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#### Abstract

We address the problem of bounding from below the self-intersection of integral curves on the projective plane blown-up at general points. In particular, by applying classical deformation theory we obtain the expected bound in the case of either high ramification or low multiplicity.


## 1 Introduction

Let $S$ be the blow-up of the complex projective plane $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ at $n \geq 1$ general points $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}$. Denote by $H$ the hyperplane class and by $E_{i}$ the exceptional divisor for $i=1, \ldots, n$.

The following natural problem seems to be still widely open:
Question 1. Is there a constant $c_{n}$ depending only on $n$ such that the selfintersection number $C^{2}$ satisfies $C^{2} \geq c_{n}$ for every integral curve $C \subset S$ ?

Renewed interest in this question has been recently witnessed by both Joe Harris and Brian Harbourne (see [9, Question on p. 24, and [8], Conjectures I.2.1 and I.2.7).

According to 7], the celebrated Segre-Harbourne-Gimigliano-Hirschowitz (SHGH) Conjecture (see for instance [7], Conjecture 3.1) turns out to be equivalent to the sharp inequality $C^{2} \geq g-1$, where $g$ is the arithmetic genus of $C$, hence the expected lower bound is precisely $C^{2} \geq-1$.

It is indeed well-known that $C^{2} \geq-1$ if $C$ is rational (see for instance 4], Proposition 2.4). The main result of (4) shows in particular that $C^{2} \geq-1$ if $C \in\left|d H-\sum_{i=1}^{n} m_{i} E_{i}\right|$ with $m_{k}=2$ for some $k$. The Mori-theoretic point of view introduced in [4] has been further developed in [11] and [5].

Here we generalize [4], Theorem 2.5, in two different directions:

Theorem 1. Let $\Gamma$ be an integral curve in $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ and let $C$ be its strict transform. If $\Gamma$ has at most two tangent directions at $p_{k}$ for some $k$, then $C^{2} \geq-1$.

Theorem 2. Let $\Gamma$ be an integral curve in $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ and let $C \in\left|d H-\sum_{i=1}^{n} m_{i} E_{i}\right|$ be its strict transform. If $m_{k} \leq 3$ for some $k$, then $C^{2} \geq-1$.

Our main tool is classical deformation theory. In particular, we follow the well-established approach of Xu (see [12, [13). We also exploit some recent refinements which appeared in [1], Lemma 3, and [10], Theorem A. For further applications of deformation theory to linear systems of divisors we refer to [3] and [2], $\S 2$.

We work over the complex field $\mathbb{C}$.
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## 2 The proofs

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $\Gamma \in\left|d H-\left(\sum_{i \neq k} m_{i} p_{i}-\left(m_{k}-1\right) p_{k}\right)\right|$ for appropriate choices of $d$ and $m_{j}$. The proof of [12], Lemma 1 (see also [6], Lemma 1.1) shows that the linear system $\left|d H-\left(\sum_{i \neq k} m_{i} p_{i}-\left(m_{k}-1\right) p_{k}\right)\right|$ contains a curve $\Gamma^{\prime} \neq \Gamma$. More explicitly, if $\Gamma=\{F(X, Y, Z)=0\}$, $p_{k}(t):=[a(t), b(t), 1]$ with $p_{k}(0)=p_{k}$ and $p_{i}(t):=p_{i}$ for every $i \neq k$, then there is a deformation $\Gamma_{t}=\left\{F_{t}(X, Y, Z)=0\right\}$ of $\Gamma$ such that $F_{0}(X, Y, Z)=F(X, Y, Z)$ and $\Gamma_{t}$ passes through $p_{i}(t)$ with multiplicity $m_{i}$ for every $i=1, \ldots, n$ and every $t$ in a neighbourhood of 0 . It follows that the curve $\Gamma^{\prime}$ defined as

$$
\Gamma^{\prime}=\left\{\left.\frac{\partial F_{t}}{\partial t}\right|_{t=0}(X, Y, Z)=0\right\}
$$

passes through $p_{i}$ with multiplicity $m_{i}$ for every $i \neq k$ and through $p_{k}$ with multiplicity $m_{k}-1$. Indeed, if

$$
\Gamma=\left\{f_{m_{k}}(x, y)+\text { higher }=0\right\}
$$

in local affine coordinates $(x, y)$ centered at $p_{k}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma^{\prime}=\left\{\dot{a}(0) \frac{\partial f_{m_{k}}}{\partial x}(x, y)+\dot{b}(0) \frac{\partial f_{m_{k}}}{\partial y}(x, y)+\text { higher }=0\right\} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, if $\Gamma$ has at most two tangent directions at $p_{k}$, then we may assume that coordinates have been chosen so that

$$
f_{m_{k}}=x^{\alpha} y^{\beta}
$$

with $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ and $\alpha+\beta=m_{k}$. It follows that

$$
\Gamma^{\prime}=\left\{\dot{a}(0) \alpha x^{\alpha-1} y^{\beta}+\dot{b}(0) \beta x^{\alpha} y^{\beta-1}+\text { higher }=0\right\}
$$

In particular, by choosing $p_{k}(t)=[a(t), b(t), 1]$ such that one of $\dot{a}(0)$ and $b(0)$ is 0 and the other is not 0 we obtain a curve $\Gamma^{\prime} \neq \Gamma$ (since their multiplicity at $p_{k}$ is different) of degree $d$ (see [12], proof of Lemma 1) such that $\Gamma^{\prime}$ and $\Gamma$ have exactly $m_{k}-1$ tangents in common at $p_{k}$ (counted with multiplicity).

Hence Bezout's theorem yields

$$
d^{2}=\Gamma . \Gamma^{\prime} \geq \sum_{i \neq k} m_{i}^{2}+m_{k}\left(m_{k}-1\right)+m_{k}-1=\sum_{i=1}^{n} m_{i}^{2}-1
$$

and

$$
C^{2}=d^{2}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} m_{i}^{2} \geq-1
$$

Proof of Theorem 2. By Theorem 1, we may assume that $m_{k}=3$ and $\Gamma$ has an ordinary singularity at $p_{k}$. Let $S_{k}$ be the blow-up of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ at the $n-1$ points $\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right\} \backslash\left\{p_{k}\right\}$ and let $\sigma_{k}: S \rightarrow S_{k}$ be the blow-up of $p_{k}$. If $C_{k} \subset S_{k}$ is the strict transform of $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{P}^{2}$, then it is enough to show that $C_{k}^{2} \geq m_{k}^{2}-1$.

In order to do so, we follow the proof of Lemma 3 in [1] (see also [10], Theorem A). Indeed, the argument of [6], Lemma 1.1, and of [12], Lemma 1, as recalled above at the beginning of the proof of Theorem 1, yields non-zero sections $s \in H^{0}(C, L)$, where

$$
L:=\left.\left(\sigma_{k}^{*}\left(\mathcal{O}_{C_{k}}\left(C_{k}\right)\right) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{S}\left(\left(1-m_{k}\right) E_{k}\right)\right)\right|_{C}=\left.\mathcal{O}_{S}\left(C+E_{k}\right)\right|_{C}
$$

In our notation, the sections $s$ are induced by the strict transforms on $S$ of the curves (11). Since $\Gamma$ has at least two tangent directions at $p_{k}$, then as in [13], proof of Lemma 1, Case (1) on p. 202, we have that $\frac{\partial f_{m_{k}}}{\partial x}(x, y)$ and $\frac{\partial f_{m_{k}}}{\partial y}(x, y)$ are linearly independent modulo higher degree terms. It follows that the strict transforms on $S$ of

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_{1}^{\prime} & =\left\{\frac{\partial f_{m_{k}}}{\partial x}(x, y)+\text { higher }=0\right\} \\
\Gamma_{2}^{\prime} & =\left\{\frac{\partial f_{m_{k}}}{\partial y}(x, y)+\text { higher }=0\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

together with $C+E_{k}$ generate a net of curves in $\mathbb{P} H^{0}\left(S, \mathcal{O}_{S}\left(C+E_{k}\right)\right)$ and induce two linearly independent sections $s_{1}, s_{2} \in H^{0}(C, L)$. Hence we get a map $\phi: C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ of degree $\operatorname{deg}(\phi) \leq \operatorname{deg}(L)=C_{k}^{2}-m_{k}\left(m_{k}-1\right)$.

Now, if $C$ is rational then it is well-known that $C^{2} \geq-1$ (see for instance [4], Proposition 2.4). Otherwise, we have

$$
2 \leq \operatorname{deg}(\phi) \leq C_{k}^{2}-m_{k}\left(m_{k}-1\right)
$$

hence

$$
C_{k}^{2} \geq m_{k}^{2}-m_{k}+2=m_{k}^{2}-1
$$

since $m_{k}=3$.
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