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#### Abstract

It is shown that, if $\nu \geq 1 / 2$ then the generalized Marcum $\mathbf{Q}$ function $Q_{\nu}(a, b)$ is log-concave in $b \in[0, \infty)$. This proves a conjecture of Sun, Baricz and Zhou (2010). We also point out relevant results in the statistics literature.


Index Terms-increasing failure rate; log-concavity; modified Bessel function; noncentral chi square.

## I. Introduction

The generalized Marcum Q function [14] has important applications in radar detection and communications over fading channels and has received much attention; see, e.g., [3], [8], [10], [13]-[17] and [19]-[21]. It is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{\nu}(a, b)=\int_{b}^{\infty} \frac{t^{\nu}}{a^{\nu-1}} \exp \left(-\frac{t^{2}+a^{2}}{2}\right) I_{\nu-1}(a t) \mathrm{d} t \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\nu>0, a, b \geq 0$ and $I_{\nu}$ denotes the modified Bessel function of the first kind of order $\nu$ defined by the series [1] (9.6.10)

$$
I_{\nu}(t)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(t / 2)^{2 k+\nu}}{k!\Gamma(\nu+k+1)}
$$

( $Q_{\nu}(0, b)$ is defined by taking $a \downarrow 0$.) Recently, Sun, Baricz and Zhou [21] have studied the monotonicity, log-concavity, and tight bounds of $Q_{\nu}(a, b)$ in great detail. We are concerned with log-concavity, which has intrinsic interest, and can help establish useful bounds; see [21] and the references therein for the large literature in information theory and communications on numerical calculations of $Q_{\nu}(a, b)$.

This note resolves some of the conjectures made by [21]. We also point out relevant literature in statistics on both theoretical properties and numerical computation of $Q_{\nu}(a, b)$. Our Theorem 1 proves Conjecture 1 of [21].

Theorem 1: The function $Q_{\nu}(a, b)$ is log-concave in $b \in$ $[0, \infty)$ for all $a \geq 0$ if and only if $\nu \geq 1 / 2$.

A sufficient condition for log-concavity of an integral like (1) is that the integrand is log-concave in $t$. Proposition 1 and Theorem 2 take this approach.

Proposition 1: The integrand in (1) is log-concave in $t \in$ $(0, \infty)$ for all $\nu \geq 1 / 2$ if and only if $0 \leq a \leq 1$.

Theorem 2: The integrand in (1) is log-concave in $t \in$ $(0, \infty)$ for all $a \geq 0$ if and only if $\nu \geq \nu_{0}$ where $\nu_{0} \approx$ 0.78449776 is the unique solution of the equation

$$
\frac{I_{\nu}(\sqrt{5-2 \nu})}{I_{\nu-1}(\sqrt{5-2 \nu})}=\frac{3-2 \nu}{\sqrt{5-2 \nu}}
$$

in the interval $\nu \in(1 / 2,3 / 2)$.
Note the difference between Proposition 11 and Theorem 2 , the former gives a criterion for log-concavity in $t$ for all

[^0]$\nu \geq 1 / 2$ whereas the latter gives one for all $a \geq 0$. From Proposition 1 and Theorem 2 we obtain Corollary 1 which confirms part of Conjecture 2 of [21].

Corollary 1: The function $1-Q_{\nu}(a, b)$ is log-concave in $b \in[0, \infty)$, if either (i) $\nu \geq 1 / 2$ and $0 \leq a \leq 1$, or (ii) $\nu \geq \nu_{0}$ as in Theorem 2

The case of $Q_{1}(a, b)$ (Marcum's original Q function) is especially interesting. If $\nu=1$ then the integrand in (1) is the probability density function (PDF) of a Rice distribution, $Q_{1}(a, b)$ being the corresponding tail probability, or survival function. Therefore Theorem 2 yields

Corollary 2: The probability density function, cumulative distribution function (CDF), and survival function of a Rice distribution are all log-concave.

In general, let $X$ be a noncentral $\chi^{2}$ random variable with $2 \nu$ degrees of freedom and noncentrality parameter $a^{2}$. Then

$$
Q_{\nu}(a, b)=\operatorname{Pr}(\sqrt{X}>b)
$$

Equivalently, $1-Q_{\nu}(\sqrt{a}, \sqrt{b})$ is the CDF of a noncentral $\chi^{2}$ random variable with $2 \nu$ degrees of freedom and noncentrality parameter $a$. The noncentral $\chi^{2}$ distribution plays an important role in statistical hypothesis testing and has been extensively studied. We mention [6], [12] on numerical computation and [7], [9], [18] on theoretical properties. Its CDF, and hence $Q_{\nu}(a, b)$, can be routinely calculated (e.g., using pchisq() in the R package).

Concerning theoretical properties, Finner and Roters [7] (see also [5]) have obtained the following results using tools from total positivity [11].

Theorem 3 ([7], Theorems 3.4, 3.9; Remark 3.6): The function $1-Q_{\nu}(\sqrt{a}, \sqrt{b})$ is log-concave

- in $b \in[0, \infty)$ for $\nu>0, a \geq 0$;
- in $\nu>0$ for $a, b \geq 0$;
- in $a \geq 0$ for $\nu>0, b \geq 0$.

The function $Q_{\nu}(\sqrt{a}, \sqrt{b})$ is log-concave

- in $b \in[0, \infty)$ for $\nu \geq 1, a \geq 0$;
- in $\nu \in[1 / 2, \infty)$ for $a, b \geq 0$;
- in $a \geq 0$ for $\nu>0, b \geq 0$.

Theorem 3] and Corollary 1 cover several results of [21], including part of their Conjectures 2 and 3 (see also [20]). The parts of these conjectures that remain open are

- $1-Q_{\nu}(a, b)$ is log-concave in $b \in[0, \infty)$ for $\nu \in\left[1 / 2, \nu_{0}\right)$ and $a>1$;
- $Q_{\nu}(a, b)$ is log-concave in $\nu \in(0,1 / 2]$ for $a, b \geq 0$.

In Section II we prove Theorems 1,2 and Proposition 1 . The proof of Theorem 1 uses a general technique which may be helpful in related problems. The proof of Theorem 2 relies partly on numerical verification as theoretical analysis appears quite cumbersome.

## II. Proof of Main Results

The following observation, which is of independent interest, is key to our proof of Theorem (1).

Lemma 1: Let $f(t)$ be a probability density function on $\mathbf{R} \equiv(-\infty, \infty)$. Assume (i) $f(t)$ is unimodal, i.e., there exists $t_{0} \in \mathbf{R}$ such that $f(t)$ increases on $\left(-\infty, t_{0}\right]$ and decreases
on $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$; (ii) $f\left(t_{0}-\right) \leq f\left(t_{0}+\right)$; (iii) $f(t)$ is log-concave in the declining phase $t \in\left(t_{0}, \infty\right)$. Then the survival function $\bar{F}(b) \equiv \int_{b}^{\infty} f(t) \mathrm{d} t$ is log-concave in $b \in \mathbf{R}$.

Proof: Assumption (iii) implies that $\bar{F}(b)$ is log-concave in $b \in\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$. Because $f(t)$ increases on $\left(-\infty, t_{0}\right]$, we know $\bar{F}(b)$ is concave and hence log-concave on $\left(-\infty, t_{0}\right]$. By Assumption (ii) we have

$$
\bar{F}^{\prime}\left(t_{0}-\right)=-f\left(t_{0}-\right) \geq-f\left(t_{0}+\right)=\bar{F}^{\prime}\left(t_{0}+\right)
$$

Hence $\bar{F}(b)$ is log-concave in $b \in \mathbf{R}$ overall.
Remark 1. A distribution whose survival function is logconcave is said to have an increasing failure rate (IFR) [4]. Distributions with IFR form an important class in reliability and survival analysis. Lemma 1 provides a simple sufficient condition for IFR distributions.

Henceforth let $f(t)$ be the integrand in (1) for $t>0$. Equivalently, $f(t)$ is the density function of a noncentral $\chi$ random variable with $2 \nu$ degrees of freedom. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{\nu}(t)=\frac{I_{\nu}(t)}{I_{\nu-1}(t)} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We use $r_{\nu}^{\prime}(t)$ to denote the derivative with respect to $t$.
Lemma 2: If $\nu \geq 1 / 2$ then $f^{\prime}(t) /(t f(t))$ decreases in $t \in$ $(0, \infty)$.

Proof: Let us assume $\nu>1 / 2$ and $a>0$. The boundary cases follow by taking limits. Direct calculation yields

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{f^{\prime}(t)}{t f(t)} & =\frac{\nu}{t^{2}}-1+\frac{a I_{\nu-1}^{\prime}(a t)}{t I_{\nu-1}(a t)} \\
& =\frac{2 \nu-1}{t^{2}}-1+\frac{a r_{\nu}(a t)}{t} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

where (3) uses (2) and the formula [1] (9.6.26)

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\nu-1}^{\prime}(t)=I_{\nu}(t)+\frac{\nu-1}{t} I_{\nu-1}(t) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $(2 \nu-1) / t^{2}$ decreases in $t$, we only need to show that $r_{\nu}(t) / t$ decreases in $t$. We may use the integral formula of [1] (9.6.18) and obtain

$$
\frac{r_{\nu}(t)}{t}=\frac{\int_{0}^{1}\left(1-s^{2}\right) g(s, t) \mathrm{d} s}{(2 \nu-1) \int_{0}^{1} g(s, t) \mathrm{d} s}
$$

where

$$
g(s, t)=\left(1-s^{2}\right)^{\nu-3 / 2} \cosh (t s)
$$

As can be easily verified, if $0<t_{1}<t_{2}$ then $g\left(s, t_{2}\right) / g\left(s, t_{1}\right)$ increases in $s \in(0,1)$. That is, $g(s, t)$ is $\mathrm{TP}_{2}$ [11]. Since $1-s^{2}$ decreases in $s \in(0,1)$, by Proposition 3.1 in Chapter 1 of [11], the ratio $\int_{0}^{1}\left(1-s^{2}\right) g(s, t) \mathrm{d} s / \int_{0}^{1} g(s, t) \mathrm{d} s$ decreases in $t \in(0, \infty)$, as required.

Proof of Theorem [7. Let us assume $\nu>1 / 2$ and show log-concavity. By Lemma 2 either (i) $f^{\prime}(t)<0$ for all $t \in$ $(0, \infty)$ or (ii) there exists some $t_{0} \in(0, \infty)$ such that $f^{\prime}(t) \geq 0$ when $t<t_{0}$ and $f^{\prime}(t) \leq 0$ when $t>t_{0}$. (Since $\int_{0}^{\infty} f(t) \mathrm{d} t=$ $Q_{\nu}(a, 0)=1$, it cannot happen that $f^{\prime}(t)>0$ for all $t \in$ $(0, \infty)$.) In either case $f(t)$ satisfies Assumptions (i) and (ii) of Lemma $1(f(t) \equiv 0$ for $t \leq 0)$. Let us consider Case (ii);
the same argument applies to Case (i). For $t \in\left(t_{0}, \infty\right)$ we have $f^{\prime}(t) \leq 0$, and hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{t} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2}}{\mathrm{~d} t^{2}} \log f(t) & \leq \frac{1}{t} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2}}{\mathrm{~d} t^{2}} \log f(t)-\frac{f^{\prime}(t)}{t^{2} f(t)} \\
& =\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\left(\frac{1}{t} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} \log f(t)\right) \leq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last step holds by Lemma 2 Thus $f(t)$ is $\log$ concave in $t \in\left(t_{0}, \infty\right)$ and Assumption (iii) of Lemma 1 is satisfied. We conclude that $Q_{\nu}(a, b)=\int_{b}^{\infty} f(t) \mathrm{d} t$ is logconcave in $b \in[0, \infty)$.

It remains to show that, if $Q_{\nu}(a, b)$ is log-concave in $b \in$ $[0, \infty)$ for all $a \geq 0$, then we must have $\nu \geq 1 / 2$. Let us consider $a=0$. We have

$$
Q_{\nu}(0, b)=1-\frac{1}{2^{\nu} \Gamma(\nu)} \int_{0}^{b^{2}} t^{\nu-1} e^{-t / 2} \mathrm{~d} t
$$

As $b \downarrow 0$, it is easy to see that $\log Q_{\nu}(0, b)$ behaves like

$$
\log \left(1-C b^{2 \nu}+o\left(b^{2 \nu}\right)\right)=-C b^{2 \nu}+o\left(b^{2 \nu}\right)
$$

with $C=2^{-\nu} / \Gamma(\nu+1)$. Hence, if $\nu<1 / 2$ then $Q_{\nu}(0, b)$ is no longer log-concave for $b$ near zero. It follows that the $1 / 2$ in Theorem 1 is the best possible.

Proof of Proposition [1. Using (3) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2}}{\mathrm{~d} t^{2}} \log f(t)=-\frac{2 \nu-1}{t^{2}}-1+a^{2} r_{\nu}^{\prime}(a t) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

However,

$$
\begin{align*}
r_{\nu}^{\prime}(t) & =\frac{I_{\nu}^{\prime}(t)}{I_{\nu-1}(t)}-\frac{I_{\nu}(t) I_{\nu-1}^{\prime}(t)}{I_{\nu-1}^{2}(t)} \\
& =1-\frac{2 \nu-1}{t} r_{\nu}(t)-r_{\nu}^{2}(t) \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

where (6) holds by applying (2), (4) and the recursion [1] (9.6.26)

$$
I_{\nu+1}(t)=I_{\nu-1}(t)-\frac{2 \nu}{t} I_{\nu}(t)
$$

If $\nu \geq 1 / 2$ and $0<a \leq 1$ then $r_{\nu}^{\prime}(a t) \leq 1$ by (6), and we have

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2}}{\mathrm{~d} t^{2}} \log f(t) \leq a^{2}-1 \leq 0
$$

Hence $f(t)$ is log-concave in $t \in(0, \infty)$.
To show the converse, suppose $f(t)$ is log-concave in $t$ for all $\nu \geq 1 / 2$. Consider $\nu=1 / 2$. As $t \downarrow 0$ we have $r_{\nu}(t) \rightarrow 0$, and $\mathrm{d}^{2} \log f(t) / \mathrm{d} t^{2} \rightarrow a^{2}-1$. Hence we must have $a \leq 1$.

Remark 2. For $\nu \geq 1 / 2$, the function $f(t)$ is log-concave in its declining phase, as shown in the proof of Theorem 1 If $a \in[0,1]$ in addition, then Proposition 1 shows that $f(t)$ is logconcave in all $t \in(0, \infty)$. For $a>1$ and $\nu \geq 1 / 2$, however, numerical evidence suggests that $f(t)$ may not be log-concave in its rising phase. Hence a version of Lemma 1 cannot be applied to $1-Q_{\nu}(a, b)$. Log-concavity of $1-Q_{\nu}(a, b)$ in $b$ appears to be a difficult problem.

Let us establish two lemmas before proving Theorem 2
Lemma 3: The function $f(t)$ is log-concave in $t \in(0, \infty)$ for all $a \geq 0$ if and only if the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{\nu}(t)=1-\frac{2 \nu-1}{t^{2}}-\frac{2 \nu-1}{t} r_{\nu}(t)-r_{\nu}^{2}(t) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

is nonpositive for $t \in(0, \infty)$.
Proof: By (6) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{\nu}(t)=r_{\nu}^{\prime}(t)-\frac{2 \nu-1}{t^{2}} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $h_{\nu}(t) \leq 0$ then by (5) we have

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2}}{\mathrm{~d} t^{2}} \log f(t)=a^{2} h_{\nu}(a t)-1<0
$$

Conversely, if $f(t)$ is log-concave in $t \in(0, \infty)$ for all $a \geq 0$, then holding at constant while letting $a \rightarrow \infty$ yields $h_{\nu}(s) \leq$ 0 for each $s \in(0, \infty)$.

Lemma 4: The function

$$
r_{\nu}(\sqrt{5-2 \nu})-\frac{3-2 \nu}{\sqrt{5-2 \nu}}
$$

strictly increases in $\nu \in[1 / 2,3 / 2]$ and has a zero at $\nu_{0} \approx$ 0.78449776.

Proof: Although this only involves a one-variable function over a small interval, it is verified by numerical calculations, as theoretical analysis becomes complicated. The value of $\nu_{0}$ is computed by a fixed point algorithm.

Proof of Theorem 2. Define $h_{\nu}(t)$ as in (7) and $\nu_{0}$ as in Lemma 4 We examine the intervals $(0,1 / 2],\left(1 / 2, \nu_{0}\right)$ and $\left[\nu_{0}, \infty\right)$ for $\nu$ in turn. If $0<\nu \leq 1 / 2$ then letting $t \downarrow 0$ we have $r_{\nu}(t) \rightarrow 0$ and $h_{\nu}(t)>0$ for small $t$. By Lemma 3, $f(t)$ is not log-concave for all $a \geq 0$.

Let us assume $\nu>1 / 2$. Differentiating (7) with respect to $t$ and applying (8) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{\nu}^{\prime}(t)=-\frac{2 \nu-1}{t^{2}} l_{\nu}(t)-\left(\frac{2 \nu-1}{t}+2 r_{\nu}(t)\right) h_{\nu}(t) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{\nu}(t)=r_{\nu}(t)-\frac{3-2 \nu}{t} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\nu>1 / 2$ we know $r_{\nu}(t)$ increases from 0 to 1 as $t$ increases from 0 to $\infty$ (see [2]). Hence, if $1 / 2<\nu<3 / 2$, then $l_{\nu}(t)$ strictly increases and $l_{\nu}(t)=0$ has a unique solution, say at $t_{1} \in(0, \infty)$. If $1 / 2<\nu<\nu_{0}$, then by Lemma 4 , $l_{\nu}(\sqrt{5-2 \nu})<0$, and hence $t_{1}>\sqrt{5-2 \nu}$. In view of (7) and (10) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
h_{\nu}\left(t_{1}\right) & =1-\frac{2 \nu-1}{t_{1}^{2}}-\frac{2 \nu-1}{t_{1}}\left(\frac{3-2 \nu}{t_{1}}\right)-\frac{(3-2 \nu)^{2}}{t_{1}^{2}} \\
& =1-\frac{5-2 \nu}{t_{1}^{2}}>0 \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

By Lemma 3, $f(t)$ is no longer log-concave for all $a \geq 0$.
Suppose $\nu>\nu_{0}$. We have $h_{\nu}(t) \rightarrow-\infty$ as $t \downarrow 0$ and $h_{\nu}(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. If $h_{\nu}(t)$ does become positive, then there exists a finite $t_{0}>0$ such that $h_{\nu}\left(t_{0}\right)=0$ and $h_{\nu}^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right) \geq$ 0 (at least one sign change should be from - to + ). We get $l_{\nu}\left(t_{0}\right) \leq 0$ from (9). If $\nu \geq 3 / 2$ then (10) yields $l_{\nu}\left(t_{0}\right) \geq$ $r_{\nu}\left(t_{0}\right)>0$, a contradiction. Hence $h_{\nu}(t) \leq 0$ for all $t \in$ $(0, \infty)$ if $\nu \geq 3 / 2$.

Suppose $\nu_{0}<\nu<3 / 2$. If $l_{\nu}\left(t_{0}\right)=h_{\nu}^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=0$ then we deduce $t_{0}=\sqrt{5-2 \nu}$ from (7) and (9) by a calculation similar to (11)-(12). But $l_{\nu}(\sqrt{5-2 \nu})=0$ contradicts Lemma 4 , Hence we may assume $h_{\nu}^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)>0$ and $l_{\nu}\left(t_{0}\right)<0$. By

Lemma 4 we have $l_{\nu}(\sqrt{5-2 \nu})>0$. Because $l_{\nu}(t)$ is strictly increasing, and $t_{1}$ is the solution of $l_{\nu}(t)=0$, we obtain $t_{0}<t_{1}<\sqrt{5-2 \nu}$. The calculation (11)-(12) now yields $h_{\nu}\left(t_{1}\right)<0$. Because $h_{\nu}\left(t_{0}\right)=0, h_{\nu}^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)>0$ there exists $t_{*} \in\left(t_{0}, t_{1}\right)$ such that $h_{\nu}\left(t_{*}\right)=0$ and $h_{\nu}^{\prime}\left(t_{*}\right) \leq 0$. By (9), we get $l_{\nu}\left(t_{*}\right) \geq 0$, which contradicts the strict monotonicity of $l_{\nu}(t)$ as $l_{\nu}\left(t_{1}\right)=0$. It follows that $h_{\nu}(t) \leq 0, t \in(0, \infty)$, and $f(t)$ is log-concave. Taking the limit we extend this logconcavity to $\nu=\nu_{0}$.
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