

Study on the Hilbert's Eighth Problem

Jinzh Han

Baotou North Hospital, Inner Mongolia, China

Abstract

In this paper, we first prove Riemann Hypothesis and General Riemann Hypothesis. Then, we improve the result of the prime number theorems for arithmetic progression. Finally, we provide a proof that Goldbach Conjecture and Twin Prime Conjecture are established. Thus, we have resolved the Hilbert's Eighth Problem.

Keywords: Riemann Hypothesis Goldbach Conjecture Twin Prime Conjecture

AMS: 11P32

Notation:

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-s}$$

Riemann zeta Function

$$L(s, \chi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \chi(n) n^{-s}$$

Dirichlet L -Function

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} = s e^{\gamma s} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{s}{n}\right) e^{-s/n}$$

$\Gamma(s)$ as Γ -function

$$\gamma = 0.5772K$$

Euler constant

$$\chi, \chi^0, \chi^*$$

Character, principal character, primitive character

$$\tau(\chi) = \sum_{n=1}^q \chi(n) e\left(\frac{n}{q}\right)$$

One of Gauss sum

$$\frac{F'}{F}(s) = \frac{F'(s)}{F(s)}$$

$$\phi(n) = n \prod_{p|n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)$$

Euler function

$$\Lambda(n) = \begin{cases} \log p, & n = p^m \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Mangoldt function

$$\psi(x) = \sum_{n \leq x} \Lambda(n)$$

$$\theta(x) = \sum_{p \leq x} \log p$$

$$\pi(x) = \sum_{p \leq x} 1$$

$$\psi(x; q, l) = \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \equiv l \pmod{q}}} \Lambda(n)$$

$$\psi(x; b, q, l) = \sum_{\substack{bn \leq x \\ bn \equiv l \pmod{q}}} \Lambda(n)$$

$$\psi(x, \chi) = \sum_{n \leq x} \Lambda(n) \chi(n)$$

$$\theta(x; q, l) = \sum_{\substack{p \equiv l \pmod{q} \\ 2 < p \leq x}} \log p$$

$$\theta(x; b, q, l) = \sum_{\substack{bp \equiv l \pmod{q} \\ 2 < p \leq x}} \log p$$

$$C(N) = \prod_{2 < p \mid N} \frac{p-1}{p-2} \prod_{p > 2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{(p-1)^2} \right)$$

0. Introduction

In 1900, Hilbert suggested 23 famous mathematic problems, whose Eighth Problem includes the following hypotheses: 1) Riemann Hypothesis (RH): all of non-trivial zero points of $\zeta(s)$ have real part equal to $\frac{1}{2}$; 2) General Riemann Hypothesis (GRH): all of non-trivial zero points of $L(s, \chi)$ have real part equal to $\frac{1}{2}$; 3) Goldbach Conjecture: any even integer > 2 is sum of two primes; 4) Twin Prime Conjecture: the number of twin primes is infinite.

Although a number of mathematicians have attempted to prove or disproved the above four hypotheses [1-39], none of them has been completely resolved up to now. In this article, we will prove all of these hypotheses using some novel ways. To prove some relevant theorems, we need the following Lemmas.

1. Lemmas

Lemma 1: In 1859, Riemann defined zeta-function $\zeta(s)$ and function $\xi(s)$,

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n^{-s}, \quad (1.1.1)$$

and

$$\xi(s) = \frac{1}{2}s(s-1)\pi^{-s/2}\Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)\zeta(s). \quad (1.1.2)$$

Functions $\zeta(s)$ and $\xi(s)$ satisfied functional equations

$$\zeta(s) = \pi^{s-\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{1-s}{2}\right) / \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \zeta(1-s), \quad (1.1.3)$$

$$\xi(s) = \xi(1-s), \quad (1.1.4)$$

and

$$\frac{\xi'}{\xi}(s) = -\frac{\xi'}{\xi}(1-s). \quad (1.1.5)$$

Lemma 2: For Dirichlet L-function $L(s, \chi)$ and function $\xi(s, \chi)$,

$$L(s, \chi) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \chi(n) n^{-s}, \quad (1.2.1)$$

and

$$\xi(s, \chi) = \left(\frac{q}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}(s+\delta)} \Gamma\left(\frac{s+\delta}{2}\right) L(s, \chi), \quad (1.2.2)$$

$$(where \quad \delta = \delta(\chi) = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \chi(-1))),$$

there are functional equations

$$L(s, \chi) = \frac{\tau(\chi)}{i^\delta \sqrt{q}} \left(\frac{q}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}-s} \Gamma\left(\frac{1-s+\delta}{2}\right) / \Gamma\left(\frac{s+\delta}{2}\right) L(1-s, \bar{\chi}), \quad (1.2.3)$$

$$\xi(s, \chi) = \frac{i^\delta \sqrt{q}}{\tau(\bar{\chi})} \xi(1-s, \bar{\chi}), \quad (1.2.4)$$

and

$$\frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(s, \chi) = -\frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(1-s, \chi). \quad (1.2.5)$$

Lemma 3: In deleted neighborhood of anyone non-trivial zero point of function $\zeta(s)$, we have equations:

$$\frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(s) + \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(1-s) + 2 \log \pi = \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) + \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}\left(\frac{1-s}{2}\right), \quad (1.3.1)$$

and

$$\frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(\bar{s}) + \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(1-\bar{s}) + 2 \log \pi = \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}\left(\frac{\bar{s}}{2}\right) + \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}\left(\frac{1-\bar{s}}{2}\right). \quad (1.3.2)$$

Proof: In deleted neighborhood of anyone non-trivial zero point of function $\zeta(s)$, by (1.1.2), we have

$$\frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(s) = \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(s) + \frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{s-1} - \frac{1}{2} \log \pi + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}\left(\frac{s}{2}\right), \quad (1.3.3)$$

and

$$\frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(1-s) = \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(1-s) - \frac{1}{s} - \frac{1}{s-1} - \frac{1}{2} \log \pi + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}\left(\frac{1-s}{2}\right). \quad (1.3.4)$$

Combining (1.1.5), we have

$$\frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(s) + \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(1-s) + 2 \log \pi = \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) + \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}\left(\frac{1-s}{2}\right). \quad (1.3.5)$$

In a same way, we have

$$\frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(\bar{s}) + \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(1-\bar{s}) + 2 \log \pi = \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}\left(\frac{\bar{s}}{2}\right) + \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}\left(\frac{1-\bar{s}}{2}\right). \quad (1.3.6)$$

Lemma 3 is proved.

Lemma 4: In deleted neighborhood of anyone non-trivial zero point of function $L(s, \chi)$, we have equations:

$$\frac{L'}{L}(s, \chi) + \frac{L'}{L}(1-s, \chi) + 2 \log \pi = \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}\left(\frac{s+\delta}{2}\right) + \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}\left(\frac{1-s+\delta}{2}\right), \quad (1.4.1)$$

and

$$\frac{L'}{L}(s, \chi) + \frac{L'}{L}(1-s, \chi) + 2 \log \pi = \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}\left(\frac{s+\delta}{2}\right) + \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}\left(\frac{1-s+\delta}{2}\right). \quad (1.4.2)$$

Proof: In deleted neighborhood of anyone non-trivial zero point of function $L(s, \chi)$, by (1.2.2),

we obtain

$$\frac{\xi'}{\xi}(s, \chi) = \frac{L'}{L}(s, \chi) + \frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{s-1} - \frac{1}{2} \log \pi + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}\left(\frac{s+\delta}{2}\right), \quad (1.4.3)$$

and

$$\frac{\xi'}{\xi}(1-s, \chi) = \frac{L'}{L}(1-s, \chi) - \frac{1}{s} - \frac{1}{s-1} - \frac{1}{2} \log \pi + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}\left(\frac{1-s+\delta}{2}\right), \quad (1.4.4)$$

combining (1.2.5), we have

$$\frac{L'}{L}(s, \chi) + \frac{L'}{L}(1-s, \chi) + 2 \log \pi = \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}\left(\frac{s+\delta}{2}\right) + \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}\left(\frac{1-s+\delta}{2}\right). \quad (1.4.5)$$

In a same way, we have

$$\frac{L'}{L}(s, \chi) + \frac{L'}{L}(1-s, \chi) + 2 \log \pi = \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}\left(\frac{s+\delta}{2}\right) + \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}\left(\frac{1-s+\delta}{2}\right). \quad (1.4.6)$$

Lemma 4 is proved.

Lemma 5: There exists the inequality, for $\chi^* \neq \chi^0$, and $\rho = \beta + i\gamma$ is non-trivial zero point of $L(s, \chi)$,

$$\psi(x, \chi^*) = \sum_{|\gamma| \leq T} \frac{x^\beta}{1+|\gamma|} + O\left(\frac{x \log^2(xqT)}{T}\right). \quad (1.5.1)$$

Lemma 6: According to equivalent relation about $\theta(x)$ and $\psi(x)$, we have

$$|\theta(x; q, l) - x/\phi(q)| = |\psi(x; q, l) - x/\phi(q)|, \quad (1.6.1)$$

$$\sum_{2 \leq q \leq D} |\theta(x; q, l) - x/\phi(q)| = \sum_{2 \leq q \leq D} |\psi(x; q, l) - x/\phi(q)|, \quad (1.6.2)$$

and

$$\sum_{2 \leq q \leq D} \left| \theta(x; b, q, l) - \frac{x/b}{\phi(q)} \right| = \sum_{2 \leq q \leq D} \left| \psi(x; b, q, l) - \frac{x/b}{\phi(q)} \right|. \quad (1.6.3)$$

Lemma 7: We define a new weighted sieve function

$$S(A, z) = \sum_{\substack{p \equiv l \pmod{a} \\ (a, P(z))=1}} \log p, \quad (1.7.1)$$

where $P(z) = \prod_{2 \leq p \leq z} p$, and $a \in A = \{a : 2 \leq a \leq x\}$.

Let $\omega(d) = \frac{d}{\phi(d)}$, then

$$0 \leq \frac{\omega(p)}{p} = \frac{1}{\phi(p)} < 1,$$

and

$$\left| \sum_{w \leq p \leq z} \omega(p) \log p / p - \log(z/w) \right| \leq L, \quad (L \text{ is a positive constant}).$$

Therefore $S(A, z)$ is a line sieve function.

According to Rosser sieve method, let $2 \leq z \leq y^{\gamma_2}$, $A = \{a : a = p - l, 2 \leq p \leq N\}$,

and $X = \theta(N) = N$, we have

$$S(A, z) \geq 2e^{-\gamma} C(N) f(u) \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log z}\right) \right) \frac{N}{\log z} - \sum_{d \leq y} |r_d|, \quad (1.7.2)$$

$$S(A, z) \leq 2e^{-\gamma} C(N) \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log z}\right) \right) F(u) \frac{N}{\log z} + \sum_{d \leq y} |r_d|, \quad (1.7.3)$$

where

$$r_d = \theta(N; d, l) - \frac{N}{\phi(d)}, \quad (1.7.4)$$

$$u = \log y / \log z, \quad (1.7.5)$$

$$f(u) = 2e^\gamma u^{-1} \log(u-1), \text{ if } 2 \leq u \leq 4, \quad (1.7.6)$$

and

$$F(u) = 2e^\gamma u^{-1}, \text{ if } 2 \leq u \leq 3. \quad (1.7.7)$$

2. Theorems

Theorem 1: All of non-trivial zero points of $\zeta(s)$ have real part equal to γ_2 .

Proof: It is well known that all non-trivial zero points of $\zeta(s)$ are in complex region $0 \leq \operatorname{Re}(s) < 1$. Let $\rho = \beta + i\gamma$ is anyone non-trivial zero point of $\zeta(s)$, then $\bar{\rho}$, $1 - \rho$ and $1 - \bar{\rho}$ are also non-trivial zero points of $\zeta(s)$. Because $\zeta(\bar{s}) = \overline{\zeta(s)}$, in deleted

neighborhood of non-trivial zero point of $\zeta(s)$, we have

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \rho} \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(s) = \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(\bar{s}), \quad (2.1.1)$$

and

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \rho} \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(1-s) = \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(1-\bar{s}). \quad (2.1.2)$$

Combining (2.1.1), (2.1.2), (1.3.1) and (1.3.2), in deleted neighborhood $0 < |s - \rho| < \varepsilon$, we obtain

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \rho} \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) + \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}\left(\frac{1-s}{2}\right) - \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}\left(\frac{\bar{s}}{2}\right) - \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}\left(\frac{1-\bar{s}}{2}\right) = 0. \quad (2.1.3)$$

Since

$$\frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \gamma + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{n+z} - \frac{1}{n} \right), \quad (2.1.4)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}(z_1) - \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}(z_2) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{n+z_2} - \frac{1}{n+z_1} \right), \quad (2.1.5)$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) + \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}\left(\frac{1-s}{2}\right) - \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}\left(\frac{\bar{s}}{2}\right) - \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}\left(\frac{1-\bar{s}}{2}\right) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{n + \frac{1-\bar{s}}{2}} - \frac{1}{n + \frac{s}{2}} \right) + \left(\frac{1}{n + \frac{\bar{s}}{2}} - \frac{1}{n + \frac{1-s}{2}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.1.6)$$

Defining $s = \frac{1}{2} + \alpha + i\gamma$, $\bar{s} = \frac{1}{2} + \alpha - i\gamma$, $1-s = \frac{1}{2} - \alpha - i\gamma$, and $1-\bar{s} = \frac{1}{2} - \alpha + i\gamma$, where

$0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}$, by (2.1.3) and (2.1.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{s \rightarrow \rho} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{n + \frac{1}{4} - \frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{i\gamma}{2}} - \frac{1}{n + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{i\gamma}{2}} \right) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{n + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{\alpha}{2} - \frac{i\gamma}{2}} - \frac{1}{n + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\alpha}{2} - \frac{i\gamma}{2}} \right) = 0 \\ & \Rightarrow \lim_{s \rightarrow \rho} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha\gamma \left(n + \frac{1}{4} \right)}{\left(\left(n + \frac{1}{4} \right)^2 - \frac{\gamma^2}{4} - \frac{\alpha^2}{4} \right)^2 + \gamma^2 \left(n + \frac{1}{4} \right)^2} \right) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.1.7)$$

Since all non-trivial zero points of $\zeta(s)$ are complex zero points, $\gamma \neq 0$, and

$$\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma \left(n + \frac{1}{4} \right)}{\left(\left(n + \frac{1}{4} \right)^2 - \frac{\gamma^2}{4} - \frac{\alpha^2}{4} \right)^2 + \gamma^2 \left(n + \frac{1}{4} \right)^2} \right) \neq 0, \quad (2.1.8)$$

then

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \rho} \frac{\Gamma' \left(\frac{s}{2} \right) + \Gamma' \left(\frac{1-s}{2} \right) - \Gamma' \left(\frac{\bar{s}}{2} \right) - \Gamma' \left(\frac{1-\bar{s}}{2} \right)}{\Gamma \left(\frac{s}{2} \right) - \Gamma \left(\frac{1-s}{2} \right) + \Gamma \left(\frac{\bar{s}}{2} \right) - \Gamma \left(\frac{1-\bar{s}}{2} \right)} = 0 \Rightarrow \alpha = 0. \quad (2.1.9)$$

Furthermore we have

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \rho} \zeta(s) = 0 \Rightarrow \operatorname{Re}(s) = \frac{1}{2}. \quad (2.1.10)$$

This deduction is suitable for all of non-trivial zero points of $\zeta(s)$. Consequently, Theorem 1 is established and RH is true

Theorem 2: All of non-trivial zero points of $L(s, \chi)$ have real part equal to $\frac{1}{2}$.

Proof: Let $\rho = \beta + i\gamma$ is any of non-trivial zero points of $L(s, \chi)$, then

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \rho} \frac{L'}{L}(s, \chi) = \frac{L'}{L}(\bar{s}, \chi), \quad (2.2.1)$$

and

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \rho} \frac{L'}{L}(1-s, \chi) = \frac{L'}{L}(1-\bar{s}, \chi). \quad (2.2.2)$$

Combining (2.21), (2.22), (1.4.1) and (1.4.2), in deleted neighborhood $0 < |s - \rho| < \varepsilon$, we have

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \rho} \frac{\Gamma' \left(\frac{s+\delta}{2} \right) + \Gamma' \left(\frac{1-s+\delta}{2} \right) - \Gamma' \left(\frac{\bar{s}+\delta}{2} \right) - \Gamma' \left(\frac{1-\bar{s}+\delta}{2} \right)}{\Gamma \left(\frac{s}{2} \right) - \Gamma \left(\frac{1-s}{2} \right) + \Gamma \left(\frac{\bar{s}}{2} \right) - \Gamma \left(\frac{1-\bar{s}}{2} \right)} = 0. \quad (2.2.3)$$

Similarly, defining $s = \frac{1}{2} + \alpha + i\gamma$, $\bar{s} = \frac{1}{2} + \alpha - i\gamma$, $1-s = \frac{1}{2} - \alpha - i\gamma$ and

$1-\bar{s} = \frac{1}{2} - \alpha + i\gamma$, where $0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}$, in deleted neighborhood $0 < |s - \rho| < \varepsilon$, we have

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \rho} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha \gamma \left(n + \frac{\delta}{2} + \frac{1}{4} \right)}{\left(\left(n + \frac{\delta}{2} + \frac{1}{4} \right)^2 - \frac{\gamma^2}{4} - \frac{\alpha^2}{4} \right)^2 + \gamma^2 \left(n + \frac{\delta}{2} + \frac{1}{4} \right)^2} \right) = 0. \quad (2.2.4)$$

Since

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \rho} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma \left(n + \frac{\delta}{2} + \frac{1}{4} \right)}{\left(n + \frac{\delta}{2} + \frac{1}{4} \right)^2 - \frac{\gamma^2}{4} - \frac{\alpha^2}{4}} + \gamma^2 \left(n + \frac{\delta}{2} + \frac{1}{4} \right)^2 \right) \neq 0, \quad (2.2.5)$$

then

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \rho} \frac{\Gamma' \left(\frac{s+\delta}{2} \right)}{\Gamma \left(\frac{s+\delta}{2} \right)} + \frac{\Gamma' \left(\frac{1-s+\delta}{2} \right)}{\Gamma \left(\frac{1-s+\delta}{2} \right)} - \frac{\Gamma' \left(\frac{\bar{s}+\delta}{2} \right)}{\Gamma \left(\frac{\bar{s}+\delta}{2} \right)} - \frac{\Gamma' \left(\frac{1-\bar{s}+\delta}{2} \right)}{\Gamma \left(\frac{1-\bar{s}+\delta}{2} \right)} = 0 \Rightarrow \alpha = 0, \quad (2.2.6)$$

namely

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \rho} L(s, \chi) = 0 \Rightarrow \operatorname{Re}(s) = \frac{1}{2}. \quad (2.2.7)$$

This deduction is suitable for all of non-trivial zero points of $L(s, \chi)$. Consequently, Theorem 2 is proved, and GRH is true

Theorem 3: There exists a calculable constant for O and $=$, such that

$$\psi(x; q, l) = x/\phi(q) + O(x^{1/2} \log^2 x), \quad (2.3.1)$$

or

$$E(x; q, l) = \psi(x; q, l) - x/\phi(q) = x^{1/2} \log^2 x. \quad (2.3.2)$$

Proof: Let $\chi \neq \chi^0, (\chi, l) = 1$, then

$$\psi(x; q, l) = x\phi^{-1}(q) + \phi^{-1}(q) \sum_{\chi \bmod q} \bar{\chi}(l) \psi(x, \chi), \quad (2.3.3)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} E(x; q, l) &= \phi^{-1}(q) \sum_{\chi \bmod q} \bar{\chi}(l) \psi(x, \chi) \\ &= \phi^{-1}(q) \sum_{\chi \bmod q} |\bar{\chi}(l)| \psi(x, \chi). \end{aligned} \quad (2.3.4)$$

Since $\phi^{-1}(q) \sum_{\chi \bmod q} 1 < 1$, $|\chi(n)| = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E(x; q, l) &= \max_{\chi} \psi(x, \chi) \\ &= \max_{\chi} \psi(x, \chi^*) + O(\log x \log q). \end{aligned} \quad (2.3.5)$$

Let $\rho = \frac{1}{2} + i\gamma$ is non-trivial zero point of $L(s, \chi)$, we obtain

$$E(x; q, l) = \sum_{|\gamma| \leq T} \frac{x^{\frac{1}{2}}}{1 + |\gamma|} + O\left(\frac{x \log^2(xqT)}{T}\right) + O(\log x \log q). \quad (2.3.6)$$

Let $2 \leq q \leq x, T = x^{\frac{1}{2}}$, then

$$E(x; q, l) = x^{\frac{1}{2}} \log^2 x. \quad (2.3.7)$$

Theorem 3 is true.

Theorem 4: There exist positive numbers $B \geq A + 2$, such that

$$\sum_{2 \leq q \leq x \log^{-B} x} |E(x; q, l)| = x \log^{-A} x. \quad (2.4.1)$$

Proof: Let $\rho(q) = \frac{1}{2} + i\gamma(q)$ is non-trivial zero point of $L(s, \chi \bmod q)$, then

$$\sum_{2 \leq q \leq D} |E(x; q, l)| = \sum_{2 \leq q \leq D} \sum_{|\gamma(q)| \leq T} \frac{x^{\frac{1}{2}}}{1 + |\gamma(q)|} + O\left(\frac{xD \log^2(xDT)}{T}\right) + O(D \log x \log D) \quad (2.4.2)$$

Since $\chi^*(q_1) \neq \chi^*(q_2) \Rightarrow L(s, \chi^* \bmod q_1) \neq L(s, \chi^* \bmod q_2)$, all of non-trivial zero point of $L(s, \chi \bmod q_n)$ are different, then

$$\sum_{2 \leq q \leq D} \sum_{|\gamma(q)| \leq T} \frac{x^{\frac{1}{2}}}{1 + |\gamma(q)|} = \sum_{|\gamma(q_n)| \leq T} \frac{x^{\frac{1}{2}}}{1 + |\gamma(q_n)|} = \sum_{|\gamma| \leq T} \frac{x^{\frac{1}{2}}}{1 + |\gamma|}, \quad (2.4.3)$$

where $|\gamma| \leq T$ includes all of the different non-trivial zero points of $L(s, \chi \bmod q_n)$.

Furthermore we obtain

$$\sum_{|\gamma| \leq T} \frac{x^{\frac{1}{2}}}{1 + |\gamma|} = x^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{-T}^T \frac{1}{1+t} dt = x^{\frac{1}{2}} \log T. \quad (2.4.4)$$

Therefore we have

$$\sum_{2 \leq q \leq D} |E(x; q, l)| = x^{\frac{1}{2}} \log T + O\left(\frac{xD \log^2(xDT)}{T}\right) + O(D \log x \log D). \quad (2.4.5)$$

Let $T = x, D = x \log^{-B} x, B \geq A + 2$, then

$$\sum_{2 \leq q \leq D} |E(x; q, l)| = x \log^{-A} x. \quad (2.4.6)$$

Theorem 4 is established.

Theorem 5: there exist positive numbers $B \geq A + 2$, such that

$$\sum_{2 \leq q \leq x \log^{-B} x} \sum_{1 \leq b \leq x^{\frac{1}{2}}} |E(x; b, q, l)| = x \log^{-A} x, \quad (2.5.1)$$

where

$$E(x; b, q, l) = \psi(x; b, q, l) - (x/b)/\phi(q). \quad (2.5.2)$$

Proof: Let $\chi \neq \chi^0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} E(x; b, q, l) &= \phi^{-1}(q) \sum_{\chi \bmod q} \bar{\chi}(l) \chi(b) \sum_{bn \leq x} \Lambda(n) \chi(n) \\ &= \max \sum_{bn \leq x} \Lambda(n) \chi(n) \\ &= \max \psi(x/b, \chi) \\ &= \max \psi(x/b, \chi^*) + O(\log(x/b) \log q). \end{aligned} \quad (2.5.3)$$

Furthermore, we have

$$E(x; b, q, l) = \sum_{|\gamma(q)| \leq T} \frac{(x/b)^{\gamma}}{1 + |\gamma(q)|} + O\left(\frac{x/b \log^2(xqT)}{T}\right) + O(\log(x/b) \log q), \quad (2.5.4)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{2 \leq q \leq D} \sum_{1 \leq b \leq x^{\frac{1}{2}}} |E(x; b, q, l)| \\ &= x^{\frac{1}{2}} \log x \log T + O\left(\frac{xD \log x \log^2(xDT)}{T}\right) + O(D \log^2 x \log D). \end{aligned} \quad (2.5.5)$$

Let $T = x \log x$, $D = x \log^{-B} x$, $B \geq A + 2$, then

$$\sum_{2 \leq q \leq D} \sum_{1 \leq b \leq x^{\frac{1}{2}}} |E(x; b, q, l)| = x \log^{-A} x. \quad (2.5.6)$$

Theorem 5 is proved.

Theorem 6: Any large even integer is sum of two primes, and we have

$$\sum_{p=N-p_1} \log p > 4(2 \log 2 - \log 3) C(N) \frac{N}{\log N} + O\left(\frac{N}{\log^A N}\right), \quad (2.6.1)$$

where $2 < p < N$.

Proof: Let N is any large even integer, $A = \{a : a = N - p, 2 < p \leq N\}$, then

$$\sum_{p=N-p_1} \log p \geq S(A, x^{\frac{1}{2}}) \geq S(A, x^{\frac{1}{3}}) - \sum_{\substack{N^{\frac{1}{3}} \leq p \leq N^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ p_2 p = N - p_1}} S(A(p), x^{\frac{1}{3}}). \quad (2.6.2)$$

Let $X = N$, $X(p) = N/p$, and $\omega(d) = \frac{d}{\phi(d)}$, then

$$\frac{\omega(d)}{d} = \frac{1}{\phi(d)}, \quad (2.6.3)$$

$$r_d = \theta(N; d, N) - \frac{N}{\phi(d)} = |E(N; d, N)|, \quad (2.6.4)$$

and

$$r_d(p) = \theta(N; p, d, N) - \frac{N/p}{\phi(d)} = |E(N; p, d, N)|. \quad (2.6.5)$$

By Theorems 5 and 6, we have

$$\sum_{d \leq N \log^{-B} N} |r_d| = \sum_{d \leq N \log^{-B} N} |E(N; d, N)| = N \log^{-A} N, \quad (2.6.6)$$

and

$$\sum_{d \leq N \log^{-B} N} \sum_{N^{1/3} \leq p \leq N^{1/2}} |r_d(p)| = \sum_{d \leq N \log^{-B} N} \sum_{N^{1/3} \leq p \leq N^{1/2}} |E(N; p, d, N)| = N \log^{-A} N. \quad (2.6.7)$$

By Lemma 7,

$$S(A, N^{1/3}) \geq 6e^{-\gamma} (1+o(1)) f(3) C(N) \frac{N}{\log N} + O\left(\frac{N}{\log^A N}\right), \quad (2.6.8)$$

$$S(A(p), N^{1/3}) \leq 6e^{-\gamma} (1+o(1)) F(3) C(N) \frac{N/p}{\log N} + O\left(\sum_{d \leq N \log^{-B} N} |r_d(p)|\right), \quad (2.6.9)$$

and

$$\sum_{N^{1/3} \leq p \leq N^{1/2}} S(A(p), N^{1/3}) \leq 6e^{-\gamma} (1+o(1)) F(3) C(N) \frac{N}{\log N} \sum_{N^{1/3} \leq p \leq N^{1/2}} \frac{1}{p} + O\left(\frac{N}{\log^A N}\right). \quad (2.6.10)$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{N^{1/3} \leq p \leq N^{1/2}} \frac{1}{p} &= \log \frac{\log(N^{1/2})}{\log(N^{1/3})} + O\left(\frac{1}{\log N}\right) \\ &= \log 3 - \log 2 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log N}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.6.11)$$

therefore

$$\sum_{N^{1/3} \leq p \leq N^{1/2}} S(A(p), N^{1/3}) \leq 6e^{-\gamma} (\log 3 - \log 2) F(3) C(N) \frac{N}{\log N} + O\left(\frac{N}{\log^A N}\right). \quad (2.6.12)$$

Since $f(3) = \frac{2}{3} e^\gamma \log 2$, and $F(3) = \frac{2}{3} e^\gamma$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{p=N-p_1} \log p &\geq S(A, x^{\frac{1}{3}}) - \sum_{N^{\frac{1}{3}} \leq p \leq N^{\frac{1}{2}}} S(A(p), x^{\frac{1}{3}}) \\ &\geq 4(2\log 2 - \log 3)C(N) \frac{N}{\log N} + O\left(\frac{N}{\log^A N}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.6.13)$$

Since

$$\sum_{p=N-p_1} \log p > 0 \Rightarrow \sum_{N=p+p_1} 1 > 0, \quad (2.6.14)$$

Theorem 6 is proved, and Goldbach Conjecture is true.

Theorem 7: Let $2 < p < N$, we have

$$\sum_{p=p_1+2} \log p > 4(2\log 2 - \log 3)C(N) \frac{N}{\log N} + O\left(\frac{N}{\log^A N}\right). \quad (2.7.1)$$

Proof: Let $A = \{a : a = p+2, 2 < p \leq N\}$, then

$$\sum_{p=p_1+2} \log p \geq S(A, N^{\frac{1}{2}}) \geq S(A, N^{\frac{1}{3}}) - \sum_{\substack{N^{\frac{1}{3}} \leq p \leq N^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ p_2 p = p_1 + 2}} S(A(p), N^{\frac{1}{3}}). \quad (2.7.2)$$

Let $X = N$, $X(p) = N/p$, and $\omega(d) = \frac{d}{\phi(d)}$, then

$$r_d = \theta(N; d, 2) - \frac{N}{\phi(d)} = |E(N; d, 2)|, \quad (2.7.3)$$

and

$$r_d(p) = \theta(N; p, d, 2) - \frac{N/p}{\phi(d)} = |E(N; p, d, 2)|. \quad (2.7.4)$$

By Theorems 5 and 6, we have

$$\sum_{d \leq N \log^{-B} N} |r_d| = \sum_{d \leq N \log^{-B} N} |E(N; d, 2)| = N \log^{-A} N, \quad (2.7.5)$$

and

$$\sum_{d \leq N \log^{-B} N} \sum_{N^{\frac{1}{3}} \leq p \leq N^{\frac{1}{2}}} |r_d(p)| = \sum_{d \leq N \log^{-B} N} \sum_{N^{\frac{1}{3}} \leq p \leq N^{\frac{1}{2}}} |E(N; p, d, 2)| = N \log^{-A} N. \quad (2.7.6)$$

By Lemmas 7, we obtain

$$\sum_{p=p_1+2} \log p \geq 4(2\log 2 - \log 3)C(N) \frac{N}{\log N} + O\left(\frac{N}{\log^A N}\right). \quad (2.7.7)$$

Theorem 7 is proved. In addition, we have

$$N \rightarrow \infty \Rightarrow \sum_{p=p_1+2} \log p \rightarrow \infty \Rightarrow \sum_{p=p_1+2} 1 \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2.7.8)$$

That is to say, the number of twin primes is infinite, and Twin Prime Conjecture is true.

In conclusion, all the hypotheses of the Hilbert's Eighth Problem are proved to be true.

Reference

1. Bauer C. On the sum of a prime and the k-th power of power of a prime. *Acta Arith*, 1998, 85: 99-118
2. Chen J R. On the representation of a large even integer as the sum of a prime and the product of at most two primes. *Sci Sinica*, 1973, 17: 157-176
3. Chen J R, Pan C D. The exceptional set of Goldbach numbers. *Sci Sinica*, 1980, 23: 416-430
4. Connes A. Trace formula in noncommutative geometry and the zeros of the Riemann zetafunction, *Selecta Math*, 1999, 5: 29-106
5. Conrey J B. More than two fifths of the Riemann zeta-function are on the critical line. *J Reine Angew Math*, 1989, 399: 1-26
6. Estermann T. On Goldbach's problem: Proof that almost all even positive integers are sums of two primes. *Proc London Math Soc*, 1938, 44: 307-314
7. Franel J. Les suites de Farey et le problème des nombres premiers. *Göttinger Nachrichten*, 1924, 198-201
8. Hardy G H. Sur les zeros de la function $\zeta(s)$ de Riemann. *C R. Acad Sci Paris*, 1914, 158: 1012-1024
9. Hardy G H, Littlewood J E. The zeros of Riemann's zeta-function on the critical line. *Math Z*, 1921, 10: 283-317
10. Hutchinson JI. *On the roots of the Riemann zeta-function*. *Trans Amer Math Soc*, 1925, 27: 49-60
11. Iwaniec H. A new form of the error term in the linear sieve. *Acta Arith*, 1980, 37: 307-320
12. Iwaniec H. Rosser's sieve. *Acta Arith*, 1980, 36:171-202
13. Iwaniec H, Sarnak P. Perspectives on the analytic theory of L-functions, in *Geom. Funct Analysis*, 2000, 705-741
14. Jia C H. Goldbach numbers in a short interval, I. *Sci China Ser*, 1995, 38: 385-406
15. Jia C H. Goldbach numbers in a short interval, II. *Sci China Ser*, 1995, 38: 513-523
16. Kanemitsu S, Yoshimoto M. Farey series and the Riemann hypothesis. *ACTA Arithmetica*, 1996, 351-374
17. Landau E. Bemerkung zu der vorstehenden Arbeit von Herrn Franel. *Göttinger Nachrichten*, 1924, 202-206
18. Levinson N. More than one third of Riemann zeta-function are on $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$. *Ad. Math*, 1974, 13: 383-436
19. Littlewood J E. On the zeros of Riemann zeta-function. *Pro. Cambr Phyl Soc*, 1924, 22: 295-318

20. 20 Montgomery H L. Zeros of L-functions. *Invent Math*, 1969, 8: 346–354
21. 21 Montgomery H L, Vaughan R C. The exceptional set in Goldbach's problem. *Acta Arith*, 1975, 27: 353–370
22. Odlyzko A M, te Riele H J, Winter D T. Disproved of Mertens conjecture. *J. Reine Angew Math*, 1985, 367: 138–160
23. Pan C D, Pan C B. Basic analytic number theory. *Science Press*, Beijing, 1999
24. Pan C T. On the representation of an even number as the sum of a prime and of an almost prime, *Acta Mathematica Sinica*. 1962, 12: 95–106
25. Perelli A, Pintz J. On the exceptional set for Goldbach's problem in short intervals. *J London Math Soc*, 1993, 47: 41–49
26. Ramachandra K. On the number of Goldbach numbers in small intervals. *J Indian Math Soc*, 1973, 37: 157–170
27. Rudnick Z, Sarnak P. Zeros of principal L-functions and random matrix theory. *Duke Math. J*, 1996, 82: 269–322
28. Rényi A. On the representation of an even number as the sum of a single prime and a single almost-prime number. *Dokl Akad Nauk SSSR*, 1947, 56: 455–458
29. Richert H E. Selberg's sieve with weights. *Mathematika*, 1969, 16: 1–22
30. Riemann B. Über die Anzahl der Primzahlen unter einer gegebenen Grösse. *Monatsber Akad Berlin*, 1859, 671–680
31. Saidak F, Zvengrowski P. On the modulus of Riemann zeta-function in the critical strip. *Math Slovaca*, 2003, 53: 145–172
32. Selberg A. On an elementary method in the theory of primes. *Norske Vid Selsk Forh Trondhjem*, 1947, 19: 64–67
33. Selberg A. On the zeros of the zeta-function of Riemann. *Der Kong Norske Vidensk Selsk Forhand*, 1942, 15: 59–62
34. Titchmarsh E C. The zeros of the Riemann zeta-function. *Proc Roy Soc Ser*, 1935, 151: 234–255
35. Titchmarsh E C. The zeros of the Riemann zeta-function. *Proc Roy Soc Ser*, 1936, 157: 261–263
36. Tolev D I. Arithmetic progressions of prime–almost-prime twins. *Acta Arith*, 1999, 88: 67–98
37. Van de Lune J, te Riele H J J, Winter D T. ON the zeros of the Riemann zeta-function in the critical strip. *Math. Com*, 1986, 46: 667–681
38. Wang Y. On the representation of a large integer as the sum of a prime and of an almost prime. *Sci Sin*, 1962, 11: 1033–1054
39. Zaccagnini A. On the exceptional set for the sum of a prime and the power. *Mathematika*, 1992, 39: 400–421