HILBERT'S TENTH PROBLEM AND MAZUR'S CONJECTURES IN COMPLEMENTARY SUBRINGS OF NUMBER FIELDS

KIRSTEN EISENTRÄGER, GRAHAM EVEREST, AND ALEXANDRA SHLAPENTOKH

ABSTRACT. We show that Hilbert's Tenth Problem is undecidable for complementary subrings of number fields and that the $\mathfrak{p}$-adic and archimedean ring versions of Mazur's conjectures do not hold in these rings . More specifically, given a number field K, a positive integer $t > 1$, and t rational nonnegative numbers $\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_t$ whose sum is one, we prove that the nonarchimedean primes of K can be partitioned into t disjoint recursive subsets $S_1, \ldots, S_t$ of densities $\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_t$, respectively such that Hilbert's Tenth Problem is undecidable for each corresponding ring O_{K,S_i}. We also show that we can find a partition as above such that each ring $\mathcal{O}_{K,S_i}$ possesses an infinite Diophantine set which is discrete in every topology of the field. The only assumption on K we need is that there is an elliptic curve of rank one defined over K.

Acknowledgments. Our thanks go to the London Mathematical Society for the very enjoyable visit by the third author to the University of East Anglia. Also, to whichever barman invented the Marguerita.

1. INTRODUCTION

Hilbert's Tenth Problem in its original form was to find an algorithm to decide, given a polynomial equation $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = 0$ with coefficients in the ring $\mathbb{Z}$ of integers, whether it has a solution with $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in \mathbb{Z}$. In 1969 Matiyasevich [Mat70], using work by Davis, Putnam and Robinson (see [DPR61]), proved that no such algorithm exists, *i.e.* Hilbert's Tenth Problem is undecidable. Since then, analogues of this problem have been studied by asking the same question for polynomial equations with coefficients and solutions in other recursive commutative rings R. We will refer to this analogue of the original problem as *Hilbert's Tenth Problem over* R. Perhaps the most important unsolved problem in this area is the case of $R = \mathbb{Q}$. One natural approach to showing that Hilbert's Tenth Problem is undecidable for a ring R of characteristic 0 is to show that $\mathbb{Z}$ admits a Diophantine definition over R, or more generally that there is a Diophantine model of the ring $\mathbb{Z}$ over R. We define these notions below.

Definition 1.1. Let R be a commutative ring. Suppose $A \subseteq R^k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We say that A has a *Diophantine definition over* R if there exists a polynomial

$$f(t_1, \ldots, t_k, x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in R[t_1, \ldots, t_k, , x_1, \ldots, x_n]$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11A41, 11G05, 11U05.

Key words and phrases. Hilbert's Tenth Problem, undecidability, elliptic curves, primitive divisor.

K.E. was partially supported by National Science Foundation grant DMS-0801123 and a Sloan Research Fellowship. A.S. was partially supported by National Science Foundation grant DMS-0650927 and a grant from the John Templeton Foundation.

Sadly, the second author passed away before the final version of the paper was completed.

such that for any $(t_1, \ldots, t_k) \in R^k$,

$$(t_1, \ldots, t_k) \in A \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \exists x_1, \ldots, x_n \in R,\ f(t_1, \ldots, t_k, x_1, ..., x_n) = 0.$$

In this case we also say that A is a *Diophantine subset* of R^k, or that A is *Diophantine over* R.

Remark 1.2. Suppose that R is a domain whose quotient field is not algebraically closed. Then

(a) Relaxing Definition 1.1 to allow an arbitrary finite conjunction of equations in place of the single equation on the right hand side does not enlarge the collection of Diophantine sets.
(b) Finite unions and finite intersections of Diophantine sets are Diophantine.

See [Shl06] for details.

Definition 1.3. A *Diophantine model of* $\mathbb{Z}$ *over a ring* R is a Diophantine subset $A \subseteq R^k$ for some k together with a bijection $\phi\colon \mathbb{Z} \to A$ such that the graphs of addition and multiplication (subsets of $\mathbb{Z}^3$) correspond under ϕ to Diophantine subsets of $A^3 \subseteq R^{3k}$.

In 1992 Mazur formulated a conjecture that would imply that a Diophantine definition of $\mathbb{Z}$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ does not exist, and which also ruled out the existence of a Diophantine model of $\mathbb{Z}$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ [CZ00]. One form of Mazur's conjecture was that for a variety X over $\mathbb{Q}$, the closure of $X(\mathbb{Q})$ in the topological space $X(\mathbb{R})$ should have at most finitely many connected components. This conjecture also implied that no infinite set which is discrete in the archimedean topology has a Diophantine definition over $\mathbb{Q}$.

Mazur also formulated a version of his conjecture applying to both archimedean and nonarchimedean completions of arbitrary number fields [Maz98, p. 257]:

Question 1.4. Let V be any variety defined over a number field K. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a finite set of places of K, and consider $K_{\mathcal{S}} = \prod_{v \in \mathcal{S}} K_v$ viewed as locally compact topological ring. Let $V(K_{\mathcal{S}})$ denote the topological space of $K_{\mathcal{S}}$-rational points. For every point $p \in V(K_{\mathcal{S}})$ define $W(p) \subset V$ to be the subvariety defined over K that is the intersection of Zariski closures of the subsets $V(K) \cap U$, where U ranges through all open neighborhoods of p in $V(K_{\mathcal{S}})$. As p ranges through the points of $V(K_{\mathcal{S}})$, are there only a finite number of distinct subvarieties $W(p)$?

Fix a number field K and a place $\mathfrak{p}$. If Question 1.4 has a positive answer for K and $\mathcal{S} := \{\mathfrak{p}\}$, then there does not exist an infinite, $\mathfrak{p}$-adically discrete, Diophantine subset of K. See [PS05, Proof of Prop. 1.5] for the proof.

So one way to answer Question 1.4 (negatively) for K would be to construct a Diophantine definition of an infinite discrete $\mathfrak{p}$-adic set over a number field K. Unfortunately, at the moment such a construction seems out of reach. So instead we consider analogues in which K is replaced by one of its large integrally closed subrings $\mathcal{O}_{K,S}$:

Definition 1.5. For a number field K, let $\mathcal{P}_K$ denote the set of finite primes of K, and let $\mathcal{O}_K$ denote the ring of integers. Given a set S of prime ideals, not necessarily finite, the ring $\mathcal{O}_{K,S}$ is defined to be the subring of K defined by

$$\mathcal{O}_{K,S} = \{x \in K : \operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}} x \geq 0 \text{ for all } \mathfrak{p} \notin S\}.$$

Observe that if $S = \emptyset$, then $\mathcal{O}_{K,S} = \mathcal{O}_K$ and if $S = \mathcal{P}_K$, then $\mathcal{O}_{K,S} = K$. If S is finite, $\mathcal{O}_{K,S}$ is called a *ring of* S*-integers*. In the case where the complement of S is finite, the rings $\mathcal{O}_{K,S}$ are semi-local. We will call all rings $\mathcal{O}_{K,S}$ with infinite S *big rings*.

To measure the "size" of a set of primes one can use natural density defined below.

Definition 1.6. Let $S \subseteq \mathcal{P}_K$. The *natural density* of S is defined to be the limit

$$\lim_{X\to\infty} \frac{\#\{\mathfrak{p} \in S : N\mathfrak{p} \leq X\}}{\#\{\text{all } \mathfrak{p} : N\mathfrak{p} \leq X\}}$$

if it exists. If the limit above does not exist, one can talk about *upper* density by substituting $\limsup$ for $\lim$, or *lower* density by substituting $\liminf$ for $\lim$.

The study of Hilbert's Tenth Problem and of the archimedean version of Mazur's conjecture over rings of S-integers has produced Diophantine definitions of $\mathbb{Z}$ and discrete archimedean sets over large subrings of some number fields ([Shl97], [Shl00a], [Shl02], [Shl03],[Shl04], and [Shl07]). In 2003 Poonen proved that there exists a recursive set S of primes of natural density one such that Hilbert's Tenth Problem is undecidable for $\mathbb{Z}[S^{-1}]$. He also constructed an infinite discrete Diophantine set (in the archimedean topology) in this ring. In [PS05] this was extended to number fields: Let K be a number field over which there exists an elliptic curve E such that $\text{rank}(E(K)) = 1$. In [PS05] Poonen and Shlapentokh prove that there exists a recursive set S of primes of density one such that Hilbert's Tenth Problem is undecidable for $\mathcal{O}_{K,S}$. They also show that there is an infinite Diophantine subset A of $\mathcal{O}_{K,S}$ such that for all places v of K, the set A is discrete when viewed as a subset of the completion K_v.

In [EV09], Eisenträger and Everest reconsidered the original result of Poonen from a different point of view, looking for a "covering" of $\mathbb{Q}$ by big rings that come from complementary sets of primes. More specifically, they proved that the rational primes can be partitioned into two disjoint sets S_1, S_2 such that Hilbert's Tenth Problem is undecidable over both $\mathcal{O}_{K,S_1}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{K,S_2}$.

In this paper we generalize the results of [PS05] and [EV09] to prove the following theorems:

Theorem 1.7. *Let K be a number field, and assume there is an elliptic curve defined over K with K-rank equal to 1. For every $t > 1$ and every collection $\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_t$ of nonnegative rational numbers adding up to 1, the set of the nonarchimedean valuations of K may be partitioned into t mutually disjoint recursive subsets $S_1, \ldots, S_t$ of natural densities $\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_t$, respectively, with the property that each ring $\mathcal{O}_{K,S_i}$ contains a Diophantine subset discrete under any valuation of K (archimedean or nonarchimedean).*

Theorem 1.8. *Assume there is an elliptic curve defined over K with K-rank equal to 1. For every $t > 1$ and every collection $\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_t$ of nonnegative rational numbers adding up to 1, the set of the nonarchimedean valuations of K may be partitioned into t mutually disjoint recursive subsets $S_1, \ldots, S_t$ of natural densities $\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_t$, respectively, with the property that $\mathbb{Z}$ admits a Diophantine model in each ring O_{K,S_i}. In particular, Hilbert's Tenth Problem is undecidable for each ring O_{K,S_i}.*

When proving Theorems 1.7 and 1.8, we will show that given any partition of the nonarchimedean primes into sets $W_1, \ldots, W_t$ of densities $\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_t$, the sets S_i can be constructed by changing the W_i's by sets of density zero. So our results can be seen as answering the following fundamental questions *up to sets of density zero*:

Questions 1.9.

(1) For which number fields K and which subsets S of $\mathcal{P}_K$ is Hilbert's Tenth Problem (un)decidable over $\mathcal{O}_{K,S}$?
(2) For which number fields K and which subsets S of $\mathcal{P}_K$ is there a Diophantine model of $\mathbb{Z}$ over $\mathcal{O}_{K,S}$?
(3) For which number fields K and subsets S of $\mathcal{P}_K$ is there an infinite subset of $\mathcal{O}_{K,S}$ which is Diophantine over $\mathcal{O}_{K,S}$ and discrete in every topology of the field K?

One question which is not addressed by this paper is for which number fields K and which subsets S of $\mathcal{P}_K$ there is a Diophantine definition of $\mathbb{Z}$ (or $\mathcal{O}_K$) over $\mathcal{O}_{K,S}$.

1.1. **Overview of proof.** The goal is to prove Theorems 1.7 and 1.8 by partitioning $\mathcal{P}_K$ into t disjoint sets $S_1, \dots, S_t$, so that each ring $\mathcal{O}_{K,S_r}$ admits a Diophantine model of the integers or has discrete infinite Diophantine subsets. In Sections 6 and 7 we first show how to find t not necessarily disjoint sets, whose union is $\mathcal{P}_K$ such that the corresponding big rings have desirable properties. In Section 8 we show that these sets can also be chosen to be mutually disjoint and of the required density.

To construct infinite discrete Diophantine sets we will proceed as in [PS05] and construct a Diophantine set containing only the elements of a sequence converging (in all topologies of the number field) to a limit not in the set.

To construct a Diophantine model of $\mathbb{Z}$ inside $\mathcal{O}_{K,S_r}$, it is enough to construct a model of the structure

$$\mathcal{Z} := (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}, 1, +, B),$$

where B is a unary predicate for the set $\{2^n + n^2 : n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}\}$ (see [PS05, Lemma 3.16]). A *Diophantine model of* $\mathcal{Z}$ over a ring R is a Diophantine subset $A \subseteq R^m$ for some m together with a bijection $\phi : \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \to A$ such that $\phi(B)$ is Diophantine over A and such that the graph of addition (a subset of $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}^3$) corresponds under ϕ to a Diophantine subset of A^3.

In order to find suitable sets S_r we work with an elliptic curve E of rank one over K and a point P of infinite order that is a suitable multiple of the generator for the non-torsion part. We will construct t (infinite) sequences of primes

$$\{\ell_{1,1}, \ell_{2,1}, \dots\}, \dots, \{\ell_{1,t}, \ell_{2,t}, \dots\}$$

such that for each $r \in \{1, \dots, t\}$, we have that $E(\mathcal{O}_{K,S_r}) \cap zE(K)$ for a suitable positive integer z, is the union of $\{\pm \ell_{1,r}P, \pm \ell_{2,r}P, \dots\}$ and some finite set. We then show that $A_r := \{x_{\ell_{i,r}} : i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}\}$ is a Diophantine model of $\mathcal{Z}$ in $\mathcal{O}_{K,S_r}$ via the bijection $\phi : \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \to A_r$ sending i to $x_{\ell_{i,r}}$. To prove Theorem 1.7 we construct t different sequences of primes and sets S_r and show that A_r as above is a discrete Diophantine set.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we review recursive presentations of primes of number fields, in Section 3 we give some background about primitive divisors and their properties, and then use these properties to prove that certain terms in divisibility sequences have many prime ideal divisors. Section 4 describes the technical changes in the assumptions and proofs in this paper relative to proofs and assumptions in [PS05]. Section 5 reviews and extends some density results from [PS05]. In Sections 6 and 7 we construct the rings and the sets with the required properties. Finally, Section 8 shows how to adjust the sets of primes constructed in Sections 6 and 7 to make them complementary.

2. Computable Sets of Primes in Number Fields

In this section we briefly discuss a presentation of primes in number fields and a way to define recursive sets of primes. We assume that a number field K of degree n over $\mathbb{Q}$ is presented in terms of its integral basis over $\mathbb{Q}$. (Such a basis always exists and can be constructed given an irreducible polynomial over $\mathbb{Q}$ of a field generator. See for example section 7.3 of [PZ97].) Elements of the field will be presented via n-tuples of the coordinates with respect to the basis. Given a K-prime $\mathfrak{p}$, we will present this prime by a pair $(p, \alpha_{\mathfrak{p}})$, where p is the $\mathbb{Q}$-prime below $\mathfrak{p}$ and $\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}} \in K$ is an algebraic integer such that $\operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}} \alpha_{\mathfrak{p}} = 1$ but $\operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{q}} \alpha_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$ for any prime $\mathfrak{q} \neq \mathfrak{p}$ conjugate to $\mathfrak{p}$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. Since the choice $\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is not unique we can choose the first suitable $\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}$ under some ordering of the field. Given an integral basis for K, the map $p \mapsto (\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}_1}, \ldots, \alpha_{\mathfrak{p}_m})$, where $p = \prod_{i=1}^{k} \mathfrak{p}_i^{e_i}$ is the factorization of p in K, is recursive. Further, given an element of K, one can effectively determine the factorization of the divisor of this element, and given a prime compute its norm. Given a set of primes we can now say that it is computable if the corresponding set of $(n+1)$-tuples $(p, \alpha_{\mathfrak{p}})$ is computable. It is also not hard to see that for any set of K-primes $\mathcal{W}$, the ring $\mathcal{O}_{K,\mathcal{W}}$ from Definition 1.5 is computable if and only if $\mathcal{W}$ is computable. For more details see Section 4 of [CHS07].

3. Primitive Divisors

Let E denote an elliptic curve in Weierstrass form,

$$E: \ y^2 = x^3 + a_4 x + a_6, \tag{3.1}$$

defined over $\mathcal{O}_K$. For background, definitions and the properties of elliptic curves used in this paper, consult [Sil86] and [Sil94]. Let K denote an algebraic number field of degree $d = [K : \mathbb{Q}]$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. Throughout the paper, $E(K)$ denotes the group of K-rational points of E and $\mathbf{O}$ denotes the point at infinity, the identity for the group of K-rational points. Suppose P denotes a K-rational point, $P \in E(K)$, which is not torsion. Write $nP = (x_n, y_n)$. The assumptions on E allow the factorization

$$(x_n) = (x(nP)) = \mathfrak{a}_n(P)/\mathfrak{b}_n^2(P) \tag{3.2}$$

of the principal fractional ideal $(x(nP))$ into relatively prime integral ideals $\mathfrak{a}_n$ and $\mathfrak{b}_n$. Assuming P is non-torsion guarantees that all of the terms in the sequence $\mathfrak{b} = (\mathfrak{b}_n)$ are non-zero.

In the rational case, we may take $\mathfrak{b}_n$ to be a positive integer. Silverman [Sil88] proved that when P is a rational point, for all sufficiently large n, we have that $\mathfrak{b}_n$ has a *primitive divisor*, that is, a divisor of $\mathfrak{b}_n$ which is coprime to $\mathfrak{b}_m$ for all positive integers $m < n$. In general, the expression *primitive ideal divisor* of a term $\mathfrak{b}_n$ is used to describe an ideal $\mathcal{I}$ which divides $\mathfrak{b}_n$ but no $\mathfrak{b}_m$ with $m < n$. Cheon and Hahn [CH99] extended Silverman's result from [Sil88] to algebraic number fields, showing that for all sufficiently large n, it is the case that $\mathfrak{b}_n$ has a primitive ideal divisor.

Results about primitive divisors have a long and fine tradition for certain sequences which satisfy a linear recurrence relation. An interested reader can find more results concerning the existence of primitive divisors in [BHV01], [EPS03], [Sch62], [Sch74], [Sch93] and [Zsi92].

As we mentioned above, in [CH99] Cheon and Hahn proved the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *Let P be a point of infinite order on an elliptic curve E/K as above, and let $\mathfrak{b}_n(P)$ be the sequence of denominator ideals as in equation (3.2). If n is a positive integer which is sufficiently large, then $\mathfrak{b}_n(P)$ contains a primitive prime ideal divisor.*

For a point P on E and a nonzero integer n, define $\mathcal{S}_n(P)$ to be the set of all prime ideals of $\mathcal{O}_K$ that divide the ideal $\mathfrak{b}_n(P)$.

We will use the following properties of the sequence $\mathfrak{b}_n(P)$ and the sets $\mathcal{S}_n(P)$:

Lemma 3.2. *Let P be a point of infinite order on an elliptic curve E defined over a number field K as above.*

(1) *The sequence $\mathfrak{b}_n$ is a divisibility sequence, meaning that $\mathfrak{b}_m \mid \mathfrak{b}_n$ as ideals, whenever $m \mid n$.*
(2) *Let $n, m \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$ and let (m, n) be their gcd. Then $\mathcal{S}_m(P) \cap \mathcal{S}_n(P) = \mathcal{S}_{(m,n)}(P)$. In particular, if $(m, n) = 1$, then $\mathcal{S}_m(P) \cap \mathcal{S}_n(P) = \emptyset$.*

Proof.

(1) The proof of the first assertion follows from the standard local theory of elliptic curves, see for example Chapters 4 and 7 in [Sil86]: For $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}_K$, let $K_\mathfrak{p}$ be the completion of K at $\mathfrak{p}$. There is a subgroup of the group of $K_\mathfrak{p}$-rational points
$$E_1(K_\mathfrak{p}) = \{O\} \cup \{R \in E(K_\mathfrak{p}) : \mathrm{ord}_\mathfrak{p}(x(R)) \leq -2\}.$$
From [Sil86, Chapter 4, Theorem 6.4], for all $R \in E_1(K_\mathfrak{p})$,
$$\mathrm{ord}_\mathfrak{p}(x(nR)) = \mathrm{ord}_\mathfrak{p}(x(R)) - 2\mathrm{ord}_\mathfrak{p}(n)$$
and the divisibility statement follows at once from this.
(2) This statement is identical to the statement of Corollary 3.2 of [PS05] (which is a consequence of Lemma 3.1), except for the assumption that the integral ideals considered in [PS05] are prime to the prime ideals in S_{bad}. However this assumption does not affect the proof.

□

To carry out our construction we need to prove that certain terms in the sequence $\mathfrak{b}_n(P)$ have *many* primitive ideal divisors. This is made precise in the next theorem.

Theorem 3.3. *Let p denote a prime and write $q = p^{t-1}$ for some fixed $t \geq 2$. Suppose Q is a K-rational point of infinite order and $P = qQ$. Let $\{(\mathfrak{b}_m)(P)\}$ be the sequence of ideals coming from the multiples of P as in equation (3.2). For every large enough n, which is coprime to p, the term $\mathfrak{b}_n(P)$ has at least t primitive ideal divisors. The same is true for the terms of the sequence $\mathfrak{b}_n(pP)$.*

Proof. Let n be an integer coprime to p and assume that n is large enough so that Theorem 3.1 holds for Q. Let $\mathfrak{p}_{p^i n}$ be a primitive prime ideal divisor of $\mathfrak{b}_{p^i n}(Q)$, for $i = 0, \ldots, t-1$. Observe that for $i \neq j$ we have that $\mathfrak{p}_{p^i n} \neq \mathfrak{p}_{p^j n}$. We claim that
$$\mathfrak{p}_{p^i n} \in \mathcal{S}_{p^{t-1}n}(Q) - \mathcal{S}_{p^{t-1}m}(Q) = \mathcal{S}_n(P) - \mathcal{S}_m(P)$$
for any positive $m < n$. Indeed, since $p^i n$ divides $p^{t-1}n$ we have that $\mathfrak{p}_{p^i n} \in \mathcal{S}_{p^{t-1}n}(Q)$. Suppose also $\mathfrak{p}_{p^i n} \in \mathcal{S}_{p^{t-1}m}(Q)$, where $m < n$. By Lemma 3.2, part (2), we can assume without loss of generality that m divides n and thus is prime to p. We now also have that $\mathfrak{p}_{p^i n} \in \mathcal{S}_{p^{t-1}m}(Q) \cap \mathcal{S}_{p^i n}(Q) = \mathcal{S}_{p^i m}(Q)$ contradicting the assumption that $\mathfrak{p}_{p^i n}$ is a primitive

prime ideal divisor of $\mathfrak{b}_{p^in}(Q)$. Thus $\mathfrak{p}_{p^in}, i = 0, \dots, t-1$ are primitive ideal divisors of $\mathfrak{b}_n(p^{t-1}Q)$.

Similarly, $\mathfrak{p}_{p^in} \in \mathcal{S}_{p^tn}(Q) - \mathcal{S}_{p^tm}(Q)$ for any positive $m < n$. Indeed, as above, since p^in divides p^tn we have that $\mathfrak{p}_{p^in} \in \mathcal{S}_{p^tn}(Q)$. Suppose also $\mathfrak{p}_{p^in} \in \mathcal{S}_{p^tm}(Q)$, where $0 < m < n$. Again, by Lemma 3.2, part(2), we can assume without loss of generality that m divides n and thus is prime to p. We now also have that $\mathfrak{p}_{p^in} \in \mathcal{S}_{p^tm}(Q) \cap \mathcal{S}_{p^in}(Q) = \mathcal{S}_{p^im}(Q)$, contradicting the assumption that $\mathfrak{p}_{p^in}$ is a primitive prime ideal divisor of $\mathfrak{b}_{p^in}(Q)$. Thus $\mathfrak{p}_{p^in}, i = 0, \dots, t-1$ are primitive ideal divisors of $\mathfrak{b}_n(p^tQ)$.

□

4. Some Technical Matters

Below we construct two collections of rings $\mathcal{O}_{K,S_i}$: one to produce infinite discrete Diophantine sets and the other to construct a Diophantine model of the integers. The rings $\mathcal{O}_{K,S_i}$ are constructed by generalizing the techniques from [PS05]. For the most part we use the same notation as in [PS05], but with the following modifications:

In [PS05], the authors define $\mathcal{S}_{\text{bad}} \subseteq \mathcal{P}_K$ to be the set of primes that ramify in $K/\mathbb{Q}$, the primes for which the reduction of the chosen Weierstrass model is singular (this includes all primes above 2), and the primes at which the coordinates of P are not integral. In the rings in [PS05] for which undecidability is then shown the primes in $\mathcal{S}_{\text{bad}}$ are always inverted. I.e. the rings are of the form $\mathcal{O}_{K,S}$ with $\mathcal{S}_{\text{bad}} \subseteq S$. We have to avoid inverting the primes in $\mathcal{S}_{\text{bad}}$ in each ring, otherwise the sets S_i will not be mutually disjoint. That means that in our paper the fractional ideal generated by the x-coordinate of nP is of the form $x(nP) = \mathfrak{a}_n/\mathfrak{d}_n$ (with $\mathfrak{a}_n, \mathfrak{d}_n$ coprime integral ideals) and we do not have a separate ideal $\mathfrak{b}_n$ that includes the contribution from the primes in $\mathcal{S}_{\text{bad}}$ as in [PS05].

In view of the above, we need to show that the undecidability results in [PS05] can be proved without inverting the primes in $\mathcal{S}_{\text{bad}}$. Below we note that (1) P can be chosen to be integral, that (2) we can avoid inverting the primes that ramify in $K/\mathbb{Q}$ and (3) that we can avoid inverting the primes for which the reduction of the Weierstrass model of E is singular:

(1) We assume that the point $P := zQ$ *has coordinates in* $\mathcal{O}_K$. Here Q generates $E(K)/E(K)_{\text{tors}}$ and $z = 2^{t-1}3^{t-1}\#E(K)_{\text{tors}}$. This assumption is possible by Lemma 4.1 below. Our assumption implies that the point P does not contribute any primes to $\mathcal{S}_{\text{bad}}$.

(2) Not inverting the primes that ramify in $K/\mathbb{Q}$. The fact that $\mathcal{S}_{\text{bad}}$ contains the primes that ramify in $K/\mathbb{Q}$ is used in [PS05] to prove Lemma 3.3, which is then used to prove Proposition 3.5 in [PS05]. Our proof below replaces Lemma 3.3 and Proposition 3.5 from [PS05] with Lemma 3.2 and Theorem 3.3.

(3) Not inverting the primes of bad reduction. Our definitions of $\mathcal{T}_1$, $\mathcal{S}_n$, $\mathfrak{p}_n, \mathcal{T}_2$ differ from those in [PS05]: Our set $\mathcal{T}_1$ is contained in the set $\mathcal{T}_1$ defined in [PS05], and it differs from it by at most finitely many primes (the primes in $\mathcal{S}_{\text{bad}}$). Our set $\mathcal{S}_n$ contains *all* prime ideals dividing the denominator ideal of $x(nP)$, and $\mathfrak{p}_n = \mathfrak{p}_n^{(1)}$ denotes a *primitive* prime ideal divisor of the largest norm in $\mathcal{S}_n$. This also affects the definition of $\mathcal{T}_2$. See Notation 4.1 and the sets that are defined before Lemma 6.3 and Lemma 7.3 below.

The primes of bad reduction are relevant in Lemma 3.1 and Corollary 3.2 of [PS05]. Since we have a different definition of $\mathfrak{p}_\ell^{(1)}$ we don't need to use these two

results. The only other place in [PS05] where primes of bad reduction are relevant is Lemma 3.10, and we state below why this lemma still holds (see Lemmas 6.3 and 7.3 and their proofs).

Lemma 4.1. *If E is an elliptic curve and $P \in E(K)$, then there exists a curve E' that is isomorphic to E over K via an isomorphism ϕ such that $P' := \phi(P)$ has coordinates in $\mathcal{O}_K$.*

Proof. If E is given by a Weierstrass equation $E : y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$ and $P \in E(K)$ has coordinates $(\alpha, \beta) \in K$, we can choose an element $u \in K$ such that $u\alpha, u\beta \in \mathcal{O}_K$. We can then consider the curve E' whose Weierstrass equation is given by

$$E' : (y')^2 = (x')^3 + au^4(x') + u^6 b,$$

which is isomorphic to E under $\phi : E \to E'$, $(x, y) \mapsto (u^2 x, u^3 y)$. The point $P' := \phi(P)$ on E' has coordinates in $\mathcal{O}_K$. □

Now we can fix some of our notation:

4.1. **Notation.**

- Let K be a number field.
- Let E be an elliptic curve of rank 1 over K, given by a Weierstrass equation with coefficients in the ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_K$. (In particular, we assume that K is such that such an E exists).
- Let $E(K)_{\text{tors}}$ be the torsion subgroup of $E(K)$.
- For any set S of K-primes let $\tilde{E}(\mathcal{O}_{K,S})$ be the set of affine points with coordinates in $\mathcal{O}_{K,S}$.
- Let $z = 2^{t-1}3^{t-1}\#E(K)_{\text{tors}}$ with $t \geq 1$.
- $P := zQ$, where Q generates $E(K)/E(K)_{\text{tors}}$. As explained above, we may assume $P = (x, y)$ with $x, y \in \mathcal{O}_K$.
- Let $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Q}} = \{2, 3, 5, \dots\}$ be the set of rational primes.
- Let $\mathcal{P}_K$ be the set of all finite primes of K.
- For $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}_K$, let
  - $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ be the completion of K at $\mathfrak{p}$.
  - $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ be the valuation ring of $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$
  - $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ be the residue field of $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$,
  - $\mathbf{N}\mathfrak{p} = \#\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ be the absolute norm of $\mathfrak{p}$
- For $n \neq 0$ write $nP = (x_n, y_n)$ where $x_n, y_n \in K$.
- Write the fractional ideal generated by x_n as

$$(x_n) = \frac{\mathfrak{a}_n}{\mathfrak{d}_n},$$

  where $\mathfrak{a}_n$ and $\mathfrak{d}_n$ are coprime integral ideals.
- For n as above, let $\mathcal{S}_n = \mathcal{S}_n(P) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}_K : \mathfrak{p} | \mathfrak{d}_n\}$. By assumption on P, we have $\mathcal{S}_1 = \emptyset$.
- For $\ell \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}$, define a_ℓ to be the smallest positive number such that $\mathfrak{d}_{\ell^{a_\ell}}$ has at least t primitive divisors. (By Theorem 3.3, applied with $p = 2$ for $\ell \neq 2$ and with $p = 3$ for $\ell = 2$, we have that a_ℓ exists and $a_\ell = 1$ for all but finitely many ℓ.)
- Let $\mathcal{L} = \{\ell \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Q}} : a_\ell > 1\}$ and $L = \prod_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}} \ell^{a_\ell - 1}$.
- For $k = 1, \dots, t$ define $\mathfrak{p}_n^{(k)}$ to be the k-th largest primitive prime divisor of $\mathfrak{d}_n$ (if it exists). (Order the primitive prime divisors according to their norm, and break

ties for prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}_1, \mathfrak{p}_2$ of the same norm according to Section 2: compute the corresponding $\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}_1}, \alpha_{\mathfrak{p}_2}$ and see which one comes first under some ordering of the field.)

- For a prime ℓ, define
$$\mu_\ell = \sup_{X \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}} \frac{\#\{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{S}_\ell : \mathbf{N}\mathfrak{p} \leq X\}}{\#\{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}_K : \mathbf{N}\mathfrak{p} \leq X\}}.$$
- Let $\mathcal{M}_K$ be the set of all normalized absolute values of K.
- Let $\mathcal{M}_{K,\infty} \subset \mathcal{M}_K$ be the set of all archimedean absolute values of K.

5. On Densities of Some Sets of Primes

The main result of this section is the proposition below.

Proposition 5.1. *The natural density of the set $\mathcal{Q}(E) = \{\mathfrak{q}_\ell, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}\}$, where $\mathfrak{q}_\ell$ is* any *primitive divisor of $[\ell]P$ (see Notation 4.1), is zero.*

In [PS05] it was shown that the set $\{\mathfrak{p}_\ell, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}\}$, where $\mathfrak{p}_\ell$ is the *largest* primitive divisor of P_ℓ, is equal to zero. Below we modify this proof and show that the primitive divisor does not have to be the largest in order for the density to be zero. The key result we need from [PS05] is stated below.
For $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, let $\omega(n)$ be the number of distinct prime factors of n.

Lemma 5.2. *For any $t \geq 1$, the density of $\mathcal{Z}(E,t) = \{\, \mathfrak{p} : \omega(\#E(\mathbb{F}_\mathfrak{p})) < t \,\}$ is 0. (See Lemma 3.12 of* [PS05].*)*

As in [PS05] and [Poo03] we also need the following result and an observation.

Theorem 5.3 (Hasse)**.** $\#E(\mathbb{F}_\mathfrak{p}) \leq \mathbf{N}\mathfrak{p} + 1 + 2\sqrt{\mathbf{N}\mathfrak{p}}$.

Remark 5.4. If $\mathfrak{p}$ is a prime at which E has a good reduction and such that $\mathfrak{p}$ is a primitive divisor of ℓP, then $\ell | \#E(\mathbb{F}_\mathfrak{p})$. Note that since there are only finitely many primes at which E has a bad reduction, we can ignore these primes when calculating the density.

We now prove Proposition 5.1.

Proof. We choose $\varepsilon > 0$ and show that the upper natural density of $\mathcal{Q}(E)$ is less than ε. By the Prime Number Theorem, for some positive constants $C_\mathbb{Q}, C_K$ we have
$$\#\{p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Q}) : p \leq X\} = O(X/\log X) < \frac{C_\mathbb{Q} X}{\log X}.$$
$$\#\{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}(K) : \mathbf{N}\mathfrak{p} \leq X\} = O(X/\log X) > \frac{C_K X}{\log X}.$$
Choose $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{>1}$ so that
$$2^{4-t} < \frac{C_K \varepsilon}{4 C_\mathbb{Q}}$$
and choose $X \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ large enough so that
$$\frac{\#\{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{Z}(E,t), \mathbf{N}\mathfrak{p} \leq X\}}{\#\{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}(K), \mathbf{N}\mathfrak{p} \leq X\}} < \varepsilon/2,$$
and
$$\frac{|\log C_K + \log \varepsilon - \log 4C_\mathbb{Q}|}{\log X} < 1.$$

Let $\overline{\mathcal{Z}(E,t)}$ be the complement of $\mathcal{Z}(E,t)$ in $\mathcal{P}(K)$. Let $\mathfrak{p} \in \overline{\mathcal{Z}(E,t)}$ and assume $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{q}_\ell$ for some positive integer ℓ. In this case,

$$\ell 2^t < \#E(\mathbb{F}_\mathfrak{p}) < \mathbf{N}\mathfrak{p} + 1 + 2\sqrt{\mathbf{N}\mathfrak{p}} < 4\mathbf{N}\mathfrak{p}$$

and therefore

$$\ell < 2^{4-t}\mathbf{N}\mathfrak{p} \leq \frac{C_K \mathbf{N}\mathfrak{p}\varepsilon}{4C_\mathbb{Q}}.$$

Thus for every $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{Q}(E) \cap \overline{\mathcal{Z}(E,t)}$ there exists a unique rational prime $\ell < \frac{C_K \mathbf{N}\mathfrak{p}\varepsilon}{4C_\mathbb{Q}}$. Consider now the following ratio:

$$\frac{\#\{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{Q}(E) : \mathbf{N}\mathfrak{p} \leq X\}}{\#\{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}(K) : \mathbf{N}\mathfrak{p} \leq X\}} =$$

$$\frac{\#\{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{Q}(E) \cap \mathcal{Z}(E,t) : \mathbf{N}\mathfrak{p} \leq X\}}{\#\{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}(K) : \mathbf{N}\mathfrak{p} \leq X\}} + \frac{\#\{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{Q}(E) \cap \overline{\mathcal{Z}(E,t)} : \mathbf{N}\mathfrak{p} \leq X\}}{\#\{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}(K) : \mathbf{N}\mathfrak{p} \leq X\}} \leq$$

$$\varepsilon/2 + \frac{\#\{\ell \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Q}) : \ell \leq \frac{C_K \varepsilon X}{4C_\mathbb{Q}}\}}{\#\{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}(K) : \mathbf{N}\mathfrak{p} \leq X\}} \leq$$

$$\varepsilon/2 + \frac{\frac{C_\mathbb{Q} C_K \varepsilon X}{4C_\mathbb{Q} \log(C_K \varepsilon X/4C_\mathbb{Q})}}{\frac{C_K X}{\log X}} = \varepsilon/2 + \frac{\varepsilon \log X}{4(\log C_K + \log \varepsilon + \log X - \log 4C_\mathbb{Q})} < \varepsilon.$$

□

Now we show that it is rare that $\mathcal{S}_\ell$ has a large fraction of the small primes.

Lemma 5.5. *For any $\varepsilon > 0$, the density of $\{\, \ell : \mu_\ell > \varepsilon \,\}$ is 0.*

Proof. The statement of this lemma is identical to the statement of Lemma 3.8 of [PS05] except for the fact that in our case S_ℓ can contain primes of S_{bad}. However by Lemma 3.2, only finitely many ℓ can be affected by the inclusion of S_{bad} primes and therefore the density result is unaffected. □

The next lemma is Lemma 3.6 of [PS05] which we restate here without a proof.

Lemma 5.6. *Let $\vec{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, let I be an open neighborhood of 0 in $\mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n$, and let $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Then the set of primes $\ell \equiv 1 \pmod{d}$ such that $(\ell - 1)\vec{\alpha} \mod 1$ is in I has positive lower density.*

6. Infinite Diophantine Discrete Sets

In this section we construct t distinct sequences of primes from which we will construct the sets $S_1, \ldots, S_t$. We start with a lemma which will enable us to show that the sequences we construct are computable.

Lemma 6.1. *Let $\mathfrak{p}_\ell^{(k)}$ and μ_ℓ be as in Notation 4.1.*

1. *For all $k = 1, \ldots, t$, the mapping $\ell \mapsto \mathfrak{p}_\ell^{(k)}$ is computable.*
2. *The mapping $\ell \mapsto \mu_\ell$ is computable.*

Proof.

1. Given $k, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ we can effectively compute the coordinates of $x_\ell = x(\ell(P))$ and determine the factorization of $\mathfrak{d}_\ell$ as discussed in the Section 2. By considering the prime factorization of $\mathfrak{d}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{d}_{\ell-1}$ we can determine which primes occurring in $\mathfrak{d}_\ell$ are in fact primitive divisors, compute their norms and determine $\mathfrak{p}_\ell^{(k)}$.

(2) First of all, as above, for any $\ell > 0$ we can effectively determine all the primes in S_ℓ and compute their norm. Secondly, once X in the definition of μ_ℓ is greater than the norm of $\mathfrak{p}_\ell^{(1)}$, the value of the ratio can only decline. Thus to compute μ_ℓ it sufficient to calculate the ratio for finitely many values of X only. Therefore, μ_ℓ can be computed effectively.

□

By [Sil86, Corollary VI.5.1.1] and [Sil94, Corollary V.2.3.1] there is an isomorphism of real Lie groups $\prod_{v\in\mathcal{M}_{K,\infty}} E(K_v) \simeq (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^N \times (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{N'}$ for some $N \geq 1$ and $N' \geq 0$. Fix such an isomorphism, and embed $E(K)$ diagonally in $\prod_{v\in\mathcal{M}_{K,\infty}} E(K_v)$. Since $P = zQ$ with z even, the point P maps to an element $\vec{\alpha} \in (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^N$.

Now we construct the sequences $\{\ell_{1,r}, \ell_{2,r}, \dots\}$ for $r = 1, \dots, t$. To do this we describe how to define $\ell_{i,r}$ using a set $V_{i,r}, i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}, r = 1, \dots, t$ of previously defined elements of the sequences. More specifically we let $V_{1,1} = \emptyset$. For $i > 1$ we set

$$V_{i,1} = \{\ell_{1,1}, \dots, \ell_{1,t}, \dots, \ell_{i-1,1}, \dots, \ell_{i-1,t}\},$$

and for $i \geq 1, 1 < r \leq t$, we set

$$V_{i,r} = \{\ell_{1,1}, \dots, \ell_{1,t}, \dots, \ell_{i,1}, \dots, \ell_{i,r-1}\}.$$

Let $\ell_{i,r}$ be the smallest prime outside $\mathcal{L}$ and exceeding the bound implicit in Theorem 3.3 such that all of the following hold:

(1) $\ell_{i,r} > \ell$ for all $\ell \in V_{i,r}$,
(2) $\mu_{\ell_{i,r}} \leq 2^{-i}$,
(3) $\mathbf{N}\mathfrak{p}^{(r)}_{\ell\ell_{i,r}} > 2^i$ for all $\ell \in V_{i,r} \cup \{\ell_{i,r}\} \cup \mathcal{L}$,
(4) $\ell_{i,r} \equiv 1 \pmod{i!}$, and
(5) $|x_{\ell_{i,r}-1}|_v > i$ for all $v \in \mathcal{M}_{K,\infty}$.

We also choose $\ell_{1,1} > 3$.

Proposition 6.2. *The sequences $\{\ell_{1,r}, \ell_{2,r}, \dots\}$ are well-defined and computable for $r = 1, \dots, t$.*

Proof. Condition (5) is equivalent to the requirement that $(\ell - 1)\vec{\alpha}$ lie in a certain open neighborhood of 0 in $(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^N$, since the Lie group isomorphism maps neighborhoods of O to neighborhoods of 0. Thus by Lemma 5.6, the set of primes satisfying (4) and (5) has positive lower density. By Lemma 5.5, (2) fails for a set of density 0. Therefore it will suffice to show that (1) and (3) are satisfied by all sufficiently large ℓ_i.

For fixed ℓ, the primes $\mathfrak{p}^{(r)}_{\ell\ell_{i,r}}$ for varying values of $\ell_{i,r}$ are distinct since $\mathfrak{p}^{(r)}_{\ell\ell_{i,r}}$ is the r-th largest primitive prime divisor of $\mathfrak{d}_{\ell\ell_{i,r}}$. So eventually their norms are greater than 2^i. The same holds for $\mathfrak{p}^{(r)}_{\ell\ell_{i,r}}$ for fixed $\ell \in \mathcal{L}$. Thus by taking $\ell_{i,r}$ sufficiently large, we can make all the $\mathfrak{p}^{(r)}_{\ell\ell_{i,r}}$ for $\ell = \ell_{i,r}$ or $\ell \in \mathcal{L}$ or $\ell \in V_{i,r}$ have norm greater than 2^i. Thus the sequence is well-defined.

Each $\ell_{i,r}$ can be computed by searching primes in increasing order until one is found satisfying the conditions: conditions (1) – (4) can be verified effectively by Lemma 6.1, and condition (5) can be tested effectively, since $|x_{\ell_{i,r}-1}|_v$ is an algebraic real number. □

We now define the following subsets of $\mathcal{P}_K$:

- $\mathcal{T}_{1,r} = \bigcup_{i\geq 1} \mathcal{S}_{\ell_{i,r}}, r = 1, \dots, t$;

- $\mathcal{T}_{2,r}^a$ is the set of $\mathfrak{p}_\ell^{(r)}$ for $\ell \notin (\{\ell_{1,r}, \ell_{2,r}, \dots\} \cup \mathcal{L})$, together with $\mathfrak{p}_{\ell^{a_\ell}}^{(r)}$ for $\ell \in \mathcal{L}$;
- $\mathcal{T}_{2,r}^b = \{\, \mathfrak{p}_{\ell_{i,r}\ell_{j,r}}^{(r)} : 1 \le j \le i \,\}$;
- $\mathcal{T}_{2,r}^c = \{\, \mathfrak{p}_{\ell\ell_{i,r}}^{(r)} : \ell \in \mathcal{L}, i \ge 1 \,\}$; and
- $\mathcal{T}_{2,r} = \mathcal{T}_{2,r}^a \cup \mathcal{T}_{2,r}^b \cup \mathcal{T}_{2,r}^c$.

By construction, all the terms considered above have t primitive divisors.
We now describe the important properties of these sequences.

Lemma 6.3.

(1) *For each $r = 1, \dots, t$, the sets $\mathcal{T}_{1,r}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{2,r}$ are disjoint. If a subset $S_r \subset \mathcal{P}_K$ contains $\mathcal{T}_{1,r}$ and is disjoint from $\mathcal{T}_{2,r}$, then $\mathcal{E}_r := \tilde{E}(\mathcal{O}_{K,S_r}) \cap zE(K)$ is the union of*

$$\{\, \pm \ell_{i,r} P : i \ge 1 \,\}$$

and some subset of the finite set $\left\{\, sP : s \mid \prod_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}} \ell^{a_\ell - 1} \,\right\}$.

(2) *For any $j \in \{1,2\}$ and $r, s \in \{1, \dots, t\}$ such that $r \ne s$ the sets $\mathcal{T}_{j,r}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{j,s}$ are disjoint.*

(3) *For any $k \in \{1,2\}$ and $r \in \{1, \dots, t\}$ the set $\mathcal{T}_{i,r}$ is computable.*

Proof.

(1) The proof of this assertion is the same as the proof of Lemma 3.10 of [PS05]. The proof is not affected by the fact that we do not invert primes in S_{bad}, since in our case $S_1 = \emptyset$ also.

(2) First we assume that $j = 1$. In this case $\mathcal{T}_{1,r} \cap \mathcal{T}_{1,s} = \left(\bigcup_{i \ge 1} S_{\ell_{i,r}}\right) \cap \left(\bigcup_{i \ge 1} S_{\ell_{i,s}}\right) = \emptyset$ since for any $r \ne s$ we have that $S_{\ell_{i_1,r}} \cap S_{\ell_{i_2,s}} = S_{(\ell_{i_1,r}, \ell_{i_2,s})} = \emptyset$ as $\{\ell_{i,r}\} \cap \{\ell_{i,s}\} = \emptyset$. Next let $j = 2$ and consider $\mathcal{T}_{2,r} \cap \mathcal{T}_{2,s}$. Since the set $T_{2,r}$ consists of the r-th largest primitive prime divisors of certain terms in the divisibility sequence $\mathfrak{d}_n$, and $T_{2,s}$ consists of the s-th largest primitive prime divisors of terms in the divisibility sequence, the definition of being a primitive divisor immediately implies that these sets can never have any nontrivial intersection when $r \ne s$.

(3) This assertion follows directly from the fact that each sequence

$$\{\ell_{1,r}, \ell_{2,r}, \dots\},\ 1 \le r \le t$$

is computable and from Lemma 6.1.

□

Proposition 6.4. *The natural density of $\mathcal{T}_{1,r}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{2,r}$ $(1 \le r \le t)$ is zero.*

Proof. The proofs that $\mathcal{T}_{1,r}$, $\mathcal{T}_{2,r}^b$, and $\mathcal{T}_{2,r}^c$ have density 0 are identical to the proofs in Section 9 of [Poo03]. The fact that $\mathcal{T}_{2,r}^a$ has density 0 follows from Proposition 5.1. □

Now we can construct infinite Diophantine subsets A_r of $\mathcal{O}_{K,S_r}$ that are discrete in any topology of K. We first need the following lemma.

Lemma 6.5. *For each $v \in \mathcal{M}_K$ and $1 \le r \le t$ the sequence $\ell_{1,r}P, \ell_{2,r}P, \dots$ converges in $E(K_v)$ to P.*

Proof. This is Lemma 3.14 in [PS05]. □

We now have the following proposition.

Proposition 6.6. *Let S_r be as in Lemma 6.3 and let $A_r := \{x_{\ell_{1,r}}, x_{\ell_{2,r}}, \dots\}$. Then A_r is a Diophantine subset of $\mathcal{O}_{K,S_r}$. For any $v \in \mathcal{M}_K$, the set A_r is discrete when viewed as a subset of K_v.*

Proof. By Lemma 6.5, the elements of A_r form a convergent sequence in K_v whose limit x_1 is not in A_r. Hence A_r is discrete. By Lemma 6.3, part (1), $x(\mathcal{E}_r)$ is the union of the set A_r and a finite set. Since $\mathcal{E}_r$ is Diophantine over $\mathcal{O}_{K,S_r}$, the set A_r is Diophantine over $\mathcal{O}_{K,S_r}$ as well. □

In Section 8 we will use the sets $A_1, \dots, A_t$ together with sets $\mathcal{T}_{1,1}, \dots, \mathcal{T}_{1,t}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{2,1}, \dots, \mathcal{T}_{2,t}$ to prove Theorem 1.7.

7. Constructing Diophantine Models of $\mathbb{Z}$.

We will now modify the t sequences of primes constructed above so that in the resulting big rings Hilbert's Tenth Problem is undecidable.

Fix two primes $\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{P}_K$ of degree 1 that are primes of good reduction for E, and such that $\mathfrak{p}$ and $\mathfrak{q}$ do not ramify in $K/\mathbb{Q}$. Choose $\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q}$ such that neither $\mathfrak{p}$ nor $\mathfrak{q}$ divides $y_1 = y(P)$, and such that the underlying primes $p, q \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ are distinct and odd. Let $M = pq\#E(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}})\#E(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{q}})$.

We now define t sequences of primes $\{\ell_{i,r}\}, r = 1, \dots, t$ by using sets

$$V_{i,r}, i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}, r = 1, \dots, t$$

of previously defined elements of the sequences. More specifically we let $V_{1,1} = \emptyset$. For $i > 1$ we set

$$V_{i,1} = \{\ell_{1,1}, \dots, \ell_{1,t}, \dots, \ell_{i-1,1}, \dots, \ell_{i-1,t}\},$$

and for $i \geq 1, 1 < r \leq t$, we set

$$V_{i,r} = \{\ell_{1,1}, \dots, \ell_{1,t}, \dots, \ell_{i,1}, \dots, \ell_{i,r-1}\}.$$

Now let $\ell_{i,r}$ be the smallest prime outside $\mathcal{L}$ and exceeding the bound implicit in Theorem 3.3 such that all of the following hold:

(1) $\ell_{i,r} > \ell$ for all $\ell \in V_{i,r}$,
(2) $\mu_{\ell_{i,r}} \leq 2^{-i}$,
(3) $\mathbf{N}\mathfrak{p}^{(r)}_{\ell\ell_{i,r}} > 2^i$ for all $\ell \in V_{i,r} \cup \{\ell_{i,r}\} \cup \mathcal{L}$,
(4) $\ell_{i,r} \equiv 1 \pmod{M}$,
(5) the highest power of p dividing $(\ell_{i,r} - 1)/M$ is p^i, and
(6) q divides $(\ell_{i,r} - 1)/M$ if and only if $i \in B$.

By Proposition 3.19 in [PS05] and by Proposition 6.1 we have:

Proposition 7.1. *The sequences $\{\ell_{1,r}, \ell_{2,r} \dots\}$, $r = 1, \dots, t$, are well-defined and computable.*

Lemma 7.2. *If $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, then*

$$\mathrm{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(x_{mM+1} - x_1) = \mathrm{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(x_{M+1} - x_1) + \mathrm{ord}_p\, m.$$

Proof. This is Lemma 3.20 in [PS05]. □

We define the following subsets of $\mathcal{P}_K$:

- $\mathcal{T}_{1,r} = \bigcup_{i \geq 1} \mathcal{S}_{\ell_{i,r}}$;
- $\mathcal{T}^a_{2,r}$ is the set of $\mathfrak{p}^{(r)}_{\ell}$ for $\ell \notin (\{\ell_{1,r}, \ell_{2,r}, \dots\} \cup \mathcal{L})$, together with $\mathfrak{p}^{(r)}_{\ell^a\ell}$ for $\ell \in \mathcal{L}$;

- $\mathcal{T}_{2,r}^b = \{\, \mathfrak{p}_{\ell_{i,r}\ell_{j,r}}^{(r)} : 1 \le j \le i \,\}$;
- $\mathcal{T}_{2,r}^c = \{\, \mathfrak{p}_{\ell\ell_{i,r}}^{(r)} : \ell \in \mathcal{L}, i \ge 1 \,\}$; and
- $\mathcal{T}_{2,r} = \mathcal{T}_{2,r}^a \cup \mathcal{T}_{2,r}^b \cup \mathcal{T}_{2,r}^c$.

As above we now have a version of Lemma 6.3.

Lemma 7.3.

(1) *For each $r = 1, \dots, t$, the sets $\mathcal{T}_{1,r}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{2,r}$ are disjoint. If a subset $S_r \subset \mathcal{P}_K$ contains $\mathcal{T}_{1,r}$ and is disjoint from $\mathcal{T}_{2,r}$, then $\mathcal{E}_r := \tilde{E}(\mathcal{O}_{K,S_r}) \cap zE(K)$ is the union of $\{\, \pm\ell_{i,r}P : i \ge 1 \,\}$ and some subset of the finite set $\left\{\, sP : s \mid \prod_{\ell\in\mathcal{L}} \ell^{a_\ell - 1} \,\right\}$.*

(2) *For any $j \in \{1,2\}$ and $r, s \in \{1, \dots, t\}$ such that $r \ne s$ the sets $\mathcal{T}_{j,r}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{j,s}$ are disjoint.*

(3) *For any $i \in \{1,2\}$ and $r \in \{1, \dots, t\}$ the set $\mathcal{T}_{i,r}$ is computable.*

We also have an analogous version of Proposition 5.1 and the proof is the same.

Proposition 7.4. *The natural density of $\mathcal{T}_{1,r}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{2,r}$ $(1 \le r \le t)$ is zero.*

Now we can construct a Diophantine model of $\mathbb{Z}$ in $\mathcal{O}_{K,S_r}$, where S_r is a in Lemma 7.3. We first need the following lemma.

Lemma 7.5. *Let $B = \{\, 2^n + n^2 : n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 1} \,\}$. Multiplication admits a positive existential definition in the structure $\mathcal{Z} := (\mathbb{Z}_{\ge 1}, 1, +, B)$. (Here B is considered as a unary predicate.) Hence the structure $(\mathbb{Z}, 0, 1, +, \cdot)$ admits a positive existential model in the structure $\mathcal{Z}$.*

Proof. This follows from Lemma 3.16 and Corollary 3.18 in [PS05]. □

This lemma shows that instead of finding a Diophantine model of the ring $\mathbb{Z}$ over $\mathcal{O}_{K,S}$, it will suffice to find a Diophantine model of $\mathcal{Z}$.

Proposition 7.6. *Let S_r be as in Lemma 7.3 and let $A_r := \{x_{\ell_{1,r}}, x_{\ell_{2,r}}, \dots\}$. Then A_r is a Diophantine model of $\mathcal{Z}$ over $\mathcal{O}_{K,S_r}$ via the bijection $\phi\colon \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 1} \to A$ taking i to $x_{\ell_{i,r}}$.*

Proof. The set A_r is Diophantine over $\mathcal{O}_{K,S_r}$ by part (1) of Lemma 7.3.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} i \in B \quad &\iff \quad q \text{ divides } (\ell_{i,r} - 1)/M \qquad\qquad \text{(by condition (4))} \\ &\iff \quad \operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{q}}(x_{\ell_{i,r}} - x_1) > \operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{q}}(x_{M+1} - x_1) \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 7.2 (with $\mathfrak{q}$ in place of $\mathfrak{p}$). The latter inequality is a Diophantine condition on $x_{\ell_{i,r}}$. Thus the subset $\phi(B)$ of A_r is Diophantine over $\mathcal{O}_{K,S_r}$.

Finally, for $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 1}$, Lemma 7.2 and condition (3) imply $\operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(x_{\ell_{i,r}} - x_1) = c + i$, where the integer $c = \operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(x_{M+1} - x_1)$ is independent of i. Therefore, for $i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 1}$, we have

$$i + j = k \quad \iff \quad \operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(x_{\ell_{i,r}} - x_1) + \operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(x_{\ell_{j,r}} - x_1) = \operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(x_{\ell_{k,r}} - x_1) + c.$$

It follows that the graph of $+$ corresponds under ϕ to a subset of A_r^3 that is Diophantine over $\mathcal{O}_{K,S_r}$.

Thus A_r is a Diophantine model of $\mathcal{Z}$ over $\mathcal{O}_{K,S_r}$. □

8. Complementary Rings

In this section we complete the proofs of Theorems 1.7 and 1.8. First we need a general result about the splitting of primes.

Proposition 8.1. *If K is a number field and $\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_t$ are non-negative rational numbers adding up to one, then $\mathcal{P}_K$ can be partitioned into (possibly empty) sets $W_1, \ldots, W_t$ of densities $\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_t$, respectively.*

Proof. First assume $\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_t$ are all positive. Let u be the common denominator of $\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_t$, and suppose that M/K is a cyclic extension of degree u. Let $\{\sigma_1 = \mathrm{id}, \ldots, \sigma_u\} = \mathrm{Gal}(M/K)$. If Z_i is the set of primes of M whose Frobenius is σ_i, then by the natural density version of the Chebotarev density theorem, (see Théorème 1 of [Ser81]), the natural density of the set of K-primes below N_i is $\frac{1}{u}$ and $N_1, \ldots, N_u$ constitute a partition of the set of all unramified primes. Next write $\delta_i = \frac{u_i}{u}$, let $W_1 = \bigcup_{i=1}^{u_1} N_i$ and for $i \geq 2$ let $W_i = \bigcup_{i=u_1+\ldots+u_{i-1}+1}^{u_1+\ldots+u_i} N_i$. Then the natural density of W_i is $\frac{u_i}{u} = \delta_i$ and $\{W_i, i = 1, \ldots, t\}$ constitutes a partition of the set of all unramified primes. Also by construction, the W_i's are recursive. We can add all the ramified primes to W_1. Since there are only finitely many ramified primes this does not change the density of W_1, and now $W_1, \ldots, W_t$ constitute a partition of the set of all primes.

We now show how to accommodate the case when some of the δ_i's are zero. First, using the positive δ_i's we construct a partition as above, then we add the necessary number of empty sets.

It remains to observe that any number field K has a cyclic extension of any degree u. Indeed, consider the set of all primes q equivalent to 1 modulo u. If q is bigger than all the rational primes ramified in K, the extensions K and $\mathbb{Q}(\xi_q)$, where ξ_q is a primitive q-th root of unity, are linearly disjoint over $\mathbb{Q}$ and therefore $K(\xi_q)/K$ is a cyclic extension of degree $q-1$ which has a unique subextension M/K of degree u. □

Now we can prove Theorems 1.7 and 1.8.

8.1. **The proofs of Theorems 1.7 and 1.8.** Let $\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_t$ be nonnegative rational numbers adding up to one. Let $W_1, \ldots W_t$ be a partition of primes of K, where the natural density of each W_i is δ_i. Such a partition exists by Proposition 8.1. For the case of Theorem 1.7, let $\mathcal{T}_{1,r}, \mathcal{T}_{2,r}, r = 1, \ldots, t$ be as defined as in Section 6 and for the case of Theorem 1.8, let $\mathcal{T}_{1,r}, \mathcal{T}_{2,r}, r = 1, \ldots, t$ be as defined as in Section 7. For $i = 1, \ldots, t$ define

$$S_i = (W_i \cup \mathcal{T}_{1,i} \cup \mathcal{T}_{2,j}) - (\mathcal{T}_{2,i} \cup \bigcup_{r \neq i} \mathcal{T}_{1,r}),$$

where $j \in \{1, \ldots, t\}$ is such that $j \equiv i - 1 \mod t$. We claim the following:

(1) *The natural density of S_i exists and is equal to δ_i.* This is true because by Propositions 5.1 and 6.4, for any i, j the natural density of $\mathcal{T}_{i,j}$ is 0.

(2) *Each S_i contains all the primes of $\mathcal{T}_{1,i}$ and omits the primes of $\mathcal{T}_{2,i}$.* To see that this assertion is true, observe that we explicitly add $\mathcal{T}_{1,i}$ and remove $\mathcal{T}_{2,i}$, and by Propositions 6.3 and 7.3, we have that $\mathcal{T}_{2,i} \cap \mathcal{T}_{2,j} = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$. Thus, adding $\mathcal{T}_{2,j}$ does not introduce any primes of $\mathcal{T}_{2,i}$ back. Further from the same propositions removing $\bigcup_{r \neq i} \mathcal{T}_{1,r}$ will not remove any primes of $\mathcal{T}_{1,i}$.

(3) $S_1, \ldots, S_t$ *are a partition of* $\mathcal{P}_K$. First we show that $S_i \cap S_r = \emptyset$ for $i \neq r$. Since W_i and W_r are disjoint, the common elements can arise only from the primes which were added in, i.e. an intersection can arise from

$$(\mathcal{T}_{1,i} \cup \mathcal{T}_{2,j}) \cap (\mathcal{T}_{1,r} \cup \mathcal{T}_{2,l}), \tag{8.1}$$

where $j \equiv i - 1 \mod t$, and $l \equiv r - 1 \mod t$ so that $l \neq j$. By construction, all the primes of $\mathcal{T}_{1,r}$ are removed from S_i and all the primes of $\mathcal{T}_{1,i}$ are removed from S_r. Hence the only primes from (8.1) which can possibly be in $S_i \cap S_r$ are in $\mathcal{T}_{2,j} \cap \mathcal{T}_{2,l}$. This intersection is empty, however, by Propositions 6.3 and 7.3. Finally we show that $\bigcup_{i=1}^{t} S_i = \mathcal{P}_K$. As above we start with the fact that $\bigcup_{i=1}^{t} W_i = \mathcal{P}_K$ and note that we only have to follow the primes removed from W_i in the process of constructing S_i:

$$\mathcal{T}_{2,i} \cup \bigcup_{r \neq i} \mathcal{T}_{1,r}.$$

We have shown in Part 1 of this proposition that for $r = 1, \ldots, t$, $\mathcal{T}_{1,r} \subset S_r$ and therefore the primes in the union $\bigcup_{r \neq i} \mathcal{T}_{1,r}$ are accounted for. That leaves the primes of

$$\mathcal{T}_{2,i} - \bigcup_{r \neq i} \mathcal{T}_{1,r} = \mathcal{T}_{2,i} - \bigcup_{r=1}^{t} \mathcal{T}_{1,r},$$

where the equality holds because $\mathcal{T}_{1,i} \cap \mathcal{T}_{2,i} = \emptyset$. When S_i is constructed, this set is moved to $S_j, j \equiv i + 1 \mod t$ and observe that since $T_{2,i} \cap T_{2,j} = \emptyset$, the primes of $\mathcal{T}_{2,i} - \bigcup_{r=1}^{t} \mathcal{T}_{1,r}$ are not removed from S_j.

REFERENCES

[BHV01] Yu. Bilu, G. Hanrot and P. M. Voutier. Existence of primitive divisors of Lucas and Lehmer numbers. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 539 (2001), 75–122.

[CHS07] Wesley Calvert, Valentina Harizanov and Alexandra Shlapentokh. Turing degrees of isomorphism types of algebraic objects. *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)*, **75**, no. 2, (2007), 273–286.

[CH99] J. Cheon and S. Hahn. The orders of the reductions of a point in the Mordell-Weil group of an elliptic curve. *Acta. Arith.*, **88** no. 3 (1999), 219–222.

[CZ00] Gunther Cornelissen and Karim Zahidi. Topology of Diophantine sets: remarks on Mazur's conjectures. Hilbert's tenth problem: relations with arithmetic and algebraic geometry (Ghent, 1999), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2000, pp. 253–260.

[DPR61] Martin Davis, Hilary Putnam, and Julia Robinson. The decision problem for exponential diophantine equations. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 74:425–436, 1961.

[EV09] Kirsten Eisenträger and Graham Everest. Descent on elliptic curves and Hilbert's tenth problem. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 137(6):1951–1959, 2009.

[EPS03] G. Everest, A. J. van der Poorten, I. E. Shparlinski and T. Ward, Recurrence sequences. Math. Surveys and Monographs, **104**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003.

[Mat70] Yu. V. Matijasevič. The Diophantineness of enumerable sets. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 191:279–282, 1970.

[Maz92] Barry Mazur. The topology of rational points. *Experiment. Math.* **1** (1992), no. 1, 35–45.

[Maz94] Barry Mazur. Questions of decidability and undecidability in number theory. *J. Symbolic Logic* **59** (1994), no. 2, 353–371.

[Maz95] Barry Mazur. Speculations about the topology of rational points: an update. *Astérisque* (1995), no. 228, 4, 165–182, Columbia University Number Theory Seminar (New York, 1992).

[Maz98] Barry Mazur. Open problems regarding rational points on curves and varieties. Galois representations in arithmetic algebraic geometry (Durham, 1996), London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 254, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1998, pp. 239–265.

[Poo03] Bjorn Poonen. Hilbert's tenth problem and Mazur's conjecture for large subrings of $\mathbb{Q}$. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **16** (2003), no. 4, 981–990.

[PS05] Bjorn Poonen and Alexandra Shlapentokh. Diophantine definability of infinite discrete nonarchimedean sets and Diophantine models over large subrings of number fields. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 588:27–47, 2005.

[PZ97] M. Pohst and H. Zassenhaus. Algorithmic algebraic number theory. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, **30**, Cambridge University Press, 1997.

[Sch62] A. Schinzel. On primitive prime factors of $a^n - b^n$. *Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.*, **58** (1962), 555–562.

[Sch74] A. Schinzel. Primitive divisors of the expression $A^n - B^n$ in algebraic number fields. *J. reine angew. Math.*, **268/69** (1974), 27–33.

[Sch93] A. Schinzel. An extension of the theorem on primitive divisors in algebraic number fields. *Math. Comp.*, **61** no. 203 (1993), 441–444.

[Ser81] Jean-Pierre Serre. *Quelques applications du théorème de densité de Chebotarev*. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* (1981), no. 54, 323–401.

[Ser97] Jean-Pierre Serre. Lectures on the Mordell-Weil theorem. Third ed., Friedr. Vieweg & Sohn, Braunschweig, 1997.

[Shl97] Alexandra Shlapentokh. Diophantine definability over some rings of algebraic numbers with infinite number of primes allowed in the denominator. *Invent. Math.* **129** (1997), no. 3, 489–507.

[Shl00a] Alexandra Shlapentokh. Defining integrality at prime sets of high density in number fields. *Duke Math. J.* **101** (2000), no. 1, 117–134.

[Shl02] Alexandra Shlapentokh. Diophantine definability and decidability in large subrings of totally real number fields and their totally complex extensions of degree 2. *J. Number Theory* **95** (2002), no. 2, 227–252.

[Shl03] Alexandra Shlapentokh. A ring version of Mazur's conjecture on topology of rational points. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, (7):411–423, 2003.

[Shl04] Alexandra Shlapentokh. On diophantine definability and decidability in some infinite totally real extensions of $\mathbb{Q}$. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **356** (2004), no. 8, 3189–3207.

[Shl06] Alexandra Shlapentokh, Hilbert's Tenth Problem: Diophantine Classes and Extensions to Global Fields. Cambridge University Press, 2006.

[Shl07] Alexandra Shlapentokh, Diophantine Definability and Decidability in the Extensions of Degree 2 of Totally Real Fields. *J. Algebra*, 313(2), 846–896, 2007.

[Sil86] J. H. Silverman. The Arithmetic of elliptic curves. Springer, New York, 1986.

[Sil88] J. H. Silverman. Weiferich's criterion and the ABC-conjecture. *J. Number Theory*, **30** (1988), 226–237.

[Sil94] J. H. Silverman. Advanced topics in the arithmetic of elliptic curves. Springer, New York, 1994.

[Zsi92] K. Zsigmondy. Zur Theorie der Potenzreste. *Monatsh. Math.*, **3** (1892), 265–284.

(KE) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY, UNIVERSITY PARK, PA 16802, USA.

E-mail address: eisentra@math.psu.edu

(GE) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF EAST ANGLIA, NORWICH NR4 7TJ, UK.

E-mail address: g.everest@uea.ac.uk

(AS) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, EAST CAROLINA UNIVERSITY, GREENVILLE, NC 27858, USA.

E-mail address: shlapentokha@ecu.edu

URL: www.personal.ecu.edu/shlapentokha