^t \int_\Omega u_t^2 \, dx dt \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq (2H(t))^{1/2} (Ch'(t))^{1/2} = (2CH(t)H''(t))^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

by (3.7) we infer that

$$H'(t) - H'(0) = \int_0^t h'(s) \, ds \ge 0,$$

and thus

$$\frac{1}{2C} \left( H'(t) - H'(0) \right)^2 \le H(t) H''(t).$$

Proof of Theorem 1. Suppose, by reduction ad absurdum, that the solution  $u(x, \cdot)$  exists in

$$L^{\infty}((0,T);L^2(\Omega))$$

for all T > 0. By (3.8), (3.10)

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} H'(t) = \lim_{t \to \infty} h(t) = \infty,$$

8

which yields, for each  $\beta \in (0, 1/C)$ , the existence of a number  $T_0 > 0$  such that for all  $t > T_0$ ,

$$\beta H'(t)^2 \le \frac{1}{C} \Big( H'(t) - H'(0) \Big)^2.$$

Now, by (3.9) we obtain

$$\beta H'(t)^2 \le 2H(t)H''(t).$$

We will show, by considering the function  $G(t) = H(t)^{-q}$ , for a suitable q > 0, that the last inequality leads to a contradiction. In fact,

$$G''(t) = qH(t)^{-q-2} \Big( (q+1)(H'(t))^2 - H(t)H''(t) \Big)$$
  
$$\leq qH(t)^{-q-2} \Big( \frac{2(q+1)}{\beta} - 1 \Big) H(t)H''(t),$$

for all  $t \ge T_0$ , so that for  $\beta \in (0, 1/C)$  and  $q \in (0, 1/(2C) - 1)$  with  $2(q+1) < \beta < 1/C$ , the corresponding function G(t) is concave.

By (3.10),  $\lim_{t\to\infty} H(t) = \infty$ , whence  $\lim_{t\to\infty} G(t) = 0$ . Thus G provides an example of a concave and strictly positive function which tends to 0 at infinity, a fact which is not possible. Consequently u, as a function of t, cannot be in  $L^{\infty}((0,T); L^2(\Omega))$  for all T > 0. The proof of Theorem 1 is done.

Acknowledgement 1. This research is supported by CNCSIS Grant 420/2008.

#### References

- M. Bessenyei and Z. Páles, Characterization of convexity via Hadamard's inequality, Math. Ineq. Appl., 9 (2006), 53–62.
- J. Bebernes and D. Eberly, Mathematical Problems from Combustion Theory, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989.
- [3] C. Bennett and R. Sharpley, Interpolation of Operators, Academic Press, 1988.
- [4] N. H. Bingham, C. M. Goldie and J. L. Teugels, *Regular Variation*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications vol. 27, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1989.
- [5] L. A. Caffarrelli and A. Friedman, Blow-up of solutions of nonlinear heat equation, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 129 (1988), 409–419.
- [6] R. S. Cantrell and C. Cosner, Diffusive logistic equation with indefinite weights: Population models in disrupted environments II, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 22 (1991), 1043-1064.
- [7] F. Cîrstea and V. Rădulescu, Nonlinear problems with boundary blow-up: A Karamata regular variation theory approach, Asymptot. Anal. 46 (2006), 275-298.
- [8] Y. Chen, Blow-up for a system of heat equations with nonlocal sources and absorptions, Comp. Math. Appl. 48 (2004), 361-372.
- [9] S. Dumont, L. Dupaigne, O. Goubet and V. Rădulescu, Back to the Keller-Osserman condition for boundary blow-up solutions, Advanced Nonlinear Studies 7 (2007), 271-298.
- [10] J. Furter and M. Grinfield, Local vs. nonlocal interactions in populations dynamics, J. Math. Biology 27 (1989), 65-80.
- [11] F. Gladiali and G. Porru, Estimates for explosive solutions to p-Laplace equations, Progress in Partial Differential Equations, Pont-a-Mousson, 1997, vol.1, Pitman Res. Notes Math. Ser., Longman Harlow 383 (1998), 117-127.
- [12] M. Jazar and R. Kiwan, Blow-up of a non-local semilinear parabolic equation with Neumann boundary conditions, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Lineaire 25 (2008), 215-218.
- [13] J. Karamata, Sur une mode de croissance régulière, Mathematica, Cluj, 4 (1930), 38-53.
- [14] M. A. Krasnosel'skii and Ya. B. Rutickii, Convex Functions and Orlicz Spaces, P. Nordhoff, Groningen, 1961.
- [15] C. P. Niculescu and L.-E. Persson, Convex Functions and their Applications. A Contemporary Approach, CMS Books in Mathematics vol. 23, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2006.

- [16] C. P. Niculescu and I. Rovenţa, Large Solutions for Semilinear Parabolic Equations Involving Some Special Classes of Nonlinearities, *Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society*, Volume 2010, Article ID 491023, 11 pages.
- [17] L. E. Payne and P. W. Schaefer, Lower bounds for blow-up time in parabolic problems under Dirichlet conditions, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 328 (2007), 1196–1205.
- [18] V. Rădulescu, Singular phenomena in nonlinear elliptic problems. From blow-up boundary solutions to equations with singular nonlinearities, in *Handbook of Differential Equations: Stationary Partial Differential Equations*, Vol. 4 (Michel Chipot, Editor), North-Holland Elsevier Science, Amsterdam, 2007, pp. 483-591.
- [19] M. M. Rao and Z. D. Ren, Theory of Orlicz Spaces, Marcel Dekker, 1991.
- [20] A. W. Roberts and D. E. Varberg, *Convex Functions*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 57, Academic Press, New York, 1973.
- [21] I. Rovenţa, Boundary asymptotic and uniqueness of solution for a problem with p(x)-Laplacian, J. Ineq. Appl., vol. 2008, Article ID 609047, 14 pages.
- [22] A. El. Soufi, M. Jazar and R. Monneau, A Gamma convergence argument for the blow-up of a non-local semilinear parabolic equation with Neumann boundary conditions, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Lineaire 24 (1) (2007), 17-39.
- [23] S. Varosanec, On h-convexity, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 326 1 (2007), 303-311.
- [24] A. Zygmund, Trigonometric Series, Vols. 1 and 2, Cambridge University Press, 2nd Edition, 1959.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CRAIOVA, CRAIOVA RO-200585, ROMANIA *E-mail address*: cniculescu47@yahoo.com

Department of Mathematics, University of Craiova, Craiova RO-200585, Romania  $E\text{-mail} address: roventaionel@yahoo.com}$