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#### Abstract

We provide a short proof that a 5-connected nonplanar apex graph contains a subdivided $K_{5}$ or a $K_{4}^{-}\left(=K_{4}\right.$ with a single edge removed) as a subgraph. Together with a recent result of Ma and Yu that every nonplanar 5 -connected graph containing $K_{4}^{-}$as a subgraph has a subdivided $K_{5}$; this settles the Kelmans-Seymour conjecture for apex graphs.
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Preamble. Whenever possible notation and terminology are that of [1]. Throughout, a graph is always simple, undirected, and finite. $G$ always denotes a graph. A subdivided $G$ is denoted $T G$. $K_{4}^{-}$ denotes $K_{4}$ with a single edge removed. We write $\delta(G)$ and $d_{G}(v)$ to denote the minimum degree of $G$ and the degree of a vertex $v \in V(G)$, respectively. The $k$-wheel graph consists of a $k$-circuit $C$ and an additional vertex, called the hub, adjacent to every vertex of $C$ through edges called the spokes. $C$ is called the rim of the wheel.
$\S 1$ Introduction. A refinement of Kuratowski's theorem postulated by the KelmansSeymour conjecture (1975) is that: the 5-connected nonplanar graphs contain a $T K_{5}$. As this conjecture is open for many years now, it does not stand to reason that certain special cases of this conjecture be considered. If to pick a special case, then we contend that the apex graphs are a natural choice; where a graph is apex if it has a vertex, referred to as an apex vertex, removal of which results in a planar graph. In this paper, we prove in a short manner that:
1.1. A 5-connected nonplanar apex graph contains a $T K_{5}$ or a $K_{4}^{-}$as a subgraph.

Recently, Ma and Yu [2, 3] proved that:
1.2. (Ma-Yu [2, 3])

A 5-connected nonplanar graph containing $K_{4}^{-}$as a subgraph, contains a $T K_{5}$.
By 1.1 and $\mathbf{1 . 2}$, it follows that the Kelmans-Seymour conjecture holds for apex graphs.

### 1.3. A 5-connected nonplanar apex graph contains a $T K_{5}$.

OUR proof of 1.1. By Euler's formula, a 2-connected planar graph with minimum degree 5 contains $K_{4}^{-}$as a subgraph [4, Lemma 2]. Consequently, a 5 -connected nonplanar apex graph $G$ satisfying $K_{4}^{-} \nsubseteq G$ has $\delta(G-v)=4$, where $v$ is an apex vertex of $G$. Thus, a 5 -connected

[^0]nonplanar apex graph contains $K_{4}^{-}$as a subgraph or has an apex vertex that is part of a 5 -(vertex)-disconnector of $G$. Thus, to prove 1.1, suffices that we prove the following.
1.4. A 5-connected nonplanar apex graph $G$ with an apex vertex contained in a 5-(vertex)disconnector of $G$ satisfies $T K_{5} \subseteq G$ or $K_{4}^{-} \subseteq G$.

Adjourning technical details until later sections, we outline here the sole manner in which we construct a $T K_{5}$ in our proof of 1.4 assuming $K_{4}^{-} \nsubseteq G$ and $v$ is an apex vertex of $G$ satisfying the premise of $\mathbf{1 . 4}$
(S.1) We fix an embedding of $G-v$ and identify it with its embedding. We then pick a "suitable" 5-(vertex)-disconnecter $D$ containing $v$ such that $G=G_{1} \cup G_{2}$ and $G[D]=$ $G_{1} \cap G_{2}$.
(S.2) In one of the sides of this disconnector, say $G_{1}$, we find a 4 -valent vertex $u$ such that together with $u$ the vertices cofacial with $u$ in $G-v$ induce a subdivided $d(u)$-wheel $S \subseteq G_{1}$ whose spokes are preserved and coincide with the edges incident with $u$.
(S.3) In $G_{1}-v$, we construct 3 pairwise vertex-disjoint paths (i.e., a 3-linkage) linking $D-v$ and the $\operatorname{rim}$ of $S$ (not meeting $u$ ) so that these paths meet the rim of $S$ only at $N_{G}(u)$.
(S.4) We choose an arbitrary vertex in $G_{2}-D$ and connect it to $D$ through a 5 -fan contained in $G_{2}$.
(S.5) $u v \in E(G)$ as $u$ is 4 -valent.
(S.6) $T K_{5} \subseteq$ the union of $S$, the 3-linkage, the 5 -fan, and $u v$.

Essentially, the remainder of this paper consists of our preparation for this single construction. The accurate form of this construction can be found in $\$ 5$, We use the discharging method for finding the wheel $S$ in (S.2).

## §2 Preliminaries.

Subgraphs. Let $H$ be a subgraph of $G$, denoted $H \subseteq G$. The boundary of $H$, denoted by $\operatorname{bnd}_{G} H$ (or simply bnd $H$ ), is the set of vertices of $H$ incident with $E(G) \backslash E(H)$. By int ${ }_{G} H$ (or simply $i n t H$ ) we denote the subgraph induced by $V(H) \backslash b n d H$. If $v \in V(G)$, then $N_{H}(v)$ denotes $N_{G}(v) \cap V(H)$.

Paths and circuits. For $X, Y \subseteq V(G)$, an $(X, Y)$-path is a simple path with one end in $X$ and the other in $Y$ internally-disjoint of $X \cup Y$. If $X=\{x\}$, we write $(x, Y)$-path. If $|X|=|Y|=k \geq 1$, then a set of $k$ pairwise vertex-disjoint $(X, Y)$-paths is called an ( $X, Y$ )-k-linkage. Throughout this paper, a linkage is always of size 4.

If $x \in V(G)$ and $Y \subseteq V(G) \backslash\{x\}$, then by $(x, Y)$-k-fan we mean a set of $k \geq 1(x, Y)$-paths with only $x$ as a common vertex.

The interior of an $x y$-path $P$ is the set $V(P) \backslash\{x, y\}$ and is denoted int $P$. For $u, v \in V(P)$, we write $[u P v]$ to denote the $u v$-subpath of $P$. We write $(u P v)$ to denote $i n t[u P v]$, and in a similar manner the semi-open segments $[u P v)$ and $(u P v]$.

If $C$ is a circuit of a plane graph $G$ and $A=\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}\right\} \subseteq V(C)$ appear in this clockwise order along $C$, then $\left[a_{i} C a_{i+1}\right], 1 \leq i \leq 4$, denotes the segment of $C$ whose ends are $a_{i}$
and $a_{i+1}$ and such that its interior, denoted $\left(a_{i} C a_{i+1}\right)$, does not meet $A$ (clearly, $\left.a_{5}=a_{1}\right)$. Semi-open segments $\left[a_{i} C_{u} a_{i+1}\right)$ are defined accordingly. Two members of $A$ are called consecutive if these are consecutive in the clockwise ordering of $A$ along $C$.

Bridges. Let $H \subseteq G$. By $H$-bridge we mean either an edge $u v \notin E(H)$ and $u, v \in V(H)$ or a connected component of $G-H$. In the latter case, the $H$-bridge is called nontrivial. The vertices of $H$ adjacent to an $H$-bridge $B$ are called the attachment vertices of $B$. A uv-path internally-disjoint of $H$ with $u, v \in V(H)$, is called an $H$-ear.

Hammocks. A $k$-hammock of $G$ is a connected subgraph $H$ satisfying $|b n d H|=k \geq 1$. A hammock $H$ coinciding with its boundary is called trivial, degenerate if $|V(H)|=|b n d H|+1$, and fat if $|V(H)| \geq|b n d H|+2$. We call a 4 -hammock minimal if all its proper 4-hammocks, if any, are trivial or degenerate.
2.1. A minimal fat 4-hammock $H$ of a 4-connected graph $G, K_{4}^{-} \nsubseteq G$, satisfies $\kappa(H) \geq 2$.

Proof. Assume, to the contrary, that $H=H_{1} \cup H_{2}$ such that $\{x\}=V\left(H_{1}\right) \cap V\left(H_{2}\right)$ and $V\left(H_{i}\right) \backslash\{x\} \neq \emptyset$, for $i=1,2$. Clearly, $b n d H_{i}=\{x\} \cup X_{i}$, where $X_{i} \subset b n d H$, for $i=1,2$.

Consequently, if $H_{i}$ is a 4 -hammock of $G$, then $H_{3-i}$ consists of a single edge; implying that $H_{i}$ is degenerate, by fatness of $H$. As $d_{G}(x) \geq 4, K_{4}^{-} \subseteq G$.

Next, if each of $H_{i}, i=1,2$, is a $k$-hammock of $G$ with $k \leq 3$, then both are trivial, by 4-connectivity of $G$. This in turn implies that $H$ is degenerate satisfying $\{x\}=V(H) \backslash b n d H$; contradiction to the fatness of $H$.

Subdivided wheels. For $u \in V(G)$, we write $S_{u}$ to denote a subdivided $d(u)$-wheel with hub $u$, the spokes preserved and coinciding with $\{u v: v \in N(u)\}$. Its rim, denoted $C_{u}$, is an induced circuit of $G$ separating $u$ from the rest of $G$.

If $G$ is a 4-connected plane graph, then such an $S_{u}$ exists for every $u \in V(G) \backslash V\left(X_{G}\right)$, where $X_{G}$ is the infinite face of $G$. Indeed, the set of vertices cofacial with $u$ form $C_{u}$. Consequently, if $G$ is a plane graph and $u \in V(G) \backslash V\left(X_{G}\right)$ we refer to $S_{u}$ as the facial wheel of $u$. Such a subdivided wheel is called short if:
(SH.1) $d(u)=4$ and $u$ is the common vertex of two edge disjoint triangles, say $T$ and $T^{\prime}$; and (SH.2) the two segments of $C_{u}-\left(E\left(C_{u}\right) \cap E(T)\right)-\left(E\left(C_{u}\right) \cap E(T)\right)$, say $Q$ and $Q^{\prime}$, satisfy:
(SH.2.a) $2 \leq|V(Q)|,\left|V\left(Q^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq 4$; and
(SH.2.b) if one segment is of order 4 , then the other is of order $\leq 3$.
A short wheel is called imbalanced if one of its segments is of order 4. An imbalanced wheel $S_{u} \subseteq H$, where $H$ is a 4-hammock of a 4 -connected graph, is called proper with respect to $H$ if the interior of its segment of order 4 does not meet $\operatorname{bndH}$. If $H$ is understood, then we write proper.

Faces of plane graphs. Let $G$ be a 2-connected plane graph. By $F(G)$ we denote the set of faces of a plane graph $G$. A face $f$ of length $k$ is called a $k$-face and its length is denoted $|f|$. We write $(\geq k)$-face and $(\leq k)$-face to denote a face of length $\geq k$ and $\leq k$, respectively. A 4 -valent vertex is called an $\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}, f_{4}\right)$-vertex, if the faces incident with $v$ are of length $f_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq 4$, and these are met in a clockwise order around $v$.
§3 Linkages and wheels. Throughout this section, $G$ is a 4-connected plane graph, and $u \in V(G) \backslash V\left(X_{G}\right), S_{u} \subseteq H$ is the facial wheel of $u$, where $H$ is a 4 -hammock of $G$.

By a $C_{u}$-linkage we mean a $\left(b n d H, C_{u}\right)$-linkage in $H$; such clearly does not meet $u$, by planarity. By end $\mathcal{P}$ we refer to the end vertices on $C_{u}$ of members of a $C_{u}$-linkage $\mathcal{P}$. For such a $\mathcal{P}$, put $\alpha(\mathcal{P})=\mid$ end $\mathcal{P} \cap V\left(C_{u}\right) \cap N(u) \mid$. Also, if $\operatorname{end\mathcal {P}}=\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}\right\}$, then we always assume these appear in this clockwise order along $C_{u}$ and denote by $P_{i}$ the member of $\mathcal{P}$ meeting $a_{i}$.

By planarity and since $N(u) \subseteq V\left(C_{u}\right)$, every $S_{u} \cup \mathcal{P}$-bridge does not meet or attach to $u$. Let $P \in \mathcal{P}$ and let $P^{\prime}$ be a member of $\mathcal{P}$ or a segment of $C_{u}$. By $P$-ear we mean an $S_{u} \cup \mathcal{P}$-ear with both its ends in $P$. By $\left(P, P^{\prime}\right)$-ear we mean an $S_{u} \cup \mathcal{P}$-ear with one end in $P$ and the other in $P^{\prime}$.

If for any $b \in\left(a_{i} C_{u} a_{i+1}\right)$ there exists a $C_{u}$-linkage $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}$ satisfying end $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}=\left(\right.$ end $\left.\mathcal{P} \backslash\left\{a_{i}\right\}\right) \cup\{b\}$ or end $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}=\left(\right.$ end $\left.\mathcal{P} \backslash\left\{a_{i+1}\right\}\right) \cup\{b\}$, then we call $\mathcal{P}$ slippery with respect to $\left[a_{i} C_{u} a_{i+1}\right]$, where $1 \leq i \leq 4$, and $a_{5}=a_{1}$. We say that $\mathcal{P}$ is slippery if it is slippery with respect to each segment $\left[a_{i} C_{u} a_{i+1}\right]$ satisfying $a_{i} C_{u} a_{i+1} \neq \emptyset$.

## 3.2. $A C_{u}$-linkage is slippery.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{P}$ denote such a linkage, and let $w \in\left(a_{i} C_{u} a_{i+1}\right)$ such that $1 \leq i \leq 4$. Planarity and $C_{u}$ being induced assert that there is an $S_{u} \cup \mathcal{P}$-bridge $B$ with $w$ as an attachment. Such a bridge attaches to at least one of $P_{i}-a_{i}$ or $P_{i+1}-a_{i+1}$. This is clearly true if $B$ is trivial, as $C_{u}$ is induced. If nontrivial, then having all attachments of $B$ in $\left[a_{i} C_{u} a_{i+1}\right]$ implies that the 3 -set consisting of $u$ and the two extremal attachments of $B$ on $\left[a_{i} C_{u} a_{i+1}\right]$ is a 3-disconnector of $G$, by planarity.

It follows now from 3.2 that:
3.3. $A C_{u}$-linkage satisfying $\alpha \geq 1$ exists.

Our main tool for proving subsequent claims is the following.

### 3.4. Suppose that:

(3.4. a) $H$ is a minimal fat 4-hammock; and
(3.4.b) $\mathcal{P}$ is a $C_{u}$-linkage with end $\mathcal{P}=\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}\right\}$ satisfying:
(3.4.b.1) $\alpha(\mathcal{P})=k>0, k$ an integer; and
(3.4.b.2) $a_{1}, a_{3} \notin N(u)$; and
(3.4. b.3) $a_{2} \in N(u)$; and
(3.4. b. 4) $\left|N(u) \cap\left[a_{1} C_{u} a_{2}\right]\right| \geq 2$.

Then, $K_{4}^{-} \subseteq G$ or there exists a $C_{u}$-linkage satisfying $\alpha \geq k+1$.
Proof. Assume towards contradiction that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { a } C_{u} \text {-linkage with } \alpha \geq k+1 \text { does not exist. } \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $P$ be the $a_{1} a_{3}$-segment of $C_{u}$ not containing $a_{4}$. By (3.5) and planarity, for any $\mathcal{P}$ satisfying (3.4) b), every member of $\left(N(u) \backslash\left\{a_{2}\right\}\right) \cap P$ is an attachment of an $S_{u} \cup \mathcal{P}$-bridge
attaching to $C_{u}$ and $P_{2}$ only. Such bridges exist by (3.4.b.4), (3.5), and since $C_{u}$ is induced. Consequently, $a_{2} \notin b n d H$.

Choose a $\mathcal{P}$ satisfying (3.4 b ) such that
no $P_{2}$-ears are embedded in the region of the plane interior to $\left[a_{2} C_{u} a_{3}\right] \cup P_{2} \cup P_{3}$.
By ( 3.4 b.4), let $z \in N(u) \cap\left(a_{1} C_{u} a_{2}\right)$ such that $\left[a_{1} P z\right]$ is minimal. Let $B$ be an $S_{u} \cup \mathcal{P}$ bridge attached to $z$; such is embedded in the region of the plane interior to $\left[a_{1} C_{u} a_{2}\right] \cup P_{1} \cup P_{2}$. By (3.5),

$$
\begin{equation*}
B \text { has no attachment on } P_{1} \text {. } \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Connectivity and existence of $z$ then imply that there are vertices $x \in\left[a_{1} C_{u} a_{2}\right]$ (possibly $x=z$ ) and $y \in V\left(P_{2}\right)$ attachments of $B$ such that $\left[a_{1} P x\right]$ and $\left[y P_{2} v\right]$ are minimal, where $v \in V\left(P_{2}\right) \cap b n d H$.

By (3.5),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { there are no }\left(P_{2}, P_{3}-a_{3}\right) \text {-ears with an end in }\left[a_{2} P_{2} y\right) . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, if such an ear exists, then $P_{2}$ can be rerouted through $y$ and $B$ to meet $z$, and $P_{3}$ can be rerouted through the ear and $\left[a_{2} P_{2} y\right)$ to meet $a_{2}$; contradicting (3.5).

Let $\ell \in\left[a_{2} C_{u} a_{3}\right]$ be defined as follows. If there exist an $\left(\left(a_{2} P_{2} y\right),\left[a_{2} C_{u} a_{3}\right]\right)$-ear, then $\ell$ is an end of such an ear such that $\left[\ell a_{3}\right]$ is minimal. Otherwise, $\ell=a_{2}$.

By planarity, (3.6), (3.7), and (3.8), $\{u, x, y, \ell\}$ form the boundary of a 4-hammock of $H$; such is trivial or degenerate, by minimality of $H$. In either case, $x$ coincides with $z$ and $B$ consists of the single edge $x y$ (otherwise, there is a $k$-disconnector, $k \leq 3$, separating $B$ from the rest of $G$ ) implying that $\left\{x, u, a_{2}, y\right\}$ induce a $K_{4}^{-}$.

We infer the following from 3.4.
3.9. Suppose $H$ is a minimal fat 4-hammock. Then, $K_{4}^{-} \subseteq G$ or there is a $C_{u}$-linkage $\mathcal{P}$ satisfying:
(3.9. a) $\alpha(\mathcal{P}) \geq 2$; and
(3.9.b) if $\alpha \leq 2$ for every $C_{u}$-linkage, then every $C_{u}$-linkage with $\alpha=2$ meets $N(u)$ at consecutive members of endP.

Proof. A $C_{u}$-linkage satisfying $\alpha \geq 1$ exists, by 3.3. To show that such a linkage with $\alpha \geq 2$ exists, assume, towards contradiction, that every $C_{u}$-linkage has $\alpha \leq 1$. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a $C_{u}$-linkage with $\alpha(\mathcal{P})=1$ and end $\mathcal{P}=\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}\right\}$; choose such notation so that $a_{2} \in N(u)$. As, by assumption, a linkage with $\alpha \geq 2$ does not exist, each vertex in $N(u) \backslash\left\{a_{2}\right\}$ is an attachment vertex of an $S_{u} \cup \mathcal{P}$-bridge that has attachments on $C_{u}$ and $P_{2}$ only. Since $d(u) \geq 4$ and $C_{u}$ is induced, such bridges exist and thus $a_{2} \notin b n d H$. By rerouting $P_{2}$ through such bridges we may choose such a $\mathcal{P}$ such that $N(u) \subseteq\left(a_{1} C_{u} a_{2}\right]$. Thus, by 3.4 the claim follows.

Suppose next, that $\alpha \leq 2$ for every $C_{u}$-linkage, and suppose $\mathcal{P}$ is such a linkage with $\alpha(\mathcal{P})=2$ so that $N(u)$ is met by nonconsecutive members of end $\mathcal{P}$, say, $a_{2}, a_{4}$. As, by assumption, there is no linkage with $\alpha>2$, each vertex in $N(u) \backslash\left\{a_{2}, a_{4}\right\}$ is an attachment vertex of an $S_{u} \cup \mathcal{P}$-bridge that has attachments on $C_{u}$ and $P_{2}$ only, or on $C_{u}$ and $P_{4}$ only (both options do not occur together). Since $d(u) \geq 4$ and $C_{u}$ is induced, such bridges exist; hence $\left|b n d H \cap\left\{a_{2}, a_{4}\right\}\right| \leq 1$. By rerouting $P_{2}$ and/or $P_{4}$ through such bridges, we may choose $\mathcal{P}$ so that $\left|N(u) \cap\left(a_{1} C_{u} a_{2}\right]\right| \geq 2$ or $\left|N(u) \cap\left[a_{4} C_{u} a_{1}\right)\right| \geq 2$. The claim then follows by 3.4.

We conclude this section with the following.
3.10. Let $H$ be minimal and fat and suppose $S_{u}$ is short such that if it is imbalanced then it is proper. Then, a $C_{u}$-linkage satisfying $\alpha \geq 3$ exists.

Proof. Assume, to the contrary, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { a } C_{u} \text {-linkage satisfying } \alpha \geq 3 \text { does not exist. } \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

By 3.9, a linkage with $\alpha=2$ exists; moreover, any $C_{u}$-linkage satisfying $\alpha=2$ meets $N(u)$ at consecutive ends. Suppose $\mathcal{P}$ is such a linkage where end $\mathcal{P}=\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}\right\}$, and choose the notation so that the members of end $\mathcal{P}$ meeting $N(u)$ are $a_{2}$ and $a_{3}$.

Since $C_{u}$ is induced,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a_{4} C_{u} a_{1}\right) \cap N(u)=\emptyset . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, otherwise, a bridge attached to a member of $\left(a_{4} C_{u} a_{1}\right) \cap N(u)$ has an attachment on at least one of $P_{1}$ or $P_{4}$, by planarity and 4 -connectivity (see argument of (3.2); contradicting (3.11).

Let $T, T^{\prime}$ be as in (SH.1). $S_{u}$ being short and (3.12) imply that either

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|V(T) \cap\left\{a_{2}, a_{3}\right\}\right|=\left|V\left(T^{\prime}\right) \cap\left\{a_{2}, a_{3}\right\}\right|=1, \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|V\left(T^{\prime \prime}\right) \cap\left\{a_{2}, a_{3}\right\}\right|=2, T^{\prime \prime} \in\left\{T, T^{\prime}\right\} . \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

In either case, (3.12) implies that $\left\{a_{1}, a_{4}\right\} \subseteq$ int $Q^{\prime \prime}, Q^{\prime \prime} \in\left\{Q, Q^{\prime}\right\}$, where $Q, Q^{\prime}$ are as in (SH.2). Consequently, $S_{u}$ is imbalanced and consequently proper, by assumption. That is, $b n d H \cap\left\{a_{1}, a_{4}\right\}=\emptyset$.

An $\left(S_{u}-a_{1}, b n d H\right)$-linkage $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}$ exists in $H-a_{1}$; otherwise $a_{1}$ and a $k$-disconnector, $k \leq 3$, separating $b n d H$ and $S_{u}-a_{1}$ in $H-a_{1}$ form a proper 4-hammock of $H$ that is neither trivial nor degenerate; contradicting the minimality of $H$. As, by assumption, $d(u)=4, \mathcal{P}^{\prime}$ does not meet $u$ and is a $C_{u}$-linkage in $H$.

Since $S_{u}$ is short, $\alpha\left(\mathcal{P}^{\prime}\right)=\left|e n d \mathcal{P}^{\prime} \cap N(u)\right| \geq 2$. We may assume equality holds or the claim follows. If $N(u)$ is met by consecutive members of $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}$, then these are not contained in a single triangle $T$ or $T^{\prime}$, as this would contradict (3.12) (which applies to any $C_{u}$-linkage with $\alpha=2$ meeting $N(u)$ at consecutive members). On the other hand, if $N(u)$ is met by nonconsecutive members of $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}$ (so that (3.13) is satisfied by $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}$ ), then a $C_{u}$-linkage satisfying the premise of 3.4 exists (see argument of 3.9) and the claim follows by 3.4
$\S 4$ Short wheels in minimal fat hammocks. The purpose of this section is to prove 4.1. Let $H$ be a minimal fat 4 -hammock of a 4 -connected plane graph $G$; such is 2-connected, by 2.1. Consequently, every member of $F(H)$ is a circuit of $H$, each edge of $H$ is contained in precisely 2 faces (we use this in the proof of (4.2) below), and each $v \in V(H)$ is incident with $d_{H}(v)$ distinct faces. A vertex $v \in V(H)$ is called good if $d_{H}(v) \geq 5$ or $v \in b n d H$.
4.1. Let $H$ be a minimal fat 4-hammock of a 4-connected plane graph $G$ satisfying:
(4.1. a) $K_{4}^{-} \nsubseteq G$; and
(4.1.b) every $P_{3} \cong P \subset H$ contains a good vertex; and
4.1.c) every $K_{3} \cong K \subset H$ contains $\geq 2$ good vertices.

Then, $H$ contains a short facial wheel $S_{u}$ for some $u \in V(H) \backslash V\left(X_{H}\right)$ such that if $S_{u}$ is imbalanced, then it is proper.

We shall use the well-known "discharging method" in order to prove 4.1 Such a method involves four main steps: (i) distributing initial charges to elements of the graph, (ii) calculating the total charge distributed using Euler's formula, (iii) redistributing charges according to a set of discharging rules, and finally (iv) estimating the resultant charge of each element. In our case, we shall employ the following charging-discharging schemes.

Charging scheme. For $x \in V(H) \cup F(H)$, define the charge $\operatorname{ch}(x)$ as follows:
(CH.1) $\operatorname{ch}(v)=6-d_{H}(v)$, for any $v \in V(H)$.
(CH.2) $\operatorname{ch}(f)=6-2|f|$, for any $f \in F(H) \backslash\left\{X_{H}\right\}$.
(CH.3) $\operatorname{ch}\left(X_{H}\right)=-5 \frac{2}{3}-2\left|X_{H}\right|$.
Next, we show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{x \in V(H) \cup F(H)} \operatorname{ch}(x)=\frac{1}{3} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{x \in V(H) \cup F(H)} c h(x) & =-5 \frac{2}{3}-2\left|X_{H}\right|+\sum_{f \in F(H) \backslash X_{H}}(6-2|f|)+\sum_{v \in V(H)}(6-d(v)) \\
& =-5 \frac{2}{3}-2\left|X_{H}\right|+6(|f(H)|-1)+\sum_{f \in F(H) \backslash X_{H}}(-2|f|)+\sum_{v \in V(H)}(6-d(v)) \\
& =-5 \frac{2}{3}+6(|f(H)|-1)-2(2|E|)+6|V(H)|-2|E(H)| \\
& =6(F(H)-E(H)+V(H))-11 \frac{2}{3}=\frac{1}{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Discharging scheme. In what follows, by send we mean "discharge" or "pass charge".
(DIS.1) Let $v \in V\left(X_{H}\right)$, such that $2 \leq d_{H}(v) \leq 4$.
(DIS.1.a) If $d_{H}(v)=2$, then let $g$ the face incident with $v$ other than $X_{H}$. If $|g|=3$, then $v$ sends 4 to $X_{H}$. Otherwise $v$ sends $3 \frac{2}{3}$ to $X_{H}$ and $\frac{1}{3}$ to $g$.
(DIS.1.b) If $d_{H}(v)=3$, then $v$ sends $2 \frac{2}{3}$ to $X_{H}$ and $\frac{1}{3}$ to every incident ( $\geq 4$ )-face.
(DIS.1.c) If $d_{H}(v)=4$, then $v$ sends $1 \frac{2}{3}$ to $X_{H}$ and $\frac{1}{3}$ to every incident $(\geq 4)$-face.
(DIS.2) If $v \in V(H)$ is at least 5 -valent, then, $v$ sends $\frac{1}{3}$ to every incident ( $\geq 4$ )-face.
(DIS.3) If $v \in V(H) \backslash V\left(X_{H}\right)$ is 4 -valent, then:
(DIS.3.a) $v$ sends $\frac{2}{3}$ to every incident 4 -face.
(DIS.3.b) $v$ sends 1 to every incident 5 -face, unless $v$ is a ( $3,4,3,5$ )-vertex, and then $v$ sends $1 \frac{1}{3}$ to its single incident 5 -face.
(DIS.3.c) $v$ sends $1 \frac{1}{3}$ to every $(\geq 6)$-face.
Proof of 4.1. Assume, to the contrary, that the claim is false and apply (CH.1-3) and (DIS.13) to members of $V(H) \cup F(H)$. Let $c h^{*}(x)$ denote the charge of a member of $V(H) \cup F(H)$ after applying (DIS.1-3). We obtain a contradiction to (4.2) by showing that $c h^{*}(x) \leq 0$ for every $x \in V(H) \cup F(H)$. This is clearly implied by the following claims proved below.
(4.1.A) $c h^{*}(v) \leq 0$, for each $v \in V(H)$.
(4.1.B) $c^{*}(f) \leq 0$, for each $f \in F(H) \backslash\left\{X_{H}\right\}$.
(4.1.C) $c h^{*}\left(X_{H}\right) \leq 0$.

Observe that according to (DIS.1-3), faces do not send charge and vertices do not receive charge.

Proof of (4.1.A). It is sufficient to consider vertices $v$ satisfying $2 \leq d_{H}(v) \leq 4$. Indeed, if $d_{H}(v) \geq 6$, then $\operatorname{ch}(v)=c h^{*}(v) \leq 0$ by (CH.1); and, if $d_{H}(v)=5$, then $v$ is incident with at least three ( $\geq 4$ )-faces, as $K_{4}^{-} \nsubseteq G$, implying that $c h^{*}(v) \leq 0$ by (DIS.2).

By (DIS.1.a-c), $c h^{*}(v) \leq 0$ for every $v \in V\left(X_{H}\right)$ with $2 \leq d_{H}(v) \leq 4$. This is clear if $v$ is 2 -valent; and true in case $v$ is at least 3 -valent as such a vertex is incident with at least one ( $\geq 4$ )-face distinct of $X_{H}$, since $K_{4}^{-} \nsubseteq G$.

It remains to consider $v \notin V\left(X_{H}\right)$ satisfying $2 \leq d_{H}(v) \leq 4$; such is clearly 4 -valent, as $\kappa(G) \geq 4$. We may assume $v$ is not incident with at least three ( $\geq 4$ )-faces, for otherwise $c h^{*}(v) \leq 0$ since by (DIS.3.a-c), $v$ sends at least $\frac{2}{3}$ to each ( $\geq 4$ )-face. Consequently, since $K_{4}^{-} \nsubseteq G, v$ is incident with precisely two 3 -faces that are edge disjoint. Next, at least one of the remaining faces incident with $v$, say $f$, is a 4 -face for otherwise $c h^{*}(v) \leq 0$ by (DIS.3.bc). The remaining face incident with $v$, say $g$, is a ( $\geq 5$ )-face for otherwise $H$ contains a short facial wheel; contradictory to our assumption. By (DIS.3.a), $v$ sends $2 / 3$ to $f$. Hence, $c h^{*}(v) \leq 0$ by (DIS.3.b) if $|g|=5$, and by (DIS.3.c) if $|g| \geq 6$.

Proof of (4.1)B). If $|f|=3$, then, $\operatorname{ch}(f)=c h^{*}(f)=0$ for any 3 -face $f$, by (CH.2). It remains to consider ( $\geq 4$ )-faces. If $f$ is such a face, then put $A_{f}=\left\{v \in V(f) \backslash b n d H: d_{H}(v)=4\right\}$ and note that (4.1)b) implies:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|A_{f}\right| \leq|f|-2 . \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
c h^{*}(f)=\operatorname{ch}(f)+c\left(A_{f}\right)+c\left(V(f) \backslash A_{f}\right), \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c(X), X \subseteq V(f)$, is the total charge sent to $f$ from members of $X$.
We may assume that $f$ is a 5 -face. Indeed, if $|f|=4$, then $c\left(A_{f}\right) \leq \frac{2}{3}\left|A_{f}\right|$, by (DIS.1.c) and (DIS.3.a), $c\left(V(f) \backslash A_{f}\right) \leq \frac{1}{3}\left(|f|-\left|A_{f}\right|\right.$ ), by (DIS.1.a) and (DIS.2), and $\left|A_{f}\right| \leq 2$, by (4.3). Thus, $c h^{*}(f) \leq 0$, by (4.4). Next, if $|f| \geq 6$, then $c\left(A_{f}\right) \leq 1 \frac{1}{3}\left|A_{f}\right|$, by (DIS.1.c) and (DIS.3.c), $c\left(V(f) \backslash A_{f}\right) \leq \frac{1}{3}\left(|f|-\left|A_{f}\right|\right.$ ), by (DIS.1.a) and (DIS.2), and $\left|A_{f}\right| \leq 4$, by (4.3). Hence, $c h^{*}(f) \leq 0$, by (4.4).

Assume then that $|f|=5$ so that $\left|A_{f}\right| \leq 3$, by (4.3). We may assume that $f$ is incident with a $(3,4,3,5)$-vertex not in $V\left(X_{H}\right)$; otherwise, $c\left(A_{f}\right) \leq 1 \times\left|A_{f}\right|$, by (DIS.1.c) and (DIS.3.b), $c\left(V(f) \backslash A_{f}\right)=\frac{1}{3}\left(|f|-\left|A_{f}\right|\right)$, by (DIS.1-2). By (4.4) (and as $\left|A_{f}\right| \leq 3$ ), $c h^{*}(f) \leq 0$.

Let then $v \in V(f) \backslash V\left(X_{H}\right)$ be a $(3,4,3,5)$-vertex. The members of $V(f)$ adjacent to $v$, say $v^{\prime}, v^{\prime \prime}$, are good by 4.1]c); and $\left|\left(V(f) \backslash\left\{v, v^{\prime}, v^{\prime \prime}\right\}\right) \cap b n d H\right| \geq 1$ or $S_{u}$ is proper contradicting the assumption that such wheels do not exist in $H$. Let $v^{\prime \prime \prime} \in\left(V(f) \backslash\left\{v, v^{\prime}, v^{\prime \prime}\right\}\right) \cap b n d H$. $v$ sends $1 \frac{1}{3}$ to $f$, By (DIS.3.c). Each of $\left\{v^{\prime}, v^{\prime \prime}, v^{\prime \prime \prime}\right\}$ sends $\frac{1}{3}$ to $f$, by (DIS.1-2) and since $f \neq X_{H}$. The remaining vertex $V(f) \backslash\left\{v, v^{\prime}, v^{\prime \prime}, v^{\prime \prime \prime}\right\}$ sends at most $1 \frac{1}{3}$ to $f$, by (DIS.1-3) and since $f \neq X_{H}$. Consequently, $\operatorname{ch}^{*}(f)=\operatorname{ch}(f)+2 \times 1 \frac{1}{3}+2 \times \frac{1}{3} \leq 0$ (as $\left.\operatorname{ch}(f)=-4\right)$. $\square$

Proof of (4.1.C). For $i=2, \ldots, 5$, let $A_{i}=\left\{v \in V\left(X_{H}\right): d_{H}(v)=i\right\} ; B=\left\{v \in V\left(X_{H}\right)\right.$ : $\left.d_{H}(v) \geq 5\right\} ; A_{2}^{\prime}=\left\{v \in A_{2}: v\right.$ is incident with a 3 -face $\} ;$ and put $A_{2}^{\prime \prime}=A_{2} \backslash A_{2}^{\prime}$. Clearly, $A_{i} \subseteq b n d H$ for $i<4$. Hence, since $H$ is a 4 -hammock of $G$ and $\kappa(G) \geq 4$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|A_{2}\right|+\left|A_{3}\right| \leq 4 . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By definition,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|A_{2}\right|+\left|A_{3}\right|+\left|A_{4}\right| \leq\left|X_{H}\right| . \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (CH.3) and (DIS.1-2),

$$
\begin{equation*}
c h^{*}\left(X_{H}\right)=-5 \frac{2}{3}-2\left|X_{H}\right|+4\left|A_{2}^{\prime}\right|+3 \frac{2}{3}\left|A_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right|+2 \frac{2}{3}\left|A_{3}\right|+1 \frac{2}{3}\left|A_{4}\right|+\frac{1}{3}\left|A_{5}\right| \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (4.7), (4.5), and (4.6), it can be easily verified that $c h^{*}\left(X_{H}\right) \leq 0$ in the following cases: (i) $\left|X_{H}\right| \geq 11$; (ii) $7 \leq\left|X_{H}\right| \leq 10$ and $\left|A_{2}\right| \neq 4$; and (iii) $4 \leq\left|X_{H}\right| \leq 6$ and $\left|A_{2}\right| \leq 2$.

It remains to show that $\operatorname{ch}^{*}\left(X_{H}\right) \leq 0$ in the cases: (I) $7 \leq\left|X_{H}\right| \leq 10$ and $\left|A_{2}\right|=4$ and (II) $4 \leq\left|X_{H}\right| \leq 6$, and $\left|A_{2}\right| \geq 3$. In the latter case, $V\left(X_{H}\right) \backslash A_{2}$ is a $k$-disconnector, $k \leq 3$, of $G$; this is so since $V(H) \backslash V\left(X_{H}\right) \neq \emptyset$ by the fatness of $H$ and each vertex in int $H$ being at least 4 -valent.

Suppose then that (I) occurs. Then, $|B|=0$ can be assumed; indeed, if $|B| \geq 1$, then $\left|A_{2}\right|+\left|A_{3}\right|+\left|A_{4}\right| \leq\left|X_{H}\right|-1$ implying that $c h^{*}(f) \leq 0$, by (4.7) and (4.5). We may also assume that $\left|A_{2}^{\prime}\right| \geq 1$; otherwise $\left|A_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right|=\left|A_{2}\right|=4$, and $c h^{*}(f) \leq 0$, by (4.7) and (4.5). Let then $x \in A_{2}^{\prime} \subseteq b n d H .\{x\} \cup N_{H}(x)$ induce a 3 -face implying that at least one member of $N_{H}(x)$ is a good verex, by (4.1. c ), and consequently in $b n d H$ as $A_{5} \subseteq B=\emptyset$ (see above). As $\left|X_{H}\right| \geq 7$ and thus $|V(H) \backslash\{x\}| \geq 6$, it follows that $(b n d H \backslash\{x\}) \cup N_{H}(x)$ is either a 3-disconnector of $G$ or a 4 -hammock of $H$ with its interior containing at least 2 vertices; contradicting $\kappa(G) \geq 4$ and $H$ being minimal, respectively. $\square$
§5 Proof of 1.4. $\quad$ Suppose $K_{4}^{-} \nsubseteq G$ and let $v$ be an apex vertex of $G$ contained in some 5 -disconnector of $G$. Fix an embedding of $G$ and identify $G$ with its embedding.

By [4, Lemma 2](see Introduction), $\delta(G-v)=4$; implying that we may assume that $G-v$ has a minimal fat 4 -hammock $H$. To see this, let $u \in V(G-v)$ be 4 -valent. $N_{G-v}(u)$ is the boundary of two 4 -hammocks of $G-v$. If each of these two hammocks is degenerate, then $G$ is a 7 -vertex graph which contains a $T K_{5}$. Thus, we may assume that at least one of these hammocks is fat; implying that minimal fat 4-hammocks exist in $G-v$.
$H$ satisfies (4.1,b-c) or $K_{4}^{-} \subseteq G$; hence, by 4.1, there is a short facial wheel $S_{u} \subseteq H$ with some 4-valent vertex $u \notin V\left(X_{H}^{4}\right)$ as a hub; and such that $S_{u}$ is proper if it is imbalanced. Let
$\mathcal{P}$ be a $C_{u}$-linkage in $H$ satisfying $\alpha(\mathcal{P}) \geq 3$, by 3.10. The set $\{v\} \cup b n d H$ forms the boundary of a 5 -hammock $H^{\prime}$ of $G$ satisfying $S_{u} \subseteq H^{\prime}$; let $w \notin V\left(H^{\prime}\right)$ and let $F$ be a ( $\left.w, b n d H^{\prime}\right)$-5-fan in $G$, such clearly does not meet $\operatorname{int} H^{\prime}$. Observing that $u v \in E(G)$, as $u$ is 4 -valent in $G-v$, it follows that $T K_{5} \subseteq S_{u} \cup \mathcal{P} \cup F \cup\{u v\} \subseteq G$.
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