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#### Abstract

In a paper published in 1959, Shimura presented an elegant calculation of the critical values of $L$-functions attached to elliptic modular forms using the first cohomology group. We will show that a similar calculation is possible for Hilbert modular forms over real quadratic fields using the second cohomology group. We present explicit numerical examples calculated by this method.


In a celebrated paper [Sh1] published in 1959, Shimura showed that ratios of critical values of the $L$-function attached to an elliptic modular form can be calculated explicitly using the cohomology group. This method was developed into the theory of modular symbols by Manin [Man]. Though there have been great advances during the next half century in understanding the relationship of automorphic forms and group cohomologies, it seems that no explicit calculations of $L$-values using cohomology groups were performed beyond the one dimensional case. The purpose of this paper is to show that we can use cohomology groups effectively for calculations of $L$-values even in higher dimensional cases.

To explain our ideas and results, it is best to review first the calculation in [Sh1]. Let $\mathfrak{H}$ be the complex upper half plane. Let $\Gamma$ be a Fuchsian group and let $\Omega$ be a cusp form of weight $k \geq 2$ with respect to $\Gamma$. Put $l=k-2$ and let $\rho_{l}$ be the symmetric tensor representation of GL(2, C) of degree $l$ on a vector space $V$. We regard $V$ as a $\Gamma$-module. Put $\rho=\rho_{l}$. We consider a $V$-valued differential form on $\mathfrak{H}$ :

$$
\mathfrak{d}(\Omega)=\Omega(z)\left[\begin{array}{l}
z \\
1
\end{array}\right]^{l} d z
$$

[^0]Here $\left[\begin{array}{l}z \\ 1\end{array}\right]^{l}$ denotes the column vector of dimension $l+1$ whose components are $z^{l}, z^{l-1}, \ldots, 1$. We have $\mathfrak{d}(\Omega) \circ \gamma=\rho(\gamma) \mathfrak{d}(\Omega)$ for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Here $\mathfrak{d}(\Omega) \circ \gamma$ denotes the transform of $\mathfrak{d}(\Omega)$ by $\gamma$. Take a point of the complex upper half plane or a cusp of $\Gamma$ and denote it by $z_{0}$. For $\gamma \in \Gamma$, we consider the integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\gamma)=\int_{z_{0}}^{\gamma z_{0}} \mathfrak{d}(\Omega) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $f$ satisfies the 1-cocycle condition:

$$
f\left(\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}\right)=f\left(\gamma_{1}\right)+\rho\left(\gamma_{1}\right) f\left(\gamma_{2}\right) .
$$

The cohomology class of $f$ in $H^{1}(\Gamma, V)$ does not depend on the choice of $z_{0}$. Let $p \in \Gamma$ be a parabolic element and $z_{0}^{\prime}$ be the cusp fixed by $p$. Then we have

$$
f(p)=(\rho(p)-1) \int_{z_{0}^{\prime}}^{z_{0}} \mathfrak{d}(\Omega) .
$$

Thus $f(p)$ looks like a coboundary, which is the parabolic condition on $f$.
Now let $\Gamma=\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbf{Z})$ and $z_{0}=i \infty$. Put

$$
\sigma=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad \tau=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Then we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\sigma \tau)=-\left(\int_{0}^{i \infty} \Omega(z) z^{t} d z\right)_{0 \leq t \leq l}=-\left(i^{t+1} R(t+1, \Omega)\right)_{0 \leq t \leq l}, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R(s, \Omega)=(2 \pi)^{-s} \Gamma(s) L(s, \Omega)$ with the $L$-function $L(s, \Omega)$ of $\Omega$. Since $(\sigma \tau)^{3}=1$, the 1-cocycle condition gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[1+\rho(\sigma \tau)+\rho\left((\sigma \tau)^{2}\right)\right] f(\sigma \tau)=0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In other words, $f(\sigma \tau)$ is annihilated by the element $1+\sigma \tau+(\sigma \tau)^{2}$ of the group ring $\mathbf{Z}[\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbf{Z})]$. This gives a constraint on the critical values of $L(s, \Omega)$. For $k=12$ and $\Omega=\Delta$, Shimura obtained that

$$
R(8, \Delta)=\frac{5}{4} R(6, \Delta), \quad R(10, \Delta)=\frac{12}{5} R(6, \Delta), \quad \text { etc. }
$$

In this paper, we will treat the case of Hilbert modular forms over a real quadratic field $F$. Let $\mathcal{O}_{F}$ be the ring of integers of $F$ and $\Gamma$ be a congruence
subgroup of $\operatorname{SL}\left(2, \mathcal{O}_{F}\right)$. Let $\Omega$ be a Hilbert modular cusp form of weight $\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)$ with respect to $\Gamma$. We assume $2 \leq k_{2} \leq k_{1}$ and put $l_{i}=k_{i}-2, i=1$, 2. The first step is to attach an explicitly given 2 -cocycle of $\Gamma$ to $\Omega$. This is given in the author's book [Y3] as follows. Let $\rho=\rho_{l_{1}} \otimes \rho_{l_{2}}$ and $V$ be the representation space of $\rho$. We consider a $V$-valued differential form on $\mathfrak{H}^{2}$ :

$$
\mathfrak{d}(\Omega)=\Omega(z)\left[\begin{array}{c}
z_{1} \\
1
\end{array}\right]^{l_{1}} \otimes\left[\begin{array}{c}
z_{2} \\
1
\end{array}\right]^{l_{2}} d z_{1} d z_{2}, \quad z=\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \in \mathfrak{H}^{2} .
$$

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{d}(\Omega) \circ \gamma=\rho(\gamma) \mathfrak{d}(\Omega), \quad \gamma \in \Gamma \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Take a point $w=\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)$ on $\mathfrak{H}^{2}$. For $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2} \in \Gamma$, we consider the integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)=\int_{\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2} w_{1}}^{\gamma_{1} w_{1}} \int_{w_{2}}^{\gamma_{1}^{\prime} w_{2}} \mathfrak{d}(\Omega) . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\gamma_{1}^{\prime}$ denotes the conjugate of $\gamma_{1}$ by $\operatorname{Gal}(F / \mathbf{Q})$. Then $f$ is a 2 -cocycle of $\Gamma$ taking values in $V$. The cohomology class of $f \in H^{2}(\Gamma, V)$ does not depend on the choice of $w$. Let $p \in \Gamma$ be a parabolic element and let $\left(w_{1}^{*}, w_{2}^{*}\right)$ be the cusp fixed by $p$. Since $\Omega$ is a cusp form, we may replace $w_{2}$ by $w_{2}^{*}$. By this procedure, we find the parabolic condition satisfied by $f$.

Next let $\Gamma=\operatorname{SL}\left(2, \mathcal{O}_{F}\right)$ and let $\epsilon$ be the fundamental unit of $F$. We assume that $l_{1} \equiv l_{2} \bmod 2$ and replace $\Gamma$ by $\operatorname{PSL}\left(2, \mathcal{O}_{F}\right)$. Put

$$
\sigma=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad \mu=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\epsilon & 0 \\
0 & \epsilon^{-1}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

We choose $w_{1}=i \epsilon^{-1}, w_{2}=i \infty$. Then we have

$$
f(\sigma, \mu)=f(\sigma, \sigma)=-\int_{i \epsilon^{-1}}^{i \epsilon} \int_{0}^{i \infty} \mathfrak{d}(\Omega) .
$$

For $0 \leq s \leq l_{1}, 0 \leq t \leq l_{2}$, we put

$$
P_{s, t}=\int_{i \epsilon^{-1}}^{i \epsilon} \int_{0}^{i \infty} \Omega(z) z_{1}^{s} z_{2}^{t} d z_{1} d z_{2}
$$

The $\left(l_{1}+1\right)\left(l_{2}+1\right)$ components of $f(\sigma, \mu)$ are given by $-P_{s, t}$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{m, m-\left(k_{1}-k_{2}\right) / 2}=(-1)^{m+1} i^{-\left(k_{1}-k_{2}\right) / 2}(2 \pi)^{\left(k_{1}-k_{2}\right) / 2} R(m+1, \Omega) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R(s, \Omega)=(2 \pi)^{-2 s} \Gamma(s) \Gamma\left(s-\left(k_{1}-k_{2}\right) / 2\right) L(s, \Omega)$ with the $L$-function $L(s, \Omega)$ of $\Omega$. The formula (6) gives a generalization of (2); (5) and (6) were known to the author eight years ago.

The $L$-value $L(m, \Omega), m \in \mathbf{Z}$ is a critical value if and only if

$$
\frac{l_{1}-l_{2}}{2}+1 \leq m \leq \frac{l_{1}+l_{2}}{2}+1 .
$$

Since all of them appear as components of $f(\sigma, \mu)$, we expect that we can deduce information on critical values once we know the second cohomology group $H^{2}(\Gamma, V)$ well. Before to materialize this hope, we need to answer the following conceptual question: Can we annihilate the effect of adding a coboundary to $f$ ? We can give an affirmative answer to this question by using the parabolic condition. Put

$$
P=\left\{\left.\left(\begin{array}{cc}
u & v \\
0 & u^{-1}
\end{array}\right) \right\rvert\, u \in \mathcal{O}_{F}^{\times}, v \in \mathcal{O}_{F}\right\} /\left\{ \pm 1_{2}\right\} \subset \Gamma
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(p \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)=p f\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right) \quad \text { for every } \quad p \in P, \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2} \in \Gamma \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is the parabolic condition on $f$ when $\Gamma=\operatorname{PSL}\left(2, \mathcal{O}_{F}\right)$. A 2-cocycle which satisfies (7) will be called a parabolic 2 -cocycle. In section 3, we will prove:

Theorem. Let $i=1$ or 2. Then

$$
\operatorname{dim} H^{i}(P, V)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
0 & \text { if } & l_{1} \neq l_{2} \text { or } N(\epsilon)^{l_{1}}=-1 \\
1 & \text { if } & l_{1}=l_{2} \text { and } N(\epsilon)^{l_{1}}=1
\end{array}\right.
$$

Now suppose that we add a coboundary to $f$ keeping the parabolic condition (7). In section 4 , using this theorem for the case $i=1$, we will show: If $l_{1} \neq l_{2}$, the components of $f(\sigma, \mu)$ related to the critical values do not change. If $l_{1}=l_{2}$, the same assertion holds except for the critical values on the edges: $L(1, \Omega)$ and $L\left(l_{1}+1, \Omega\right)$. Therefore we can deduce information on critical values $L(m, \Omega)$ once we know a parabolic 2-cocycle corresponding to $\Omega$.

The final step is to find constraints on $f(\sigma, \mu)$ which generalizes (3). This is technically the most difficult step. Let $\mathcal{O}_{F}=\mathbf{Z}+\mathbf{Z} \omega$ and put

$$
\tau=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad \eta=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & \omega \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) .
$$

It is known $([\mathrm{V}])$ that $\Gamma$ is generated by $\sigma, \mu, \tau$ and $\eta$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the free group on four letters $\widetilde{\sigma}, \widetilde{\mu}, \widetilde{\tau}, \widetilde{\eta}$. Define a surjective homomorphism $\pi: \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \Gamma$ by $\pi(\widetilde{\sigma})=\sigma, \pi(\widetilde{\mu})=\mu, \pi(\widetilde{\tau})=\tau, \pi(\widetilde{\eta})=\eta$ and let $R$ be the kernel of $\pi$. Then we have $\Gamma=\mathcal{F} / R$ and (cf. §1.4)

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{2}(\Gamma, V) \cong H^{1}(R, V)^{\Gamma} / \operatorname{Im}\left(H^{1}(\mathcal{F}, V)\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we have

$$
H^{1}(R, V)^{\Gamma}=\left\{\varphi \in \operatorname{Hom}(R, V) \mid \varphi\left(g r g^{-1}\right)=g \varphi(r), \quad g \in \mathcal{F}, r \in R\right\} .
$$

We write

$$
\epsilon^{2}=A+B \omega, \quad \epsilon^{2} \omega=C+D \omega .
$$

We have relations: (i) $\sigma^{2}=1$. (ii) $(\sigma \tau)^{3}=1$. (iii) $(\sigma \mu)^{2}=1$. (iv) $\tau \eta=\eta \tau$. (v) $\mu \tau \mu^{-1}=\tau^{A} \eta^{B}$. (vi) $\mu \eta \mu^{-1}=\tau^{C} \eta^{D}$. For $t \in \mathcal{O}_{F}^{\times}$, we have

$$
\sigma\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & t  \tag{vii}\\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \sigma=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -t^{-1} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \sigma\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-t & 1 \\
0 & -t^{-1}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

The relation (ii) follows from (vii) by taking $t=1$. We call the relation group $R$ minimal if it is generated by the elements corresponding to (i) $\sim$ (vii) and their conjugates. We see that $\mu, \tau$ and $\eta$ genarate $P$ and (iv) $\sim($ vi) are their fundamental relations.

Now let $\varphi \in H^{1}(R, V)^{\Gamma}$ be a corresponding element to $f$. Adding an element of $\operatorname{Im}\left(H^{1}(\mathcal{F}, V)\right)$, we may assume that $\varphi\left(\widetilde{\sigma}^{2}\right)=0$. Then we find (cf. (5.3))

$$
f(\sigma, \mu)=-\varphi\left((\widetilde{\sigma} \widetilde{\mu})^{2}\right) .
$$

Our problem is reduced to find constraints on $\varphi\left((\tilde{\sigma} \widetilde{\mu})^{2}\right)$. We have an obvious constraint $\sigma \mu \varphi\left((\widetilde{\sigma} \widetilde{\mu})^{2}\right)=\varphi\left((\widetilde{\sigma} \widetilde{\mu})^{2}\right)$ but of course it is not enough.

To proceed further, we assume that $l_{1}$ and $l_{2}$ are even and change $\rho$ to $\rho^{\prime}=\rho_{l_{1}}^{\prime} \otimes \rho_{l_{2}}^{\prime}$ where $\rho_{l}^{\prime}(g)=\rho_{l}(g) \operatorname{det}(g)^{-l / 2}$ and regard $V$ as a $\operatorname{PGL}\left(2, \mathcal{O}_{F}\right)-$ module. The $\Gamma$-module structure does not change. We put

$$
\nu=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\epsilon & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad \delta=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) .
$$

These two elements act on $\Gamma$ as outer automorphisms and induce automorphisms of $H^{2}(\Gamma, V)$ of order 2. Hence $H^{2}(\Gamma, V)$ decomposes into four pieces under their actions. Let $\Gamma^{*}$ be the subgroup of $\operatorname{PGL}\left(2, \mathcal{O}_{F}\right)$ generated by $\Gamma$ and $\nu$. The transfer map gives an isomorphism of the plus part of $H^{2}(\Gamma, V)$ under the action of $\nu$ onto $H^{2}\left(\Gamma^{*}, V\right)$. For simplicity suppose that we can
take $\omega=\epsilon$. Then $\sigma, \nu$ and $\tau$ generate $\Gamma^{*}$. Let $\mathcal{F}^{*}$ be the free group on three letters $\widetilde{\sigma}, \widetilde{\nu}, \widetilde{\tau}$. Define a surjective homorphism $\pi^{*}: \mathcal{F}^{*} \longrightarrow \Gamma^{*}$ by $\pi^{*}(\widetilde{\sigma})=\sigma, \pi^{*}(\widetilde{\nu})=\nu, \pi^{*}(\widetilde{\tau})=\tau$ and let $R^{*}$ be the kernel of $\pi^{*}$. Then we have $\Gamma^{*}=\mathcal{F}^{*} / R^{*}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{2}\left(\Gamma^{*}, V\right) \cong H^{1}\left(R^{*}, V\right)^{\Gamma^{*}} / \operatorname{Im}\left(H^{1}\left(\mathcal{F}^{*}, V\right)\right) \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $f^{*}$ be the transfer of $f$ to $\Gamma^{*}$ and let $f^{+}$be the restriction of $f^{*}$ to $\Gamma$. Then $f^{+}$is the projection of $f$ to the plus part. (We perform this procedure on the cocycle level.) We have

$$
f^{*}(\sigma, \mu)=f^{+}(\sigma, \mu)=(1+\nu) f(\sigma, \mu) .
$$

In $\Gamma^{*}, \sigma, \nu$ and $\tau$ satisfy the relations (i), (ii) and (iii*): $(\sigma \nu)^{2}=1$, (iv*): $\tau \nu \tau \nu^{-1}=\nu \tau \nu^{-1} \tau,\left(\mathrm{v}^{*}\right): \nu^{2} \tau \nu^{-2}=\tau^{A}\left(\nu \tau \nu^{-1}\right)^{B}$. Let $P^{*}$ be the subgroup of $\Gamma^{*}$ generated by $P$ and $\nu$. We see that $P^{*}$ is generated by $\nu$ and $\tau$ and (iv*) and ( $\mathrm{v}^{*}$ ) are the fundamental relations between generators $\nu$ and $\tau$. Let $\varphi^{*} \in H^{1}\left(R^{*}, V\right)^{\Gamma^{*}}$ be a corresponding element to $f^{*}$. By the parabolic condition on $f$, we may assume that $\varphi^{*}$ vanishes on the elements of $R^{*}$ corresponding to $\left(\mathrm{iv} \mathrm{v}^{*}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{v}^{*}\right)$. Adding an element of $\operatorname{Im}\left(H^{1}\left(\mathcal{F}^{*}, V\right)\right)$, we may also assume that $\varphi^{*}\left(\widetilde{\sigma}^{2}\right)=0$. Then we have (cf. (6.6))

$$
f^{*}(\sigma, \mu)=-\left(1+\nu^{-1}\right) \varphi^{*}\left((\widetilde{\sigma} \widetilde{\nu})^{2}\right)
$$

and two quantities

$$
A=\varphi^{*}\left((\widetilde{\sigma} \widetilde{\nu})^{2}\right), \quad B=\varphi^{*}\left((\widetilde{\sigma} \widetilde{\tau})^{3}\right)
$$

remain to be determined. The Hecke operators act on $H^{2}\left(\Gamma^{*}, V\right)$. We can analyze its action on the right-hand side of $\left(8^{*}\right)$ and will give a simple formula for it. The quantity $A$ is related to the critical values of $L(s, \Omega)$. We may assume that the class of $f^{*}$ is in the plus space of $H^{2}\left(\Gamma^{*}, V\right)$ under the action of $\delta$. Then $A$ must satisfy the constraints

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\sigma \nu-1) A=0, \quad(\delta-1) A=0 . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will execute the determination of $A$ for $F=\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{5})$ and $F=\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{13})$. First assume $F=\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{5})$. In this case, we can show that $R$ is minimal and that $R^{*}$ is generated by the elements corresponding to the relations (i), (ii), (iii*), (iv*), ( $\mathrm{v}^{*}$ ) and their conjugates. Calculating the action of the Hecke operator $T(2)$ on the right hand side of $\left(8^{*}\right)$, we find a certain element $x \in \mathcal{F}^{*}$ such that $\pi^{*}(x)^{3}=1$. We can give an explicit formula expressing $\varphi^{*}\left(x^{3}\right)$ in terms of $A$ and $B$. In every case examined, we find by numerical
computations that we may assume that $B=0$ by adding an element of $\operatorname{Im}\left(H^{1}\left(\mathcal{F}^{*}, V\right)\right)$. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x-1) \varphi^{*}\left(x^{3}\right)=0 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

gives a new constraint on $A$. Let $Z_{A}^{+}$be the subspace of $V$ consisting of all $A$ which satisfy (9) and (10) and let $B_{A}^{+}$be the subspace of $Z_{A}^{+}$which represents the contribution from $\operatorname{Im}\left(H^{1}\left(\mathcal{F}^{*}, V\right)\right)$. Again in every case examined, we find by numerical computations that $\operatorname{dim} S_{l_{1}+2, l_{2}+2}(\Gamma)=\operatorname{dim} Z_{A}^{+} / B_{A}^{+}$. If this is one dimensional, we can deduce information on $L$-values by calculating $Z_{A}^{+}$. In general, calculating the action of $T(2)$ on $Z_{A}^{+} / B_{A}^{+}$and taking eigenvectors, we can obtain many examples on $L$-values. Actually by considering $f^{+}$, we are losing half of the information on critical values (cf. §5.6). To treat all critical values, we need to consider $f^{-}$, the projection of $f$ to the minus part of $H^{2}(\Gamma, V)$ under the action of $\nu$. To handle $f^{-}$is a somewhat more complicated task and we leave the explanation of it to the text. Next let $F=\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{13})$. The procedure is almost the same. Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be the prime ideal generated by $4-\sqrt{13}$. Calculating the action of the Hecke operator $T(\mathfrak{p})$, we obtain a certain element $x \in \mathcal{F}^{*}$ such that $\pi^{*}(x)$ is of order 3 . Then the constraint $(x-1) \varphi^{*}\left(x^{3}\right)=0$ obtained from $x$ is sufficient. Here remarkably we can perform rigorous calculations without proving that $R$ is minimal. (This is actually true also for the case $F=\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{5})$.) We have used Pari [PARI] for the numerical calculations in sections 6 and 7 .

To calculate the ratios of critical values of L-functions, there is another method initiated by Shimura [Sh3] which employs the Rankin-Selberg convolution and differential operators. A comparison of this method and the cohomological method will be discussed in section 8 .

Now let us explain the organization of this paper briefly. In section 1, we will review several facts on cohomology of a group which will be repeatedly used in later sections. In section 2, we will review Hilbert modular forms. We will prove (5) and (6). In section 3, we will study cohomology groups of $P$ and will prove the theorem stated above. In section 4, we will examine the parabolic condition on a cocycle applying results in section 3 . We will prove the nonvanishing of the cohomology class of $f$ under mild conditions. In section 5 , we will study the decomposition of $H^{2}(\Gamma, V)$ under the action of outer automorphisms of $\Gamma$. It decomposes into four pieces under this action. In section 6 , we will study the case $F=\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{5})$ in detail and will give many examples. In section 7 , we will study the case $F=\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{13})$. We devote section 8 to the comparison of two methods mentioned above. In Appendix, we will prove that the relation group $R$ is minimal when $F=\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{5})$.

Notation. For an associative ring $A$ with identity element, $A^{\times}$denotes
the group of all invertible elements of $A$. Let $R$ be a commutative ring with identity element. We denote by $M(n, R)$ the ring of all $n \times n$ matrices with entries in $R$. We define $\operatorname{GL}(n, R)=M(n, R)^{\times}, \mathrm{SL}(n, R)=\{g \in \operatorname{GL}(n, R) \mid$ $\operatorname{det} g=1\}$. The quotient group of $\mathrm{GL}(n, R)$ (resp. $\mathrm{SL}(n, R)$ ) by its center is denoted by $\operatorname{PGL}(n, R)$ (resp. $\operatorname{PSL}(n, R)$ ). Let $G$ be a group. The subgroup of $G$ generated by $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n} \in G$ is denoted by $\left\langle g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right\rangle$. When $G$ acts on a module $M, M^{G}$ denotes the submodule of $M$ consisting of all elements fixed by $G$. For an algebraic number field $F, \mathcal{O}_{F}$ denotes the ring of integers of $F$. For $a \in \mathcal{O}_{F}$, the ideal $a \mathcal{O}_{F}$ generated by $a$ is denoted by ( $a$ ). We denote by $E_{F}$ the group of units of $F$, i.e., $E_{F}=\mathcal{O}_{F}^{\times}$. When $F$ is totally real and $\alpha \in F, \alpha \gg 0$ means that $\alpha$ is totally positive. We denote by $\mathfrak{H}$ the complex upper half plane. The set of all positive real numbers is denoted by $\mathbf{R}_{+}$.

## §1. Preparations on cohomology groups

In this section, we will review group cohomology. Most of the results, except for the results presented in subsection 1.5, can be found in standard text books such as Cartan-Eilenberg [CE], Serre [Se1], Suzuki[Su].
1.1. Let $G$ be a group, $M$ be a left $G$-module. We set $C^{0}(G, M)=M$, and for $0<n \in \mathbf{Z}$, let $C^{n}(G, M)$ be the abelian group consisting of all mappings of $G^{n}$ into $M$. We define the coboundary operator $d_{n}: C^{n}(G, M) \longrightarrow$ $C^{n+1}(G, M)$ by the usual formula

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(d_{n} f\right)\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n+1}\right)=g_{1} f\left(g_{2}, \ldots, g_{n+1}\right)+(-1)^{n+1} f\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right) \\
+\sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^{i} f\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{i} g_{i+1}, \ldots, g_{n+1}\right) . \tag{1.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

We set

$$
Z^{n}(G, M)=\operatorname{Ker}\left(d_{n}\right), \quad B^{n}(G, M)=\operatorname{Im}\left(d_{n-1}\right)
$$

Here we understand $B^{0}(G, M)=\{0\}$. An element of $C^{n}(G, M)$ (resp. $Z^{n}(G, M)$, resp. $\left.B^{n}(G, M)\right)$ is called an $n$-cochain (resp. $n$-cocycle, resp. $n$-coboundary). The cohomology group $H^{n}(G, M)$ is that of the complex $\left\{C^{n}(G, M), d_{n}\right\}$, i.e., $H^{n}(G, M)=Z^{n}(G, M) / B^{n}(G, M)$.

Let $G^{\prime}$ be a group and $M^{\prime}$ be a left $G^{\prime}$-module. Let $\varphi: G \longrightarrow G^{\prime}$ be a group homomorphism and $\psi: M^{\prime} \longrightarrow M$ be a homomorphism of abelian groups. We assume that $\varphi$ and $\psi$ are compatible, that is

$$
\psi\left(\varphi(g) m^{\prime}\right)=g\left(\psi\left(m^{\prime}\right)\right), \quad m^{\prime} \in M^{\prime}, \quad g \in G .
$$

For $f \in C^{n}\left(G^{\prime}, M^{\prime}\right)$, define $\omega_{n} f \in C^{n}(G, M)$ by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\omega_{n} f\right)\left(g_{1}, g_{2}, \ldots, g_{n}\right)=\psi\left(f\left(\varphi\left(g_{1}\right), \varphi\left(g_{2}\right), \ldots, \varphi\left(g_{n}\right)\right)\right. \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we can check easily that the following diagram is commutative.


Therefore $\omega_{n}$ sends $Z^{n}\left(G^{\prime}, M^{\prime}\right)$ (resp. $\left.B^{n}\left(G^{\prime}, M^{\prime}\right)\right)$ into $Z^{n}(G, M)$ (resp. $\left.B^{n}(G, M)\right)$ and induces a homomorphism $H^{n}\left(G^{\prime}, M^{\prime}\right) \longrightarrow H^{n}(G, M)$.

Now let $N$ be a subgroup of $G$. Let $g \in G$. We define

$$
\varphi(n)=g n g^{-1}, \quad n \in g^{-1} N g, \quad \psi(m)=g^{-1} m, \quad m \in M .
$$

Then $\varphi$ is an isomorphism of $g^{-1} N g$ onto $N ; \varphi$ and $\psi$ are compatible. Hence we obtain an isomorphism of $H^{p}(N, M)$ onto $H^{p}\left(g^{-1} N g, M\right)$, which is induced by sending $f \in Z^{p}(N, M)$ to $f^{\prime} \in Z^{p}\left(g^{-1} N g, M\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{p}\right)=g^{-1} f\left(g n_{1} g^{-1}, g n_{2} g^{-1}, \ldots, g n_{p} g^{-1}\right) . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

1.2. Let $H$ be a subgroup of $G$ of finite index. We are going to consider an explicit form of the transfer map $H^{n}(H, M) \longrightarrow H^{n}(G, M)$ (cf. Eckmann $[\mathrm{E}])$. To this end, it is convenient to go back to a more conceptual definition of group cohomology:

$$
H^{n}(G, M)=\operatorname{Ext}_{G}^{n}(\mathbf{Z}, M)
$$

The right-hand side can be computed as follows. We take a resolution of $\mathbf{Z}$ by projective $G$-modules $P_{n}$. ${ }^{1}$

$$
\cdots \longrightarrow P_{2} \xrightarrow{d_{1}} P_{1} \xrightarrow{d_{0}} P_{0} \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \mathbf{Z} \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

Then we obtain a complex
$0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(P_{0}, M\right) \xrightarrow{d_{0}^{*}} \operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(P_{1}, M\right) \xrightarrow{d_{1}^{*}} \operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(P_{2}, M\right) \xrightarrow{d_{2}^{*}} \cdots$
which gives the cohomology group $H^{n}(G, M)=\operatorname{Ker}\left(d_{n}^{*}\right) / \operatorname{Im}\left(d_{n-1}^{*}\right)$. (If $n=0$, we understand $\operatorname{Im}\left(d_{n-1}^{*}\right)=0$.) As is well known, an explicit form of a resolution can be given as follows. Let $P_{n}$ be the free abelian group on the base $G^{n+1}$. We give $P_{n}$ a $G$-module structure by

$$
g\left(g_{0}, g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right)=\left(g g_{0}, g g_{1}, \ldots, g g_{n}\right), \quad g \in G
$$

[^1]and define $d_{n-1}: P_{n} \longrightarrow P_{n-1}$ by
$$
d_{n-1}\left(g_{0}, g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{n}(-1)^{i}\left(g_{0}, \ldots, g_{i-1}, g_{i+1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right), \quad n \geq 1
$$

We set $\epsilon\left(g_{0}\right)=1$. We have

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(P_{n}, M\right)=\left\{\varphi: G^{n+1} \longrightarrow M \mid \varphi\left(g g_{0}, \ldots, g g_{n}\right)=g \varphi\left(g_{0}, \ldots, g_{n}\right)\right\}
$$

An element of $\operatorname{Ker}\left(d_{n}^{*}\right)$ is called a homogeneous $n$-cocycle. $\operatorname{To} \varphi \in \operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(P_{n}, M\right)$, we let $f \in C^{n}(G, M)$ correspond by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(g_{1}, g_{2}, \ldots, g_{n}\right)=\varphi\left(1, g_{1}, g_{1} g_{2}, \ldots, g_{1} g_{2} \cdots g_{n}\right) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\varphi \mapsto f$ gives an isomophism of abelian groups. The coboundary operator $d_{n}^{*}$ induces $d_{n}$ on $C^{n}(G, M)$ which is given by (1.1).

Now let $H$ be a subgroup of $G$ of finite index $r$ and let

$$
G=\sqcup_{i=1}^{r} x_{i} H
$$

be a coset decomposition. For $G$-modules $A$ and $B$, we define a homomorphism $t: \operatorname{Hom}_{H}(B, A) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{G}(B, A)$ by

$$
(t \varphi)(b)=\sum_{i=1}^{r} x_{i} \varphi\left(x_{i}^{-1} b\right) .
$$

We denote $P_{n}$ for $G$ (resp. $H$ ) by $P_{n}^{G}$ (resp. $P_{n}^{H}$ ). Since $P_{n}^{G}$ is a free $H$-module, the complex $\left\{\operatorname{Hom}_{H}\left(P_{n}^{G}, M\right), d_{n}^{*}\right\}$ gives the cohomology group $H^{n}(H, M)$. Define $P_{n}^{G} \longrightarrow P_{n}^{H}$ by $\left(g_{0}, \ldots, g_{n}\right) \mapsto\left(h_{0}, \ldots, h_{n}\right)$ where $h_{i}$ is determined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{i}=h_{i} x_{j(i)}^{-1}, \quad h_{i} \in H, \quad 0 \leq i \leq n . \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is an $H$-homomorphism and commutes with $d_{n}$; it induces an isomophism between the cohomology groups of complexes $\left\{\operatorname{Hom}_{H}\left(P_{n}^{H}, M\right), d_{n}^{*}\right\}$ and $\left\{\operatorname{Hom}_{H}\left(P_{n}^{G}, M\right), d_{n}^{*}\right\}$. Now the following diagram is commutative.


Hence it gives the transfer map $T: H^{n}(H, M) \longrightarrow H^{n}(G, M)$.

Take $\varphi \in \operatorname{Hom}_{H}\left(P_{n}^{H}, M\right)$. The corresponding element $\varphi^{*} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{H}\left(P_{n}^{G}, M\right)$ to $\varphi$ is given by

$$
\varphi^{*}\left(g_{0}, g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right)=\varphi\left(g_{0} x_{j(0)}, g_{1} x_{j(1)}, \ldots, g_{n} x_{j(n)}\right),
$$

where $x_{j(i)}$ is determined by (1.5). Then $\tilde{\varphi}=t \varphi^{*} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(P_{n}^{G}, M\right)$ is given by

$$
\tilde{\varphi}\left(g_{0}, g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{r} x_{j} \varphi^{*}\left(x_{j}^{-1} g_{0}, x_{j}^{-1} g_{1}, \ldots, x_{j}^{-1} g_{n}\right)
$$

Therefore we obtain the following result.
Proposition 1.1. Let $G$ be a group, $H$ be a subgroup of finite index and $M$ be a left $G$-module. Let $G=\sqcup_{i=1}^{r} x_{i} H$ be a coset decomposition. Let $c \in H^{n}(H, M)$ and let $\varphi \in \operatorname{Hom}_{H}\left(P_{n}^{H}, M\right)$ be a homogeneous $n$-cocycle representing $c$. Then a homogeneous $n$-cocycle $\tilde{\varphi} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(P_{n}^{G}, M\right)$ which represents $T(c)$ is given by

$$
\tilde{\varphi}\left(g_{0}, g_{1}, \ldots g_{n}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{r} x_{i} \varphi\left(x_{i}^{-1} g_{0} x_{j_{i}(0)}, x_{i}^{-1} g_{1} x_{j_{i}(1)}, \ldots, x_{i}^{-1} g_{n} x_{j_{i}(n)}\right) .
$$

Here $x_{j_{i}(k)}$ is chosen so that $x_{i}^{-1} g_{k} x_{j_{i}(k)} \in H$.
Then using (1.4), we immediately deduce:
Proposition 1.2. Let the notation be the same as in Proposition 1.1. Let $f \in Z^{n}(H, M)$ be an $n$-cocycle representing $c \in H^{n}(H, M)$. Then an $n$-cocycle $\tilde{f} \in Z^{n}(G, M)$ which represents $T(c) \in H^{n}(G, M)$ is given by

$$
\tilde{f}\left(g_{1}, g_{2}, \ldots, g_{n}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{r} x_{i} f\left(x_{i}^{-1} g_{1} x_{p_{i}(1)}, x_{p_{i}(1)}^{-1} g_{2} x_{p_{i}(2)}, \ldots, x_{p_{i}(n-1)}^{-1} g_{n} x_{p_{i}(n)}\right)
$$

Here $x_{p_{i}(l)}$ is chosen so that

$$
x_{i}^{-1} g_{1} x_{p_{i}(1)} \in H, \quad x_{p_{i}(l-1)}^{-1} g_{l} x_{p_{i}(l)} \in H, \quad 2 \leq l \leq n .
$$

Let Res : $H^{n}(G, M) \longrightarrow H^{n}(H, M)$ be the restriction homomorphism. Then we have the well-known result:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T \circ \operatorname{Res}(c)=[G: H] c, \quad c \in H^{n}(G, M) . \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

1.3. We are going to consider the action of Hecke operators on cohomology groups. Let $\widetilde{G}$ be a group and $G$ be a subgroup. Let $M$ be a $\widetilde{G}$-module.

We assume that $G$ and $t G t^{-1}$ are commensurable for every $t \in \tilde{G}$. For $t \in \widetilde{G}$, we put

$$
G_{t}=G \cap t^{-1} G t
$$

Let

$$
\text { conj }: H^{n}(G, M) \longrightarrow H^{n}\left(t^{-1} G t, M\right)
$$

be the isomorphism induced by (1.3). Let Res be the restriction map from $H^{n}\left(t^{-1} G t, M\right)$ to $H^{n}\left(G_{t}, M\right)$ and let $T: H^{n}\left(G_{t}, M\right) \longrightarrow H^{n}(G, M)$ be the transfer map. Then we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
[G t G]=T \circ \operatorname{Res} \circ \text { conj } . \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

(It is not difficult to check that the right-hand side of (1.7) depends only on the double coset $G t G$ and that (1.7) defines a homomorphism of the Hecke ring $H(G, \widetilde{G})$ into $\operatorname{End}\left(H^{n}(G, M)\right)$.) Let us write an explicit form of this operator when $n=2$, which will be necessary for our later computation. Let

$$
G=\sqcup_{i=1}^{d} G_{t} \alpha_{i}
$$

be a coset decomposition. Then we have

$$
G t G=\sqcup_{i=1}^{d} G t \alpha_{i} .
$$

Put $\beta_{i}=t \alpha_{i}$. Let $c \in H^{2}(G, M)$ and let $f \in Z^{2}(G, M)$ be a 2-cocycle which represents $c$. By (1.3), $\operatorname{conj}(c)$ is represented by the 2-cocycle $f^{\prime}\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)=$ $t^{-1} f\left(t g_{1} t^{-1}, t g_{2} t^{-1}\right)$. By Proposition 1.2, [GtG](c) is represented by the 2cocycle

$$
f^{\prime \prime}\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{d} \alpha_{i}^{-1} f^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{i} g_{1} \alpha_{j(i)}^{-1}, \alpha_{j(i)} g_{2} \alpha_{k(j(i))}^{-1}\right),
$$

since $G=\sqcup_{i=1}^{d} \alpha_{i}^{-1} G_{t}$. Here, for $1 \leq i \leq d$, we choose $j(i)$ and $k(i)$ so that

$$
\alpha_{i} g_{1} \alpha_{j(i)}^{-1} \in G_{t}, \quad \alpha_{i} g_{2} \alpha_{k(i)}^{-1} \in G_{t} .
$$

Writing the result in terms of $\beta_{i}$, we obtain the following proposition.
Proposition 1.3. Let $c \in H^{2}(G, M)$ and let $f \in Z^{2}(G, M)$ be a 2-cocycle representiong $c$. Let $G t G=\sqcup_{i=1}^{d} G \beta_{i}$ be a coset decomposition. Then a 2-cocycle $h \in Z^{2}(G, M)$ representing $[G t G](c)$ is given by

$$
h\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{d} \beta_{i}^{-1} f\left(\beta_{i} g_{1} \beta_{j(i)}^{-1}, \beta_{j(i)} g_{2} \beta_{k(j(i))}^{-1}\right) .
$$

Here, for $1 \leq i \leq d$, we choose $j(i)$ and $k(i)$ so that

$$
\beta_{i} g_{1} \beta_{j(i)}^{-1} \in G, \quad \beta_{i} g_{2} \beta_{k(i)}^{-1} \in G .
$$

1.4. Let $G$ be a group and $M$ be a left $G$-module. Let $N$ be a normal subgroup of $G$. Then we have the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{2}^{p, q}=H^{p}\left(G / N, H^{q}(N, M)\right) \Longrightarrow H^{n}(G, M) . \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In low dimensions, this gives an exact sequence

$$
\begin{align*}
0 \longrightarrow H^{1}\left(G / N, M^{N}\right) & \longrightarrow H^{1}(G, M) \longrightarrow H^{1}(N, M)^{G / N} \\
& \longrightarrow H^{2}\left(G / N, M^{N}\right) \longrightarrow H^{2}(G, M) . \tag{1.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Now we are going to describe a method to calculate $H^{2}(G, M)$, which is originally due to MacLane (cf. [K], §50). Taking a free group $\mathcal{F}$, we write $G=\mathcal{F} / R$. Let $\pi: \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow G$ be the canonical homomorphism such that $\operatorname{Ker}(\pi)=R$. We regard $M$ as an $\mathcal{F}$-module by $g m=\pi(g) m, g \in \mathcal{F}, m \in M$. Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{i}(\mathcal{F}, M)=0, \quad i \geq 2 \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

(1.9) yields an exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow H^{1}(G, M) \longrightarrow H^{1}(\mathcal{F}, M) \longrightarrow H^{1}(R, M)^{G} \longrightarrow H^{2}(G, M) \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

Therefore we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{2}(G, M) \cong H^{1}(R, M)^{G} / \operatorname{Im}\left(H^{1}(\mathcal{F}, M)\right) . \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $R$ acts on $M$ trivially, we have $B^{1}(R, M)=0$ and $H^{1}(R, M)=$ $\operatorname{Hom}(R, M)$. Therefore we have

$$
H^{1}(R, M)^{G}=\left\{\varphi \in \operatorname{Hom}(R, M) \mid \varphi\left(g r g^{-1}\right)=g \varphi(r), \quad g \in \mathcal{F}, r \in R\right\}
$$

The isomorphism (1.11) is explicitly given as follows. For $g \in \mathcal{F}$, we put $\pi(g)=\bar{g}$. Take a 2 -cocycle $f \in Z^{2}(G, M)$. The mapping $\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right) \longrightarrow$ $f\left(\bar{g}_{1}, \bar{g}_{2}\right)$ is an $M$-valued 2-cocycle of $\mathcal{F}$. By (1.10), there exists a 1-cochain $a \in C^{1}(\mathcal{F}, M)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(\bar{g}_{1}, \bar{g}_{2}\right)=g_{1} a\left(g_{2}\right)+a\left(g_{1}\right)-a\left(g_{1} g_{2}\right), \quad g_{1}, g_{2} \in \mathcal{F} . \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\varphi=a \mid R$, the restriction of $a$ to $R$. We may assume that $f$ is normalized, i.e.,

$$
f(1, g)=f(g, 1)=0 \quad \text { for all } g \in G .
$$

If $r_{1}, r_{2} \in R$, then, by (1.12), we have

$$
a\left(r_{2}\right)+a\left(r_{1}\right)-a\left(r_{1} r_{2}\right)=0 .
$$

Therefore we get $\varphi \in Z^{1}(R, M)=\operatorname{Hom}(R, M)$. By (1.12), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(g r)=g a(r)+a(g), \quad g \in \mathcal{F}, r \in R . \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again by (1.12), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
a\left(g r g^{-1}\right) & =\operatorname{gra}\left(g^{-1}\right)+a(g r)-f\left(\bar{g}, \bar{g}^{-1}\right) \\
& =g a\left(g^{-1}\right)+g a(r)+a(g)-f\left(\bar{g}, \bar{g}^{-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for $g \in \mathcal{F}, r \in R$. Using (1.12) with $g_{1}=g, g_{2}=g^{-1}$ and noting $a(1)=0$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi\left(g r g^{-1}\right)=g \varphi(r), \quad g \in \mathcal{F}, r \in R . \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

This formula shows that $\varphi$ belongs to $H^{1}(R, M)^{G}$. Suppose that $a^{\prime}$ is another 1 -cochain satisfying (1.12). Put $\varphi^{\prime}=a^{\prime} \mid R, a^{\prime}=a+b$. Then $b \in Z^{1}(\mathcal{F}, M)$. Hence the classes of $\varphi$ and $\varphi^{\prime}$ in $H^{1}(R, M)^{G} / \operatorname{Im}\left(H^{1}(\mathcal{F}, M)\right)$ are the same. Suppose that we add the coboundary of a 1-cochain $c$ to $f$. Then (1.12) holds when we replace $a(g)$ by $a(g)+c(\bar{g})$. Then $a \mid R$ does not change. Thus we have defined a homomorphism

$$
\omega: H^{2}(G, M) \longrightarrow H^{1}(R, M)^{G} / \operatorname{Im}\left(H^{1}(\mathcal{F}, M)\right) .
$$

Next suppose that $\varphi \in H^{1}(R, M)^{G}$. Take a coset decomposition $\mathcal{F}=$ $\sqcup_{i} f_{i} R$. We assume that if $f_{i} R=R$, then $f_{i}=1$. We extend $\varphi$ to a mapping from $\mathcal{F}$ to $M$ as follows. Choose $a\left(f_{i}\right) \in M$ in arbitrary way. Then put

$$
\begin{equation*}
a\left(f_{i} r\right)=f_{i} \varphi(r)+a\left(f_{i}\right), \quad r \in R . \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $g_{1}=f_{i} r_{1}, g_{2}=f_{j} r_{2}, r_{1}, r_{2} \in R$, a direct calculation shows that

$$
g_{1} a\left(g_{2}\right)+a\left(g_{1}\right)-a\left(g_{1} g_{2}\right)=f_{i} a\left(f_{j}\right)+a\left(f_{i}\right)-a\left(f_{k}\right)-\varphi\left(f_{i} f_{j} f_{k}^{-1}\right) .
$$

Here $f_{i} f_{j}=f_{k} r_{3}, r_{3} \in R$. Note that $f_{k}$ does not depend on $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$. Therefore we can define a 2 -cochain $f \in C^{2}(G, M)$ by (1.12) Then it is immediate to see that $f \in Z^{2}(G, M)$ and that $f$ is normalized (see Lemma 1.4 below). When we add an element of $\operatorname{Im}\left(H^{1}(\mathcal{F}, M)\right)$ to $\varphi$, the cohomology class of $f$ does not change. Thus we have defined a homomorphism

$$
\eta: H^{1}(R, M)^{G} / \operatorname{Im}\left(H^{1}(\mathcal{F}, M)\right) \longrightarrow H^{2}(G, M) .
$$

We can check easily that $\omega$ and $\eta$ are inverse mappings to each other. This finishes an explicit description of the isomorphism (1.11).
1.5. Let $f \in Z^{2}(G, M)$ be a normalized cocycle. Take $a \in C^{1}(\mathcal{F}, M)$ which satisfies (1.12) and put $\varphi=a \mid R \in H^{1}(R, M)^{G}$. For every $g \in G$, we choose $\widetilde{g} \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $\pi(\widetilde{g})=g$. The formula (1.12) can be written as

$$
f\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)=g_{1} a\left(\widetilde{g}_{2}\right)+a\left(\widetilde{g}_{1}\right)-a\left(\widetilde{g}_{1} \widetilde{g}_{2}\right), \quad g_{1}, g_{2} \in G .
$$

By (1.13), we have

$$
a\left(\widetilde{g_{1} g_{2}}\left(\widetilde{g_{1} g_{2}}\right)^{-1} \widetilde{g}_{1} \widetilde{g}_{2}\right)=g_{1} g_{2} \varphi\left(\left(\widetilde{g_{1} g_{2}}\right)^{-1} \widetilde{g}_{1} \widetilde{g}_{2}\right)+a\left(\widetilde{g_{1} g_{2}}\right) .
$$

Then, using (1.14), we have

$$
a\left(\widetilde{g}_{1} \widetilde{g}_{2}\right)=a\left(\widetilde{g_{1} g_{2}}\right)+\varphi\left(\widetilde{g}_{1} \widetilde{g}_{2}\left(\widetilde{g_{1} g_{2}}\right)^{-1}\right) .
$$

Therefore we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)=g_{1} a\left(\widetilde{g}_{2}\right)+a\left(\widetilde{g}_{1}\right)-a\left(\widetilde{g_{1} g_{2}}\right)-\varphi\left(\widetilde{g}_{1} \widetilde{g}_{2}\left(\widetilde{g_{1} g_{2}}\right)^{-1}\right), \quad g_{1}, g_{2} \in G . \tag{1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

This formula shows that, adding a coboundary to $f$, we may assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)=-\varphi\left(\widetilde{g}_{1} \widetilde{g}_{2}\left(\widetilde{g_{1} g_{2}}\right)^{-1}\right) \tag{1.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Conversely we note the following Lemma.
Lemma 1.4. Let $\varphi \in H^{1}(R . M)^{G}$. For $g_{1}, g_{2} \in G$, define $f\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)$ by (1.17). Then $f \in Z^{2}(G, M)$. If $1=1, f$ is normalized.

Proof. The cocycle condition is

$$
g_{1} f\left(g_{2}, g_{3}\right)-f\left(g_{1} g_{2}, g_{3}\right)+f\left(g_{1}, g_{2} g_{3}\right)-f\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)=0 .
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g_{1} \varphi\left(\widetilde{g}_{2} \widetilde{g}_{3}\left(\widetilde{g_{2} g_{3}}\right)^{-1}\right)-\varphi\left(\widetilde{g_{1} g_{2}} \widetilde{g}_{3}\left(\widetilde{g_{1} g_{2} g_{3}}\right)^{-1}\right)+\varphi\left(\widetilde{g}_{1} \widetilde{g_{2} g_{3}}\left(\widetilde{g_{1} g_{2} g_{3}}\right)^{-1}\right) \\
- & \varphi\left(\widetilde{g}_{1} \widetilde{g}_{2}\left(\widetilde{g_{1} g_{2}}\right)^{-1}\right) \\
= & \varphi\left(\widetilde{g}_{1} \widetilde{g}_{2} \widetilde{g}_{3}\left(\widetilde{g_{2} g_{3}}\right)^{-1} \widetilde{g}_{1}^{-1}\right)+\varphi\left(\widetilde{g_{1}} \widetilde{g_{2} g_{3}}\left(\widetilde{g_{1} g_{2} g_{3}}\right)^{-1}\right)+\varphi\left(\widetilde{g_{1} g_{2} g_{3}} \widetilde{g}_{3}^{-1}\left(\widetilde{g_{1} g_{2}}\right)^{-1}\right) \\
+ & \varphi\left(\widetilde{\left.g_{1} g_{2} \widetilde{g}_{2}^{-1} \widetilde{g}_{1}^{-1}\right)}\right. \\
= & \varphi\left(\widetilde{g}_{1} \widetilde{g}_{2} \widetilde{g}_{3}\left(\widetilde{g_{2} g_{3}}\right)^{-1} \widetilde{g}_{1}^{-1}\right)+\varphi\left(\widetilde{g_{1}} \widetilde{g_{2} g_{3}} \widetilde{g}_{3}^{-1}\left(\widetilde{g_{1} g_{2}}\right)^{-1}\right)+\varphi\left(\widetilde{g_{1} g_{2} \widetilde{g}_{2}^{-1}} \widetilde{g}_{1}^{-1}\right) \\
= & \varphi\left(\widetilde{g_{1} \widetilde{g}_{2}}\left(\widetilde{g_{1} g_{2}}\right)^{-1}\right)+\varphi\left(\widetilde{g_{1} g_{2}} \widetilde{g}_{2}^{-1} \widetilde{g}_{1}^{-1}\right)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence the cocycle condition holds. The latter assertion is obvious. This completes the proof.

We are going to write the action of Hecke operators on the right-hand side of (1.11) explicitly. Let the notation be the same as in subsections 1.3 and 1.4. Let $f \in Z^{2}(G, M)$ be a normalized 2-cocycle of the cohomology class $c$. Let $h$ be the 2-cocycle given by Proposition 1.3 which represents the class $[G t G](c)$. Clearly $h$ is normalized. There exists a 1-cochain $b \in C^{1}(\mathcal{F}, M)$ such that

$$
h\left(\bar{g}_{1}, \bar{g}_{2}\right)=g_{1} b\left(g_{2}\right)+b\left(g_{1}\right)-b\left(g_{1} g_{2}\right), \quad g_{1}, g_{2} \in \mathcal{F} .
$$

Proposition 1.5. Let $\varphi \in H^{1}(R, M)^{G}$ and let a normalized 2-cocycle $f \in Z^{2}(G, M)$ be given by (1.17). Suppose $g_{j} \in G$ are given for $1 \leq j \leq m$. For every $j$, we define a permutation on $d$ letters $p_{j} \in S_{d}$ by

$$
\beta_{i} g_{j} \beta_{p_{j}(i)}^{-1} \in G, \quad 1 \leq i \leq d .
$$

We define $q_{j} \in S_{d}$ inductively by

$$
q_{1}=p_{1}, \quad q_{k}=p_{k} q_{k-1}, \quad 2 \leq k \leq m .
$$

We assume that $b\left(\widetilde{g}_{j}\right)=0$ for $1 \leq j \leq m$. Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& b\left(\widetilde{g}_{1} \widetilde{g}_{2} \cdots \widetilde{g}_{m}\right)  \tag{1.18}\\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{d} \beta_{i}^{-1} \varphi\left(\beta_{i} g_{1} \beta_{q_{1}(i)}^{-1}\right. \\
& \beta_{q_{1}(i)} g_{2} \beta_{q_{2}(i)}^{-1} \\
& \widetilde{\beta_{q_{m-1}(i)} g_{m} \beta_{q_{m}(i)}^{-1}}\left(\beta_{i} g_{1} g_{2} \cdots g_{m}\right. \\
&\left.\left.\beta_{q_{m}(i)}^{-1}\right)^{-1}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. If $m=1$, the left-hand side of (1.18) is 0 and the right-hand side is 0 since $\varphi(1)=0$. We assume that $m \geq 2$ and the formula is valid for $m-1$. Then, by Proposition 1.3 and (1.17), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b\left(\widetilde{g}_{1} \widetilde{g}_{2} \cdots \widetilde{g}_{m-1} \widetilde{g}_{m}\right) \\
& =g_{1} g_{2} \cdots g_{m-1} b\left(\widetilde{g}_{m}\right)+b\left(\widetilde{g}_{1} \widetilde{g}_{2} \cdots \widetilde{g}_{m-1}\right)-h\left(g_{1} \cdots g_{m-1}, g_{m}\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{d} \beta_{i}^{-1} \varphi\left(\widetilde{\beta_{i} g_{1} \beta_{q_{1}(i)}^{-1}} \cdots \beta_{q_{m-2}(i)} \widetilde{g_{m-1} \beta_{q_{m-1}(i)}^{-1}}\left(\beta_{i} g_{1} g_{2} \cdots g_{m-1} \beta_{q_{m-1}(i)}^{-1}\right)^{-1}\right) \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{d} \beta_{i}^{-1} \varphi\left(\beta_{i} g_{1} g_{2} \cdots g_{m-1} \beta_{q_{m-1}(i)}^{-1} \beta_{q_{m-1}(i)} \widetilde{g_{m} \beta_{q_{m}(i)}^{-1}}\left(\beta_{i} g_{1} g_{2} \cdots g_{m} \beta_{q_{m}(i)}^{-1}\right)^{-1}\right) \\
& \left.=\sum_{i=1}^{d} \beta_{i}^{-1} \varphi \widetilde{\widetilde{\beta_{i} g_{1} \beta_{q_{1}(i)}^{-1}} \cdots \beta_{q_{m-1}(i)} g_{m} \beta_{q_{m}(i)}^{-1}}\left(\beta_{i} g_{1} g_{2} \cdots g_{m} \beta_{q_{m}(i)}^{-1}\right)^{-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

since $b\left(\widetilde{g}_{m}\right)=0$. This completes the proof.

We have

$$
b\left(g_{1} g_{2}\right)=g_{1} b\left(g_{2}\right)+b\left(g_{1}\right)-h\left(\bar{g}_{1}, \bar{g}_{2}\right), \quad g_{1}, g_{2} \in \mathcal{F} .
$$

We may take $b(g)=0$ for a fixed set of generators of $\mathcal{F}$ and we can apply the above formula to determine the value of $b(g)$ according to the length of $g \in \mathcal{F}$. But Proposition 1.5 is useful beyond this case as will be seen after section 5 .

## §2. Hilbert modular forms

2.1. In this subsection, we follow the exposition given in Shimura [Sh4]. Let $F$ be a totally real algebraic number field of degree $n$. Let $\mathfrak{d}_{F}$ denote the different of $F$ over $\mathbf{Q}$ and let $\left\{\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \ldots, \sigma_{n}\right\}$ be the set of all isomorphisms of $F$ into $\mathbf{R}$. For $\xi \in F$, we put $\xi^{(\nu)}=\xi^{\sigma_{\nu}}$. For $z=\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{n}\right) \in \mathfrak{H}^{n}$, we put

$$
\mathbf{e}_{F}(\xi z)=\exp \left(2 \pi i \sum_{\nu=1}^{n} \xi^{(\nu)} z_{\nu}\right) .
$$

Let $k=\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots, k_{n}\right) \in \mathbf{Z}^{n}$. For $g=\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right) \in \operatorname{GL}(2, \mathbf{R})_{+}$and $z \in \mathfrak{H}$, we put $g z=(a z+b) /(c z+d), j(g, z)=c z+d$, where $\mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbf{R})_{+}=\{g \in$ $\operatorname{GL}(2, \mathbf{R}) \mid \operatorname{det} g>0\} ; \operatorname{GL}(2, \mathbf{R})_{+}^{n}$ acts on $\mathfrak{H}^{n}$. For a function $\Omega$ on $\mathfrak{H}^{n}$, $g=\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right) \in \mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbf{R})_{+}^{n}$ and $z=\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right) \in \mathfrak{H}^{n}$, we define a function $\left.\Omega\right|_{k} g$ on $\mathfrak{H}^{n}$ by the formula

$$
\left(\left.\Omega\right|_{k} g\right)(z)=\prod_{\nu=1}^{n} \operatorname{det}\left(g_{\nu}\right)^{k_{\nu} / 2} j\left(g_{\nu}, z_{\nu}\right)^{-k_{\nu}} \Omega(g z) .
$$

We embed $\mathrm{GL}(2, F)$ into $\mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbf{R})^{n}$ by

$$
\mathrm{GL}(2, F) \ni\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right) \mapsto\left(\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a^{(1)} & b^{(1)} \\
c^{(1)} & d^{(1)}
\end{array}\right), \ldots,\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a^{(n)} & b^{(n)} \\
c^{(n)} & d^{(n)}
\end{array}\right)\right) \in \mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbf{R})^{n} .
$$

Let $\Gamma$ be a congruence subgroup of $S L\left(2, \mathcal{O}_{F}\right)$. A holomorphic function $\Omega$ on $\mathfrak{H}^{n}$ is called a Hilbert modular form of weight $k$ with respect to $\Gamma$ if

$$
\left.\Omega\right|_{k} \gamma=\Omega
$$

holds for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$, and usual conditions at cusps when $F=\mathbf{Q}$. For every $g \in \operatorname{SL}(2, F),\left.\Omega\right|_{k} g$ has a Fourier expansion of the form $\left(\left.\Omega\right|_{k} g\right)(z)=$ $\sum_{\xi \in L} a_{g}(\xi) \mathbf{e}_{F}(\xi z)$, where $L$ is a lattice in $F$. We have $a_{g}(\xi)=0$ if $\xi \neq 0$ is not totally positive. We call $\Omega$ a cusp form if the constant term $a_{g}(0)$ vanishes
for every $g \in \operatorname{SL}(2, F)$. We denote the space of Hilbert modular forms (resp. cusp forms) of weight $k$ with respect to $\Gamma$ by $M_{k}(\Gamma)=M_{k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots, k_{n}}(\Gamma)$ (resp. $\left.S_{k}(\Gamma)=S_{k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots, k_{n}}(\Gamma)\right)$.

Hereafter until the end of this subsection, we assume that $\Gamma=\operatorname{SL}\left(2, \mathcal{O}_{F}\right)$ and $0 \neq \Omega \in S_{k}(\Gamma)$. The Fourier expansion of $\Omega$ takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega(z)=\sum_{0 \ll \xi \in \mathfrak{o}_{F}^{-1}} a(\xi) \mathbf{e}_{F}(\xi z) . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left(\begin{array}{cc}u & 0 \\ 0 & u^{-1}\end{array}\right) \in \Gamma$ for $u \in E_{F}$, we have

$$
u^{k} \sum_{0 \ll \xi \in \mathfrak{O}_{F}^{-1}} a(\xi) \mathbf{e}_{F}\left(\xi u^{2} z\right)=\sum_{0 \ll \xi \in \mathcal{O}_{F}^{-1}} a(\xi) \mathbf{e}_{F}(\xi z),
$$

where we put $u^{k}=\prod_{\nu=1}^{n}\left(u^{(\nu)}\right)^{k_{\nu}}$. Therefore we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
a\left(u^{2} \xi\right)=u^{k} a(\xi), \quad u \in E_{F} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, taking $u=-1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\nu=1}^{n} k_{\nu} \equiv 0 \quad \bmod 2 . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the sake of simplicity, we assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{k}>0 \quad \text { for every } u \in E_{F} . \tag{A}
\end{equation*}
$$

Put

$$
k_{0}=\max \left(k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots, k_{n}\right), \quad k_{\nu}^{\prime}=k_{0}-k_{\nu}, \quad k^{\prime}=\left(k_{1}^{\prime}, k_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, k_{n}^{\prime}\right) .
$$

We define the $L$-function of $\Omega$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(s, \Omega)=\sum_{\xi E_{F}^{2}} a(\xi) \xi^{k^{\prime} / 2} N(\xi)^{-s}, \quad \xi^{k^{\prime} / 2}=\prod_{\nu=1}^{n}\left(\xi^{(\nu)}\right)^{k_{\nu}^{\prime} / 2} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the summation extends over all cosets $\xi E_{F}^{2}$ with $\xi$ satisfying $0 \ll \xi \in$ $\mathfrak{d}_{F}^{-1}$. By (2.2) and (A), we see that the sum is well defined. The series (2.4) converges when $\Re(s)$ is sufficiently large. We put

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(s, \Omega)=(2 \pi)^{-n s} \prod_{\nu=1}^{n} \Gamma\left(s-\frac{k_{\nu}^{\prime}}{2}\right) L(s, \Omega) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the standard calculation, we obtain the integral representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{n} / E_{F}^{2}} \Omega\left(i y_{1}, i y_{2}, \ldots, i y_{n}\right) \prod_{\nu=1}^{n} y_{\nu}^{s-k_{\nu}^{\prime} / 2-1} d y_{\nu}=(2 \pi)^{\sum_{\nu=1}^{n} k_{\nu}^{\prime} / 2} R(s, \Omega) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

when $\Re(s)$ is sufficiently large. By a suitable transformation of this integral, we can show that $R(s, \Omega)$ is an entire function of $s$ and satisfies the functional equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(s, \Omega)=(-1)^{\sum_{\nu=1}^{n} k_{\nu} / 2} R\left(k_{0}-s, \Omega\right) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

2.2. In [Y3], Chapter V, $\S 5$, we gave an explicit method to attach a cohomology class to a Hilbert modular form. We will review it in this subsection. For $0 \leq l \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $\left[\begin{array}{l}u \\ v\end{array}\right] \in \mathbf{C}^{2}$, put

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
u \\
v
\end{array}\right]^{l}={ }^{t}\left(u^{l} u^{l-1} v \ldots u v^{l-1} v^{l}\right) .
$$

Define a representation $\rho_{l}: G L(2, \mathbf{C}) \longrightarrow G L(l+1, \mathbf{C})$ by

$$
\rho_{l}(g)\left[\begin{array}{l}
u \\
v
\end{array}\right]^{l}=\left(g\left[\begin{array}{l}
u \\
v
\end{array}\right]\right)^{l} .
$$

Let $\Gamma$ be a congruence subgroup of $S L\left(2, \mathcal{O}_{F}\right)$. Let $l_{1}, l_{2}, \ldots, l_{n}$ be nonnegative integers. Let $V$ be the representation space of $\rho_{l_{1}} \otimes \rho_{l_{2}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \rho_{l_{n}}$. Let $\Omega \in M_{l_{1}+2, l_{2}+2, \ldots, l_{n}+2}(\Gamma)$ be a Hilbert modular form of weight $\left(l_{1}+2, l_{2}+\right.$ $2, \ldots, l_{n}+2$ ). Define a holomorphic $V$-valued $n$-form $\mathfrak{d}(\Omega)$ on $\mathfrak{H}^{n}$ by

$$
\mathfrak{d}(\Omega)=\Omega(z)\left[\begin{array}{c}
z_{1}  \tag{2.8}\\
1
\end{array}\right]^{l_{1}} \otimes\left[\begin{array}{c}
z_{2} \\
1
\end{array}\right]^{l_{2}} \otimes \cdots \otimes\left[\begin{array}{c}
z_{n} \\
1
\end{array}\right]^{l_{n}} d z_{1} d z_{2} \cdots d z_{n} .
$$

We put $\rho=\rho_{l_{1}} \otimes \rho_{l_{2}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \rho_{l_{n}}$.
Let $g=\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right) \in \mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbf{R})_{+}^{n}$. Under the action of $g$ on $\mathfrak{H}^{n}$, $\mathfrak{d}(\Omega)$ transforms to $\mathfrak{d}(\Omega) \circ g$, where

$$
\mathfrak{d}(\Omega) \circ g=\Omega(g(z))\left[\begin{array}{c}
g_{1} z_{1} \\
1
\end{array}\right]^{l_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes\left[\begin{array}{c}
g_{n} z_{n} \\
1
\end{array}\right]^{l_{n}}\left(d z_{1} \circ g_{1}\right) \cdots\left(d z_{n} \circ g_{n}\right)
$$

Since

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
g_{\nu} z_{\nu} \\
1
\end{array}\right]^{l_{\nu}}=j\left(g_{\nu}, z_{\nu}\right)^{-l_{\nu}} \rho_{l_{\nu}}\left(g_{\nu}\right)\left[\begin{array}{c}
z_{\nu} \\
1
\end{array}\right]^{l_{\nu}}
$$

$$
d z_{\nu} \circ g_{\nu}=\left(\operatorname{det} g_{\nu}\right) j\left(g_{\nu}, z_{\nu}\right)^{-2} d z_{\nu}
$$

we obtain
$\left(2.9_{a}\right) \mathfrak{d}(\Omega) \circ g=\prod_{\nu=1}^{n}\left(\operatorname{det} g_{\nu}\right)^{-l_{\nu} / 2} \rho(g) \mathfrak{d}\left(\left.\Omega\right|_{k} g\right), \quad g \in \mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbf{R})_{+}^{n} \cap \mathrm{GL}(2, F)$.
In particular, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{d}(\Omega) \circ \gamma=\rho(\gamma) \mathfrak{d}(\Omega), \quad \gamma \in \Gamma \tag{b}
\end{equation*}
$$

We are going to discuss the case $n=2$ in detail. Take $w=\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right) \in \mathfrak{H}^{2}$. For $z=\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \in \mathfrak{H}^{2}$, we put

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(z)=\int_{w_{1}}^{z_{1}} \int_{w_{2}}^{z_{2}} \mathfrak{d}(\Omega) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

a period integral of Eichler-Shimura type. Let $\mathcal{H}$ denote the vector space of all $V$-valued holomorphic functions on $\mathfrak{H}^{2}$. For $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$, we define a function $\gamma \varphi$ on $\mathfrak{H}^{2}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\gamma \varphi)(z)=\rho(\gamma) \varphi\left(\gamma^{-1} z\right) . \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\mathcal{H}$ becomes a left $\Gamma$-module. Since

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{2}}(\gamma F-F)=0
$$

we can write

$$
\gamma F-F=g\left(\gamma ; z_{1}\right)+h\left(\gamma ; z_{2}\right),
$$

where $g\left(\gamma ; z_{1}\right) \in \mathcal{H}$ (resp. $\left.h\left(\gamma ; z_{2}\right) \in \mathcal{H}\right)$ is a function which depends only on $z_{1}$ (resp. $z_{2}$ ) (cf. [Y3], p. 208, Lemma 5.1). We regard $g$ and $h$ as 1 -cochains in $C^{1}(\Gamma, \mathcal{H})$. Then clearly we have ( $d_{1}$ in $\S 1.1$ is abbreviated to $d$ )

$$
d g\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2} ; z_{1}\right)+d h\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2} ; z_{2}\right)=0
$$

Put

$$
f(\Omega)\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)=d g\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2} ; z_{1}\right) .
$$

We abbreviate $f(\Omega)$ to $f$. We see that $f\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right) \in V$ is a constant. Furthermore, in $\mathcal{H}, f$ is a coboundary. Hence $f$ satisfies the cocycle condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{1} f\left(\gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}\right)-f\left(\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}\right)+f\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2} \gamma_{3}\right)-f\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)=0 . \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The 2-cocycle $f$ determines a cohomology class in $H^{2}(\Gamma, V)$.

Let us give an explicit formula for $f$. For $x \in F$, let $x^{\prime}$ denote the conjugate of $x$ over $\mathbf{Q}$. For $\gamma=\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right) \in \Gamma$, let $\gamma^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}a^{\prime} & b^{\prime} \\ c^{\prime} & d^{\prime}\end{array}\right)$. We regard $\gamma, \gamma^{\prime} \in S L(2, \mathbf{R})$. Then, for $\gamma \in \Gamma$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F(\gamma(z))=F\left(\gamma z_{1}, \gamma^{\prime} z_{2}\right)=\int_{w_{1}}^{\gamma z_{1}} \int_{w_{2}}^{\gamma^{\prime} z_{2}} \mathfrak{d}(\Omega) \\
= & \int_{\gamma w_{1}}^{\gamma z_{1}} \int_{\gamma^{\prime} w_{2}}^{\gamma^{\prime} z_{2}} \mathfrak{d}(\Omega)+\int_{\gamma w_{1}}^{\gamma z_{1}} \int_{w_{2}}^{\gamma^{\prime} w_{2}} \mathfrak{d}(\Omega)+\int_{w_{1}}^{\gamma w_{1}} \int_{w_{2}}^{\gamma^{\prime} z_{2}} \mathfrak{d}(\Omega) \\
= & \left(\rho_{l_{1}}(\gamma) \otimes \rho_{l_{2}}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)\right) F(z)+\int_{\gamma w_{1}}^{\gamma z_{1}} \int_{w_{2}}^{\gamma^{\prime} w_{2}} \mathfrak{d}(\Omega)+\int_{w_{1}}^{\gamma w_{1}} \int_{w_{2}}^{\gamma^{\prime} z_{2}} \mathfrak{d}(\Omega),
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting $z$ by $\gamma^{-1} z$ in this formula, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\rho_{l_{1}}(\gamma) \otimes \rho_{l_{2}}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)\right) F\left(\gamma^{-1} z\right)-F(z)=-\int_{\gamma w_{1}}^{z_{1}} \int_{w_{2}}^{\gamma^{\prime} w_{2}} \mathfrak{d}(\Omega)-\int_{w_{1}}^{\gamma w_{1}} \int_{w_{2}}^{z_{2}} \mathfrak{d}(\Omega) . \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

We may take

$$
\begin{align*}
& g\left(\gamma ; z_{1}\right)=-\int_{\gamma w_{1}}^{z_{1}} \int_{w_{2}}^{\gamma^{\prime} w_{2}} \mathfrak{d}(\Omega),  \tag{2.14}\\
& h\left(\gamma ; z_{2}\right)=-\int_{w_{1}}^{\gamma w_{1}} \int_{w_{2}}^{z_{2}} \mathfrak{d}(\Omega) . \tag{2.15}
\end{align*}
$$

For $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2} \in \Gamma$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& f\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)=\left(\gamma_{1} g\right)\left(\gamma_{2} ; z_{1}\right)-g\left(\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2} ; z_{1}\right)+g\left(\gamma_{1} ; z_{1}\right),  \tag{2.16}\\
& f\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)=-\left\{\left(\gamma_{1} h\right)\left(\gamma_{2} ; z_{2}\right)-h\left(\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2} ; z_{2}\right)+h\left(\gamma_{1} ; z_{2}\right)\right\} . \tag{2.17}
\end{align*}
$$

By (2.14) and (2.16), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
f\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)= & \left(\rho_{l_{1}}\left(\gamma_{1}\right) \otimes \rho_{l_{2}}\left(\gamma_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right) g\left(\gamma_{2} ; \gamma_{1}^{-1} z_{1}\right)-g\left(\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2} ; z_{1}\right)+g\left(\gamma_{1} ; z_{1}\right) \\
= & -\left(\rho_{l_{1}}\left(\gamma_{1}\right) \otimes \rho_{l_{2}}\left(\gamma_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right) \int_{\gamma_{2} w_{1}}^{\gamma_{1}^{-1} z_{1}} \int_{w_{2}}^{\gamma_{2}^{\prime} w_{2}} \mathfrak{d}(\Omega) \\
& +\int_{\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2} w_{1}}^{z_{1}} \int_{w_{2}}^{\gamma_{1}^{\prime} \gamma_{2}^{\prime} w_{2}} \mathfrak{d}(\Omega)-\int_{\gamma_{1} w_{1}}^{z_{1}} \int_{w_{2}}^{\gamma_{1}^{\prime} w_{2}} \mathfrak{d}(\Omega) \\
= & -\int_{\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2} w_{1}}^{z_{1}} \int_{\gamma_{1}^{\prime} w_{2}}^{\gamma_{1}^{\prime} \gamma_{2}^{\prime} w_{2}} \mathfrak{d}(\Omega)+\int_{\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2} w_{1}}^{z_{1}} \int_{w_{2}}^{\gamma_{1}^{\prime} \gamma_{2}^{\prime} w_{2}} \mathfrak{d}(\Omega)-\int_{\gamma_{1} w_{1}}^{z_{1}} \int_{w_{2}}^{\gamma_{1}^{\prime} w_{2}} \mathfrak{d}(\Omega) \\
= & \int_{\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2} w_{1}}^{z_{1}} \int_{w_{2}}^{\gamma_{1}^{\prime} w_{2}} \mathfrak{d}(\Omega)-\int_{\gamma_{1} w_{1}}^{z_{1}} \int_{w_{2}}^{\gamma_{1}^{\prime} w_{2}} \mathfrak{d}(\Omega) \\
= & \int_{\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2} w_{1}}^{\gamma_{1} w_{1}} \int_{w_{2}}^{\gamma_{1}^{\prime} w_{2}} \mathfrak{d}(\Omega)
\end{aligned}
$$

using (2.9b). Thus we obtain an explicit formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)=\int_{\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2} w_{1}}^{\gamma_{1} w_{1}} \int_{w_{2}}^{\gamma_{1}^{\prime} w_{2}} \mathfrak{d}(\Omega) \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

By $\left(2.9_{b}\right),(2.18)$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)=\left(\rho_{l_{1}}\left(\gamma_{1}\right) \otimes \rho_{l_{2}}\left(\gamma_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right) \int_{\gamma_{2} w_{1}}^{w_{1}} \int_{\gamma_{1}^{\prime-1} w_{2}}^{w_{2}} \mathfrak{d}(\Omega) \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that $w_{1}$ is replaced by $w_{1}^{*}, w_{2}$ remaining the same. Then $g\left(\gamma ; z_{1}\right)$ changes to $g\left(\gamma, z_{1}\right)+a(\gamma)$, where

$$
a(\gamma)=\int_{\gamma w_{1}}^{\gamma w_{1}^{*}} \int_{w_{2}}^{\gamma^{\prime} w_{2}} \mathfrak{d}(\Omega)
$$

Hence $f\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ changes to $f\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)+\gamma_{1} a\left(\gamma_{2}\right)-a\left(\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}\right)+a\left(\gamma_{1}\right)$. Suppose that $w_{2}$ is replaced by $w_{2}^{*}, w_{1}$ remaining the same. Then $h\left(\gamma ; z_{2}\right)$ changes to $h\left(\gamma, z_{2}\right)+b(\gamma)$, where

$$
b(\gamma)=\int_{w_{1}}^{\gamma w_{1}} \int_{w_{2}}^{w_{2}^{*}} \mathfrak{d}(\Omega)
$$

By (2.17), $f\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ changes to $f\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)-\gamma_{1} b\left(\gamma_{2}\right)+b\left(\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}\right)-b\left(\gamma_{1}\right)$. Therefore the cohomology class of $f$ does not depend on the choice of the "base points" $w_{1}, w_{2}$.

Put $\bar{\Gamma}=\Gamma /\left(\left\{ \pm 1_{2}\right\} \cap \Gamma\right)$. By (2.18), we see that $f$ can be regarded as a 2-cocycle of $\bar{\Gamma}$ taking values in $V$. Depending on the context, we consider $f$ as a 2 -cocycle on $\bar{\Gamma}$. We see that the cocycle $f$ is normalized, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(1, \gamma)=f(\gamma, 1)=0 \quad \text { for every } \quad \gamma \in \bar{\Gamma} \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now assume that $\Omega$ is a cusp form. Then the cocycle $f=f(\Omega)$ satisfies the "parabolic condition". Namely let $q \in \Gamma$ be a parabolic element and $w^{*}=\left(w_{1}^{*}, w_{2}^{*}\right)$ be the fixed point of $q^{\prime}$. Since $f$ is a cusp form, we may replace $w_{2}$ by $w_{2}^{*}$. ${ }^{2}$ Let $f^{*}$ be the cocycle obtained from $\left(w_{1}, w_{2}^{*}\right)$. We have

$$
f^{*}\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)=f\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)-\gamma_{1} b\left(\gamma_{2}\right)+b\left(\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}\right)-b\left(\gamma_{1}\right)
$$

with a 1-cochain $b$ and $f^{*}(q, \gamma)=0$. Therefore

$$
f(q, \gamma)=q b(\gamma)-b(q \gamma)+b(q), \quad \gamma \in \Gamma,
$$

i.e., $f(q, \gamma)$ is of the form of coboundary whenever $q$ is parabolic. Similar argument applies to $f(\gamma, q)$.
2.3. We are going to investigate closely the relation between the critical values of $L$-function $L(s, \Omega)$ and the cocycle $f(\Omega)$. Until the end of this subsection, we assume $\Gamma=S L\left(2, \mathcal{O}_{F}\right)$. Let $\epsilon$ be the fundamental unit of $F$. We put

$$
\sigma=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad \mu=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\epsilon & 0 \\
0 & \epsilon^{-1}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

We regard $\sigma$ and $\mu$ as elements of $\bar{\Gamma}$. Taking $\gamma_{1}=\gamma_{2}=\gamma_{3}=\sigma$ in (2.12), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma f(\sigma, \sigma)=f(\sigma, \sigma) \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

in view of (2.20). As the base points, we choose

$$
w_{1}=i \epsilon^{-1}, \quad w_{2}=i \infty
$$

By (2.18), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\sigma, \mu)=f(\sigma, \sigma)=-\int_{i \epsilon^{-1}}^{i \epsilon} \int_{0}^{i \infty} \mathfrak{d}(\Omega) . \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^2]Put

$$
P=\left\{\left.\left(\begin{array}{cc}
u & v \\
0 & u^{-1}
\end{array}\right) \right\rvert\, u \in E_{F}, v \in \mathcal{O}_{F}\right\} \subset \Gamma .
$$

By (2.18), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(p, \gamma)=0 \quad \text { for every } \quad p \in P, \gamma \in \Gamma \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

since we have $p w_{2}=w_{2}$ for $p \in P$. Taking $\gamma_{1}=p \in P$ in (2.12), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(p \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)=p f\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right) \quad \text { for every } \quad p \in P, \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2} \in \Gamma \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is the parabolic condition for $\Gamma=\operatorname{SL}\left(2, \mathcal{O}_{F}\right)$ and will play a crucial role in the succeeding sections.

For $0 \leq s \leq l_{1}, 0 \leq t \leq l_{2}$, we put

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{s, t}=\int_{i \epsilon^{-1}}^{i \epsilon} \int_{0}^{i \infty} \Omega(z) z_{1}^{s} z_{2}^{t} d z_{1} d z_{2} \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

The components of $f(\sigma, \sigma)$ are given by $-P_{s, t}$. The condition $\sigma f(\sigma, \sigma)=$ $f(\sigma, \sigma)$ is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{s, t}=(-1)^{l_{1}+l_{2}-s-t} P_{l_{1}-s, l_{2}-t} . \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Put $k_{1}=l_{1}+2, k_{2}=l_{2}+2$. By (2.3), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{1} \equiv l_{2} \quad \bmod 2 \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

We assume that $l_{1} \geq l_{2}$. Then we have

$$
k_{0}=k_{1}, \quad k_{1}^{\prime}=0, \quad k_{2}^{\prime}=k_{1}-k_{2} .
$$

Since $E_{F}^{2}=\left\langle\epsilon^{2}\right\rangle$, a fundamental domain of $\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2} / E_{F}^{2}$ is given by $\left[\epsilon^{-1}, \epsilon\right] \times \mathbf{R}_{+}$. By (2.6), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\epsilon^{-1}}^{\epsilon} \int_{0}^{\infty} \Omega\left(i y_{1}, i y_{2}\right) y_{1}^{s-1} y_{2}^{s-\left(k_{1}-k_{2}\right) / 2-1} d y_{1} d y_{2}=(2 \pi)^{\left(k_{1}-k_{2}\right) / 2} R(s, \Omega) \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

when $\Re(s)$ is sufficiently large. We can verify that the integral converges locally uniformly for $s \in \mathbf{C}$. Take $m \in \mathbf{Z}$ and put $s=m, t=m-\left(k_{1}-k_{2}\right) / 2$. Then $0 \leq s \leq l_{1}, 0 \leq t \leq l_{2}$ hold if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{k_{1}-k_{2}}{2} \leq m \leq \frac{k_{1}+k_{2}}{2}-2 . \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

For an integer $m$ in this range, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{m, m-\left(k_{1}-k_{2}\right) / 2} & =\int_{i \epsilon^{-1}}^{i \epsilon} \int_{0}^{i \infty} \Omega(z) z_{1}^{m} z_{2}^{m-\left(k_{1}-k_{2}\right) / 2} d z_{1} d z_{2} \\
& =i^{2 m-\left(k_{1}-k_{2}\right) / 2+2} \int_{\epsilon^{-1}}^{\epsilon} \int_{0}^{\infty} \Omega\left(i y_{1}, i y_{2}\right) y_{1}^{m} y_{2}^{m-\left(k_{1}-k_{2}\right) / 2} d y_{1} d y_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{m, m-\left(k_{1}-k_{2}\right) / 2}=(-1)^{m+1} i^{-\left(k_{1}-k_{2}\right) / 2}(2 \pi)^{\left(k_{1}-k_{2}\right) / 2} R(m+1, \Omega) \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

by (2.28). By the functional equation (2.7), this is equal to

$$
(-1)^{m+1} i^{-\left(k_{1}-k_{2}\right) / 2}(2 \pi)^{\left(k_{1}-k_{2}\right) / 2}(-1)^{\left(k_{1}+k_{2}\right) / 2} R\left(k_{1}-m-1, \Omega\right) .
$$

Since $k_{1}-m-2$ satisfies (2.29), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{m, m-\left(k_{1}-k_{2}\right) / 2}=(-1)^{\left(k_{1}-k_{2}\right) / 2} P_{k_{1}-m-2,\left(k_{1}+k_{2}\right) / 2-m-2} \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

using (2.30). We see that (2.31) is consistent with (2.26). Note that (2.29) is the condition for $L(m+1, \Omega)$ to be a critical value (cf. [Sh4], (4.14)).
2.4. Let $\Omega \in M_{k}(\Gamma)$ and $f=f(\Omega) \in Z^{2}(\Gamma, V)$ be the 2-cocycle attached to $\Omega$ defined by (2.18). In this subsection, we will write the action of Hecke operators on the cohomology class of $f(\Omega)$ explicitly. We denote $f(\Omega)$ also by $f_{\Omega}$.

Let $F$ be a totally real number field of degree $n$ and $\Gamma$ be a congruence subgroup of $\operatorname{SL}\left(2, \mathcal{O}_{F}\right)$. Let $\varpi$ be a totally positive element of $F$ and let

$$
\Gamma\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0  \tag{2.32}\\
0 & \varpi
\end{array}\right) \Gamma=\sqcup_{i=1}^{d} \Gamma \beta_{i}
$$

be a coset decomposition. Let $\Omega \in M_{k}(\Gamma)$. We define the Hecke operator $T(\varpi)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega\left|T(\varpi)=N(\varpi)^{k_{0} / 2-1} \sum_{i=1}^{d} \Omega\right|_{k} \beta_{i} \tag{2.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly $T(\varpi)$ does not depend on the choice of the coset decomposition (2.32). We have $\Omega \mid T(\varpi) \in M_{k}(\Gamma)$; it is a cusp form if $\Omega$ is. By $\left(2.9_{a}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{d}(\Omega \mid T(\varpi))=\prod_{\nu=1}^{n}\left(\varpi^{(\nu}\right)^{\left(k_{0}+k_{\nu}\right) / 2-2} \sum_{i=1}^{d} \rho\left(\beta_{i}\right)^{-1}\left(\mathfrak{d}(\Omega) \circ \beta_{i}\right) . \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Put

$$
\begin{equation*}
c=\prod_{\nu=1}^{n}\left(\varpi^{(\nu)}\right)^{\left(k_{0}+k_{\nu}\right) / 2-2} \tag{2.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Until the end of this section, we assume that $n=2$. We define (cf. (2.10))

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\Omega \mid T(\varpi)}(z)=\int_{w_{1}}^{z_{1}} \int_{w_{2}}^{z_{2}} \mathfrak{d}(\Omega \mid T(\varpi)), \quad z=\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \tag{2.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.34), we have

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
F_{\Omega \mid T(\varpi)}(z)= & c \sum_{i=1}^{d} \beta_{i}^{-1} \int_{w_{1}}^{z_{1}} \int_{w_{2}}^{z_{2}} \mathfrak{d}(\Omega) \circ \beta_{i}=c \sum_{i=1}^{d} \beta_{i}^{-1} \int_{\beta_{i} w_{i}}^{\beta_{i} z_{1}} \int_{\beta_{i}^{\prime} w_{2}}^{\beta_{i}^{\prime} z_{2}} \mathfrak{d}(\Omega) \\
=c & c \sum_{i=1}^{d} \beta_{i}^{-1}\left[\int_{w_{1}}^{\beta_{i} z_{1}} \int_{w_{2}}^{\beta_{i}^{\prime} z_{2}} \mathfrak{d}(\Omega)-\int_{w_{1}}^{\beta_{i} z_{1}} \int_{w_{2}}^{\beta_{i}^{\prime} w_{2}} \mathfrak{d}(\Omega)\right. \\
& \left.-\int_{w_{1}}^{\beta_{i} w_{1}} \int_{w_{2}}^{\beta_{i}^{\prime} z_{2}} \mathfrak{d}(\Omega)+\int_{w_{1}}^{\beta_{i} w_{1}} \int_{w_{2}}^{\beta_{i}^{\prime} w_{2}} \mathfrak{d}(\Omega)\right] .
\end{array}
$$

Here, for simplicity, we write the action $\rho\left(\beta_{i}\right)^{-1}$ by $\beta_{i}^{-1}$. Therefore we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{\Omega \mid T(\varpi)}(z)=c \sum_{i=1}^{d} \beta_{i}^{-1} & {\left[F\left(\beta_{i} z\right)-F\left(\beta_{i}\left(z_{1}, w_{2}\right)\right)\right.}  \tag{2.37}\\
& \left.-F\left(\beta_{i}\left(w_{1}, z_{2}\right)\right)+F\left(\beta_{i}\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)\right)\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

Take $\gamma \in \Gamma$. We have

$$
(\gamma F)(z)-F(z)=g_{\Omega}\left(\gamma ; z_{1}\right)+h_{\Omega}\left(\gamma ; z_{2}\right)
$$

with $g_{\Omega}=g$ (resp. $h_{\Omega}=h$ ) defined by (2.14) (resp. (2.15)). Similarly to this formula, we have a decomposition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\gamma F_{\Omega \mid T(\varpi)}\right)(z)-F_{\Omega \mid T(\varpi)}(z)=g_{\Omega \mid T(\varpi)}\left(\gamma ; z_{1}\right)+h_{\Omega \mid T(\varpi)}\left(\gamma ; z_{2}\right) \tag{2.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $g_{\Omega \mid T(\varpi)}\left(\gamma ; z_{1}\right)\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.h_{\Omega \mid T(\varpi)}\left(\gamma ; z_{2}\right)\right)$ is a $V$-valued holomorphic function on $\mathfrak{H}^{2}$ which depends only on $z_{1}$ (resp. $z_{2}$ ). If (2.38) holds, then a 2 -cocycle $f_{\Omega \mid T(\varpi)}$ attached to $\Omega \mid T(\varpi)$ is given by (cf. (2.16))

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\Omega \mid T(\varpi)}\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)=\left(\gamma_{1} g_{\Omega \mid T(\varpi)}\right)\left(\gamma_{2} ; z_{1}\right)-g_{\Omega \mid T(\varpi)}\left(\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2} ; z_{1}\right)+g_{\Omega \mid T(\varpi)}\left(\gamma_{1} ; z_{1}\right) \tag{2.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that we have a decomposition

$$
\begin{equation*}
c \sum_{i=1}^{d}\left(\gamma \beta_{i}^{-1} F\left(\beta_{i} \gamma^{-1} z\right)-\beta_{i}^{-1} F\left(\beta_{i} z\right)\right)=g_{\Omega \mid T(\varpi)}^{*}\left(\gamma ; z_{1}\right)+h_{\Omega \mid T(\varpi)}^{*}\left(\gamma ; z_{2}\right) \tag{2.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then by $(2.37)$, we see that $g_{\Omega \mid T(\varpi)}\left(\gamma ; z_{1}\right)$ can be taken in the form

$$
g_{\Omega \mid T(\varpi)}\left(\gamma ; z_{1}\right)=g_{\Omega \mid T(\varpi)}^{*}\left(\gamma ; z_{1}\right)+\gamma q\left(\gamma^{-1} z_{1}\right)-q\left(z_{1}\right)+x(\gamma) .
$$

Here $q\left(z_{1}\right)$ is a $V$-valued holomorphic function which depends only on $z_{1}$ and does not depend on $\gamma$ and $x(\gamma) \in V$. Therefore, if we substract the coboundary which comes from $x(\gamma)$ from the cocycle $f_{\Omega \mid T(\varpi)}$, the resulting cocycle can be calculated using (2.39) with $g_{\Omega \mid T(\varpi)}^{*}\left(\gamma ; z_{1}\right)$ in place of $g_{\Omega \mid T(\varpi)}\left(\gamma ; z_{1}\right)$. Now we put

$$
\beta_{i} \gamma=\delta_{i} \beta_{j(i)}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq d, \quad \delta_{i} \in \Gamma
$$

Set

$$
\begin{align*}
& g_{\Omega \mid T(\varpi)}^{*}\left(\gamma ; z_{1}\right)=c \sum_{i=1}^{d} \beta_{i}^{-1} g_{\Omega}\left(\delta_{i} ; \beta_{i} z_{1}\right),  \tag{2.41}\\
& h_{\Omega \mid T(\varpi)}^{*}\left(\gamma ; z_{2}\right)=c \sum_{i=1}^{d} \beta_{i}^{-1} h_{\Omega}\left(\delta_{i} ; \beta_{i}^{\prime} z_{2}\right) . \tag{2.42}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $i \mapsto j(i)$ is a permutation on $d$ letters, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^{d}\left(\gamma \beta_{j(i)}^{-1} F\left(\beta_{j(i)} \gamma^{-1} z\right)-\beta_{i}^{-1} F\left(\beta_{i} z\right)\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{d}\left(\beta_{i}^{-1} \delta_{i} F\left(\delta_{i}^{-1} \beta_{i} z\right)-\beta_{i}^{-1} F\left(\beta_{i} z\right)\right) \\
= & \sum_{i=1}^{d} \beta_{i}^{-1}\left(g_{\Omega}\left(\delta_{i} ; \beta_{i} z_{1}\right)+h_{\Omega}\left(\delta_{i} ; \beta_{i}^{\prime} z_{2}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we see that (2.40) holds.
For $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2} \in \Gamma$, we put

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{i} \gamma_{1}=\delta_{i}^{(1)} \beta_{j(i)}, \delta_{i}^{(1)} \in \Gamma, \quad \beta_{i} \gamma_{2}=\delta_{i}^{(2)} \beta_{k(i)}, \delta_{i}^{(2)} \in \Gamma, \quad 1 \leq i \leq d \tag{2.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have

$$
\beta_{i} \gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}=\delta_{i}^{(1)} \delta_{j(i)}^{(2)} \beta_{k(j(i))} .
$$

Now we calculate $f_{\Omega \mid T(\varpi)}$ using (2.39) with $g_{\Omega \mid T(\varpi)}^{*}\left(\gamma ; z_{1}\right)$ in place of $g_{\Omega \mid T(\varpi)}\left(\gamma ; z_{1}\right)$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{\Omega \mid T(\varpi)}\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)=\gamma_{1} g_{\Omega \mid T(\varpi)}^{*}\left(\gamma_{2} ; \gamma_{1}^{-1} z_{1}\right)-g_{\Omega \mid T(\varpi)}^{*}\left(\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2} ; z_{1}\right)+g_{\Omega \mid T(\varpi)}^{*}\left(\gamma_{1} ; z_{1}\right) \\
= & c\left[\sum_{i=1}^{d} \gamma_{1} \beta_{i}^{-1} g_{\Omega}\left(\delta_{i}^{(2)} ; \beta_{i} \gamma_{1}^{-1} z_{1}\right)-\beta_{i}^{-1} g_{\Omega}\left(\delta_{i}^{(1)} \delta_{j(i)}^{(2)} ; \beta_{i} z_{1}\right)+\beta_{i}^{-1} g_{\Omega}\left(\delta_{i}^{(1)} ; \beta_{i} z_{1}\right)\right] \\
= & c\left[\sum_{i=1}^{d} \beta_{i}^{-1} \delta_{i}^{(1)} g_{\Omega}\left(\delta_{j(i)}^{(2)} ; \beta_{j(i)} \gamma_{1}^{-1} z_{1}\right)-\beta_{i}^{-1} g_{\Omega}\left(\delta_{i}^{(1)} \delta_{j(i)}^{(2)} ; \beta_{i} z_{1}\right)+\beta_{i}^{-1} g_{\Omega}\left(\delta_{i}^{(1)} ; \beta_{i} z_{1}\right)\right] \\
= & c \sum_{i=1}^{d} \beta_{i}^{-1}\left[\delta_{i}^{(1)} g_{\Omega}\left(\delta_{j(i)}^{(2)} ;\left(\delta_{i}^{(1)}\right)^{-1} \beta_{i} z_{1}\right)-g_{\Omega}\left(\delta_{i}^{(1)} \delta_{j(i)}^{(2)} ; \beta_{i} z_{1}\right)+g_{\Omega}\left(\delta_{i}^{(1)} ; \beta_{i} z_{1}\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore we obtain an explicit formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\Omega \mid T(\varpi)}\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)=c \sum_{i=1}^{d} \beta_{i}^{-1} f_{\Omega}\left(\delta_{i}^{(1)}, \delta_{j(i)}^{(2)}\right) . \tag{2.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

This formula can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\Omega \mid T(\varpi)}\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)=c \sum_{i=1}^{d} \beta_{i}^{-1} f_{\Omega}\left(\beta_{i} \gamma_{1} \beta_{j(i)}^{-1}, \beta_{j(i)} \gamma_{2} \beta_{k(j(i))}^{-1}\right) \tag{2.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

and is consistent with Proposition 1.3.
2.5. Assume that the class number of $F$ in the narrow sense is 1 . Suppose that $\Omega$ is a Hecke eigenform. Then the $L$-function $L(s, \Omega)$ defined by (2.4) essentially coincides with the Euler product given in [Sh4] or in JacquetLanglands [JL] but there is a subtle diffence; we are going to explain it briefly for the reader's convenience.

We write $\mathfrak{d}_{F}=(\delta)$ with $\delta \gg 0$. Let $\Omega \in S_{k_{1}, k_{2}}(\Gamma), \Gamma=\operatorname{SL}\left(2, \mathcal{O}_{F}\right)$ and let

$$
\Omega(z)=\sum_{0 \ll \alpha \in \mathcal{O}_{F}} c(\alpha) \mathbf{e}_{F}\left(\frac{\alpha}{\delta} z\right)
$$

be the Fourier expansion. We have $a(\alpha / \delta)=c(\alpha)$ (cf. (2.1)). We set

$$
\Delta=\left\{\alpha \in M\left(2, \mathcal{O}_{F}\right) \mid \operatorname{det} \alpha \gg 0\right\} .
$$

Let $\mathfrak{m}$ be an integral ideal of $F$ and take $m \gg 0$ so that $\mathfrak{m}=(m)$. Then we define

$$
T(\mathfrak{m})=\sum_{\alpha \in \Delta, \operatorname{det} \alpha=m} \Gamma \alpha \Gamma,
$$

which is an element of the abstract Hecke ring $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma, \Delta)$ (cf. [Sh2], p. 54). Let $T(\mathfrak{m})=\sqcup_{i=1}^{e} \Gamma \beta_{i}$ be a coset decomposition. Assume that $k_{1} \geq k_{2}$. We define the action of $T(\mathfrak{m})$ on $\Omega$ by

$$
\Omega\left|T(\mathfrak{m})=N(\mathfrak{m})^{k_{1} / 2-1} \sum_{i=1}^{e} \Omega\right|_{k} \beta_{i} .
$$

Then $\Omega \mid T(\mathfrak{m}) \in S_{k}(\Gamma)$; we can verify easily that it does not depend on the choices of $m$ and $\beta_{i}$. We have

$$
T(\mathfrak{m})=\sqcup_{(d), d \gg 0, a d=m} \sqcup_{b \bmod d} \Gamma\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
0 & d
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Calculating similarly to [Sh2], p. 79-80, we find that the Fourier expansion of $\Omega \mid T(\mathfrak{m})$ is given by

$$
\begin{gather*}
(\Omega \mid T(\mathfrak{m}))(z)=\sum_{0 \ll \alpha \in \mathcal{O}_{F}} c^{\prime}(\alpha) \mathbf{e}_{F}\left(\frac{\alpha}{\delta} z\right), \\
c^{\prime}(\alpha)=\left(m^{(2)}\right)^{\left(k_{1}-k_{2}\right)} \sum_{(a), a \gg 0, a \mid(m, \alpha)} N(a)^{k_{1}-1}\left(a^{(2)}\right)^{k_{2}-k_{1}} c\left(\frac{m \alpha}{a^{2}}\right) . \tag{2.46}
\end{gather*}
$$

Now assume that $\Omega$ is a nonzero common eigenfunction for all Hecke operators $T(\mathfrak{m})$. We put

$$
\Omega \mid T(\mathfrak{m})=\lambda(\mathfrak{m}) \Omega
$$

By (2.46), we find $c(1) \neq 0$. We assume that $\Omega$ is normalized so that $c(1)=1$. Then we have

$$
\lambda(\mathfrak{m})=c(m)\left(m^{(2)}\right)^{\left(k_{1}-k_{2}\right) / 2} .
$$

Using this formula and (2.46), we obtain, after routine computations, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(s, \Omega)=\left(\delta^{(2)}\right)^{\left(k_{1}-k_{2}\right) / 2} D_{F}^{s} \prod_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(1-\lambda(\mathfrak{p}) N(\mathfrak{p})^{-s}+N(\mathfrak{p})^{k_{1}-1-2 s}\right)^{-1} . \tag{2.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\mathfrak{p}$ extends over all prime ideals of $F$ and $D_{F}=N(\delta)$ is the discriminant of $F$.

When $0 \ll \varpi \in \mathcal{O}_{F}$ generates a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$, we denote $T(\varpi)$ defined by (2.33) also by $T(\mathfrak{p})$.
§3. Cohomology of $P$
In this section, we will study cohomology groups of $P$. Main results are Theorems 3.7 and 3.9 which give the vanishing of $H^{1}(P, V)$ and $H^{2}(P, V)$
when $l_{1} \neq l_{2}$. Hereafter in this paper, we assume that $[F: \mathbf{Q}]=2$. We also assume $l_{1} \equiv l_{2} \bmod 2$ and $l_{2} \leq l_{1}$.
3.1. Put $\Gamma=\operatorname{PSL}\left(2, \mathcal{O}_{F}\right)$. In this section, we define subgroups $P$ and $U$ of $\Gamma$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P=\left\{\left.\left(\begin{array}{cc}
t & 0 \\
u & t^{-1}
\end{array}\right) \right\rvert\, t \in E_{F}, u \in \mathcal{O}_{F}\right\} /\left\{ \pm 1_{2}\right\}, \\
& U=\left\{\left.\left(\begin{array}{cc} 
\pm 1 & 0 \\
u & \pm 1
\end{array}\right) \right\rvert\, u \in \mathcal{O}_{F}\right\} /\left\{ \pm 1_{2}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We write $\mathcal{O}_{F}=\mathbf{Z}+\mathbf{Z} \omega$. Let $\epsilon$ be the fundamental unit of $F$ and let

$$
\epsilon^{2}=A+B \omega, \quad \epsilon^{2} \omega=C+D \omega .
$$

Then we see that $\epsilon^{2}$ is an eigenvalue of $\left(\begin{array}{ll}A & B \\ C & D\end{array}\right)$ and that $\left(\begin{array}{ll}A & B \\ C & D\end{array}\right) \in$ SL( $2, \mathbf{Z})$. We put

$$
u_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
1 & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad u_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
\omega & 1
\end{array}\right) \in U, \quad t=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\epsilon^{-1} & 0 \\
0 & \epsilon
\end{array}\right) .
$$

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
t u_{1} t^{-1}=u_{1}^{A} u_{2}^{B}, \quad t u_{2} t^{-1}=u_{1}^{C} u_{2}^{D} . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We put

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{Z}=\left\{\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right) \in V \times V \mid\left(u_{1}-1\right) U_{2}=\left(u_{2}-1\right) U_{1}\right\} . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to see that by the mapping

$$
Z^{1}(U, V) \ni f \longrightarrow\left(f\left(u_{1}\right), f\left(u_{2}\right)\right) \in \mathcal{Z}
$$

we have an isomorphism $Z^{1}(U, V) \cong \mathcal{Z}$. Put

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B}=\left\{\left(\left(u_{1}-1\right) \mathbf{b},\left(u_{2}-1\right) \mathbf{b}\right) \mid \mathbf{b} \in V\right\} . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have $B^{1}(U, V) \cong \mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{Z}$.
We have $V=V_{1} \otimes V_{2}, V_{1}=\mathbf{C}^{l_{1}+1}, V_{2}=\mathbf{C}^{l_{2}+1}$. Let $\left\{\mathbf{e}_{1}, \mathbf{e}_{2}, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_{l_{1}+1}\right\}$ (resp. $\left\{\mathbf{e}_{1}^{\prime}, \mathbf{e}_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_{l_{2}+1}^{\prime}\right\}$ ) be the standard basis of $V_{1}$ (resp. $V_{2}$ ).

Lemma 3.1. We have $\operatorname{dim} V^{U}=1$ and $V^{U}$ is spanned by $\mathbf{e}_{l_{1}+1} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{l_{2}+1}^{\prime}$.

Proof. Put

$$
\begin{gathered}
\widetilde{U}=\left\{\left.\left(\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
c_{1} & 1
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
c_{2} & 1
\end{array}\right)\right) \right\rvert\, c_{1}, c_{2} \in \mathbf{C}\right\} \subset \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbf{C})^{2}, \\
\widetilde{U}_{1}=\left\{\left.\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
c_{1} & 1
\end{array}\right), 1_{2}\right) \right\rvert\, c_{1} \in \mathbf{C}\right\}, \quad \widetilde{U}_{2}=\left\{\left.\left(1_{2},\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
c_{2} & 1
\end{array}\right)\right) \right\rvert\, c_{2} \in \mathbf{C}\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $U$ is Zariski dense in $\widetilde{U}$, we have $V^{U}=V^{\widetilde{U}}$. We also see easily that $V_{1}^{\widetilde{U}_{1}}=\mathbf{C e}_{l_{1}+1}, V_{2}^{\widetilde{U}_{2}}=\mathbf{C e}_{l_{2}+1}^{\prime}, V^{\widetilde{U}}=V_{1}^{\widetilde{U}_{1}} \otimes V_{2}^{\widetilde{U}_{2}}$. This completes the proof.

Lemma 3.2. Let $g=\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & 0 \\ c_{1} & 1\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & 0 \\ c_{2} & 1\end{array}\right)\right) \in \operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbf{C})^{2}$. We assume that $c_{1} \neq 0, c_{2} \neq 0$. Then the dimension of the subspace of $V$ consisting of all vectors fixed by $g$ is $l_{2}+1$. (Note that we have assumed $l_{1} \geq l_{2}$.)

Proof. By the definition of the symmetric tensor representation $\rho_{l}$ of degree $l$, we have

$$
\rho_{l}\left(\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0  \tag{3.4}\\
c & 1
\end{array}\right)\right) \mathbf{e}_{i}=\sum_{k=i}^{l+1}\binom{k-1}{i-1} c^{k-i} \mathbf{e}_{k}
$$

Hence for $\rho=\rho_{l_{1}} \otimes \rho_{l_{2}}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \rho\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
c_{1} & 1
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
c_{2} & 1
\end{array}\right)\right)\left(\mathbf{e}_{i} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{j}^{\prime}\right) \\
= & \sum_{k=i}^{l_{1}+1} \sum_{l=j}^{l_{2}+1}\binom{k-1}{i-1}\binom{l-1}{j-1} c_{1}^{k-i} c_{2}^{l-j}\left(\mathbf{e}_{k} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{l}^{\prime}\right) . \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Put

$$
N=\rho\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
c_{1} & 1
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
c_{2} & 1
\end{array}\right)\right), \quad n_{k l, i j}=\binom{k-1}{i-1}\binom{l-1}{j-1} c_{1}^{k-i} c_{2}^{l-j}
$$

Then (3.5) can be written as

$$
N\left(\mathbf{e}_{i} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{j}^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{k=i}^{l_{1}+1} \sum_{l=j}^{l_{2}+1} n_{k l, i j}\left(\mathbf{e}_{k} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{l}^{\prime}\right) .
$$

The vector $\sum_{i=1}^{l_{1}+1} \sum_{j=1}^{l_{2}+1} x_{i j}\left(\mathbf{e}_{i} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{j}^{\prime}\right)$ is annihilated by $N-\rho(1)$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1,(i, j) \neq(k, l)}^{l} n_{k l, i j} x_{i j}=0 \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for every $1 \leq k \leq l_{1}+1,1 \leq l \leq l_{2}+1$. Note that $n_{k l, i j} \neq 0$ if $k \geq l$ and $l \geq j$ since $c_{1} \neq 0, c_{2} \neq 0 ; n_{k l, i j}=0$ if $k<l$ or $l<j$.

First let $l=1$ in (3.6). Then we have

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} n_{k 1, i 1} x_{i 1}=0, \quad 2 \leq k \leq l_{1}+1
$$

We successively obtain

$$
x_{11}=x_{21}=\cdots=x_{l_{1} 1}=0 .
$$

We are going to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1 l}=x_{2 l}=\cdots=x_{l_{1}+1-l, l}=0, \quad 1 \leq l \leq l_{2}+1 \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

by induction on $l$. Assuming the hypothesis of induction, we obtain

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} n_{k l, i l} x_{i l}=0
$$

when $k \leq l_{1}+2-l$ from (3.6). Hence (3.7) follows. Now assume $k \geq l_{1}+3-l$. We write (3.6) as

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{l-1} \sum_{i=1}^{k} n_{k l, i j} x_{i j}+\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} n_{k l, i l} x_{i l}=0
$$

Using (3.7), we see that this equation is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{l-1} \sum_{i=l_{1}+2-j}^{k} n_{k l, i j} x_{i j}+\sum_{i=l_{1}+2-l}^{k-1} n_{k l, i l} x_{i l}=0 \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In (3.8), $x_{i l}, 1 \leq i \leq l_{1}$ are determined by $x_{\alpha \beta}, \beta \leq l-1$ and $x_{l_{1}+l l}$ is left undermined. Therefore when $x_{l_{1}+1 j}, 1 \leq j \leq l_{2}+1$ are given, the solution $x_{i j}$ satisfying (3.8) exists and is unique. This completes the proof.

Lemma 3.3. Let $u=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ c & 1\end{array}\right), 0 \neq c \in F$. Then we have $\mathbf{e}_{i} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{j}^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Im}(u-1), \quad$ for $1 \leq j \leq l_{2}+1$ if $i \geq l_{2}+3-j$.

Here $\operatorname{Im}(u-1)$ denotes the image of the linear mapping $V \ni \mathbf{v} \mapsto(\rho(u)-$ $\rho(1)) \mathbf{v} \in V$.

Proof. We have

$$
(u-1)\left(\mathbf{e}_{i} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{l_{2}+1}^{\prime}\right)=\left(i c \mathbf{e}_{i+1}+\binom{i+1}{i-1} c^{2} \mathbf{e}_{i+2}+\cdots\right) \otimes \mathbf{e}_{l_{2}+1}^{\prime}
$$

By descending induction on $i$, we see that $\mathbf{e}_{i} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{l_{2}+1}^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Im}(u-1), i \geq 2$. This proves our assertion when $j=l_{2}+1$. When $j=l_{2}$, we have

$$
(u-1)\left(\mathbf{e}_{i} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{l_{2}}^{\prime}\right)=\left(i c \mathbf{e}_{i+1}+\cdots\right) \otimes \mathbf{e}_{l_{2}}^{\prime}+\left(\mathbf{e}_{i}+i c \mathbf{e}_{i+1}+\cdots\right) \otimes l_{2} c^{\prime} \mathbf{e}_{l_{2}+1}^{\prime} .
$$

The second term belongs to $\operatorname{Im}(u-1)$ if $i \geq 2$, and by descending induction on $i$, we can show that $\mathbf{e}_{i} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{l_{2}}^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Im}(u-1), i \geq 3$. Proceeding similarly by induction on $j$, we see that the assertion holds.

Lemma 3.4. We have

$$
\operatorname{Im}\left(u_{1}-1\right)+\operatorname{Im}\left(u_{2}-1\right)=\left(\oplus_{j=2}^{l_{2}+1} \mathbf{C}\left(\mathbf{e}_{1} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{j}^{\prime}\right)\right) \oplus\left(\oplus_{i=2}^{l_{1}+1} \oplus_{j=1}^{l_{2}+1} \mathbf{C}\left(\mathbf{e}_{i} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{j}^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$

In particular, $\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Im}\left(u_{1}-1\right)+\operatorname{Im}\left(u_{2}-1\right)\right)=\operatorname{dim} V-1$.
Proof. Put $W=\operatorname{Im}\left(u_{1}-1\right)+\operatorname{Im}\left(u_{2}-1\right)$. Since it is clear that $\mathbf{e}_{1} \otimes$ $\mathbf{e}_{1}^{\prime} \notin \operatorname{Im}\left(u_{1}-1\right), \notin \operatorname{Im}\left(u_{2}-1\right)$, it suffices to show that $\mathbf{e}_{i} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{j}^{\prime} \in W$ when $(i, j) \neq(1,1)$. We have

$$
\left(u_{2}-1\right)\left(\mathbf{e}_{1} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{l_{2}}^{\prime}\right)=\left(\omega \mathbf{e}_{2}+\cdots\right) \otimes \mathbf{e}_{l_{2}}^{\prime}+\left(\mathbf{e}_{1}+\omega \mathbf{e}_{2}+\cdots\right) \otimes l_{2} \omega^{\prime} \mathbf{e}_{l_{2}+1}^{\prime} .
$$

By Lemma 3.3, we have

$$
\omega\left(\mathbf{e}_{2} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{l_{2}}^{\prime}\right)+l_{2} \omega^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{e}_{1} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{l_{2}+1}^{\prime}\right) \in \operatorname{Im}\left(u_{2}-1\right) .
$$

Similarly we have

$$
\left(\mathbf{e}_{2} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{l_{2}}^{\prime}\right)+l_{2}\left(\mathbf{e}_{1} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{l_{2}+1}^{\prime}\right) \in \operatorname{Im}\left(u_{1}-1\right)
$$

Since $\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & l_{2} \\ \omega & l_{2} \omega^{\prime}\end{array}\right) \neq 0$, we obtain

$$
\mathbf{e}_{1} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{l_{2}+1}^{\prime} \in W, \quad \mathbf{e}_{2} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{l_{2}}^{\prime} \in W .
$$

We are going to show that
$(*) \mathbf{e}_{i} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{j}^{\prime} \in W, 1 \leq i \leq l_{1}+1, j \geq 2, \quad \mathbf{e}_{i} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{j-1}^{\prime} \in W, 2 \leq i \leq l_{1}+1, j \geq 2$
by descending induction on $j$. By Lemma 3.3 and by what we have shown, $(*)$ holds when $j=l_{2}+1$. We assume that $(*)$ holds for $j+1$. We have $\left(u_{2}-1\right)\left(\mathbf{e}_{i} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{j-1}^{\prime}\right)=\left(i \omega \mathbf{e}_{i+1}+\cdots\right) \otimes \mathbf{e}_{j-1}^{\prime}+\left(\mathbf{e}_{i}+i \omega \mathbf{e}_{i+1}+\cdots\right) \otimes\left((j-1) \omega^{\prime} \mathbf{e}_{j}^{\prime}+\cdots\right)$.

Suppose that $i \geq 2$. Then the second term on the right-hand side belongs to $W$. By induction on $i$, we obtain

$$
\mathbf{e}_{i} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{j-1}^{\prime} \in W, \quad i \geq 3
$$

If $i=1$, we obtain

$$
i \omega \mathbf{e}_{2} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{j-1}^{\prime}+(j-1) \omega^{\prime} \mathbf{e}_{1} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{j}^{\prime} \in W
$$

Considering $u_{1}-1$, we obtain

$$
i \mathbf{e}_{2} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{j-1}^{\prime}+(j-1) \mathbf{e}_{1} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{j}^{\prime} \in W
$$

Hence $(*)$ holds for $j$. This completes the proof.
By Lemma 3.1, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{B}=\operatorname{dim} V-1 \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider the surjective linear mapping

$$
\mathcal{Z} \ni\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right) \mapsto\left(u_{2}-1\right) U_{1} \in \operatorname{Im}\left(u_{1}-1\right) \cap \operatorname{Im}\left(u_{2}-1\right)
$$

The kernel of this mapping consists of $\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right)$ such that $U_{1} \in \operatorname{Ker}\left(u_{2}-1\right)$, $U_{2} \in \operatorname{Ker}\left(u_{1}-1\right)$. Hence by Lemma 3.2, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{Z}=\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Im}\left(u_{1}-1\right) \cap \operatorname{Im}\left(u_{2}-1\right)\right)+2 l_{2}+2 \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.9) and (3.10), we obtain
(3.11) $\operatorname{dim} H^{1}(U, V)=\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Im}\left(u_{1}-1\right) \cap \operatorname{Im}\left(u_{2}-1\right)\right)+2 l_{2}+3-\operatorname{dim} V$.

Lemma 3.5. We have $\operatorname{dim} H^{1}(U, V)=2$.
Proof. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Im}\left(u_{1}-1\right) \cap \operatorname{Im}\left(u_{2}-1\right)\right) \\
= & \operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Im}\left(u_{1}-1\right)\right)+\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Im}\left(u_{2}-1\right)\right)-\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Im}\left(u_{1}-1\right)+\operatorname{Im}\left(u_{2}-1\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 3.2, we have $\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Im}\left(u_{i}-1\right)\right)=\operatorname{dim} V-\left(l_{2}+1\right), i=1,2$. Then by Lemma 3.4, we get

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Im}\left(u_{1}-1\right) \cap \operatorname{Im}\left(u_{2}-1\right)\right)=\operatorname{dim} V-2 l_{2}-1
$$

The assertion follows from (3.11).
3.2. In this subsection, we will prove the following theorems.

Theorem 3.6. The eigenvalues of the action of $t$ on $H^{1}(U, V)$ are $\epsilon^{l_{1}+2}\left(\epsilon^{\prime}\right)^{-l_{2}}$ and $\epsilon^{-l_{1}-2}\left(\epsilon^{\prime}\right)^{l_{2}}$. In particular, $H^{1}(U, V)^{P / U}=0$.

## Theorem 3.7.

$$
\operatorname{dim} H^{1}(P, V)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } \quad l_{1} \neq l_{2} \text { or } N(\epsilon)^{l_{1}}=-1 \\ 1 & \text { if } \\ l_{1}=l_{2} \text { and } N(\epsilon)^{l_{1}}=1\end{cases}
$$

Here $N(\epsilon)$ denotes the norm of $\epsilon$.
Taking $G=P, N=U, M=V$ in (1.9), we obtain the exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow H^{1}\left(P / U, V^{U}\right) \longrightarrow H^{1}(P, V) \longrightarrow H^{1}(U, V)^{P / U} \longrightarrow 0,
$$

since $P / U \cong \mathbf{Z}$. Therefore Theorem 3.7 follows immediately from Theorem 3.6, since $\operatorname{dim} H^{1}\left(P / U, V^{U}\right)$ is easily seen to be equal to 0 (resp. 1) if $l_{1} \neq l_{2}$ or $N(\epsilon)^{l_{1}}=-1$ (resp. if $l_{1}=l_{2}$ and $N(\epsilon)^{l_{1}}=1$ ), in view of Lemma 3.1.

Proof of Theorem 3.6. First we recall the following fact on the action of $t$ on $H^{q}(U, V)$ (cf. (1.3)). Let $f \in Z^{q}(U, V)$ and let $\bar{f} \in H^{q}(U, V)$ be the cohomology class represented by $f$. Put

$$
g\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots n_{q}\right)=t^{-1} f\left(t n_{1} t^{-1}, t n_{2} t^{-1}, \ldots, t n_{q} t^{-1}\right), \quad n_{i} \in U, 1 \leq i \leq q
$$

Then $g \in Z^{q}(U, V)$ and $\bar{f} \mapsto \bar{g}$ is the action of $t$.
As in the proof of Lemma 3.4, let
$W=\operatorname{Im}\left(u_{1}-1\right)+\operatorname{Im}\left(u_{2}-1\right)=\left(\oplus_{j=2}^{l_{2}+1} \mathbf{C}\left(\mathbf{e}_{1} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{j}^{\prime}\right)\right) \oplus\left(\oplus_{i=2}^{l_{1}+1} \oplus_{j=1}^{l_{2}+1} \mathbf{C}\left(\mathbf{e}_{i} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{j}^{\prime}\right)\right)$.
We have

$$
V=\mathbf{C}\left(\mathbf{e}_{1} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{1}^{\prime}\right) \oplus W .
$$

We may assume that $l_{1}>0$ since our assertion is clearly true if $l_{1}=l_{2}=0$.
Put $\mathbf{t}_{1}=\mathbf{e}_{1} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{l_{2}+1}^{\prime}$. Let us show that for

$$
\mathbf{t}_{2}=\omega\left(\mathbf{e}_{1} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{l_{2}+1}^{\prime}\right)+\sum_{i=2}^{l_{1}+1} x_{i}\left(\mathbf{e}_{i} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{l_{2}+1}^{\prime}\right)
$$

with suitably chosen $x_{i} \in \mathbf{C}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(u_{2}-1\right) \mathbf{t}_{1}=\left(u_{1}-1\right) \mathbf{t}_{2} . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

To this end, for $i \geq 1$, put

$$
W_{i}=\oplus_{k=i}^{l_{1}+1} \mathbf{C}\left(\mathbf{e}_{k} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{l_{2}+1}^{\prime}\right) .
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(u_{2}-1\right)\left(\mathbf{e}_{1} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{l_{2}+1}^{\prime}\right)=\left(\omega \mathbf{e}_{2}+\omega^{2} \mathbf{e}_{3}+\cdots\right) \otimes \mathbf{e}_{l_{2}+1}^{\prime}, \\
& \left(u_{1}-1\right)\left(\mathbf{e}_{i} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{l_{2}+1}^{\prime}\right)=\left(i \mathbf{e}_{i+1}+\binom{i+1}{i-1} \mathbf{e}_{i+2}+\cdots\right) \otimes \mathbf{e}_{l_{2}+1}^{\prime} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We see that

$$
\left(u_{2}-1\right) \mathbf{t}_{1} \equiv\left(u_{1}-1\right) \mathbf{t}_{2} \quad \bmod W_{3} .
$$

For $x_{2}=\left(\omega^{2}-\omega\right) / 2$, we have

$$
\left(u_{2}-1\right) \mathbf{t}_{1} \equiv\left(u_{1}-1\right) \mathbf{t}_{2} \quad \bmod W_{4} .
$$

In this way, we can determine $x_{i}$ successively so that (3.12) holds. Let $f_{1} \in$ $Z^{1}(U, V)$ be the 1-cocycle which corresponds to the point $\left(\mathbf{t}_{1}, \mathbf{t}_{2}\right) \in \mathcal{Z}$.

Put $\mathbf{t}_{3}=\mathbf{e}_{l_{1}+1} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{1}^{\prime}$. Similarly to the above, we can show that for

$$
\mathbf{t}_{4}=\omega^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{e}_{l_{1}+1} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{1}^{\prime}\right)+\sum_{j=2}^{l_{2}+1} y_{j}\left(\mathbf{e}_{l_{1}+1} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{j}^{\prime}\right),
$$

the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(u_{2}-1\right) \mathbf{t}_{3}=\left(u_{1}-1\right) \mathbf{t}_{4} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds when $y_{j}$ are suitably chosen. Let $f_{2} \in Z^{1}(U, V)$ be the 1-cocycle which corresponds to the point $\left(\mathbf{t}_{3}, \mathbf{t}_{4}\right) \in \mathcal{Z}$.

Let $\bar{f}_{i}$ be the class of $f_{i}$ in $H^{1}(U, V), i=1,2$. Let us show that $\left\{\bar{f}_{1}, \bar{f}_{2}\right\}$ gives a basis of $H^{1}(U, V)$. To this end, assume that $\alpha f_{1}+\beta f_{2} \in B^{1}(U, V)$ for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{C}$. Then there exists $\mathbf{b} \in V$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha \mathbf{t}_{1}+\beta \mathbf{t}_{3}=\left(u_{1}-1\right) \mathbf{b}, \tag{i}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha \mathbf{t}_{2}+\beta \mathbf{t}_{4}=\left(u_{2}-1\right) \mathbf{b} \tag{ii}
\end{equation*}
$$

hold. Put

$$
\mathbf{b}=\sum_{i=1}^{l_{1}+1} \sum_{j=1}^{l_{2}+1} x_{i j}\left(\mathbf{e}_{i} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{j}^{\prime}\right) .
$$

On the left-hand side of (i), the coefficient of the tensor $\mathbf{e}_{1} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{l_{2}+1}^{\prime}$ is $\alpha$ and the coefficients of $\mathbf{e}_{1} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{j}^{\prime}$ are 0 for $1 \leq j \leq l_{2}$. We have

$$
\left(u_{1}-1\right)\left(\mathbf{e}_{1} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{j}^{\prime}\right)=j\left(\mathbf{e}_{1} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{j+1}^{\prime}\right)+\sum_{l=j+2}^{l_{2}+1} z_{l}\left(\mathbf{e}_{1} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{l}^{\prime}\right)+A,
$$

where $z_{l} \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $A$ is a term which does not contain $\mathbf{e}_{1} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{l}^{\prime}$. Therefore we have $x_{11}=\cdots=x_{1 l_{2}-1}=0$. By comparing the coefficients of the tensor $\mathbf{e}_{1} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{l_{2}+1}^{\prime}$ on the both sides of (i), we obtain

$$
\alpha=l_{2} x_{1 l_{2}} .
$$

By comparing the coefficients of the tensor $\mathbf{e}_{1} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{l_{2}+1}^{\prime}$ on the both sides of (ii), we get

$$
\alpha \omega=l_{2} \omega^{\prime} x_{1 l_{2}} .
$$

Hence we obtain $x_{1 l_{2}}=0, \alpha=0$. Similarly by comparing the coefficients of the tensor $\mathbf{e}_{l_{1}+1} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{1}^{\prime}$ for the both sides of (i) and (ii), we obtain $\beta=0$.

Let $f_{1}^{\prime}$ be the image of $f_{1}$ under the action of $t$ and let $\left(U_{1}^{\prime}, U_{2}^{\prime}\right) \in \mathcal{Z}$ be the point corresponding to $f_{1}^{\prime}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& U_{1}^{\prime}=f_{1}^{\prime}\left(u_{1}\right)=t^{-1} f_{1}\left(t u_{1} t^{-1}\right)=t^{-1} f_{1}\left(u_{1}^{A} u_{2}^{B}\right)=t^{-1}\left[u_{1}^{A} f_{1}\left(u_{2}^{B}\right)+f_{1}\left(u_{1}^{A}\right)\right], \\
& U_{2}^{\prime}=f_{1}^{\prime}\left(u_{2}\right)=t^{-1} f_{1}\left(t u_{2} t^{-1}\right)=t^{-1} f_{1}\left(u_{1}^{C} u_{2}^{D}\right)=t^{-1}\left[u_{1}^{C} f_{1}\left(u_{2}^{D}\right)+f_{1}\left(u_{1}^{C}\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $i=1,2$, we have $f_{1}\left(u_{i}\right)=\mathbf{t}_{i}$ and

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
f_{1}\left(u_{i}^{n}\right)=\left(1+u_{1}+\cdots+u_{1}^{n-1}\right) \mathbf{t}_{i} & \text { if } \\
& n>0  \tag{3.15}\\
f_{1}\left(u_{i}^{-n}\right)=-\left(u_{1}^{-1}+\cdots+u_{1}^{-n}\right) \mathbf{t}_{i} & \text { if }
\end{array} \quad n>0 .
$$

From these formulas, we see easily that the coefficient of $\mathbf{e}_{1} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{l_{2}+1}^{\prime}$ in $t U_{1}^{\prime}$ is $A+$ $B \omega$. Hence the coefficient of $\mathbf{e}_{1} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{l_{2}+1}^{\prime}$ in $U_{1}^{\prime}$ is $\epsilon^{l_{1}}\left(\epsilon^{\prime}\right)^{-l_{2}}(A+B \omega)=\epsilon^{l_{1}+2}\left(\epsilon^{\prime}\right)^{-l_{2}}$. Similarly we see that the coefficient of $\mathbf{e}_{1} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{l_{2}+1}^{\prime}$ in $U_{2}^{\prime}$ is $\omega \epsilon^{l_{1}+2}\left(\epsilon^{\prime}\right)^{-l_{2}}$.

Now let

$$
f_{1}^{\prime} \equiv \gamma f_{1}+\delta f_{2} \quad \bmod B^{1}(U, V)
$$

with $\gamma, \delta \in \mathbf{C}$. Then there exists $\mathbf{c} \in V$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma \mathbf{t}_{1}+\delta \mathbf{t}_{3}-U_{1}^{\prime}=\left(u_{1}-1\right) \mathbf{c}, \tag{iii}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma \mathbf{t}_{2}+\delta \mathbf{t}_{4}-U_{2}^{\prime}=\left(u_{2}-1\right) \mathbf{c} . \tag{iv}
\end{equation*}
$$

Put $\mathbf{c}=\sum_{i=1}^{l_{1}+1} \sum_{j=1}^{l_{2}+1} y_{i j}\left(\mathbf{e}_{i} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{j}^{\prime}\right)$. Comparing the coefficients of $\mathbf{e}_{1} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{l_{2}+1}^{\prime}$ on the both sides of (iii), we obtain

$$
\gamma-\epsilon^{l_{1}+2}\left(\epsilon^{\prime}\right)^{-l_{2}}=l_{2} y_{1 l_{2}} .
$$

Comparing the coefficients of $\mathbf{e}_{1} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{l_{2}+1}^{\prime}$ on the both sides of (iv), we obtain

$$
\left(\gamma-\epsilon^{l_{1}+2}\left(\epsilon^{\prime}\right)^{-l_{2}}\right) \omega=l_{2} y_{1 l_{2}} \omega^{\prime} .
$$

From these two formulas, we obtain $y_{1 l_{2}}=0, \gamma=\epsilon^{l_{1}+2}\left(\epsilon^{\prime}\right)^{-l_{2}}$. Similarly comparing the coefficients of $\mathbf{e}_{l_{1}+1} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{1}^{\prime}$ on the both sides of (iii) and (iv), we obtain $\delta=0$. Thus we have shown

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{1}^{\prime} \equiv \epsilon^{l_{1}+2}\left(\epsilon^{\prime}\right)^{-l_{2}} f_{1} \quad \bmod B^{1}(U, V) \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next let $f_{2}^{\prime}$ be the image of $f_{2}$ under the action of $t$ and let $\left(U_{3}^{\prime}, U_{4}^{\prime}\right)$ be the point of $\mathcal{Z}$ corresponding to $f_{2}^{\prime}$. Here $U_{3}^{\prime}=f_{2}^{\prime}\left(u_{1}\right), U_{4}^{\prime}=f_{2}^{\prime}\left(u_{2}\right)$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& U_{3}^{\prime}=f_{2}^{\prime}\left(u_{1}\right)=t^{-1} f_{2}\left(t u_{1} t^{-1}\right)=t^{-1} f_{2}\left(u_{1}^{A} u_{2}^{B}\right)=t^{-1}\left[u_{1}^{A} f_{2}\left(u_{2}^{B}\right)+f_{2}\left(u_{1}^{A}\right)\right], \\
& U_{4}^{\prime}=f_{2}^{\prime}\left(u_{2}\right)=t^{-1} f_{2}\left(t u_{2} t^{-1}\right)=t^{-1} f_{2}\left(u_{1}^{C} u_{2}^{D}\right)=t^{-1}\left[u_{1}^{C} f_{2}\left(u_{2}^{D}\right)+f_{2}\left(u_{1}^{C}\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

The coefficient of $\mathbf{e}_{l_{1}+1} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{1}^{\prime}$ in $t U_{3}^{\prime}$ is $A+B \omega^{\prime}=\epsilon^{-2}$. The coefficient of $\mathbf{e}_{l_{1}+1} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{1}^{\prime}$ in $t U_{4}^{\prime}$ is $C+D \omega^{\prime}=\epsilon^{-2} \omega^{\prime}$. By a similar argument to the above, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{2}^{\prime} \equiv \epsilon^{-l_{1}-2}\left(\epsilon^{\prime}\right)^{l_{2}} f_{2} \quad \bmod B^{1}(U, V) \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.6.
3.3. In this subsection, we will prove the following theorems.

Theorem 3.8. We have $\operatorname{dim} H^{2}(U, V)=1$ and $t$ acts on it as the multiplication by $\epsilon^{l_{1}}\left(\epsilon^{\prime}\right)^{l_{2}}$.

Theorem 3.9. We have $H^{2}(P, V)=0$ except for the case $l_{1}=l_{2}$ and $N(\epsilon)^{l_{1}}=1$. If $l_{1}=l_{2}$ and $N(\epsilon)^{l_{1}}=1$, then we have $\operatorname{dim} H^{2}(P, V)=1$.

First we will prove the part of Theorem 3.8 concerning the dimension.
Lemma 3.10. We have $\operatorname{dim} H^{2}(U, V)=1$.
Proof. Let $U_{1}$ be the subgroup of $U$ generated by $u_{1}$. We have the exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow U_{1} \longrightarrow U \longrightarrow U_{2} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the associated spectral sequence (cf. (1.8))

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{2}^{p, q}=H^{p}\left(U_{2}, H^{q}\left(U_{1}, V\right)\right) \Longrightarrow H^{n}(U, V) \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $E^{n}=H^{n}(U, V)$ and $\left\{F^{i}\right\}$ denote the filtration on $E^{n}$ induced by (3.19). We have $F^{p}\left(E^{n}\right) / F^{p+1}\left(E^{n}\right) \cong E_{\infty}^{p, n-p}$. Since $U_{2} \cong \mathbf{Z}$, we have $E_{2}^{2, q}=E_{\infty}^{2, q}=$ 0 . Since $F^{3}\left(E^{2}\right)=0$, we get $F^{2}\left(E^{2}\right)=0$. Since $U_{1} \cong \mathbf{Z}$, we have $E_{2}^{p, 2}=$
$E_{\infty}^{p, 2}=0$. Hence we get $E^{2} / F^{1}\left(E^{2}\right)=0$. We have $F^{1}\left(E^{2}\right) / F^{2}\left(E^{2}\right) \cong E_{\infty}^{1,1}$. Therefore it is sufficient to show that $\operatorname{dim} E_{\infty}^{1,1}=1$.

We consider

$$
E_{2}^{1,1}=H^{1}\left(U_{2}, H^{1}\left(U_{1}, V\right)\right)
$$

The map $Z^{1}\left(U_{1}, V\right) \ni f \mapsto f\left(u_{1}\right) \in V$ induces the isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{1}\left(U_{1}, V\right) \cong V / \operatorname{Im}\left(u_{1}-1\right) \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The action of $u \in U_{2}$ on the right-hand side of (3.20) is given by
$V / \operatorname{Im}\left(u_{1}-1\right) \ni v \bmod \operatorname{Im}\left(u_{1}-1\right) \longrightarrow u^{-1} v \bmod \operatorname{Im}\left(u_{1}-1\right) \in V / \operatorname{Im}\left(u_{1}-1\right)$.
Since $\bar{u}_{2}=u_{2} \bmod U_{1}$ is a generator of $U_{2}$, we have
$H^{1}\left(U_{2}, H^{1}\left(U_{1}, V\right)\right) \cong\left(V / \operatorname{Im}\left(u_{1}-1\right)\right) / \operatorname{Im}\left(\bar{u}_{2}-1\right) \cong V /\left(\operatorname{Im}\left(u_{1}-1\right)+\operatorname{Im}\left(u_{2}-1\right)\right)$.
By Lemma 3.4, we obtain

$$
\operatorname{dim} H^{1}\left(U_{2}, H^{1}\left(U_{1}, V\right)\right)=\operatorname{dim} E_{2}^{1,1}=1
$$

Since $E_{2}^{3,0}=0$, we have $E_{\infty}^{1,1}=E_{2}^{1,1}$. This completes the proof.
Proof of Theorem 3.8. We set $\tau=u_{1}, \eta=u_{2}$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the free group on two free generators $\widetilde{\tau}$ and $\widetilde{\eta}$ and let $\pi: \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow U$ be the surjective homomorphism such that

$$
\pi(\widetilde{\tau})=\tau, \quad \pi(\widetilde{\eta})=\eta
$$

Let $R$ be the kernel of $\pi$. For $a, b \in \mathcal{F}$, let $[a, b]=a b a^{-1} b^{-1}$ be the commutator of $a$ and $b$. We see easily that

$$
R=\left\langle x[\widetilde{\tau}, \widetilde{\eta}] x^{-1} \mid x \in \mathcal{F}\right\rangle, \quad R=[\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}] .
$$

We have the isomorphism (cf. (1.11))

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{2}(U, V) \cong H^{1}(R, V)^{U} / \operatorname{Im}\left(H^{1}(\mathcal{F}, V)\right) \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{1}(R, V)^{U}=\left\{\varphi \in \operatorname{Hom}(R, V) \mid \varphi\left(g r g^{-1}\right)=g \varphi(r), \quad g \in \mathcal{F}, r \in R\right\} \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence $\varphi \in H^{1}(R, V)^{U}$ is completely determined by $\varphi([\widetilde{\tau}, \widetilde{\eta}])$. For $b \in H^{1}(\mathcal{F}, V)$, we have

$$
b([\widetilde{\tau}, \widetilde{\eta}])=(1-\eta) b(\widetilde{\tau})+(\tau-1) b(\widetilde{\eta}) .
$$

Let $W$ be the suspace of $V$ as in the proof of Lemma 3.4. For $\varphi \in \operatorname{Im}\left(H^{1}(\mathcal{F}, V)\right)$, the formula above shows that $\varphi([\widetilde{\tau}, \widetilde{\eta}])$ can take an arbitrary vector in $W$. In particular, it follows that $\operatorname{dim} H^{2}(U, V) \leq 1$. Since $\operatorname{dim} H^{2}(U, V)=1$ by Lemma 3.10, we see that there exists $\varphi_{1} \in H^{1}(R, V)^{U}$ such that $\varphi_{1}([\widetilde{\tau}, \widetilde{\eta}])=$ $\mathbf{e}_{1} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{1}^{\prime}$. This $\varphi_{1}$ corresponds to a generator of $H^{2}(U, V)$.

Let $f \in Z^{2}(U, V)$. For $g \in \mathcal{F}$, we put $\bar{g}=\pi(g)$. There exists $a \in C^{1}(\mathcal{F}, V)$ such that (cf. (1.12))

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(\bar{g}_{1}, \bar{g}_{2}\right)=g_{1} a\left(g_{2}\right)+a\left(g_{1}\right)-a\left(g_{1} g_{2}\right), \quad g_{1}, g_{2} \in \mathcal{F} \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

The corresponding element $\varphi \in H^{1}(R, V)^{U}$ to $f$ is obtained as the restriction of $a$ to $R$. Now let $\xi$ be an automorphism of $\mathcal{F}$. Since $\xi$ stabilizes $R=[\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}]$, $\xi$ induces an automorphism of $U=\mathcal{F} / R$, which we denote by $\bar{\xi}$. We have

$$
\bar{\xi}(\bar{g})=\overline{\xi(g)}, \quad g \in \mathcal{F}
$$

From (3.23), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(\bar{\xi}\left(\bar{g}_{1}\right), \bar{\xi}\left(\bar{g}_{2}\right)\right)=\xi\left(g_{1}\right) a\left(\xi\left(g_{2}\right)\right)+a\left(\xi\left(g_{1}\right)\right)-a\left(\xi\left(g_{1}\right) \xi\left(g_{2}\right)\right), \quad g_{1}, g_{2} \in \mathcal{F} \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3.11. For $\gamma=\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right) \in \operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbf{Z})$, let $\xi(\gamma)$ be the automorphism of $U$ defined by $\xi(\gamma)(\tau)=\tau^{a} \eta^{c}, \xi(\gamma)(\eta)=\tau^{b} \eta^{d}$. Then there exists an automorphism $\widetilde{\xi(\gamma)}$ of $\mathcal{F}$ such that $\xi(\gamma)=\overline{\xi(\gamma)}$. Moreover $\widetilde{\xi(\gamma)}$ can be taken so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(\widetilde{\xi(\gamma)}(g)) \equiv \varphi(g) \quad \bmod W \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for every $\varphi \in H^{1}(R, V)^{U}$ and every $g \in[\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}]$.
Proof. For $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2} \in \operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbf{Z})$, we have $\xi\left(\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}\right)=\xi\left(\gamma_{1}\right) \xi\left(\gamma_{2}\right)$. For two automorphisms $\xi_{1}$, $\xi_{2}$ of $\mathcal{F}$, we have $\overline{\xi_{1} \xi_{2}}=\bar{\xi}_{1} \bar{\xi}_{2}$. Therefore to show the first assertion, it is sufficient to verify it for generators $\gamma_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right), \gamma_{2}=$ $\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0\end{array}\right)$ of $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbf{Z})$. Clearly the formulas $\widetilde{\xi}\left(\gamma_{1}\right)(\widetilde{\tau})=\widetilde{\tau}, \widetilde{\xi}\left(\gamma_{1}\right)(\widetilde{\eta})=\widetilde{\tau} \widetilde{\eta}$, $\widetilde{\xi}\left(\gamma_{2}\right)(\widetilde{\tau})=\widetilde{\eta}^{-1}, \widetilde{\xi}\left(\gamma_{2}\right)(\widetilde{\eta})=\widetilde{\tau}$ define automorphisms $\widetilde{\xi}\left(\gamma_{1}\right)$ and $\widetilde{\xi}\left(\gamma_{2}\right)$ of $\mathcal{F}$ satisfying the requirements.

To show the latter assertion, we first note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \mathbf{v} \equiv \mathbf{v} \bmod W \quad \text { for every } u \in U \text { and every } \mathbf{v} \in V \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\varphi \in H^{1}(R, V)^{U}$. Since $\widetilde{\xi}(\gamma)$ can be taken from the subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{F})$ generated by $\widetilde{\xi}\left(\gamma_{1}\right)$ and $\widetilde{\xi}\left(\gamma_{2}\right)$, it is sufficient to show (3.25) for these generators. Moreover since $\varphi\left(x[\widetilde{\tau}, \widetilde{\eta}] x^{-1}\right)=x \varphi([\widetilde{\tau}, \widetilde{\eta}])$ for $x \in \mathcal{F}$, it is enough to verify (3.25) for $g=[\widetilde{\tau}, \widetilde{\eta}]$ in view of (3.26). For $\widetilde{\xi}\left(\gamma_{1}\right)$, we have

$$
\varphi\left(\widetilde{\xi}\left(\gamma_{1}\right)([\widetilde{\tau}, \widetilde{\eta}])\right)=\varphi\left(\widetilde{\tau}[\widetilde{\tau}, \widetilde{\eta}] \widetilde{\tau}^{-1}\right)=\tau \varphi([\widetilde{\tau}, \widetilde{\eta}]) \equiv \varphi([\widetilde{\tau}, \widetilde{\eta}]) \quad \bmod W
$$

by (3.26). For $\widetilde{\xi}\left(\gamma_{2}\right)$, we can check (3.25) similarly since $\widetilde{\xi}\left(\gamma_{2}\right)([\widetilde{\tau}, \widetilde{\eta}])=$ $\widetilde{\eta}^{-1}[\widetilde{\tau}, \widetilde{\eta}] \widetilde{\eta}$. This completes the proof of Lemma 3.11.

Applying Lemma 3.11 to $\gamma=\left(\begin{array}{cc}A & B \\ C & D\end{array}\right)$, we see that there exists an automorphism $\xi_{t}$ of $\mathcal{F}$ such that (cf. (3.1))

$$
\bar{\xi}_{t}(u)=t u t^{-1}, \quad u \in U .
$$

Under the action of $t, f$ is transformed to the 2-cocycle $f^{\prime} \in Z^{2}(U, V)$ where

$$
f^{\prime}\left(h_{1}, h_{2}\right)=t^{-1} f\left(t h_{1} t^{-1}, t h_{2} t^{-1}\right), \quad h_{1}, h_{2} \in U .
$$

By (3.24), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& t^{-1} f\left(t \bar{g}_{1} t^{-1}, t \bar{g}_{2} t^{-1}\right) \\
= & g_{1} t^{-1} a\left(\xi_{t}\left(g_{2}\right)\right)+t^{-1} a\left(\xi_{t}\left(g_{1}\right)\right)-t^{-1} a\left(\xi_{t}\left(g_{1}\right) \xi_{t}\left(g_{2}\right)\right), \quad g_{1}, g_{2} \in \mathcal{F} . \tag{3.27}
\end{align*}
$$

This formula shows that 1-cochain $a^{\prime} \in C^{1}(\mathcal{F}, V)$ which splits $f^{\prime}$ is given by

$$
a^{\prime}(g)=t^{-1} a\left(\xi_{t}(g)\right), \quad g \in \mathcal{F} .
$$

Now suppose that $f$ (resp. $\left.f^{\prime}\right) \in Z^{2}(U, V)$ corresponds to $\varphi$ (resp. $\varphi^{\prime}$ ) $\in H^{1}(R, V)^{U}$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi^{\prime}([\widetilde{\tau}, \widetilde{\eta}])=t^{-1} \varphi\left(\xi_{t}([\widetilde{\tau}, \widetilde{\eta}])\right) . \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

We may assume that $\varphi=\varphi_{1}$, i.e., $\varphi([\widetilde{\tau}, \widetilde{\eta}])=\mathbf{e}_{1} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{1}^{\prime}$. Then by (3.25), we obtain

$$
\varphi^{\prime}([\widetilde{\tau}, \widetilde{\eta}]) \equiv t^{-1} \varphi([\widetilde{\tau}, \widetilde{\eta}]) \equiv \epsilon^{l_{1}}\left(\epsilon^{\prime}\right)^{l_{2}} \varphi([\widetilde{\tau}, \widetilde{\eta}]) \quad \bmod W
$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.8.
Proof of Theorem 3.9. Set $T=P / U$. Then $T$ is generated by $t$ $\bmod U$. We consider the spectral sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{2}^{p, q}=H^{p}\left(T, H^{q}(U, V)\right) \Longrightarrow H^{n}(P, V) . \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $E^{n}=H^{n}(P, V)$ and $\left\{F^{i}\right\}$ denote the filtration induced by (3.29). Since $T \cong \mathbf{Z}$, we have $E_{2}^{p, q}=0$ for $p \geq 2, q \geq 0$. Hence $F^{2}\left(E^{2}\right) / F^{3}\left(E^{2}\right) \cong E_{\infty}^{2,0}=$ 0 . Since $F^{3}\left(E^{2}\right)=0$, we obtain $F^{2}\left(E^{2}\right)=0$. By Theorem 3.6, we have $E_{2}^{1,1}=H^{1}\left(T, H^{1}(U, V)\right)=0$. Hence we have $F^{1}\left(E^{2}\right) / F^{2}\left(E^{2}\right) \cong E_{\infty}^{1,1}=0$. Therefore we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim} H^{2}(P, V)=\operatorname{dim} E^{2} / F^{1}\left(E^{2}\right)=\operatorname{dim} E_{\infty}^{0,2} \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now assume $l_{1} \neq l_{2}$ or $N(\epsilon)^{l_{1}} \neq 1$. By Theorem 3.8, we have $H^{2}(U, V)^{T}=$ 0 . Hence we get $E_{2}^{0,2}=E_{\infty}^{0,2}=0$. Next assume that $l_{1}=l_{2}$ and $N(\epsilon)^{l_{1}}=1$. By Theorem 3.8, we have $\operatorname{dim} E_{2}^{0,2}=\operatorname{dim} H^{2}(U, V)^{T}=1$. We clearly have $E_{2}^{0,2} \cong E_{\infty}^{0,2}$. This completes the proof.

## $\S 4$. On the parabolic condition

In this section (in particular subsection 4.1), we will show that it is possible to deduce information on critical values of $L$-functions, once we know a corresponding 2-cocycle which satisfies the parabolic condition.

From this section until the end of the paper, we define subgroups of $\Gamma$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P=\left\{\left.\left(\begin{array}{cc}
u & v \\
0 & u^{-1}
\end{array}\right) \right\rvert\, u \in E_{F}, v \in \mathcal{O}_{F}\right\} /\left\{ \pm 1_{2}\right\} \\
& U=\left\{\left.\left(\begin{array}{cc} 
\pm 1 & v \\
0 & \pm 1
\end{array}\right) \right\rvert\, v \in \mathcal{O}_{F}\right\} /\left\{ \pm 1_{2}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

restoring the notation to that of $\S 2$. We see that Theorems 3.7, 3.9 and the fact $H^{1}(P, V)^{P / U}=0$ stated in Theorem 3.6 are valid, considering the isomorphism $P \ni p \mapsto{ }^{t} p^{-1} \in{ }^{t} P$ and noting that $g \mapsto \rho(g)$ and $g \mapsto \rho\left({ }^{t} g^{-1}\right)$ are equivalent as representations of $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbf{C})^{2}$.
4.1. Let $V_{1}$ (resp. $V_{2}$ ) be the representation space of $\rho_{l_{1}}$ (resp. $\rho_{l_{2}}$ ). We take a basis $\left\{\mathbf{e}_{1}, \mathbf{e}_{2}, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_{l_{1}+1}\right\}$ of $V_{1}$ so that $\rho_{l_{1}}\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}a & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)\right) \mathbf{e}_{i}=a^{l_{1}+1-i} \mathbf{e}_{i}$. Similarly we take a basis $\left\{\mathbf{e}_{1}^{\prime}, \mathbf{e}_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_{l_{2}+1}^{\prime}\right\}$ of $V_{2}$ so that $\rho_{l_{2}}\left(\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)\right) \mathbf{e}_{i}^{\prime}=$ $a^{l_{2}+1-i} \mathbf{e}_{i}^{\prime}$. We assume that $l_{1} \geq l_{2}, l_{1} \equiv l_{2} \bmod 2$. We put $k_{1}=l_{1}+2$, $k_{2}=l_{2}+2, k=\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)$. Let $\Omega \in S_{k}(\Gamma)$. We assume that $l_{1}$ is even if $N(\epsilon)=-1$. (This assumption is (A) in $\S 1$.)

We recall the formulas:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)=\int_{\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2} w_{1}}^{\gamma_{1} w_{1}} \int_{w_{2}}^{\gamma_{1}^{\prime} w_{2}} \mathfrak{d}(\Omega), \quad w_{1}=i \epsilon^{-1}, w_{2}=i \infty \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\sigma, \mu)=-\int_{i \epsilon^{-1}}^{i \epsilon} \int_{0}^{i \infty} \mathfrak{d}(\Omega) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The formula (2.30) shows that the coefficients of $\mathbf{e}_{i} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{i-\left(l_{1}-l_{2}\right) / 2}^{\prime}$ in $f(\sigma, \mu)$, $\left(l_{1}-l_{2}\right) / 2+1 \leq i \leq\left(l_{1}+l_{2}\right) / 2+1$ are related to the critical values of $L(s, \Omega)$.

The parabolic condition on the cocycle $f$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(p \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)=p f\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right) \quad \text { for every } \quad p \in P, \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2} \in \Gamma \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now suppose that we add the coboundary of $b \in C^{1}(\Gamma, V)$

$$
b\left(\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}\right)-\gamma_{1} b\left(\gamma_{2}\right)-b\left(\gamma_{1}\right)
$$

to $f$. We assume that the resulting 2 -cocycle is normalized and still satisfies the parabolic condition (4.3). Then we see easily that $b$ must satisfy the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
b(p \gamma)=p b(\gamma)+b(p), \quad p \in P, \gamma \in \Gamma \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Put $A=f(\sigma, \mu)$. After adding the cobounday of $b, A$ changes to $A+b(\sigma \mu)-$ $\sigma b(\mu)-b(\sigma)$. By (4.4), we have

$$
b(\sigma \mu)=b\left(\mu^{-1} \sigma\right)=\mu^{-1} b(\sigma)+b\left(\mu^{-1}\right), \quad b\left(\mu^{-1}\right)=-\mu^{-1} b(\mu) .
$$

Therefore $A$ changes to

$$
A+\left(\mu^{-1}-1\right) b(\sigma)-\left(\sigma+\mu^{-1}\right) b(\mu)
$$

By (4.4), we have $b \mid P \in Z^{1}(P, V)$. Suppose that $l_{1} \neq l_{2}$. By Theorem 3.7, we have

$$
b(\mu)=(\mu-1) \mathbf{b}, \quad \mathbf{b} \in V .
$$

Since $\left(\sigma+\mu^{-1}\right)(\mu-1)=\left(\mu^{-1}-1\right)(\sigma-1)$, we see that $A$ changes to

$$
A+\left(\mu^{-1}-1\right)[b(\sigma)+(1-\sigma) \mathbf{b}] .
$$

This formula shows that the components of $A$ related to the critical values do not change by adding a coboundary, since $\mu^{-1}\left(\mathbf{e}_{i} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{i-\left(l_{1}-l_{2}\right) / 2}\right)=\mathbf{e}_{i} \otimes$ $\left.\mathbf{e}_{i-\left(l_{1}-l_{2}\right) / 2}\right)$. Next suppose that $l_{1}=l_{2}$. By Theorem 3.7 and by the exact sequence below it, we have

$$
b(\mu)=(\mu-1) \mathbf{b}+\mathbf{b}_{0}, \quad \mathbf{b} \in V, \quad \mathbf{b}_{0} \in V^{U} .
$$

Hence $A$ changes to

$$
A+\left(\mu^{-1}-1\right)[b(\sigma)+(1-\sigma) \mathbf{b}]-\left(\sigma+\mu^{-1}\right) \mathbf{b}_{0}
$$

Since $\mathbf{b}_{0} \in V^{U}$, this formula shows that the components of $A$ related to the critical values do not change except for two critical values $L(1, \Omega)$ and $L\left(l_{1}+1, \Omega\right)$ at the edges.
4.2. Let $\bar{Z}^{2}(\Gamma, V)$ be the subgroup of $Z^{2}(\Gamma, V)$ consisting of normalized 2-cocycles. Put

$$
\bar{B}^{2}(\Gamma, V)=\left\{f=d b \mid b \in C^{1}(\Gamma, V), b(1)=0\right\} .
$$

Then we have

$$
\bar{Z}^{2}(\Gamma, V) \cap B^{2}(\Gamma, V)=\bar{B}^{2}(\Gamma, V)
$$

and therefore

$$
\bar{Z}^{2}(\Gamma, V) / \bar{B}^{2}(\Gamma, V) \subset Z^{2}(\Gamma, V) / B^{2}(\Gamma, V) .
$$

Since every 2-cocycle can be normalized by adding a coboundary, we have

$$
H^{2}(\Gamma, V)=\bar{Z}^{2}(\Gamma, V) / \bar{B}^{2}(\Gamma, V)
$$

Put
$Z_{\mathrm{P}}^{2}(\Gamma, V)=\left\{f \in \bar{Z}^{2}(\Gamma, V) \mid f\right.$ satisfies the parabolic condition (4.3) $\}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
B_{\mathrm{P}}^{2}(\Gamma, V)= & \left\{f \in \bar{B}^{2}(\Gamma, V) \mid f=d b, b \in C^{1}(\Gamma, V)\right. \\
& b(p \gamma)=p b(\gamma)+b(p), \quad p \in P, \gamma \in \Gamma\} . \tag{4.6}
\end{align*}
$$

An element of $Z_{P}^{2}(\Gamma, V)$ is called a normalized parabolic 2-cocycle. The next lemma can easily be verified.

Lemma 4.1. We have

$$
Z_{\mathrm{P}}^{2}(\Gamma, V) \cap \bar{B}^{2}(\Gamma, V)=B_{\mathrm{P}}^{2}(\Gamma, V) .
$$

By Lemma 4.1, we have

$$
Z_{\mathrm{P}}^{2}(\Gamma, V) / B_{\mathrm{P}}^{2}(\Gamma, V) \subset \bar{Z}^{2}(\Gamma, V) / \bar{B}^{2}(\Gamma, V)=H^{2}(\Gamma, V) .
$$

We define the parabolic part $H_{\mathrm{P}}^{2}(\Gamma, V)$ of $H^{2}(\Gamma, V)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{\mathrm{P}}^{2}(\Gamma, V)=Z_{\mathrm{P}}^{2}(\Gamma, V) / B_{\mathrm{P}}^{2}(\Gamma, V) \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

4.3. As another application of Theorem 3.7, we are going to show the nonvanishing of the cohomology class attached to a Hecke eigenform.

Lemma 4.2. Assume $l_{1}$ is even if $N(\epsilon)=-1$. Let $f \in Z_{P}^{2}(\Gamma, V)$ be a normalized parabolic 2-cocycle. For $\left(l_{1}-l_{2}\right) / 2+1 \leq i \leq\left(l_{1}+l_{2}\right) / 2+1$, let $c_{i}$ be the coefficient of $\mathbf{e}_{i} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{i-\left(l_{1}-l_{2}\right) / 2}^{\prime}$ in $f(\sigma, \mu)$. Assume that $c_{i} \neq 0$ for some $i$ if $l_{1} \neq l_{2}$ and that $c_{i} \neq 0$ for some $i \neq 1, l_{1}+1$ if $l_{1}=l_{2}$. Then the cohomology class of $f$ is non-trivial.

Proof. Suppose that the cohomology class of $f$ is trivial. Then there exists $b \in C^{1}(\Gamma, V)$ such that

$$
f\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)=\gamma_{1} b\left(\gamma_{2}\right)+b\left(\gamma_{1}\right)-b\left(\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}\right), \quad \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2} \in \Gamma .
$$

From $f(1,1)=0$, we get $b(1)=0$. Since $f$ satisfies the parabolic condition, we have

$$
p \gamma_{1} b\left(\gamma_{2}\right)+b\left(p \gamma_{1}\right)-b\left(p \gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}\right)=p \gamma_{1} b\left(\gamma_{2}\right)+p b\left(\gamma_{1}\right)-p b\left(\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}\right)
$$

for $p \in P$. Taking $\gamma_{2}=\gamma_{1}^{-1}$ and writing $\gamma_{1}$ as $\gamma$, we find

$$
b(p \gamma)=p b(\gamma)+b(p), \quad p \in P, \gamma \in \Gamma
$$

Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(\sigma, \mu) & =\sigma b(\mu)+b(\sigma)-b(\sigma \mu)=\sigma b(\mu)+b(\sigma)-b\left(\mu^{-1} \sigma\right) \\
& =\sigma b(\mu)+b(\sigma)-\mu^{-1} b(\sigma)-b\left(\mu^{-1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $b\left(\mu^{-1}\right)=-\mu^{-1} b(\mu)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\sigma, \mu)=\left(1-\mu^{-1}\right) b(\sigma)+\left(\sigma+\mu^{-1}\right) b(\mu) . \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

First we consider the case $l_{1} \neq l_{2}$. Since $b \mid P \in Z^{1}(P, V)$ and $H^{1}(P, V)=0$ (Theorem 3.7), there exists $\mathbf{b} \in V$ such that $b(\mu)=(\mu-1) \mathbf{b}$. Then we have

$$
f(\sigma, \mu)=\left(1-\mu^{-1}\right)[b(\sigma)+(1-\sigma) \mathbf{b}] .
$$

We have $\mu^{-1}\left(\mathbf{e}_{i} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{i-\left(l_{1}-l_{2}\right) / 2}^{\prime}\right)=N(\epsilon)^{l_{1}}\left(\mathbf{e}_{i} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{i-\left(l_{1}-l_{2}\right) / 2}^{\prime}\right)$. Hence $c_{i}$ vanishes for all $i$. This is a contradiction and the proof is complete in this case.

Next we consider the case $l_{1}=l_{2}$. By Theorem 3.7, there exist $\mathbf{b} \in V$ and $\mathbf{b}_{0} \in V^{U}$ such that

$$
b(\mu)=(\mu-1) \mathbf{b}+\mathbf{b}_{0} .
$$

Then we have

$$
f(\sigma, \mu)=\left(1-\mu^{-1}\right)[b(\sigma)+(1-\sigma) \mathbf{b}]+\left(\sigma+\mu^{-1}\right) \mathbf{b}_{0}
$$

Since $\mathbf{b}_{0} \in V^{U}$, this formula shows that $c_{i}=0$ if $i \neq 1, l_{1}+1$. This is a contradiction and completes the proof.

Proposition 4.3. Let $k=\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right), k_{1} \geq k_{2}, k_{1} \equiv k_{2} \equiv 0 \bmod 2$. Let $\Omega \in S_{k}(\Gamma)$ and let $f=f(\Omega)$ be the normalized parabolic 2-cocycle attached to $\Omega$ (cf. (4.1)). We assume that the class number of $F$ in the narrow sense is 1 and that $\Omega$ is a nonzero Hecke eigenform. If $k_{1} \neq k_{2}$, we assume $k_{2} \geq 4$. If $k_{1}=k_{2}$, we assume $k_{2} \geq 6$. Then the cohomology class of $f$ in $H^{2}(\Gamma, V)$ is non-trivial.

Proof. Let $k_{1}=l_{1}+2, k_{2}=l_{2}+2$. By (2.30), we see that the coefficient $c_{i}$ of $\mathbf{e}_{i} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{i-\left(l_{1}-l_{2}\right) / 2}^{\prime}$ in $f(\sigma, \mu)$ is $L\left(l_{1}+2-i, \Omega\right)$ times a nonzero constant for $\left(l_{1}-l_{2}\right) / 2+1 \leq i \leq\left(l_{1}+l_{2}\right) / 2+1$. It is well known that $L(s, \Omega) \neq 0$ for $\Re(s) \geq\left(k_{1}+1\right) / 2$ (cf. [Sh4], Proposition 4.16). For $i=\left(l_{1}-l_{2}\right) / 2+1$, $c_{i}$ is nonzero times $L\left(\left(k_{1}+k_{2}\right) / 2-1, \Omega\right)$. Since $\left(k_{1}+k_{2}\right) / 2-1 \geq\left(k_{1}+1\right) / 2$ if $k_{2} \geq 3$, our assertion follows from Lemma 4.2 if $k_{1} \neq k_{2}$. Assume $k_{1}=k_{2}$. For $i=2, c_{i}$ is nonzero times $L\left(k_{1}-2, \Omega\right)$. Since $k_{1}-2 \geq\left(k_{1}+1\right) / 2$ if $k_{1} \geq 5$, our assertion in this case also follows from Lemma 4.2.
4.4. With a free group $\mathcal{F}$ with finitely many generators, we write $\Gamma=$ $\mathcal{F} / R$. Let $\pi: \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \Gamma$ be the canonical homomorphism with $\operatorname{Ker}(\pi)=R$. For $g \in \mathcal{F}$, we put $\pi(g)=\bar{g}$. We regard $V$ as an $\mathcal{F}$-module by $g v=\bar{g} v$, $g \in \mathcal{F}, v \in V$. By (1.11), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{2}(\Gamma, V) \cong H^{1}(R, V)^{\Gamma} / \operatorname{Im}\left(H^{1}(\mathcal{F}, V)\right) . \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We are going to examine the part of the right-hand side of (4.9) which corresponds to $H_{\mathrm{P}}^{2}(\Gamma, V)$. Put $\mathcal{P}=\pi^{-1}(P)$. Let $f \in Z_{\mathrm{P}}^{2}(\Gamma, V)$. Take a 1 -cochain $a \in C^{1}(\mathcal{F}, V)$ which satisfies (1.12). Then we have

$$
f\left(\bar{p} \bar{g}_{1}, \bar{g}_{2}\right)=p g_{1} a\left(g_{2}\right)+a\left(p g_{1}\right)-a\left(p g_{1} g_{2}\right), \quad p \in \mathcal{P}, g_{1}, g_{2} \in \mathcal{F} .
$$

By the parabolic condition on $f$, this is equal to

$$
p\left(g_{1} a\left(g_{2}\right)+a\left(g_{1}\right)-a\left(g_{1} g_{2}\right)\right) .
$$

Hence we have

$$
a\left(p g_{1} g_{2}\right)-a\left(p g_{1}\right)=p a\left(g_{1} g_{2}\right)-p a\left(g_{1}\right), \quad p \in \mathcal{P}, g_{1}, g_{2} \in \mathcal{F}
$$

Taking $g_{1}=g_{2}^{-1}=g$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(p g)=p a(g)+a(p), \quad p \in \mathcal{P}, g \in \mathcal{F} \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Conversely if $a$ satisfies (4.10), then $f$ satisfies the parabolic condition.
Let $\varphi=a \mid R$. We note that $a$ satisfies (1.13) and $\varphi \in H^{1}(R, V)^{\Gamma}$. For every $s \in P$, we take an element $\widetilde{s} \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $\pi(\widetilde{s})=s$. We fix the choice of $\widetilde{s}$. Then we write $a(\widetilde{s})$ as $\widetilde{a}(s)$. By (1.13), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(\widetilde{s} r)=s \varphi(r)+\widetilde{a}(s), \quad s \in P, r \in R . \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now for $s_{1}, s_{2} \in P$ and $r_{1}, r_{2} \in R$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a\left(\widetilde{s}_{1} r_{1} \widetilde{s}_{2} r_{2}\right)=a\left(\left(\widetilde{s_{1} s_{2}}\right)\left(\widetilde{s_{1} s_{2}}\right)^{-1} \widetilde{s}_{1} \widetilde{s}_{2} \widetilde{s}_{2}^{-1} r_{1} \widetilde{s}_{2} r_{2}\right) \\
= & s_{1} s_{2} \varphi\left(\left(\widetilde{s_{1} s_{2}}\right)^{-1} \widetilde{s}_{1} \widetilde{s}_{2} \widetilde{s}_{2}^{-1} r_{1} \widetilde{s}_{2} r_{2}\right)+\widetilde{a}\left(s_{1} s_{2}\right) \\
= & s_{1} s_{2}\left[\varphi\left(\widetilde{s}_{2}^{-1} r_{1} \widetilde{s}_{2}\right)+\varphi\left(r_{2}\right)+\varphi\left(\left(\widetilde{s_{1} s_{2}}\right)^{-1} \widetilde{s}_{1} \widetilde{s}_{2}\right)\right]+\widetilde{a}\left(s_{1} s_{2}\right) \\
= & s_{1} \varphi\left(r_{1}\right)+s_{1} s_{2} \varphi\left(r_{2}\right)+\varphi\left(\widetilde{s}_{1} \widetilde{s}_{2}\left(\widetilde{s_{1} s_{2}}\right)^{-1}\right)+\widetilde{a}\left(s_{1} s_{2}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

using (1.13), (1.14) and (4.11). On the other hand, by (4.10), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a\left(\widetilde{s}_{1} r_{1} \widetilde{s}_{2} r_{2}\right)=s_{1} a\left(\widetilde{s}_{2} r_{2}\right)+a\left(\widetilde{s}_{1} r_{1}\right) \\
= & s_{1}\left(s_{2} \varphi\left(r_{2}\right)+\widetilde{a}\left(s_{2}\right)\right)+s_{1} \varphi\left(r_{1}\right)+\widetilde{a}\left(s_{1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Comparing two results, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi\left(\widetilde{s}_{1} \widetilde{s}_{2}\left(\widetilde{s_{1} s_{2}}\right)^{-1}\right)=s_{1} \widetilde{a}\left(s_{2}\right)+\widetilde{a}\left(s_{1}\right)-\widetilde{a}\left(s_{1} s_{2}\right) . \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The condition (4.12) can be interpreted as follows. The group extension

$$
1 \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow \mathcal{P} \longrightarrow P \longrightarrow 0 \text {. }
$$

defines the factor set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right) \longrightarrow \widetilde{s}_{1} \widetilde{s}_{2}\left(\widetilde{s_{1} s_{2}}\right)^{-1} \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

of $P$ taking values in $R$. Mapping this factor set by $\varphi$, we obtain a 2 -cocycle of $P$ taking values in $V$ (cf. Lemma 1.4). Then (4.12) means that this 2-cocycle splits.

Next we consider the condition (4.10) on a double coset $\mathcal{P} \delta R$, where $\delta$ is an arbitrary element of $\mathcal{F}$. Since $R$ is a normal subgroup of $\mathcal{P}$, we have

$$
\mathcal{P} \delta R=\sqcup_{\widetilde{s} \in \mathcal{P} / R} \widetilde{s} \delta R .
$$

We assume that $\mathcal{P} \delta R \neq \mathcal{P} R$. We write $a(\widetilde{s} \delta)$ as $\widetilde{a}(s \delta)$. By (1.13), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(\widetilde{s} \delta r)=s \delta \varphi(r)+\widetilde{a}(s \delta), \quad s \in P, r \in R . \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now for $s_{1}, s_{2} \in P$ and $r_{1}, r_{2} \in R$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a\left(\widetilde{s}_{1} r_{1} \widetilde{s}_{2} \delta r_{2}\right)=a\left(\left(\widetilde{s_{1} s_{2}}\right) \delta \delta^{-1}\left(\widetilde{s_{1} s_{2}}\right)^{-1} \widetilde{s}_{1} \widetilde{s}_{2} \delta \delta^{-1} \widetilde{s}_{2}^{-1} r_{1} \widetilde{s}_{2} \delta r_{2}\right) \\
= & s_{1} s_{2} \delta\left[\varphi\left(\delta^{-1}\left(\widetilde{s_{1} s_{2}}\right)^{-1} \widetilde{s}_{1} \widetilde{s}_{2} \delta^{-1}\right)+\varphi\left(\delta^{-1} \widetilde{s}_{2}^{-1} r_{1} \widetilde{s}_{2} \delta\right)+\varphi\left(r_{2}\right)\right]+\widetilde{a}\left(s_{1} s_{2} \delta\right) \\
= & s_{1} s_{2} \varphi\left(\left(\widetilde{s_{1} s_{2}}\right)^{-1} \widetilde{s}_{1} \widetilde{s}_{2}\right)+s_{1} \varphi\left(r_{1}\right)+s_{1} s_{2} \delta \varphi\left(r_{2}\right)+\widetilde{a}\left(s_{1} s_{2} \delta\right) \\
= & \varphi\left(\widetilde{s}_{1} \widetilde{s}_{2}\left(\widetilde{s_{1} s_{2}}\right)^{-1}\right)+s_{1} \varphi\left(r_{1}\right)+s_{1} s_{2} \delta \varphi\left(r_{2}\right)+\widetilde{a}\left(s_{1} s_{2} \delta\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

using (1.13), (1.14) and (4.12). On the other hand, we have, using (4.10),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a\left(\widetilde{s}_{1} r_{1} \widetilde{s}_{2} \delta r_{2}\right)=s_{1} a\left(\widetilde{s}_{2} \delta r_{2}\right)+a\left(\widetilde{s}_{1} r_{1}\right) \\
= & s_{1}\left[s_{2} \delta \varphi\left(r_{2}\right)+a\left(\widetilde{s}_{2} \delta\right)\right]+a\left(\widetilde{s}_{1} r_{1}\right) \\
= & s_{1} s_{2} \delta \varphi\left(r_{2}\right)+s_{1} \widetilde{a}\left(s_{2} \delta\right)+s_{1} \varphi\left(r_{1}\right)+\widetilde{a}\left(s_{1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Comparing two formulas, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi\left(\widetilde{s}_{1} \widetilde{s}_{2}\left(\widetilde{s_{1} s_{2}}\right)^{-1}\right)=s_{1} \widetilde{a}\left(s_{2} \delta\right)+\widetilde{a}\left(s_{1}\right)-\widetilde{a}\left(s_{1} s_{2} \delta\right) . \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus we have shown the following: For $f \in Z_{\mathrm{P}}^{2}(\Gamma, V)$, take $a \in C^{1}(\mathcal{F}, V)$ which satisfies (1.12). Define $\varphi$ and $\widetilde{a}$ as above. Then (4.12) holds. Conversely take $\varphi \in H^{1}(R, V)^{\Gamma}$. Suppose that the 2-cocycle

$$
\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right) \longrightarrow \varphi\left(\widetilde{s}_{1} \widetilde{s}_{2}\left(\widetilde{s_{1} s_{2}}\right)^{-1}\right)
$$

of $P$ taking values in $V$ splits. There exists $\widetilde{a} \in C^{1}(P, V)$ with which (4.12) holds. Take a double coset decomposition $\mathcal{F}=\sqcup_{\delta} \mathcal{P} \delta R$. We put $\widetilde{a}(s \delta)=\widetilde{a}(s)$. Then we define $a \in C^{1}(\mathcal{F}, V)$ by the formula

$$
a(\widetilde{s} \delta r)=s \delta \varphi(r)+\widetilde{a}(s \delta), \quad r \in R .
$$

Then $a$ satisfies (4.10). Therefore the 2-cocycle $f$ determined by (1.12) belongs to $Z_{\mathrm{P}}^{2}(\Gamma, V)$. Thus we have proved the following proposition.

Proposition 4.4. On the right-hand side of (4.9), the subgroup which corresponds to $H_{\mathrm{P}}^{2}(\Gamma, V)$ consists of the class of $\varphi \in H^{1}(R, V)^{\Gamma}$ for which the 2-cocycle $\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right) \mapsto \varphi\left(\widetilde{s}_{1} \widetilde{s}_{2}\left(\widetilde{s_{1} s_{2}}\right)^{-1}\right)$ of $P$ taking values in $V$ splits.

By Theorem 3.9, we have $H^{2}(P, V)=0$ if $l_{1} \neq l_{2}$. Hence the next proposition follows.

Proposition 4.5. If $l_{1} \neq l_{2}$, then we have $H^{2}(\Gamma, V)=H_{\mathrm{P}}^{2}(\Gamma, V)$.
It is known that there are no holomorphic Eisenstein series of weight $\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)$ if $k_{1} \neq k_{2}$ ([Sh6], Proposition 2.1). We can interpret this proposition as the cohomological counter part of this fact.

Remark 4.6. In view of the results of Matsushima-Shimura [MS], Hida [Hi1], [Hi2] and Harder [Ha], we should be able to prove that $\operatorname{dim} H_{P}^{2}(\Gamma, V)=$ $4 \operatorname{dim} S_{l_{1}+2, l_{2}+2}(\Gamma)$. The author does not work out the details yet. The parabolic cohomology group is also discussed in [Hi2].
§5. Decompositions of $H^{2}(\Gamma, V)$
5.1. Let $F$ be a real quadratic field and let $\Gamma=\operatorname{PSL}\left(2, \mathcal{O}_{F}\right)$. We define elements $\sigma, \mu, \tau$ and $\eta$ of $\Gamma$ by

$$
\sigma=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad \mu=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\epsilon & 0 \\
0 & \epsilon^{-1}
\end{array}\right), \quad \tau=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad \eta=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & \omega \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Here we choose an $\omega$ so that $\mathcal{O}_{F}=\mathbf{Z}+\mathbf{Z} \omega$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the free group on four letters $\widetilde{\sigma}, \widetilde{\mu}, \widetilde{\tau}, \widetilde{\eta}$. Let $\pi: \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \Gamma$ be the homomorphism such that

$$
\pi(\widetilde{\sigma})=\sigma, \quad \pi(\widetilde{\mu})=\mu, \quad \pi(\widetilde{\tau})=\tau, \quad \pi(\widetilde{\eta})=\eta .
$$

By Vaserštein [V], $\pi$ is surjective. Let $R$ be the kernel of $\pi$. For $\gamma \in \Gamma$, we choose a $\widetilde{\gamma} \in \mathcal{F}$ so that $\pi(\widetilde{\gamma})=\gamma$. For $\gamma=\sigma, \mu, \tau$ and $\eta$, we choose $\widetilde{\gamma}$ so that the notation to be consistent. We choose $\widetilde{1}=1$. For other $\gamma$, we will specify the choice of $\widetilde{\gamma}$ later (cf. (5.2) and $\S 6.2$ ).

Let $f \in Z^{2}(\Gamma, V)$ be a normalized 2-cocycle. There exists $a \in C^{1}(\mathcal{F}, V)$ which satisfies

$$
f\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)=\gamma_{1} a\left(\widetilde{\gamma}_{2}\right)+a\left(\widetilde{\gamma}_{1}\right)-a\left(\widetilde{\gamma}_{1} \widetilde{\gamma}_{2}\right) .
$$

A corresponding element $\varphi \in H^{1}(R, V)^{\Gamma}$ to $f$ is given by $\varphi=a \mid R$. As was shown in $\S 1.5$, adding a cobounday to $f$, we may assume that $f \in Z^{2}(\Gamma, V)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)=-\varphi\left(\widetilde{\gamma}_{1} \widetilde{\gamma}_{2}\left(\widetilde{\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}}\right)^{-1}\right), \quad \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2} \in \Gamma \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\mathcal{F}_{P}$ be the subgroup of $\mathcal{F}$ generated by $\widetilde{\mu}, \widetilde{\tau}$ and $\widetilde{\eta}$. Let $\pi_{P}$ be the restriction of $\pi$ to $\mathcal{F}_{P}$ and let $R_{P}$ be the kernel of $\pi_{P}$. We see that $R_{P}$ is generated by the elements corresponding to the relations (iv), (v), (vi) of Appendix and their conjugates. Suppose that $f$ satisfies the parabolic condition (4.3). Then, by (4.12), we see that we may assume that $\varphi \mid R_{P}=0$ in addition to (5.1), adding a cobounday to $f$ if necessary.

Conversely assume that $\varphi \mid R_{P}=0$. Take a complete set of representatives $\Delta$ for $P \backslash \Gamma$ and fix it. We have

$$
\Gamma=\sqcup_{\delta \in \Delta} P \delta .
$$

For $\gamma=p \delta, p \in P, \delta \in \Delta$, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\gamma}=\widetilde{p} \widetilde{\delta} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In (5.1), write $\gamma_{1}=p_{1} \delta_{1}, p_{1} \in P, \delta_{1} \in \Delta, \gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}=p_{2} \delta_{2}, p_{2} \in P, \delta_{2} \in \Delta$. Let $p \in P$. Then we have

$$
\widetilde{p \gamma_{1}}=\widetilde{p p_{1}} \widetilde{\delta}_{1}=\widetilde{p p_{1}}\left(\widetilde{p p_{1}}\right)^{-1} \widetilde{p \gamma_{1}}, \quad \widetilde{p \gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}}=\widetilde{p p_{2}} \widetilde{p}_{2}^{-1} \widetilde{\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}} .
$$

Hence, by (5.1), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
f\left(p \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right) & =-\varphi\left(\widetilde{p p_{1}}\left(\widetilde{p p_{1}}\right)^{-1} \widetilde{p}_{\gamma_{1}} \widetilde{\gamma}_{2}\left\{\widetilde{p p}_{2}\left(\widetilde{p p_{2}}\right)^{-1} \widetilde{p} \widetilde{\gamma}_{1} \gamma_{2}\right\}^{-1}\right) \\
& =-\varphi\left(\widetilde{p} \widetilde{\gamma}_{1} \widetilde{\gamma}_{2}\left(\widetilde{\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}}\right)^{-1} \widetilde{p}^{-1}\right)=-p \varphi\left(\widetilde{\gamma}_{1} \widetilde{\gamma}_{2}\left(\widetilde{\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}}\right)^{-1}\right)=p f\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $f$ satisfies the parabolic condition (4.3).
The value $f(\sigma, \mu)$ of the cocycle is related to the critical values of the $L$-function. By (5.1), we have

$$
f(\sigma, \mu)=-\varphi\left(\widetilde{\sigma} \widetilde{\mu}(\widetilde{\sigma \mu})^{-1}\right)=-\varphi\left(\widetilde{\sigma} \widetilde{\mu}\left(\widetilde{\mu^{-1} \sigma}\right)^{-1}\right)
$$

We assume that $\sigma \in \Delta$. Then we have

$$
f(\sigma, \mu)=-\varphi\left(\widetilde{\sigma} \widetilde{\mu} \widetilde{\sigma}^{-1}\left(\widetilde{\mu^{-1}}\right)^{-1}\right)
$$

since $\widetilde{\mu^{-1} \sigma}=\widetilde{\mu^{-1}} \widetilde{\sigma}$. As $\widetilde{\mu^{-1}} \widetilde{\mu} \in R_{P}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(\sigma, \mu) & =-\varphi\left(\widetilde{\sigma} \widetilde{\mu} \widetilde{\sigma}^{-1} \widetilde{\mu}\right)=-\varphi\left(\widetilde{\sigma} \widetilde{\mu} \widetilde{\sigma}^{-2} \widetilde{\sigma} \widetilde{\mu}\right)=-\varphi\left(\widetilde{\sigma} \widetilde{\mu} \widetilde{\sigma}^{-2}(\widetilde{\sigma} \widetilde{\mu})^{-1} \widetilde{\sigma} \widetilde{\mu} \widetilde{\sigma} \widetilde{\mu}\right) \\
& =-\sigma \mu \varphi\left(\widetilde{\sigma}^{-2}\right)-\varphi(\widetilde{\sigma} \widetilde{\mu} \widetilde{\sigma} \widetilde{\mu}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\sigma, \mu)=-\varphi\left((\widetilde{\sigma} \widetilde{\mu})^{2}\right)+\sigma \mu \varphi\left(\widetilde{\sigma}^{2}\right) \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

5.2. Let us consider the action of Hecke operators. Let $\varpi$ be a totally positive element of $F$. Let

$$
\Gamma\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & \varpi
\end{array}\right) \Gamma=\sqcup_{i=1}^{d} \Gamma \beta_{i}
$$

be a coset decomposition. We put

$$
c=\prod_{\nu=1}^{2}\left(\varpi^{(\nu)}\right)^{\left(k_{0}+k_{\nu}\right) / 2-2} .
$$

Let $f \in Z^{2}(\Gamma, V)$ and put $g=c T(\varpi) f$. The explicit form of $g$ is given as follows (cf. Proposition 1.3 and (2.45)). Let

$$
\beta_{i} \gamma_{1}=\delta_{i}^{(1)} \beta_{j(i)}, \quad \delta_{i}^{(1)} \in \Gamma, \quad \beta_{i} \gamma_{2}=\delta_{i}^{(2)} \beta_{k(i)}, \quad \delta_{i}^{(2)} \in \Gamma,
$$

for $1 \leq i \leq d$. Here $j$ and $k$ are permutations on $d$ letters. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)=c \sum_{i=1}^{d} \beta_{i}^{-1} f\left(\beta_{i} \gamma_{1} \beta_{j(i)}^{-1}, \beta_{j(i)} \gamma_{2} \beta_{k(j(i))}^{-1}\right) \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We assume that $f \in Z_{P}^{2}(\Gamma, V)$ and that it is given by (5.1) with $\varphi \in$ $H^{1}(R, V)^{\Gamma}$ satisfying $\varphi \mid R_{P}=0$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)=-c \sum_{i=1}^{d} \beta_{i}^{-1} \varphi\left(\widetilde{\beta_{i} \gamma_{1} \beta_{j(i)}^{-1}} \beta_{j(i)} \widetilde{\gamma_{2} \beta_{k(j(i))}^{-1}}\left(\widetilde{\beta_{i} \gamma_{1} \gamma_{2} \beta_{k(j(i))}^{-1}}\right)^{-1}\right) . \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\psi \in H^{1}(R, V)^{\Gamma}$ be a corresponding element to $g$. We are going to give an explicit form of $\psi$. There exists $b \in C^{1}(\mathcal{F}, V)$ such that

$$
g\left(\bar{x}_{1}, \bar{x}_{2}\right)=x_{1} b\left(x_{2}\right)+b\left(x_{1}\right)-b\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right), \quad x_{1}, x_{2} \in \mathcal{F}
$$

and $\psi$ is given as the restriction of $b$ to $R$. Here $\bar{x}=\pi(x), x \in \mathcal{F}$. We assume that $(\varpi)$ is a prime ideal. Then $d=N(\varpi)+1$ and $\left\{\beta_{i}\right\}$ can be taken as

$$
\left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & u \\
0 & \varpi
\end{array}\right), u \quad \bmod \varpi, \quad\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\varpi & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\right\} .
$$

Take $p \in P$ and let $\beta_{i} p \beta_{j(i)}^{-1} \in \Gamma$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$. Then we see easily that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{i} p \beta_{j(i)}^{-1} \in P, \quad 1 \leq i \leq d \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (5.5), (5.6) and $\varphi \mid R_{P}=0$, we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)=0, \quad p_{1}, p_{2} \in P \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have

$$
b\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right)=x_{1} b\left(x_{2}\right)+b\left(x_{1}\right)-g\left(\bar{x}_{1}, \bar{x}_{2}\right), \quad x_{1}, x_{2} \in \mathcal{F}
$$

and we can use this formula to determine the value $b(x), x \in \mathcal{F}$ by the induction on the length of the element $x$. As the initial conditions, we may assume that

$$
b(\widetilde{\mu})=0, \quad b(\widetilde{\tau})=0, \quad b(\widetilde{\eta})=0, \quad b(\widetilde{\sigma})=0
$$

Then, by (5.7), we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
b \mid \mathcal{F}_{P}=0 \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The next Proposition is a special case of Proposition 1.5.

Proposition 5.1. Suppose $\gamma_{j} \in \Gamma$ are given for $1 \leq j \leq m$. For every $j$, we define $p_{j} \in S_{d}$ by

$$
\beta_{i} \gamma_{j} \beta_{p_{j}(i)}^{-1} \in \Gamma, \quad 1 \leq i \leq d .
$$

We define $q_{j} \in S_{d}$ inductively by

$$
q_{1}=p_{1}, \quad q_{k}=p_{k} q_{k-1}, \quad 2 \leq k \leq m .
$$

We assume that $\gamma_{j} \in P$ or $\gamma_{j}=\sigma$ for every $j$. Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& b\left(\widetilde{\gamma}_{\gamma} \widetilde{\gamma}_{2} \cdots \widetilde{\gamma}_{m}\right)  \tag{5.9}\\
= & c \sum_{i=1}^{d} \beta_{i}^{-1} \varphi\left(\widetilde{\beta_{i} \gamma_{1} \beta_{q_{1}(i)}^{-1}} \widetilde{\beta_{q_{1}(i)} \gamma_{2} \beta_{q_{2}(i)}^{-1}} \cdots \beta_{q_{m-1}(i)} \widetilde{\gamma_{m} \beta_{q_{m}(i)}^{-1}}\left(\beta_{i} \gamma_{1} \gamma_{2} \cdots \gamma_{m} \beta_{q_{m}(i)}^{-1}\right)^{-1}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

5.3. For the practical computation, it is convenient to decompose $H^{2}(\Gamma, V)$ into a direct sum of subspaces under the action of the automorphisms of $\Gamma$. We put

$$
Z=\left\{\left.\left(\begin{array}{ll}
u & 0 \\
0 & u
\end{array}\right) \right\rvert\, u \in E_{F}\right\},
$$

which is the center of $\mathrm{GL}\left(2, \mathcal{O}_{F}\right)$. Then we have

$$
Z \cdot \operatorname{SL}\left(2, \mathcal{O}_{F}\right) / Z \cong \operatorname{SL}\left(2, \mathcal{O}_{F}\right) /\left\{ \pm\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\right\}=\operatorname{PSL}\left(2, \mathcal{O}_{F}\right)=\Gamma .
$$

By this isomorphism, we regard $\Gamma$ as a subgroup of $\operatorname{PGL}\left(2, \mathcal{O}_{F}\right)=\mathrm{GL}\left(2, \mathcal{O}_{F}\right) / Z$. Hereafter we assume that $l_{1}$ and $l_{2}$ are even. When $l$ is even, we define a representation $\rho_{l}^{\prime}$ of $\mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbf{C})$ by

$$
\rho_{l}^{\prime}(g)=\rho_{l}(g) \operatorname{det}(g)^{-l / 2}, \quad g \in \mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbf{C}) .
$$

Then $\rho_{l}^{\prime}$ is trivial on the center. We put $\rho^{\prime}=\rho_{l_{1}}^{\prime} \otimes \rho_{l_{2}}^{\prime}$. By $g v=\rho^{\prime}(g) v$, $g \in \mathrm{GL}\left(2, \mathcal{O}_{F}\right), v \in V$, we regard $V$ as a left $\mathrm{GL}\left(2, \mathcal{O}_{F}\right)$-module. Since $\rho^{\prime}(z)=\mathrm{id}, z \in Z$, we can regard $V$ as a $\operatorname{PGL}\left(2, \mathcal{O}_{F}\right)$-module. Since $\rho^{\prime} \mid \Gamma=$ $\rho \mid \Gamma$, the $\Gamma$-module structure of $V$ is the same as before.

We have

$$
\operatorname{PGL}\left(2, \mathcal{O}_{F}\right) / \operatorname{PSL}\left(2, \mathcal{O}_{F}\right) \cong E_{F} / E_{F}^{2} \cong \mathbf{Z} / 2 \mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z} / 2 \mathbf{Z}
$$

By conjugation, $\mathrm{PGL}\left(2, \mathcal{O}_{F}\right)$ acts on $H^{2}(\Gamma, V)$ and it decomposes into a direct sum of four subspaces. We put

$$
\nu=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\epsilon & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad \delta=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) .
$$

We see that $\operatorname{PGL}\left(2, \mathcal{O}_{F}\right)$ is generated by $\nu$ and $\delta$ over $\operatorname{PSL}\left(2, \mathcal{O}_{F}\right)$. We first examine the action of $\nu$. For $f \in Z^{2}(\Gamma, V)$, define $\widetilde{e} f \in Z^{2}(\Gamma, V)$ by (cf. (1.3))

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{e} f\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)=\nu^{-1} f\left(\nu \gamma_{1} \nu^{-1}, \nu \gamma_{2} \nu^{-1}\right), \quad \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2} \in \Gamma \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\tilde{e}$ induces an automorphism $e$ of $H^{2}(\Gamma, V)$. Since $\nu^{2}=\mu, \widetilde{e}^{2}$ is obtained from the inner automorphism by $\mu$. Hence $e^{2}=1$. By (5.10), we see that $\widetilde{e} f$ is a parabolic cocycle if $f$ is parabolic. Therefore, by the action of $e$, we have the decompositions
$H^{2}(\Gamma, V)=H^{2}(\Gamma, V)^{+} \oplus H^{2}(\Gamma, V)^{-}, \quad H_{P}^{2}(\Gamma, V)=H_{P}^{2}(\Gamma, V)^{+} \oplus H_{P}^{2}(\Gamma, V)^{-}$.
Here we put
$H^{2}(\Gamma, V)^{ \pm}=\left\{c \in H^{2}(\Gamma, V) \mid e c= \pm c\right\}, H_{P}^{2}(\Gamma, V)^{ \pm}=\left\{c \in H_{P}^{2}(\Gamma, V) \mid e c= \pm c\right\}$.
Explicitly the decomposition is given by

$$
f=\frac{1}{2}[(1+\widetilde{e}) f+(1-\widetilde{e}) f], \quad f \in Z^{2}(\Gamma, V) .
$$

Proposition 5.2. Let $k=\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right), k_{1} \geq k_{2}, k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ are even. Let $\Omega \in S_{k}(\Gamma)$ and let $f=f(\Omega)$ be the normalized parabolic 2-cocycle attached to $\Omega$ by (4.1). We assume that the class number of $F$ in the narrow sense is 1 and that $\Omega$ is a nonzero Hecke eigenform.
(1) If $k_{1} \neq k_{2}$, we assume $k_{2} \geq 6$. If $k_{1}=k_{2}$, we assume $k_{2} \geq 8$. Then the cohomology class of $(1+\widetilde{e}) f$ in $H^{2}(\Gamma, V)$ is non-trivial.
(2) If $k_{1} \neq k_{2}$, we assume $k_{2} \geq 4$. If $k_{1}=k_{2}$, we assume $k_{2} \geq 6$. Then the cohomology class of $(1-\widetilde{e}) f$ in $H^{2}(\Gamma, V)$ is non-trivial.

Proof. We apply Lemma 4.2 in a similar way to the proof of Proposition 4.3. We use the same notation as there. By (5.10), we have

$$
(\widetilde{e} f)(\sigma, \mu)=\nu^{-1} f\left(\nu \sigma \nu^{-1}, \nu \mu \nu^{-1}\right)=\nu^{-1} f(\mu \sigma, \mu)=\nu^{-1} \mu f(\sigma, \mu)=\nu f(\sigma, \mu) .
$$

We have

$$
\nu\left(\mathbf{e}_{i} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{i-\left(l_{1}-l_{2}\right) / 2}^{\prime}\right)=N(\epsilon)^{l_{1} / 2+1-i}\left(\mathbf{e}_{i} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{i-\left(l_{1}-l_{2}\right) / 2}^{\prime}\right)=N(\epsilon)^{k_{1} / 2-i}\left(\mathbf{e}_{i} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{i-\left(l_{1}-l_{2}\right) / 2}^{\prime}\right) .
$$

By the assumption, we have $N(\epsilon)=-1$. The range of $i$ is $\frac{k_{1}}{2}-\frac{l_{2}}{2} \leq i \leq \frac{k_{1}}{2}+\frac{l_{2}}{2}$. We see that $L\left(l_{1}+2-i, \Omega\right)$ is non-vanishing if $i \neq k_{1} / 2$. To conclude the nonvanishing of the cohomology class of $(1+\widetilde{e}) f$, it suffices to find an even integer $j$ such that $0<j \leq l_{2} / 2$ if $k_{1} \neq k_{2}$ and $0<j \leq l_{2} / 2-1$ if $k_{1}=k_{2}$. Such
a $j$ exists under the condition stated in (1). To conclude the non-vanishing of the cohomology class of $(1-\widetilde{e}) f$, it suffices to find an odd integer $j$ such that $0<j \leq l_{2} / 2$ if $k_{1} \neq k_{2}$ and $0<j \leq l_{2} / 2-1$ if $k_{1}=k_{2}$. Such a $j$ exists under the condition stated in (2). This completes the proof.

We put

$$
\bar{\Gamma}^{*}=\left\{\gamma \in \mathrm{GL}\left(2, \mathcal{O}_{F}\right) \mid \operatorname{det}(\gamma)=\epsilon^{n}, n \in \mathbf{Z}\right\}, \quad \Gamma^{*}=Z \bar{\Gamma}^{*} / Z
$$

Then $\Gamma^{*}$ is generated by $\nu$ over $\Gamma$ and we have $\left[\Gamma^{*}: \Gamma\right]=2$. Let

$$
\text { Res : } H^{2}\left(\Gamma^{*}, V\right) \longrightarrow H^{2}(\Gamma, V), \quad T: H^{2}(\Gamma, V) \longrightarrow H^{2}\left(\Gamma^{*}, V\right)
$$

be the restriction map and the transfer map respectively.
Proposition 5.3. We have
(1) $\operatorname{Res}\left(H^{2}\left(\Gamma^{*}, V\right)\right)=H^{2}(\Gamma, V)^{+}$.
(2) $T\left(H^{2}(\Gamma, V)^{+}\right)=H^{2}\left(\Gamma^{*}, V\right)$.
(3) $\operatorname{Ker}(T)=H^{2}(\Gamma, V)^{-}$.

Proof. It is clear that $\operatorname{Res}\left(H^{2}\left(\Gamma^{*}, V\right)\right) \subseteq H^{2}(\Gamma, V)^{+}$. Let $f \in Z^{2}(\Gamma, V)$ and take a coset decomposition $\Gamma^{*}=\Gamma \sqcup \nu^{-1} \Gamma$. Then by Proposition 1.2, we have

$$
\widetilde{T}(f)\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)=f\left(\gamma_{1} \nu^{a}, \nu^{-a} \gamma_{2} \nu^{b}\right)+\nu^{-1} f\left(\nu \gamma_{1} \nu^{c}, \nu^{-c} \gamma_{2} \nu^{d}\right)
$$

for $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2} \in \Gamma^{*}$. Here $\widetilde{T}(f)$ denotes a cocycle which represents the transfer of the class of $f ; a, b, c, d=0$ or -1 and they are determined so that all arguments on the right-hand side belong to $\Gamma$. In particular, if $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2} \in \Gamma$, then we have

$$
\widetilde{T}(f)\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)=f\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)+\nu^{-1} f\left(\nu \gamma_{1} \nu^{-1}, \nu \gamma_{2} \nu^{-1}\right)
$$

Therefore we have

$$
\operatorname{Res} \circ T=1+e
$$

on $H^{2}(\Gamma, V)$. This formula combined with (1.6) shows that $T \circ$ Res and Reso $T$ are the multiplication by 2 on $H^{2}\left(\Gamma^{*}, V\right)$ and $H^{2}(\Gamma, V)^{+}$respectively. Hence (1) and (2) follow. Then (3) follows since $H^{2}(\Gamma, V)^{-} \subset \operatorname{Ker}(T)$ and $T \mid H^{2}(\Gamma, V)^{+}$is injective. This completes the proof.
5.4. We have

$$
H^{2}(\Gamma, V) \cong H^{1}(R, V)^{\Gamma} / \operatorname{Im}\left(H^{1}(\mathcal{F}, V)\right)
$$

Let us consider the action of $e$ on the right-hand side under this isomorphism. We use the same notation as in $\S 5.1$. Let $\xi$ be the automorphism of $\Gamma$ defined by $\xi(\gamma)=\nu \gamma \nu^{-1}, \gamma \in \Gamma$. Put

$$
\epsilon=A+B \omega, \quad \epsilon \omega=C+D \omega .
$$

Then we have $\left(\begin{array}{ll}A & B \\ C & D\end{array}\right) \in \operatorname{GL}(2, \mathbf{Z})$. We have

$$
\nu \sigma \nu^{-1}=\sigma \mu^{-1}, \quad \nu \mu \nu^{-1}=\mu, \quad \nu \tau \nu^{-1}=\tau^{A} \eta^{B}, \quad \nu \eta \nu^{-1}=\tau^{C} \eta^{D} .
$$

Using Lemma 3.11, we can check that there exists an automorphism $\widetilde{\xi}$ of $\mathcal{F}$ which satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi(\widetilde{\xi}(g))=\xi(\pi(g)), \quad g \in \mathcal{F} \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now let $f \in Z^{2}(\Gamma, V)$ and take $a \in C^{1}(\mathcal{F}, V)$ so that

$$
f\left(\pi\left(g_{1}\right), \pi\left(g_{2}\right)\right)=g_{1} a\left(g_{2}\right)+a\left(g_{1}\right)-a\left(g_{1} g_{2}\right), \quad g_{1}, g_{2} \in \mathcal{F}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (\widetilde{e} f)\left(\pi\left(g_{1}\right), \pi\left(g_{2}\right)\right)=\nu^{-1} f\left(\xi\left(\pi\left(g_{1}\right)\right), \xi\left(\pi\left(g_{2}\right)\right)\right)=\nu^{-1} f\left(\pi\left(\widetilde{\xi}\left(g_{1}\right)\right), \pi\left(\widetilde{\xi}\left(g_{2}\right)\right)\right. \\
= & g_{1} \nu^{-1} a\left(\widetilde{\xi}\left(g_{2}\right)\right)+\nu^{-1} a\left(\widetilde{\xi}\left(g_{1}\right)\right)-\nu^{-1} a\left(\widetilde{\xi}\left(g_{1} g_{2}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for $g_{1}, g_{2} \in \mathcal{F}$. Put

$$
a^{\prime}(g)=\nu^{-1} a(\widetilde{\xi}(g)), \quad g \in \mathcal{F} .
$$

Then we have

$$
(\widetilde{e} f)\left(\pi\left(g_{1}\right), \pi\left(g_{2}\right)\right)=g_{1} a^{\prime}\left(g_{2}\right)+a^{\prime}\left(g_{1}\right)-a^{\prime}\left(g_{1} g_{2}\right), \quad g_{1}, g_{2} \in \mathcal{F}
$$

Thus we obtain the following proposition.
Proposition 5.4. Let $f \in Z^{2}(\Gamma, V)$ and let $\varphi \in H^{1}(R, V)^{\Gamma}$ be a corresponding element. Then a corresponding element $\psi$ of $H^{1}(R, V)^{\Gamma}$ to $\widetilde{e} f$ is given by

$$
\psi(r)=\nu^{-1} \varphi(\widetilde{\xi}(r)), \quad r \in R
$$

We can check easily that the map $\varphi \longrightarrow \psi$ induces a map from $H^{1}(R, V)^{\Gamma} / \operatorname{Im}\left(H^{1}(\mathcal{F}, V)\right)$ to itself and gives an automorphism of order 2.
5.5. For the actual computation, the cohomology group $H^{2}\left(\Gamma^{*}, V\right)$ is easier to handle than $H^{2}(\Gamma, V)$. By the action of $\delta$, we can further decompose $H^{2}\left(\Gamma^{*}, V\right)$ so that

$$
H^{2}\left(\Gamma^{*}, V\right)=H^{2}\left(\Gamma^{*}, V\right)^{+} \oplus H^{2}\left(\Gamma^{*}, V\right)^{-} .
$$

Let $\widetilde{d}$ (resp. $d$ ) denote the action of $\delta$ on $Z^{2}\left(\Gamma^{*}, V\right)\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.H^{2}\left(\Gamma^{*}, V\right)\right)$.

Proposition 5.5. Let $k=\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right), k_{1} \geq k_{2}, k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ are even. Let $\Omega \in S_{k}(\Gamma)$ and let $f=f(\Omega)$ be the normalized parabolic 2-cocycle attached to $\Omega$ by (4.1). We assume that the class number of $F$ in the narrow sense is 1 and that $\Omega$ is a nonzero Hecke eigenform. Take $f^{*} \in Z^{2}\left(\Gamma^{*}, V\right)$ so that $f^{*} \mid \Gamma=(1+\widetilde{e}) f$. $3^{3}$ If $k_{1} \neq k_{2}$, we assume $k_{2} \geq 6$. If $k_{1}=k_{2}$, we assume $k_{2} \geq 8$. Then the cohomology class of $(1+\widetilde{d}) f^{*}$ in $H^{2}\left(\Gamma^{*}, V\right)$ is non-trivial.

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Proposition 5.2. We consider the restriction $f_{0}$ of $(1+\widetilde{d}) f^{*}$ to $\Gamma$. Since $\delta$ commutes with $\sigma$ and $\mu$, we find

$$
f_{0}(\sigma, \mu)=(1+\delta)(1+\nu) f(\sigma, \mu) .
$$

We have

$$
\delta\left(\mathbf{e}_{i} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{i-\left(l_{1}-l_{2}\right) / 2}^{\prime}\right)=\mathbf{e}_{i} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{i-\left(l_{1}-l_{2}\right) / 2}^{\prime} .
$$

Hence the assertion follows from Lemma 4.2 in the same way as Proposition 5.2.

Until the end of this subsection, we assume that $\sigma, \nu$ and $\tau$ generate $\Gamma^{*}$. (This assumption is satisfied if $\mathcal{O}_{F}=\mathbf{Z}+\mathbf{Z} \epsilon$.) Let $\mathcal{F}^{*}$ be the free group on three letters $\widetilde{\sigma}, \widetilde{\nu}$ and $\widetilde{\tau}$. We define a surjective homomorphism $\pi^{*}$ of $\mathcal{F}^{*}$ onto $\Gamma^{*}$ by

$$
\pi^{*}(\widetilde{\sigma})=\sigma, \quad \pi^{*}(\widetilde{\nu})=\nu, \quad \pi^{*}(\widetilde{\tau})=\tau
$$

and let $R^{*}$ be the kernel of $\pi^{*}$. We see that $\delta$ commutes with $\sigma$ and $\nu$ and $\delta \tau \delta^{-1}=\tau^{-1}$. We can define an automorphism $x \mapsto x_{\delta}$ of $\mathcal{F}^{*}$ by $(\widetilde{\sigma})_{\delta}=\widetilde{\sigma}$, $(\widetilde{\nu})_{\delta}=\widetilde{\nu},(\widetilde{\tau})_{\delta}=\widetilde{\tau}^{-1}$. Then we have

$$
\pi^{*}\left(x_{\delta}\right)=\delta \pi^{*}(x) \delta^{-1}, \quad x \in \mathcal{F}^{*}
$$

The following proposition can be shown in a similar manner to Proposition 5.4.

Proposition 5.6. Let $f \in Z^{2}\left(\Gamma^{*}, V\right)$ and let $\varphi \in H^{1}\left(R^{*}, V\right)^{\Gamma^{*}}$ be a corresponding element. Then a corresponding element $\psi$ of $H^{1}\left(R^{*}, V\right)^{\Gamma^{*}}$ to $\widetilde{d} f$ is given by

$$
\psi(r)=\delta^{-1} \varphi\left(r_{\delta}\right), \quad r \in R^{*}
$$

Let $\varphi \in H^{1}\left(R^{*}, V\right)^{\Gamma^{*}}$. We define $\varphi_{\delta} \in H^{1}\left(R^{*}, V\right)^{\Gamma^{*}}$ by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{\delta}(r)=\delta^{-1} \varphi\left(r_{\delta}\right) \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we can check easily that $\left(\varphi_{\delta}\right)_{\delta}=\varphi$ and $H^{1}\left(R^{*}, V\right)^{\Gamma^{*}}$ decomposes into a direct sum of $\pm 1$ eigenspaces under the action of $\delta$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{1}\left(R^{*}, V\right)^{\Gamma^{*}}=H^{1}\left(R^{*}, V\right)^{\Gamma^{*},+} \oplus H^{1}\left(R^{*}, V\right)^{\Gamma^{*},-} . \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^3]5.6. Let $l_{1}$ and $l_{2}$ be nonnegative even integers. We assume that $l_{1} \geq$ $l_{2}$. Let $\Omega \in S_{l_{1}+2, l_{2}+2}(\Gamma)$. Define $L(s, \Omega)$ and $R(s, \Omega)$ by (2.4) and (2.5) respectively. The functional equation is (cf. (2.7))
$$
R(s, \Omega)=(-1)^{\left(l_{1}+l_{2}\right) / 2} R\left(l_{1}+2-s, \Omega\right) .
$$

For an integer $m, L(m, \Omega)$ is a critical value if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{l_{1}-l_{2}}{2}+1 \leq m \leq \frac{l_{1}+l_{2}}{2}+1 . \tag{5.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The central critical value is $L\left(l_{1} / 2+1, \Omega\right)$ which vanishes if $\left(l_{1}+l_{2}\right) / 2$ is odd. By (2.30), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(m, \Omega)=(-1)^{m} i^{\left(l_{1}-l_{2}\right) / 2}(2 \pi)^{\left(l_{2}-l_{1}\right) / 2} P_{m-1, m-1-\left(l_{1}-l_{2}\right) / 2} . \tag{5.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $P_{s, t}$ denotes the period integral given by (2.25). Let $f=f(\Omega) \in$ $Z_{P}^{2}(\Gamma, V)$ be the parabolic 2-cocycle defined by (4.1). Then we have

$$
f(\sigma, \mu)=-\int_{i \epsilon^{-1}}^{i \epsilon} \int_{0}^{i \infty} \mathfrak{d}(\Omega)
$$

and $-P_{m-1, m-1-\left(l_{1}-l_{2}\right) / 2}$ is equal to the coefficient of $\mathbf{e}_{l_{1}+2-m} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{\left(l_{1}+l_{2}\right) / 2+2-m}^{\prime}$ in $f(\sigma, \mu)$.

Using the operator $\widetilde{e}$ (cf. (5.10)), we define

$$
f^{+}=(1+\widetilde{e}) f, \quad f^{-}=(1-\widetilde{e}) f .
$$

We have $f^{ \pm} \in Z_{P}^{2}(\Gamma, V)$. As was shown in the proof of Proposition 5.2, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{+}(\sigma, \mu)=(1+\nu) f(\sigma, \mu), \quad f^{-}(\sigma, \mu)=(1-\nu) f(\sigma, \mu) . \tag{5.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu\left(\mathbf{e}_{l_{1}+2-m} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{\left(l_{1}+l_{2}\right) / 2+2-m}^{\prime}\right)=N(\epsilon)^{m-1-l_{1} / 2} \mathbf{e}_{l_{1}+2-m} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{\left(l_{1}+l_{2}\right) / 2+2-m}^{\prime} . \tag{5.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume $N(\epsilon)=-1$. Suppose that $l_{1} / 2$ is even. By (5.17), we see that $f^{+}(\sigma, \mu)$ contains information on $R(m, \Omega)$ for odd $m$ and $f^{-}(\sigma, \mu)$ contains information on $R(m, \Omega)$ for even $m$. If $l_{1} / 2$ is odd, then $f^{+}(\sigma, \mu)$ contains information on $R(m, \Omega)$ for even $m$ and $f^{-}(\sigma, \mu)$ contains information on $R(m, \Omega)$ for odd $m$.

To treat $f^{-}$efficiently, we will need more techniques which will be explained in the next section.

## §6. Numerical examples I

6.1. In this section, we assume that $F=\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{5})$. (The formulas (6.1) $\sim$ (6.6) and those given in $\S 6.5$ are valid for any real quadratic field.) We use the notation of $\S 5$. The elements $\sigma, \nu$ and $\tau$ of $\Gamma^{*}$ satisfy the relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma^{2}=1 \tag{i'}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\sigma \tau)^{3}=1 \tag{ii'}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\sigma \nu)^{2}=1 . \tag{iii'}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tau \nu \tau \nu^{-1}=\nu \tau \nu^{-1} \tau .  \tag{iv'}\\
& \nu^{2} \tau \nu^{-2}=\tau \nu \tau \nu^{-1} .
\end{align*}
$$

( $\mathrm{v}^{\prime}$ )
Theorem 6.1. The fundamental relations satisfied by the generators $\sigma, \nu, \tau$ of $\Gamma^{*}$ are $\left(i^{\prime}\right) \sim\left(v^{\prime}\right)$.

This theorem follows from Theorem A.1. We sketch a proof. We have $\mu=\nu^{2}, \eta=\nu \tau \nu^{-1}$. Then we can check easily that the relations (i) $\sim$ (vii) in Theorem A. 1 follow from $\left(\mathrm{i}^{\prime}\right) \sim\left(\mathrm{v}^{\prime}\right)$. Suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1} u_{2} \cdots u_{m}=1 \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a relation. Here $u_{i}$ is one of $\sigma, \nu, \nu^{-1}, \tau, \tau^{-1}$. In (*), we substitute $\nu^{-1}$ by $\mu^{-1} \nu$. Then we obtain a relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{1} v_{2} \cdots v_{n}=1 \tag{**}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $v_{i}$ is one of $\sigma, \nu, \mu^{-1}, \tau, \tau^{-1}$. The number of $v_{i}$ such that $v_{i}=\nu$ is even. If this number is 0 , then $(* *)$ is the relation among the elements $\sigma, \mu$ and $\tau$. If this number is positive, then in $(* *)$, a term of the form $\nu X \nu$ is contained, where $X$ is an expression which contains only $\sigma, \tau$ and $\mu$. We may replace $\nu X \nu$ by $\nu X \nu^{-1} \mu$. By the relations

$$
\nu \sigma \nu^{-1}=\sigma \nu^{-2}=\sigma \mu^{-1}, \quad \nu \tau \nu^{-1}=\eta,
$$

$\nu X \nu^{-1}$ is transformed to an expression which contains only $\sigma, \mu, \tau, \eta$ and their inverses. Repeating this procedure, $(* *)$ can be reduced to a relation among the elements $\sigma, \mu, \tau$ and $\eta$. By Theorem A.1, this relation follows
from the fundamental relations (i) $\sim$ (vii). Since (i) $\sim$ (vii) follow from (i') $\sim\left(\mathrm{v}^{\prime}\right)$, our assertion is proved.

Let $\mathcal{F}^{*}$ be the free group on three letters $\widetilde{\sigma}, \widetilde{\nu}, \widetilde{\tau}$. We define a surjective homomorphism $\pi^{*}: \mathcal{F}^{*} \longrightarrow \Gamma^{*}$ by $\pi^{*}(\widetilde{\sigma})=\sigma, \pi^{*}(\widetilde{\nu})=\nu, \pi^{*}(\widetilde{\tau})=\tau$. Let $R^{*}$ be the kernel of $\pi^{*}$. We have $\Gamma^{*}=\mathcal{F}^{*} / R^{*}$. By Theorem 6.1, $R^{*}$ is generated by the elements

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\sigma}^{2} \tag{i*}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\widetilde{\sigma} \widetilde{\tau})^{3}, \tag{ii*}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iii*) $(\widetilde{\sigma} \widetilde{\nu})^{2}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\tau} \widetilde{\nu} \widetilde{\nu} \widetilde{\nu}^{-1}\left(\widetilde{\nu} \widetilde{\tau} \widetilde{\nu}^{-1} \widetilde{\tau}\right)^{-1}, \tag{iv*}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\nu}^{2} \widetilde{\tau} \widetilde{\nu}^{-2}\left(\widetilde{\tau} \widetilde{\nu} \widetilde{\tau} \widetilde{\nu}^{-1}\right)^{-1} \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

and their conjugates.
Let $P^{*}$ be the subgroup of $\Gamma^{*}$ consisting of elements which can be represented by upper triangular matrices. Let $\mathcal{F}_{P^{*}}$ be the subgroup of $\mathcal{F}^{*}$ generated by $\widetilde{\nu}$ and $\widetilde{\tau}$. Then $\pi^{*} \mid \mathcal{F}_{P^{*}}: \mathcal{F}_{P^{*}} \longrightarrow P^{*}$ is surjective. Let $R_{P^{*}}$ be the kernel of this homomorphism. We see that $R_{P^{*}}$ is generated by (iv*) and $\left(\mathrm{v}^{*}\right)$ and their conjugates.

We have $\left[\mathcal{F}^{*}:\left(\pi^{*}\right)^{-1}(\Gamma)\right]=2$. The following lemma can be proved easily by applying the method of Reidemeister-Schreier (cf. Schreier [Sc], Suzuki [Su], §6).

Lemma 6.2. The group $\left(\pi^{*}\right)^{-1}(\Gamma)$ is the free group on five elements $\widetilde{\sigma}$, $\widetilde{\nu}^{2}, \widetilde{\tau}, \widetilde{\nu} \widetilde{\sigma} \widetilde{\nu}^{-1}$ and $\widetilde{\nu} \widetilde{\tau} \widetilde{\nu}^{-1}$.

We put $\widetilde{\nu}^{2}=\widetilde{\mu}, \widetilde{\nu} \widetilde{\tau} \widetilde{\nu}^{-1}=\widetilde{\eta}$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the free group on four elements $\widetilde{\sigma}, \widetilde{\mu}, \widetilde{\tau}$ and $\widetilde{\eta}$. Then our notation becomes consistent with that given in the beginning of section 5 . We have $\mathcal{F} R^{*}=\left(\pi^{*}\right)^{-1}(\Gamma)$.
6.2. For every $\gamma \in \Gamma^{*}$, we choose $\widetilde{\gamma} \in \mathcal{F}^{*}$ so that $\pi^{*}(\widetilde{\gamma})=\gamma$. For explicit calculations, it is necessary to specify the choice of $\widetilde{\gamma}$. First let $p \in P$. We can write $p=\mu^{a} \tau^{b} \eta^{c}$ and this expression is unique. We put $\widetilde{p}=\widetilde{\mu}^{a} \widetilde{\tau}^{b} \widetilde{\eta}^{c}$. Next let $p \in P^{*}$. We have $p \in P$ or $p=\nu p_{1}$ with $p_{1} \in P$. In the latter case, we put $\widetilde{p}=\widetilde{\nu} \widetilde{p}_{1}$.

Let $\Delta$ be a complete set of representatives for $P \backslash \Gamma$ as in §5.1. Then $\Delta$ is also a complete set of representatives for $P^{*} \backslash \Gamma^{*}$. For $\gamma \in \Gamma^{*}$, we write $\gamma=p \delta$
with $p \in P^{*}, \underset{\delta}{\delta} \in \Delta$ and put $\widetilde{\gamma}=\widetilde{p} \widetilde{\delta}$. Our task is to specify the choice of $\Delta$ and define $\tilde{\delta}$ for $\delta \in \Delta$. To specify $\Delta$ is equivalent to choose one element from every coset $P \gamma, \gamma \in \Gamma$. Let $\gamma=\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right)$.
(1) The case where $P \gamma=P$. We take 1 as the representative. We take the identity element of $\mathcal{F}$ as $\widetilde{1}$.
(2) The case where $c \in E_{F}$. We can take an element of the form $\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & -1 \\ 1 & d\end{array}\right)$ as the representative. We define

$$
\widetilde{\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -1 \\
1 & d
\end{array}\right)}=\widetilde{\sigma}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & d \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) .
$$

(3) The case where $c \neq 0$ and $c \notin E_{F}$. We note that $\mathcal{O}_{F}$ is a Euclidean ring with respect to the absolute value of the norm (cf. [HW], Theorem 247, p. 213): For every $x, y \in \mathcal{O}_{F}, x \neq 0$, there exist $q, r \in \mathcal{O}_{F}$ such that

$$
y=q x+r, \quad|N(r)|<|N(x)| .
$$

We have

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
u & 0 \\
0 & u^{-1}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
u a & u b \\
u^{-1} c & u^{-1} d
\end{array}\right), \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & t \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a+t c & b+t d \\
c & d
\end{array}\right) .
$$

First mulplying $\gamma$ on the left by $\left(\begin{array}{cc}u & 0 \\ 0 & u^{-1}\end{array}\right), u \in E_{F}$, we normalize $c$ so that

$$
c \gg 0, \quad 1 \leq c^{\prime} / c<\epsilon^{2} .
$$

Next mulplying $\gamma$ on the left by $\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & t \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right), t \in \mathcal{O}_{F}$, we may assume that $|N(a)|<|N(c)|$ by the Euclidean algorithm. However to specify the choice of $t$ is not necessarily easy. In other words, there can be many choices of such $a$ 's. We make the preference order of the choice of $a$ as follows. Put $a=\alpha+\beta \epsilon, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{Z}$.

1. $|\alpha|+|\beta|$ is minimum. 2. $|\alpha|$ is minimum. 3. $|\beta|$ is minimum. 4. $\alpha \geq 0$. 5. $\beta \geq 0$.

We define $\widetilde{\delta}$ for $\delta \in \Delta$ as follows. We put $\delta=\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right)$ and proceed by induction on $|N(c)|$. The case $|N(c)|=0$ or 1 is settled by (1) and (2). By

[^4]our choice of $\Delta$, we have $|N(a)|<|N(c)|$. Put $\sigma^{-1} \delta=p_{1} \delta_{1}, p_{1} \in P, \delta_{1} \in \Delta$, $\delta_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}a_{1} & b_{1} \\ c_{1} & d_{1}\end{array}\right)$. We have $\left|N\left(c_{1}\right)\right|=|N(a)|<|N(c)|$. We define $\widetilde{\delta}=\widetilde{\sigma} \widetilde{p}_{1} \widetilde{\delta}_{1}$.
6.3. Let $f \in Z_{P}^{2}(\Gamma, V)$ be a normalized parabolic 2 -cocycle. We first consider $f^{+}$(cf. §5.6). We put $f^{*}=\widetilde{T}(f)$. Then $f^{*} \in Z^{2}\left(\Gamma^{*}, V\right)$ and $f^{*} \mid \Gamma=f^{+}$(cf. §5.3). We can verify easily the parabolic condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{*}\left(p \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)=p f^{*}\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right), \quad p \in P^{*}, \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2} \in \Gamma^{*} . \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{2}\left(\Gamma^{*}, V\right) \cong H^{1}\left(R^{*}, V\right)^{\Gamma^{*}} / \operatorname{Im}\left(H^{1}\left(\mathcal{F}^{*}, V\right)\right) . \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\varphi \in H^{1}\left(R^{*}, V\right)^{\Gamma^{*}}$ be a corresponding element to $f^{*}$. We recall that $\varphi$ is obtained in the following way. There exists $a \in C^{1}\left(\mathcal{F}^{*}, V\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a\left(g_{1} g_{2}\right)=g_{1} a\left(g_{2}\right)+a\left(g_{1}\right)-f^{*}\left(\pi^{*}\left(g_{1}\right), \pi^{*}\left(g_{2}\right)\right), \quad g_{1}, g_{2} \in \mathcal{F}^{*} \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\varphi=a \mid R^{*}$. We may regard (6.3) as a rule for determining the value $a(g)$ according to the length of a word $g \in \mathcal{F}^{*}$. We can take $a(\widetilde{\sigma})=a(\widetilde{\nu})=$ $a(\widetilde{\tau})=0$. Then we have $a \mid \mathcal{F}_{P^{*}}=0$, since (6.1) yields $f^{*}(p, \gamma)=0, p \in P^{*}$, $\gamma \in \Gamma^{*}$. In particular, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi \mid R_{P^{*}}=0 \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

As shown in $\S 1.5$, we may assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{*}\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)=-\varphi\left(\widetilde{\gamma}_{1} \widetilde{\gamma}_{2}\left(\widetilde{\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}}\right)^{-1}\right) \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

adding a coboundary to $f^{*}$. By (6.4), we can check that $f^{*}$ satisfies (6.1) in the same way as in §5.1. We have (cf. (5.3))

$$
f^{*}(\sigma, \mu)=-\varphi\left((\widetilde{\sigma} \widetilde{\mu})^{2}\right)+\sigma \mu \varphi\left(\widetilde{\sigma}^{2}\right) .
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi\left((\widetilde{\sigma} \widetilde{\mu})^{2}\right)=\varphi\left(\widetilde{\sigma} \widetilde{\nu}^{2} \widetilde{\sigma} \widetilde{\nu}^{2}\right)=\varphi\left(\widetilde{\sigma} \widetilde{\nu} \widetilde{\sigma} \widetilde{\nu} \widetilde{\nu}^{-1} \widetilde{\sigma}^{-1} \widetilde{\nu} \widetilde{\sigma} \widetilde{\nu}^{2}\right) \\
= & \varphi(\widetilde{\sigma} \widetilde{\nu} \widetilde{\sigma})+\varphi\left(\widetilde{\nu}^{-1} \widetilde{\sigma}^{-2} \widetilde{\nu}\right)+\varphi\left(\widetilde{\nu}^{-1} \widetilde{\sigma} \widetilde{\nu} \widetilde{\sigma}^{2}\right)=\left(1+\nu^{-1}\right) \varphi\left((\widetilde{\sigma} \widetilde{\nu})^{2}\right)-\nu^{-1} \varphi\left(\widetilde{\sigma}^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{*}(\sigma, \mu)=-\left(1+\nu^{-1}\right) \varphi\left((\widetilde{\sigma} \widetilde{\nu})^{2}\right)+\left(\sigma \mu+\nu^{-1}\right) \varphi\left(\widetilde{\sigma}^{2}\right) . \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly $\varphi$ is determined by its values on the elements $\left(\mathrm{i}^{*}\right) \sim\left(\mathrm{v}^{*}\right)$. By (6.4), $\varphi$ takes the value 0 on the elements (iv*) and ( $\left.\mathrm{v}^{*}\right)$. We have $\sigma \varphi\left(\widetilde{\sigma}^{2}\right)=\varphi\left(\widetilde{\sigma}^{2}\right)$.

Take $h \in H^{1}\left(\mathcal{F}^{*}, V\right)$ so that $h(\widetilde{\sigma})=-\varphi\left(\widetilde{\sigma}^{2}\right) / 2, h(\widetilde{\nu})=0, h(\widetilde{\tau})=0$. Adding $h \mid R^{*}$ to $\varphi$, we may assume that $\varphi\left(\widetilde{\sigma}^{2}\right)=0 ; \varphi$ still satisfies (6.4).

We analyze the process of adding $h \mid R^{*}$ to $\varphi$ in more detail. For $S, T$, $U \in V$, we can find $h \in H^{1}\left(\mathcal{F}^{*}, V\right)$ such that

$$
h(\widetilde{\sigma})=S, \quad h(\widetilde{\tau})=T, \quad h(\widetilde{\nu})=U .
$$

We find easily that the conditions that $h$ vanishes on the elements (iv*) and ( $\mathrm{v}^{*}$ ) are

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(1+\tau \nu-\nu-\nu \tau \nu^{-1}\right) T+(\tau-1)\left(1-\nu \tau \nu^{-1}\right) U=0  \tag{6.7}\\
\left(\nu^{2}-1-\tau \nu\right) T+\left(1+\nu-\nu^{2} \tau \nu^{-1}-\tau\right) U=0 \tag{6.8}
\end{gather*}
$$

respectively. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
h\left(\widetilde{\sigma}^{2}\right)=(1+\sigma) S . \tag{6.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We put

$$
A=\varphi\left((\widetilde{\sigma} \widetilde{\nu})^{2}\right), \quad B=\varphi\left((\widetilde{\sigma} \widetilde{\tau})^{3}\right) .
$$

We note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma \nu A=A, \quad \sigma \tau B=B \tag{6.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our objective is to determine $A$ explicitly.
6.4. Let us consider the Hecke operators. We put $g^{*}=T(\varpi) f^{*}$ where $g^{*}$ is defined by (5.4) with $\Gamma^{*}$ in place of $\Gamma$. Let $\psi \in H^{1}\left(R^{*}, V\right)^{\Gamma^{*}}$ be a corresponding element to $g^{*}$. We see that Proposition 5.1 remains valid with $\Gamma^{*}$ and $P^{*}$ in place of $\Gamma$ and $P$. In particular we may assume that $\psi$ is given by the formula

$$
\begin{align*}
& \psi\left(\widetilde{\gamma}_{1} \widetilde{\gamma}_{2} \cdots \widetilde{\gamma}_{m}\right)  \tag{6.11}\\
= & c \sum_{i=1}^{d} \beta_{i}^{-1} \varphi\left(\widetilde{\beta_{i} \gamma_{1} \beta_{q_{1}(i)}^{-1}} \beta_{q_{1}(i)} \gamma_{2} \beta_{q_{2}(i)}^{-1} \cdots \beta_{q_{m-1}(i)} \widetilde{\gamma_{m} \beta_{q_{m}(i)}^{-1}}\left(\beta_{i} \gamma_{1} \gamma_{2} \cdots \gamma_{m} \beta_{q_{m}(i)}^{-1}\right)^{-1}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Here $\gamma_{j}=\sigma$ or $\gamma_{j} \in P^{*}$ and $\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2} \cdots \gamma_{m}=1$.
Example 6.3. Let us consider $T(2)$. We may take

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\beta_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 2
\end{array}\right), & \beta_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1 \\
0 & 2
\end{array}\right), & \beta_{3}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \epsilon \\
0 & 2
\end{array}\right), \\
\beta_{4}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \epsilon^{2} \\
0 & 2
\end{array}\right), & \beta_{5}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) . &
\end{array}
$$

By (6.11), we find

$$
\psi\left((\widetilde{\sigma} \widetilde{\tau})^{3}\right)=c\left(\beta_{3}^{-1} Z_{3}+\beta_{4}^{-1} Z_{4}\right),
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.Z_{3}=\varphi\left(\left(\binom{\bar{\epsilon}-\epsilon^{2}}{2} \widetilde{\tau} \epsilon^{2}\right)^{3}\right), \quad Z_{4}=\varphi\left(\left(\binom{\widetilde{\epsilon^{2}}-\epsilon^{2}}{2}-\epsilon\right)\right)^{3}\right) . \tag{6.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have

$$
\left(\widetilde{\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\epsilon & -\epsilon^{2} \\
2 & -\epsilon^{2}
\end{array}\right)}=\widetilde{\sigma}\left(\widetilde{\epsilon^{-1}} \begin{array}{c}
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right) \widetilde{\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \epsilon \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)}^{-2} \widetilde{\sigma\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \epsilon \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)} .\right.
$$

Hence, using (6.4), we have

$$
Z_{3}=\varphi\left(\left(\widetilde{\sigma}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\epsilon^{-1} & -2 \\
0 & \epsilon
\end{array}\right) \widetilde{\sigma}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -\epsilon^{-1} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\right)^{3}\right) .
$$

Similarly we obtain

$$
Z_{4}=\varphi\left(\left(\widetilde{\sigma}\left(\widetilde{\epsilon^{-2}} \begin{array}{c}
-2 \\
0
\end{array} \epsilon^{2}\right) \widetilde{\sigma}\left(\widetilde{\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -1 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)}\right)^{3}\right) .\right.
$$

6.5. In general, every element $r$ of $R^{*}$ can be written as

$$
r=\widetilde{\sigma} \widetilde{p}_{1} \widetilde{\sigma} \widetilde{p}_{2} \cdots \widetilde{\sigma} \widetilde{p}_{m}
$$

with $p_{i} \in P^{*}, 1 \leq i \leq m$ such that $\sigma p_{1} \sigma p_{2} \cdots \sigma p_{m}=1$. We call such an element an $m$ terms relation. Theorem 6.1 assures us that $\varphi(r)$ can be expressed by $A$ and $B$. The following formulas can be proved easily.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\varphi\left(\left(\widetilde{\sigma} \widetilde{\nu}^{n}\right)^{2}\right)=\left(1+\nu^{-1}+\cdots+\nu^{1-n}\right) A, & n \geq 1, \\
\varphi\left(\left(\widetilde{\sigma} \widetilde{\nu}^{-n}\right)^{2}\right)=-\left(\nu+\nu^{2}+\cdots+\nu^{n}\right) A, & n \geq 1, \tag{6.13b}
\end{array}
$$

For $t \in E_{F}$, we put

$$
B(t)=\varphi\left(\widetilde { ( \begin{array} { l l } 
{ 1 } & { t } \\
{ 0 } & { 1 }
\end{array} ) } \widetilde { \sigma } ( \widetilde { c _ { 1 } } \begin{array} { c } 
{ t ^ { - 1 } } \\
{ 0 }
\end{array} \frac { 1 } { } ) ~ \widetilde { \sigma } \left(\widetilde{\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & t \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)}\left(\widetilde{\left(\begin{array}{c}
t \\
0
\end{array}\right.}\right) .\right.\right.
$$

Then we have $B(1)=B$,

$$
B(-t)=-\sigma\left(\begin{array}{cc}
t & 0  \tag{6.14}\\
0 & t^{-1}
\end{array}\right) B(t)-\left(\begin{array}{cc}
t^{-1} & 0 \\
0 & t
\end{array}\right) \varphi\left(\left(\widetilde { \sigma } \left(\widetilde{t^{-1}} 00\right.\right.\right.
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& B(\epsilon t)=\nu^{-1} B(t) \\
& +\left[1+\sigma\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & \epsilon t \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \sigma\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & \epsilon^{-1} t^{-1} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)-\sigma\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & \epsilon t \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \sigma\right] A,  \tag{6.15}\\
& B(t)=\sigma\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & t \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) B\left(t^{-1}\right)+\varphi\left(\left(\widetilde{\sigma}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\begin{array}{l}
t \\
0 \\
0
\end{array} t^{-1}
\end{array}\right)\right)^{2}\right) . \tag{6.16}
\end{align*}
$$

By these formulas, we can express $B(t)$ in terms of $A$ and $B$ explicitly. Using $B(t)$, we have an explicit formula for $\varphi(r)$ for a three terms relation $r$ :

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl} 
& \varphi\left(\widetilde { \sigma } ( \begin{array} { c c } 
{ \widetilde { u _ { 1 } } x _ { 1 } } \\
{ 0 } & { 1 }
\end{array} ) \widetilde { \sigma } \left(\widetilde{u_{2}} x_{2}\right.\right. \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \widetilde{\sigma}\left(\widetilde{\left(\begin{array}{cc}
u_{3} & x_{3}  \tag{6.17}\\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)}\right), ~\left(\begin{array}{cc}
u_{1}^{-1} & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) B\left(u_{1}^{-1} x_{1}\right)+\varphi\left(\left(\widetilde{\sigma}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
u_{1} & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\right)^{2}\right) .
$$

For an $m$ terms relation $r \in R^{*}, m \geq 4$, we may write $p_{i}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}u_{i} & x_{i} \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$, $u_{i} \in E_{F}, x_{i} \in \mathcal{O}_{F}, 1 \leq i \leq m$. We see that $\varphi(r)$ reduces to an $(m-2)$ terms relation if $x_{i}=0$ for some $i$. If $x_{i} \in E_{F}$ for some $i, \varphi(r)$ reduces to an ( $m-1$ ) terms relation. For example, if $x_{1} \in E_{F}$ and $m \geq 4$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varphi\left(\widetilde { \sigma } \left(\widetilde{\left(\begin{array}{cc}
u_{1} & x_{1} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)} \widetilde{\sigma}\left(\widetilde{\left(\begin{array}{cc}
u_{2} & x_{2} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)} \widetilde{\left(\widetilde{u_{3}}\right.} x_{3}\right) \widetilde{\sigma} \cdots \widetilde{\sigma}\left(\widetilde{\left(\begin{array}{cc}
u_{m} & x_{m} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)}\right)\right.\right. \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
u_{1}^{-1} u^{-1} & -u_{1}^{-1} \\
0 & u
\end{array}\right) \varphi\left(\widetilde{\sigma}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -u^{-1} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\widetilde{\left(\begin{array}{cc}
u_{2} & x_{2} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \widetilde{\sigma}\left(\widetilde{u_{3}} \begin{array}{c}
x_{3} \\
0
\end{array}\right.} 1\right) \widetilde{\sigma}\right. \\
& \left.\ldots \tilde{\sigma}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
u_{m} & x_{m} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
u_{1}^{-1} \widetilde{u^{-1}} & -u_{1}^{-1} \\
0 & u
\end{array}\right)\right)  \tag{6.18}\\
& +\left(\begin{array}{cc}
u_{1}^{-1} & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) B(u)+\varphi\left(\left(\widetilde{\sigma}\left(\widetilde{\left(\begin{array}{cc}
u_{1} & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)}\right)^{2}\right) .\right.
\end{align*}
$$

Here $u=u_{1}^{-1} x_{1}$. For a general $m$ terms relation $r$, the explicit reduction of $\varphi(r)$ to $A$ and $B$ is a highly non-trivial problem. The author has an idea on a heuristic algorithm to solve this problem, but it will not be discussed in this paper. For our present purposes, the formulas (6.13a) $\sim(6.18)$ are sufficient.
6.6. For actual computations, it is convenient to use the decomposition (5.13). Proposition 5.5 shows that we will lose little information by assuming $\varphi \in H^{1}\left(R^{*}, V\right)^{\Gamma^{*},+}$, so we do assume this. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\varphi\left((\widetilde{\sigma} \widetilde{\tau})^{3}\right)=\varphi\left(\widetilde{\tau}^{-1} \widetilde{\sigma} \widetilde{\tau}^{-1} \widetilde{\sigma} \widetilde{\tau}^{-1} \widetilde{\sigma}\right)=\tau^{-1} \varphi\left(\left(\widetilde{\sigma} \widetilde{\tau}^{-1}\right)^{3}\right) \\
= & \tau^{-1} \varphi\left(\left((\widetilde{\sigma} \widetilde{\tau})^{3}\right)_{\delta}\right)=\tau^{-1} \delta \varphi\left(\left((\widetilde{\sigma} \widetilde{\tau})^{3}\right) .\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
(\delta \tau+1) B=0
$$

Similarly we obtain

$$
(\delta-1) A=0
$$

Now we are ready to state explicit numerical examples. First by numerical computations, we have verified:

Fact 1. Suppose $0 \leq l_{2} \leq l_{1} \leq 20$. Then adding $h \mid R^{*}, h \in H^{1}\left(\mathcal{F}^{*}, V\right)$ to $\varphi$ (keeping $\varphi$ in the plus space under the action of $\delta$ ), we may assume $B=0$.

Therefore our task is to find constraints on $A=\varphi\left((\widetilde{\sigma} \widetilde{\nu})^{2}\right)$. Note that $(\sigma \nu-1) A=0$. We put $x=\left(\begin{array}{ll}\epsilon & -\epsilon^{2} \\ 2 & -\epsilon^{2}\end{array}\right) \tau$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{A}^{+}=\left\{\mathbf{v} \in V \mid(\sigma \nu-1) \mathbf{v}=0,(\delta-1) \mathbf{v}=0, x Z_{3}=Z_{3}\right\} \tag{6.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here some explanation is called for on the meaning of $x Z_{3}=Z_{3}$. First note that $Z_{3}$ is defined by (6.12); clearly we must have $x Z_{3}=Z_{3}$. Using the formulas (6.13a) $\sim(6.18)$, we see that $Z_{3}$ can be expressed by $A$. Therefore $x Z_{3}=Z_{3}$ gives a constraint on $A$. We define a linear mapping

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta^{+}: Z_{A}^{+} \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}^{l_{2}+1} \tag{6.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

as follows. Let $\mathbf{v} \in Z_{A}^{+}$. We let the coefficient of $\mathbf{e}_{l_{1}+2-m} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{\left(l_{1}+l_{2}\right) / 2+2-m}^{\prime}$ in $\left(1+\nu^{-1}\right) \mathbf{v}$ be equal to the $\left(l_{1}+l_{2}\right) / 2+2-m$-th coefficient of $\zeta^{+}(\mathbf{v})$, for $\left(l_{1}-l_{2}\right) / 2+1 \leq m \leq\left(l_{1}+l_{2}\right) / 2+1$ (cf. (6.6)).

Suppose that $\varphi$ as above corresponds to a (nonzero) Hecke eigenform $\Omega \in S_{l_{1}+2, l_{2}+2}(\Gamma)$. Suppose that $l_{1}$ and $l_{2}$ are in the range of Fact 1. Then $\zeta^{+}(A) \neq 0$ if $l_{2} \geq 4$ in the case $l_{1} \neq l_{2}$, if $l_{2} \geq 6$ in the case $l_{1}=l_{2}$ by Proposition 5.5.

Example 6.4. We take $l_{1}=8, l_{2}=4$. Then $\operatorname{dim} S_{10,6}(\Gamma)=1$. We find $\zeta^{+}\left(Z_{A}^{+}\right)$is one dimensional and consists of scalar multiples of ${ }^{t}(4,0,1,0,4)$. Hence we obtain

$$
R(7, \Omega) / R(5, \Omega)=4, \quad \Omega \in S_{10,6}(\Gamma)
$$

My computer calculates this example in six seconds.
Example 6.5. In the same way as in Example 6.4, we obtain the following numerical values.

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
R(9, \Omega) / R(7, \Omega)=6, & \Omega \in S_{14,6}(\Gamma) . \\
R(6, \Omega) / R(4, \Omega)=\frac{25}{6}, & \Omega \in S_{8,8}(\Gamma) \\
R(8, \Omega) / R(6, \Omega)=7, & \Omega \in S_{12,8}(\Gamma) . \\
R(10, \Omega) / R(8, \Omega)=\frac{720}{11}, & \Omega \in S_{12,10}(\Gamma) .
\end{array}
$$

The spaces of cusp forms appearing in this example are all one dimensional.
6.7. To deal with the case where $\operatorname{dim} S_{l_{1}+2, l_{2}+2}(\Gamma)>1$, it is necessary to use the action of Hecke operators. To this end, we consider the contribution of $H^{1}\left(\mathcal{F}^{*}, V\right)$ to $Z_{A}^{+}$. Take $h \in H^{1}\left(\mathcal{F}^{*}, V\right)$ and put

$$
h(\widetilde{\sigma})=S, \quad h(\widetilde{\nu})=U, \quad h(\widetilde{\tau})=T .
$$

We require that $h \mid R^{*}$ vanishes on the elements $\left(\mathrm{i}^{*}\right)$, (ii*), (iv*), ( $\left.\mathrm{v}^{*}\right)$. These conditions are equivalent to

$$
\begin{gather*}
(\sigma+1) S=0  \tag{6.21}\\
\left\{(\sigma \tau)^{2}+\sigma \tau+1\right\}(\sigma T+S)=0 \tag{6.22}
\end{gather*}
$$

and (6.7), (6.8). We have

$$
h\left((\widetilde{\sigma} \widetilde{\nu})^{2}\right)=(\sigma \nu+1)(\sigma U+S) .
$$

We also require that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\delta-1)(\sigma \nu+1)(\sigma U+S)=0 \tag{6.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $B_{A}^{+}$be the subspace of $V$ generated by $(\sigma \nu+1)(\sigma U+S)$ when $S, T$, $U$ extend over vectors of $V$ satifying the relations (6.7), (6.8), (6.21), (6.22) and (6.23). We have $B_{A}^{+} \subset Z_{A}^{+}$. As shown in $\S 4.1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta^{+}\left(B_{A}^{+}\right)=\{0\} \quad \text { if } l_{1} \neq l_{2}, \quad \operatorname{dim} \zeta^{+}\left(B_{A}^{+}\right) \leq 1 \quad \text { if } l_{1}=l_{2} . \tag{6.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Proposition 5.5, we have

$$
\operatorname{dim} Z_{A}^{+} / B_{A}^{+} \geq \operatorname{dim} S_{l_{1}+2 \cdot l_{2}+2}(\Gamma) \quad \text { if } l_{2} \geq 4, l_{1} \neq l_{2} \text { or if } l_{1}=l_{2}, l_{2} \geq 6
$$

Now by numerical computations, we have verified:
Fact 2. Suppose $0 \leq l_{2} \leq l_{1} \leq 20$. Then $\operatorname{dim} S_{l_{1}+2, l_{2}+1}(\Gamma)=\operatorname{dim} Z_{A}^{+} / B_{A}^{+}$.
This fact means that the constraints posed on $A=\varphi\left((\widetilde{\sigma} \widetilde{\nu})^{2}\right)$ is enough.
Example 6.6. We take $l_{1}=12, l_{2}=8$. We have $\operatorname{dim} S_{14,10}(\Gamma)=2$. Moreover we have $\zeta^{+}\left(Z_{A}^{+}\right)=2$ in this case. Hence $\zeta^{+}$gives an isomorphism of $Z_{A}^{+} / B_{A}^{+}$into $\mathbf{C}^{l_{2}+1}$. Calculating the action of $T(2)$ on $Z_{A}^{+} / B_{A}^{+}$using (6.11), we find that the eigenvalues are $-2560 \pm 960 \sqrt{106}$. Take an eigenvector in $Z_{A}^{+} / B_{A}^{+}$and map it by $\zeta^{+}$. Then we find

$$
R(11, \Omega) / R(7, \Omega)=1616-76 \sqrt{106}, \quad R(9, \Omega) / R(7, \Omega)=\frac{58}{3}-\frac{5}{6} \sqrt{106}
$$

if $0 \neq \Omega \in S_{14,10}(\Gamma)$ satisfies $\Omega \mid T(2)=(-2560+960 \sqrt{106}) \Omega$. If $0 \neq \Omega \in$ $S_{14,10}(\Gamma)$ satisfies $\Omega \mid T(2)=(-2560-960 \sqrt{106}) \Omega$, then we have

$$
R(11, \Omega) / R(7, \Omega)=1616+76 \sqrt{106}, \quad R(9, \Omega) / R(7, \Omega)=\frac{58}{3}+\frac{5}{6} \sqrt{106} .
$$

Remark 6.7. The relation $\operatorname{dim} \zeta^{+}\left(Z_{A}^{+}\right)=\operatorname{dim} S_{l_{1}+2, l_{2}+2}(\Gamma)$ is rather accidental in the above example. It holds in many cases but we have $\operatorname{dim} S_{l_{1}+2, l_{2}+2}(\Gamma)>$ $\operatorname{dim} \zeta^{+}\left(Z_{A}^{+}\right)$in general. Even in the general case, we can obtain ratios of $L-$ values by finding an eigenvector of Hecke operators in $Z_{A}^{+} / B_{A}^{+}$and mapping it by $\zeta^{+}$.
6.8. We next consider the 2-cocycle $f^{-}$(cf. §5.6). The technique of calculation is basically same as for $f^{+}$, but this case is somewhat more complicated. Put

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{1}\left(R^{*}, V\right)^{\Gamma}=\left\{\varphi \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(R^{*}, V\right) \mid \varphi\left(g r g^{-1}\right)=g \varphi(r), g \in \mathcal{F}, r \in R^{*}\right\} . \tag{6.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\varphi \in H^{1}\left(R^{*}, V\right)^{\Gamma}$. We put

$$
(e \varphi)(r)=\nu^{-1} \varphi\left(\widetilde{\nu} r \widetilde{\nu}^{-1}\right), \quad r \in R^{*} .
$$

Then we can verify easily that

$$
e \varphi \in H^{1}\left(R^{*}, V\right)^{\Gamma}, \quad e^{2} \varphi=\varphi .
$$

Therefore $H^{1}\left(R^{*}, V\right)^{\Gamma}$ decomposes as

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{1}\left(R^{*}, V\right)^{\Gamma}=H^{1}\left(R^{*}, V\right)^{\Gamma,+} \oplus H^{1}\left(R^{*}, V\right)^{\Gamma,-}, \tag{6.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, for $\epsilon= \pm 1$,

$$
H^{1}\left(R^{*}, V\right)^{\Gamma, \epsilon}=\left\{\varphi \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(R^{*}, V\right)^{\Gamma} \mid \varphi\left(\widetilde{\nu} r \widetilde{\nu}^{-1}\right)=\epsilon \nu \varphi(r), r \in R^{*}\right\} .
$$

First we take an arbitrary normalized 2-cocycle $f \in Z^{2}(\Gamma, V)$. Since $\mathcal{F} R^{*}$ is a free group, there exists $a \in C^{1}\left(\mathcal{F} R^{*}, V\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(\pi^{*}\left(g_{1}\right), \pi^{*}\left(g_{2}\right)\right)=g_{1} a\left(g_{2}\right)+a\left(g_{1}\right)-a\left(g_{1} g_{2}\right), \quad g_{1}, g_{2} \in \mathcal{F} R^{*} . \tag{6.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

As shown in §1.4, we have

$$
a(g r)=g a(r)+a(g), \quad a\left(g r g^{-1}\right)=g a(r), \quad g \in \mathcal{F} R^{*}, r \in R^{*} .
$$

Put $\varphi=a \mid R^{*}$. Then the above formulas imply $\varphi \in H^{1}\left(R^{*}, V\right)^{\Gamma}$. From the isomorphism $\Gamma \cong \mathcal{F} R^{*} / R^{*}\left(\cong \mathcal{F} / \mathcal{F} \cap R^{*}=\mathcal{F} / R\right)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{2}(\Gamma, V) \cong H^{1}\left(R^{*}, V\right)^{\Gamma} / \operatorname{Im}\left(H^{1}\left(\mathcal{F} R^{*}, V\right)\right) \tag{6.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the procedure $f \mapsto \varphi$ described above gives an explicit form of the isomorphism (6.28). We consider the decomposition of $H^{2}(\Gamma, V)$ under the action of $\nu$ (cf. the formula below (5.10)). Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.H^{2}(\Gamma, V)^{ \pm} \cong H^{1}\left(R^{*}, V\right)^{\Gamma, \pm} /\left(\operatorname{Im}\left(H^{1}\left(\mathcal{F} R^{*}, V\right)\right) \cap H^{1}\left(R^{*}, V\right)^{\Gamma, \pm}\right)\right) \tag{6.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

6.9. Now we consider the 2-cocycle $f^{-}$. Let $\varphi \in H^{1}\left(R^{*}, V\right)^{\Gamma,-}$ be a corresponding element. As for $f^{+}$, we may assume that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\varphi \mid R_{P^{*}}=0  \tag{6.30}\\
f^{-}\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)=-\varphi\left(\widetilde{\gamma}_{1} \widetilde{\gamma}_{2}\left(\widetilde{\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}}\right)^{-1}\right) \tag{6.31}
\end{gather*}
$$

adding a coboundary to $f^{-}$. We put

$$
A=\varphi\left((\widetilde{\sigma} \widetilde{\nu})^{2}\right), \quad B=\varphi\left((\widetilde{\sigma} \widetilde{\tau})^{3}\right) .
$$

The formulas (6.13a) $\sim$ (6.18) hold with the following modifications.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi\left(\left(\widetilde{\sigma} \widetilde{\nu}^{n}\right)^{2}\right)=\left(1-\nu^{-1}+\nu^{-2}+\cdots+(-1)^{1-n} \nu^{1-n}\right) A, \quad n \geq 1, \tag{-}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi\left(\left(\left(\widetilde{\sigma} \widetilde{\nu}^{-n}\right)^{2}\right)=\left(\nu-\nu^{2}+\nu^{3}-\cdots+(-1)^{1-n} \nu^{n}\right) A, \quad n \geq 1 .\right. \tag{-}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define $B(t), t \in E_{F}$ by the same formula as before. In (6.15), the term $\nu^{-1} B(t)$ should be replaced by $-\nu^{-1} B(t)$; (6.14) and (6.16) hold without any change. For $u= \pm \epsilon^{n} \in E_{F}$, we define $\epsilon_{0}(u)=(-1)^{n}$. On the right-hand side of (6.17), the first term should be multiplied by $\epsilon_{0}\left(u_{1}\right)$ and the third term should be multiplied by $\epsilon_{0}\left(u_{3}\right)$. On the right-hand side of (6.18), both of the first and the second term should be multiplied by $\epsilon_{0}\left(u_{1}\right)$.

We may and do assume that $f^{-}$belongs to the plus subspace of $H^{2}(\Gamma, V)^{-}$ under the action of $\delta$. Then we have

$$
(\delta-1) A=0, \quad(\delta \tau+1) B=0
$$

By numerical computations, we have verified
Fact 3. Suppose $0 \leq l_{2} \leq l_{1} \leq 20$. Then adding $h \mid R^{*}$ for $h \in$ $H^{1}\left(\mathcal{F} R^{*}, V\right)$ such that $h \mid R^{*} \in H^{1}\left(R^{*}, V\right)^{\Gamma,-}$ to $\varphi$ (keeping $\varphi$ in the plus space under the action of $\delta$ ), we may assume $B=0$.

Therefore our task is to find constraints on $A=\varphi\left((\widetilde{\sigma} \widetilde{\nu})^{2}\right)$. Note that $(\sigma \nu+1) A=0$. We put $x=\left(\begin{array}{ll}\epsilon & -\epsilon^{2} \\ 2 & -\epsilon^{2}\end{array}\right) \tau$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{A}^{-}=\left\{\mathbf{v} \in V \mid(\sigma \nu+1) \mathbf{v}=0,(\delta-1) \mathbf{v}=0, x Z_{3}=Z_{3}\right\} \tag{6.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the meaning of the constraint $x Z_{3}=Z_{3}$ is the same as for $Z_{A}^{+}$. We define a linear mapping

$$
\zeta^{-}: Z_{A}^{-} \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}^{l_{2}+1}
$$

as follows. Let $\mathbf{v} \in Z_{A}^{-}$. We let the coefficient of $\mathbf{e}_{l_{1}+2-m} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{\left(l_{1}+l_{2}\right) / 2+2-m}^{\prime}$ in $\left(1-\nu^{-1}\right) \mathbf{v}$ be equal to the $\left(l_{1}+l_{2}\right) / 2+2-m$-th coefficient of $\zeta^{-}(\mathbf{v})$, for $\left(l_{1}-l_{2}\right) / 2+1 \leq m \leq\left(l_{1}+l_{2}\right) / 2+1$ (cf. (6.6)).

Example 6.8. We take $l_{1}=8, l_{2}=6$. Then $\operatorname{dim} S_{10,8}(\Gamma)=1$. We find $\zeta^{-}\left(Z_{A}^{-}\right)$is one dimensional and consists of scalar multiples of ${ }^{t}(2,0,7 / 90,0,-7 / 90,0,-2)$. Hence we obtain

$$
R(8, \Omega) / R(6, \Omega)=\frac{180}{7}, \quad \Omega \in S_{10,8}(\Gamma)
$$

Example 6.9. In the same way as in Example 6.8, we obtain the following numerical values.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
R(9, \Omega) / R(7, \Omega)=\frac{70}{3}, & \Omega \in S_{12,8}(\Gamma) \\
R(9, \Omega) / R(7, \Omega)=42, & \Omega \in S_{12,10}(\Gamma)
\end{array}
$$

The spaces of cusp forms appearing in this example are all one dimensional.
6.10. To treat the case where $\operatorname{dim} S_{l_{1}+2, l_{2}+2}(\Gamma)>1$, it is necessary to consider Hecke operators.

First let us write down $\operatorname{Im}\left(H^{1}\left(\mathcal{F} R^{*}, V\right)\right) \cap H^{1}\left(R^{*}, V\right)^{\Gamma, \pm}$ which appears on the right-hand side of (6.29), explicitly. Take $h \in Z^{1}\left(\mathcal{F} R^{*}, V\right)$. We put

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(e_{0} h\right)(x)=\nu^{-1} h\left(\widetilde{\nu} x \widetilde{\nu}^{-1}\right), \quad x \in \mathcal{F} R^{*} . \tag{6.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can check easily that $e_{0} h \in Z^{1}\left(\mathcal{F} R^{*}, V\right)$ and that

$$
\left(e_{0}^{2} h\right)(x)=h(x)+\left(\nu^{-2}-x \nu^{-2}\right) h\left(\widetilde{\nu}^{2}\right), \quad x \in \mathcal{F} R^{*} .
$$

If we restrict $h$ to $R^{*}$, then the action $e_{0}$ coincides with the action of $e$ defined in $\S 6.8$. We have $\left(e_{0}^{2} h\right)\left|R^{*}=h\right| R^{*}$. We put

$$
h^{ \pm}=h \pm e_{0} h .
$$

A general element of $\operatorname{Im}\left(H^{1}\left(\mathcal{F} R^{*}, V\right)\right) \cap H^{1}\left(R^{*}, V\right)^{\Gamma, \pm}$ can be obtained as $h^{ \pm} \mid R^{*}$ from a general element $h \in Z^{1}\left(\mathcal{F} R^{*}, V\right)$.

Let $Z^{1}\left(\mathcal{F} R^{*}, V\right)^{ \pm}$be the subgroup of $Z^{1}\left(\mathcal{F} R^{*}, V\right)$ consisting of all elements whose restrictions to $R^{*}$ belong to $H^{1}\left(R^{*}, V\right)^{\Gamma, \pm}$. Take $\epsilon_{1}= \pm 1$ and put $h^{ \pm}=h+\epsilon_{1} e_{0} h$. For the free generators $\widetilde{\sigma}, \widetilde{\tau}, \widetilde{\nu}^{2}, \widetilde{\nu} \widetilde{\sigma} \widetilde{\nu}^{-1}, \widetilde{\nu} \widetilde{\tau} \widetilde{\nu}^{-1}$ of $\mathcal{F} R^{*}$, we put

$$
h(\widetilde{\sigma})=S_{1}, \quad h(\widetilde{\tau})=T_{1}, \quad h\left(\widetilde{\nu}^{2}\right)=U, \quad h\left(\widetilde{\nu} \widetilde{\sigma} \widetilde{\nu}^{-1}\right)=V_{1}, \quad h\left(\widetilde{\nu} \widetilde{\tau} \widetilde{\nu}^{-1}\right)=W_{1} .
$$

Then we find

$$
\begin{gathered}
h^{ \pm}(\widetilde{\sigma})=S_{1}+\epsilon_{1} \nu^{-1} V_{1}, \\
h^{ \pm}(\widetilde{\tau})=T_{1}+\epsilon_{1} \nu^{-1} W_{1}, \\
h^{ \pm}\left(\widetilde{\nu}^{2}\right)=\left(1+\epsilon_{1} \nu^{-1}\right) U, \\
h^{ \pm}\left(\widetilde{\nu} \widetilde{\sigma} \widetilde{\nu}^{-1}\right)=V_{1}+\epsilon_{1} \nu S_{1}+\epsilon_{1} \nu^{-1}\left(1-\nu^{4} \sigma\right) U, \\
h^{ \pm}\left(\widetilde{\nu} \widetilde{\tau} \widetilde{\nu}^{-1}\right)=W_{1}+\epsilon_{1} \nu T_{1}+\epsilon_{1}\left(\nu^{-1}-\nu \tau \nu^{-2}\right) U .
\end{gathered}
$$

Fix $\epsilon_{1}= \pm 1$ and put

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{ \pm}(\widetilde{\sigma})=S, \quad h^{ \pm}(\widetilde{\tau})=T \tag{6.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $V_{1}$ and $W_{1}$ are eliminated and we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
h^{ \pm}\left(\widetilde{\nu}^{2}\right)=\left(1+\epsilon_{1} \nu^{-1}\right) U,  \tag{6.35}\\
h^{ \pm}\left(\widetilde{\nu} \widetilde{\sigma} \widetilde{\nu}^{-1}\right)=\epsilon_{1} \nu S+\epsilon_{1} \nu^{-1}\left(1-\nu^{4} \sigma\right) U,  \tag{6.36}\\
h^{ \pm}\left(\widetilde{\nu} \widetilde{\tau} \widetilde{\nu}^{-1}\right)=\epsilon_{1} \nu T+\epsilon_{1}\left(\nu^{-1}-\nu \tau \nu^{-2}\right) U . \tag{6.37}
\end{gather*}
$$

Clearly $S, T$ and $U$ can take arbirary three vectors of $V$. The formulas (6.34) $\sim(6.37)$ describe a general element of $Z^{1}\left(\mathcal{F} R^{*}, V\right)^{ \pm}$. The conditions for $h^{ \pm}$ to vanish on the elements (iv*) and ( $\mathrm{v}^{*}$ ) are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\nu \tau \nu^{-1}-1+\epsilon_{1}(1-\tau) \nu\right\} T+\epsilon_{1}(1-\tau)\left(\nu^{-1}-\nu^{-1} \tau \nu^{-2}\right) U=0 \tag{6.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1+\epsilon_{1} \tau \nu-\nu^{2}\right) T+\left\{\epsilon_{1} \tau\left(\nu^{-1}-\nu \tau \nu^{-2}\right)-\left(1-\nu^{2} \tau \nu^{-2}\right)\left(1+\epsilon_{1} \nu^{-1}\right)\right\} U=0 \tag{6.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively. For $h^{ \pm} \in Z^{1}\left(\mathcal{F} R^{*}, V\right)^{ \pm}$as above, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{ \pm}\left((\widetilde{\sigma} \widetilde{\nu})^{2}\right)=\left(1+\epsilon_{1} \sigma \nu\right) S+\left(\nu^{-2}+\epsilon_{1} \sigma \nu^{-1}\right) U . \tag{6.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we consider the case $\epsilon_{1}=-1$. Let $B_{A}^{-}$be the subspace of $V$ generated by $(1-\sigma \nu) S+\left(\nu^{-2}-\sigma \nu^{-1}\right) U$ when $S, T, U$ extend over vectors of $V$ satisfying the relations (6.21), (6.22), (6.38), (6.39) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\delta-1)\left\{(1-\sigma \nu) S+\left(\nu^{-2}-\sigma \nu^{-1}\right) U\right\}=0 \tag{6.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have $B_{A}^{-} \subset Z_{A}^{-}$. As shown in $\S 4.1$, we have

$$
\zeta^{-}\left(B_{A}^{-}\right)=\{0\} \quad \text { if } l_{1} \neq l_{2}, \quad \operatorname{dim} \zeta^{-}\left(B_{A}^{-}\right) \leq 1 \quad \text { if } l_{1}=l_{2} .
$$

Using Proposition 5.2, (2), we can show that

$$
\operatorname{dim} Z_{A}^{-} / B_{A}^{-} \geq \operatorname{dim} S_{l_{1}+2 . l_{2}+2}(\Gamma) \quad \text { if } l_{2} \geq 2, l_{1} \neq l_{2} \text { or if } l_{1}=l_{2}, l_{2} \geq 4
$$

Now by numerical computations, we have verified:
Fact 4. Suppose $0 \leq l_{2} \leq l_{1} \leq 20$. Then $\operatorname{dim} S_{l_{1}+2, l_{2}+1}(\Gamma)=\operatorname{dim} Z_{A}^{-} / B_{A}^{-}$.
The formula (6.11) can be generalized in the following way. We put $g^{-}=T(\varpi) f^{-}$where $g^{-}$is defined by (5.4). Let $\varphi \in H^{1}\left(R^{*}, V\right)^{\Gamma,-}$ be a corresponding element to $f^{-}$. We may assume that (6.31) holds. There exists a 1 -cochain $b \in C^{1}\left(\mathcal{F} R^{*}, V\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{-}\left(\pi^{*}\left(x_{1}\right), \pi^{*}\left(x_{2}\right)\right)=x_{1} b\left(x_{2}\right)+b\left(x_{1}\right)-b\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right), \quad x_{1}, x_{2} \in \mathcal{F} R^{*} \tag{6.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

As the intial conditions, we may assume that

$$
b(\widetilde{\sigma})=0, \quad b\left(\widetilde{\nu}^{2}\right)=0, \quad b(\widetilde{\tau})=0, \quad b\left(\widetilde{\nu} \widetilde{\sigma} \widetilde{\nu}^{-1}\right)=0, \quad b\left(\widetilde{\nu} \widetilde{\tau} \widetilde{\nu}^{-1}\right)=0
$$

for the free generators of $\mathcal{F} R^{*}$. Then the formula (5.9) holds when $b\left(\widetilde{\gamma}_{j}\right)=0$, $1 \leq j \leq m$. This condition holds if $\widetilde{\gamma}_{j}$ is equal to one of the five free generators as above or their inverses. In particular, $\psi=b \mid R^{*}$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \psi\left(\widetilde{\gamma}_{1} \widetilde{\gamma}_{2} \cdots \widetilde{\gamma}_{m}\right) \\
= & c \sum_{i=1}^{d} \beta_{i}^{-1} \varphi\left(\widetilde{\beta_{i} \gamma_{1} \beta_{q_{1}(i)}^{-1}} \beta_{q_{1}(i) \gamma_{2} \beta_{q_{2}(i)}^{-1}} \cdots \beta_{q_{m-1}(i)} \widetilde{\gamma}_{m} \beta_{q_{m}(i)}^{-1}\left(\beta_{i} \gamma_{1} \gamma_{2} \widetilde{\cdots \gamma_{m}} \beta_{q_{m}(i)}^{-1}\right)^{-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

provided $\widetilde{\gamma}_{j}$ is equal to one of the five free generators of $\mathcal{F} R^{*}$ or their inverses and $\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2} \cdots \gamma_{m}=1$. The above formula is the same as (6.11) but there is
one important point about which we must be careful. This $\psi$ belongs to $H^{1}\left(R^{*}, V\right)^{\Gamma}$ and gives a corresponding element to $g^{-}$but it does not necessarily belong to $H^{1}\left(R^{*}, V\right)^{\Gamma,-}$. We obtain $\psi^{-} \in H^{1}\left(R^{*}, V\right)^{\Gamma,-}$ corresponding to $g^{-}$by $\psi^{-}=\frac{1}{2}(1-e) \psi(c f$. $\S 6.8)$.

Example 6.10. We take $l_{1}=12, l_{2}=8$. We have $\operatorname{dim} S_{14,10}(\Gamma)=2$. Moreover we have $\zeta^{-}\left(Z_{A}^{-}\right)=2$ in this case. Hence $\zeta^{-}$gives an isomorphism of $Z_{A}^{-} / B_{A}^{-}$into $\mathbf{C}^{l_{2}+1}$. Take an eigenvector of $T(2)$ in $Z_{A}^{-} / B_{A}^{-}$and map it by $\zeta^{-}$. Then we find

$$
R(10, \Omega) / R(8, \Omega)=50-\sqrt{106},
$$

if $0 \neq \Omega \in S_{14,10}(\Gamma)$ satisfies $\Omega \mid T(2)=(-2560+960 \sqrt{106}) \Omega$. If $0 \neq \Omega \in$ $S_{14,10}(\Gamma)$ satisfies $\Omega \mid T(2)=(-2560-960 \sqrt{106}) \Omega$, then we have

$$
R(10, \Omega) / R(8, \Omega)=50+\sqrt{106} .
$$

Let $\Omega$ be a Hecke eigenform of $S_{14,10}(\Gamma)$. Then $L(m, \Omega)$ is a critical value for integers in the range $3 \leq m \leq 11$ (cf. (5.14)). We have $L(s, \Omega)=L(14-s, \Omega)$ (cf. (2.7)). By Examples 6.6 and 6.10, we have treated all critical values on the right of the critical line.

Example 6.11. We take $l_{1}=l_{2}=18$. We have $\operatorname{dim} S_{20,20}(\Gamma)=7$. Calculating the action of $T(2)$ on $Z_{A}^{+} / B_{A}^{+}$using (6.11), we find that the characteristic polynomial of $T(2)$ is (we can use $Z_{A}^{-} / B_{A}^{-}$which gives the same result)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (X-97280)^{2}(X+840640)\left(X^{4}-1286780 X^{3}+19006483200 X^{2}\right. \\
& +27181090390835200 X-22979876427231395840000) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The irreducible factor of degree four corresponds to the base change part from $S_{20}\left(\Gamma_{0}(5),(\overline{5})\right) ; X+840640$ corresponds to the base change part from $S_{20}\left(\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbf{Z})\right)$; the factor $(X-97280)^{2}$ corresponds to the non base change part. Let $\Omega \in \operatorname{dim} S_{20,20}(\Gamma)$ be a Hecke eigenform in the non base change part. A calculation for the plus part yields the result

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
R(18, \Omega) / R(10, \Omega)=39355680000, & R(16, \Omega) / R(10, \Omega)=33163650 \\
R(14, \Omega) / R(10, \Omega)=\frac{1266460}{27}, & R(12, \Omega) / R(10, \Omega)=\frac{26075}{216}
\end{array}
$$

A calculation for the minus part yields the result

$$
R(17, \Omega) / R(11, \Omega)=\frac{111006792000}{803}, \quad R(15, \Omega) / R(11, \Omega)=\frac{54618434}{365}
$$

$$
R(13, \Omega) / R(11, \Omega)=\frac{453159}{1606}
$$

We note that though there are two Hecke eigenforms in the non base change part, these ratios are the same for them. 5

## §7. Numerical examples II

7.1. In this section, we treat the case $F=\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{13})$. We use the same notation as in the previous section. Many results there remain valid in the present case so we will be brief.

The fundamental unit of $F$ is $\epsilon=\frac{3+\sqrt{13}}{2}$. The elements $\sigma, \nu$ and $\tau$ of $\Gamma^{*}$ satisfy the relations ( $\mathrm{i}^{\prime}$ ) $\sim\left(\mathrm{iv}^{\prime}\right)$ in $\S 6.1$ and

$$
\nu^{2} \tau \nu^{-2}=\tau\left(\nu \tau \nu^{-1}\right)^{3} .
$$

Though we do not know that $\left(\mathrm{i}^{\prime}\right) \sim\left(\mathrm{v}^{\prime}\right)$ are the fundamental relations, we will show that it is possible to calculate ratios of critical values of $L$-functions rigorously.

Let $\mathcal{F}^{*}$ be the free group on three letters $\widetilde{\sigma}, \widetilde{\nu}, \widetilde{\tau}$. We define a surjective homomorphism $\pi^{*}: \mathcal{F}^{*} \longrightarrow \Gamma^{*}$ by $\pi^{*}(\widetilde{\sigma})=\sigma, \pi^{*}(\widetilde{\nu})=\nu, \pi^{*}(\widetilde{\tau})=\tau$. Let $R^{*}$ be the kernel of $\pi^{*}$. Then $R^{*}$ contains the elements (i*) $\sim\left(\mathrm{iv}{ }^{*}\right)$ in $\S 6.1$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\nu}^{2} \widetilde{\tau} \widetilde{\nu}^{-2}\left\{\widetilde{\tau}\left(\widetilde{\nu} \widetilde{\tau} \widetilde{\nu}^{-1}\right)^{3}\right\}^{-1} \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

For every $\gamma \in \Gamma^{*}$, we choose $\widetilde{\gamma} \in \mathcal{F}^{*}$ so that $\pi^{*}(\widetilde{\gamma})=\gamma$. We use the same algorithm as in the previous section.

We consider $f^{+}$(cf. §5.6). We put $f^{*}=\widetilde{T}(f)$. Then $f^{*} \in Z^{2}\left(\Gamma^{*}, V\right)$ and $f^{*} \mid \Gamma=f^{+}($cf. $\S 5.3)$. Let $\varphi \in H^{1}\left(R^{*}, V\right)^{\Gamma^{*}}$ be a corresponding element to $f^{*}$. We may assume that (6.4) and (6.5) hold. We may also assume that $\varphi\left(\widetilde{\sigma}^{2}\right)=0$. We need to analyze the process of adding $h \mid R^{*}$ to $\varphi$. For $S, T$, $U \in V$, there exists $h \in H^{1}\left(\mathcal{F}^{*}, V\right)$ such that

$$
h(\widetilde{\sigma})=S, \quad h(\widetilde{\tau})=T, \quad h(\widetilde{\nu})=U .
$$

We find that the conditions for $h$ to vanish on the elements (iv*) and ( $\mathrm{v}^{*}$ ) are (6.7) and

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\nu^{2}-\tau\left\{1+\nu \tau \nu^{-1}+\left(\nu \tau \nu^{-1}\right)^{2}\right\} \nu-1\right] T }  \tag{7.1}\\
+ & {\left[\left(1-\nu^{2} \tau \nu^{-2}\right)(1+\nu)-\tau\left\{1+\nu \tau \nu^{-1}+\left(\nu \tau \nu^{-1}\right)^{2}\right\}\left(1-\nu \tau \nu^{-1}\right)\right] U=0 }
\end{align*}
$$

[^5]respectively. We put
$$
A=\varphi\left((\widetilde{\sigma} \widetilde{\nu})^{2}\right), \quad B=\varphi\left((\widetilde{\sigma} \widetilde{\tau})^{3}\right) .
$$

Then (6.10) holds. As in the previous section, our objective is to determine $A$ explicitly.
7.2. Let us consider the Hecke operators. We put $g^{*}=T(\varpi) f^{*}$ where $g^{*}$ is defined by (5.4) with $\Gamma^{*}$ in place of $\Gamma$. Let $\psi \in H^{1}\left(R^{*}, V\right)^{\Gamma^{*}}$ be a corresponding element to $g^{*}$. We may assume that $\psi$ is given by (6.11).

We have $3=(4+\sqrt{13})(4-\sqrt{13})$ in $F$. Put $\varpi=4-\sqrt{13}=-2 \epsilon+7$, $\mathfrak{p}=(\varpi)$ and we consider the Hecke operator $T(\mathfrak{p})=T(\varpi)$. We may take

$$
\beta_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & \varpi
\end{array}\right), \quad \beta_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 1 \\
0 & \varpi
\end{array}\right), \quad \beta_{3}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & \epsilon \\
0 & \varpi
\end{array}\right), \quad \beta_{4}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\varpi & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Using (6.11), we can compute $\psi\left(\widetilde{\sigma}^{2}\right), \psi\left((\widetilde{\sigma} \widetilde{\nu})^{2}\right)$ and $\psi\left((\widetilde{\sigma} \widetilde{\tau})^{3}\right)$. Remarkably it turns out that these quantities can be expressed by $A$ and $B$. Since this is technically the essential part of calculation, we are going to explain the computation of $\psi\left((\widetilde{\sigma} \widetilde{\tau})^{3}\right)$ in some detail. By (6.11), we have

$$
\psi\left((\widetilde{\sigma} \widetilde{\tau})^{3}\right)=c \beta_{3}^{-1} Z_{3},
$$

where

$$
Z_{3}=\varphi\left(\left(\widetilde{\sigma}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\epsilon^{-1} & 2 \epsilon-7  \tag{7.2}\\
0 & \epsilon
\end{array}\right) \widetilde{\sigma}\left(\widetilde{1} \begin{array}{c}
-2 \epsilon \\
0
\end{array} 1.1\right)\right)^{3}\right)
$$

For $x \in \mathcal{O}_{F}$ and $u \in E_{F}$ such that $x$ divides $u-1$, we put

$$
\{x, u\}_{4}
$$

$$
\left.=\widetilde{\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & x \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)} \tilde{\sigma}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & \widetilde{(1-u)} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) / x\right) \widetilde{\sigma}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -x / u \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \widetilde{\sigma}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & \widetilde{u(1-u)} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \widetilde{\sigma}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
u^{-1} & 0 \\
0 & u
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Then $\{x, u\}_{4} \in R^{*}$. As a quantitative version of Lemma A.6, (3) of Appendix, we can show that

$$
\left.\left.\left.\begin{array}{rl} 
& \varphi\left(\left\{x, u^{e}\right\}_{4}\right)=\varphi\left(\{x, u\}_{4}\right) \\
+ & \sigma\left(\begin{array}{cc}
u^{-1} & u^{-e+1}\left(1-u^{e}\right) / x \\
0 & u
\end{array}\right) \sigma\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & u^{e-2} x \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \varphi\left(\left\{-u^{e-2} x, u^{e-1}\right\}_{4}\right) \\
- & \left.\sigma\left(\begin{array}{cc}
u^{-1} & u^{-e+1}\left(1-u^{e}\right) / x \\
0 & u
\end{array}\right) \sigma \varphi\left(\widetilde{\sigma}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
u^{1-e} & 0 \\
0 & u^{e-1}
\end{array}\right)\right)^{2}\right)  \tag{7.3}\\
+ & \sigma\left(\begin{array}{cc}
u^{-e} & 0 \\
0 & u^{e}
\end{array}\right) \varphi\left(\left(\widetilde{\sigma}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
u^{-e} & 0 \\
0 & u^{e}
\end{array}\right)\right)^{2}\right) \\
- & \sigma\left(\begin{array}{cc}
u^{-1} & 0 \\
0 & u
\end{array}\right) \varphi\left(\left(\widetilde { \sigma } \left(\widetilde{u^{-1}} 0\right.\right.\right. \\
0 & u
\end{array}\right)\right)^{2}\right) \quad .
$$

for $e \in \mathbf{Z}$. (This formula holds for any real quadratic field $F$.) By (7.3) and using the formulas given in $\S 6.5$, we can express $\psi\left((\widetilde{\sigma} \widetilde{\tau})^{3}\right)$ in terms of $A$ and $B$.
7.3. We assume $\varphi \in H^{1}\left(R^{*}, V\right)^{\Gamma^{*},+}$ (cf. $\left.\S 5.5\right)$. Then, as in $\S 6.6$, we have

$$
(\delta \tau+1) B=0, \quad(\delta-1) A=0
$$

Fact 1. Suppose $0 \leq l_{2} \leq l_{1} \leq 20$. Then adding $h \mid R^{*}, h \in H^{1}\left(\mathcal{F}^{*}, V\right)$ to $\varphi$ (keeping $\varphi$ in the plus space under the action of $\delta$ ), we may assume $B=0$.

Therefore our task is to find constraints on $A=\varphi\left((\widetilde{\sigma} \widetilde{\nu})^{2}\right)$. We put $x=$ $\sigma\left(\begin{array}{cc}\epsilon^{-1} & 2 \epsilon-7 \\ 0 & \epsilon\end{array}\right) \sigma\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & -2 \epsilon \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$ and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{A}^{+}=\left\{\mathbf{v} \in V \mid(\sigma \nu-1) \mathbf{v}=0,(\delta-1) \mathbf{v}=0, x Z_{3}=Z_{3}\right\} . \tag{7.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $Z_{3}$ is defined by (7.2) and the meaning of $x Z_{3}=Z_{3}$ is the same as in §6.6. Namely, $x Z_{3}=Z_{3}$ must hold because $x^{3}=1$; since $Z_{3}$ can be expressed by $A, x Z_{3}=Z_{3}$ gives a constraint on $A$.

We consider the contribution of $H^{1}\left(\mathcal{F}^{*}, V\right)$ to $Z_{A}^{+}$. Take $h \in H^{1}\left(\mathcal{F}^{*}, V\right)$ and put

$$
h(\widetilde{\sigma})=S, \quad h(\widetilde{\nu})=U, \quad h(\widetilde{\tau})=T .
$$

We require that $h \mid R^{*}$ vanishes on the elements (i*), (ii*), (iv*), ( $\mathrm{v}^{*}$ ). These conditions are equivalent to (6.21), (6.22), (6.7) and and (7.1). We have

$$
h\left((\widetilde{\sigma} \widetilde{\nu})^{2}\right)=(\sigma \nu+1)(\sigma U+S) .
$$

We also require that (6.23) holds. Let $B_{A}^{+}$be the subspace of $V$ generated by $(\sigma \nu+1)(\sigma U+S)$ when $S, T, U$ extend over vectors of $V$ satifying the relations (6.7), (6.21), (6.22), (6.23) and (7.1). We have $B_{A}^{+} \subset Z_{A}^{+}$. As shown in §4.1, (6.24) holds. By Proposition 5.5, we have

$$
\operatorname{dim} Z_{A}^{+} / B_{A}^{+} \geq \operatorname{dim} S_{l_{1}+2 . l_{2}+2}(\Gamma) \quad \text { if } l_{2} \geq 4, l_{1} \neq l_{2} \text { or if } l_{1}=l_{2}, l_{2} \geq 6
$$

Now by numerical computations, we have verified:
Fact 2. Suppose $0 \leq l_{2} \leq l_{1} \leq 20$. Then $\operatorname{dim} S_{l_{1}+2, l_{2}+1}(\Gamma)=\operatorname{dim} Z_{A}^{+} / B_{A}^{+}$.
This fact means that the constraints posed on $A=\varphi\left((\widetilde{\sigma} \widetilde{\nu})^{2}\right)$ is enough.
Example 7.1. We take $l_{1}=l_{2}=6$. We have $\operatorname{dim} S_{8,8}(\Gamma)=5$. Calculating the action of $T(\mathfrak{p})$ on $Z_{A}^{+} / B_{A}^{+}$using (6.11), we find that the characteristic polynomial of $T(\mathfrak{p})$ is

$$
\left(X^{2}-40 X-3957\right)\left(X^{3}+28 X^{2}-2601 X-71748\right)
$$

The quadratic factor corresponds to the non base change part; the irreducible factor of degree three corresponds to the base change part from $S_{8}\left(\Gamma_{0}(13),(\overline{13})\right)$. Let $\Omega \in S_{8,8}(\Gamma)$ be the Hecke eigenform such that $\Omega \mid T(\mathfrak{p})=$ $(20+\sqrt{4357}) \Omega$. Then we find

$$
R(6, \Omega) / R(4, \Omega)=70 / 3
$$

Example 7.2. We take $l_{1}=l_{2}=8$. We have $\operatorname{dim} S_{10,10}(\Gamma)=7$. We find that the characteristic polynomial of $T(\mathfrak{p})$ is
$\left(X^{2}-16 X-42789\right)\left(X^{5}+X^{4}-66033 X^{3}+1260423 X^{2}+530326440 X+14266185264\right)$.
The quadratic factor corresponds to the non base change part. Let $\Omega \in$ $S_{10,10}(\Gamma)$ be the Hecke eigenform such that $\Omega \mid T(\mathfrak{p})=(8+\sqrt{42853}) \Omega$. Then we find

$$
R(7, \Omega) / R(5, \Omega)=50
$$

Example 7.3. We take $l_{1}=l_{2}=10$. We have $\operatorname{dim} S_{12,12}(\Gamma)=11$. We find that the characteristic polynomial of $T(\mathfrak{p})$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (X-252)\left(X^{4}+252 X^{3}-496198 X^{2}-116604684 X+25202349477\right) \\
& \left(X^{6}+244 X^{5}-665334 X^{4}-129598956 X^{3}+109163403621 X^{2}\right. \\
& +14522233287672 X-255121008509808) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The irreducible factor of degree four corresponds to the non base change part; $X-252$ corresponds to the base change part from $S_{12}\left(\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbf{Z})\right)$ and the irreducible factor of degree six corresponds to the base change part from $S_{12}\left(\Gamma_{0}(13),(\overline{13})\right)$. Put

$$
f(X)=X^{4}+252 X^{3}-496198 X^{2}-116604684 X+25202349477 .
$$

Let $\theta$ be a root of $f(X)$ and put $K=\mathbf{Q}(\theta)$. We find that $K$ contains a quadratic subfield $F=\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{7 \cdot 5167})$. Put $d=7 \cdot 5167$. Then a root of $f(X)$ is given by

$$
\psi=-(63+\sqrt{d})+\sqrt{223837-360 \sqrt{d}}
$$

We have

$$
N(223837-360 \sqrt{d})=13 \cdot 563 \cdot 6205151 .
$$

This number and the quadratic fields in Examples 7.1 and 7.2 are consistent with the table given in Doi-Hida-Ishii [DHI].

For the Hecke eigenform $\Omega \in S_{12,12}(\Gamma)$ such that $\Omega \mid T(\varpi)=\psi \Omega$, we find

$$
\begin{gathered}
R(10, \Omega) / R(6, \Omega)=\frac{3732099+18663 \sqrt{d}}{5} \\
R(8, \Omega) / R(6, \Omega)=\frac{24367+121 \sqrt{d}}{20} .
\end{gathered}
$$

§8. A comparison of two methods
In [Sh3], Shimura gave a method to calculate critical values of $D(s, f, g)$ for two elliptic modular forms $f$ and $g$. Here $D(s, f, g)$ is the Rankin-Selberg convolution of $f$ and $g$. Shortly later he gave a generalization to the case of Hilbert modular forms ([Sh4]). Taking one argument in the convoluted $L$-function as a suitable Eisenstein series, this method enables us to calculate the ratios of critical values of $L(s, \Omega)$ for a Hilbert modular form $\Omega$. We call this technique method A . We call the cohomological technique method B , which was initiated in $[\mathrm{Sh} 1]$ and studied in this paper when $[F: \mathbf{Q}]=2$. It is interesting to compare A and B.
(0) Method A is more general and conceptually simpler. It has the advantage to give the relation of the product of the plus and minus periods to the Petersson norm. It is applicable also to modular forms of half integral weights.
(1) If $n=[F: \mathbf{Q}]>2$, the method B has to calculate $H^{n}(\Gamma, V)$, which is beyond the reach at present. Therefore when $[F: \mathbf{Q}]>2, \mathrm{~A}$ is definitively superior than B.
(2) Suppose that $[F: \mathbf{Q}]=2$. The method B is still incomplete. But in the cases well worked out, $F=\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{5})$ for example, B has the advantage that we can write a program which calculates everything by machine. It can also be used to calculate the characteristic polynomials of Hecke operators. (In this respect, it is desirable to solve the problem mentioned at the end of subsection 6.5.) We employed essentially a single program to obtain examples in section 7. Therefore in some cases at least, B will have the advantage over A. But in general the method A is conceptually simpler.

In Doi-Goto [DG] and Doi-Ishii [DI], the authors gave interesting examples of critical values of $D(s, f, g)$ for Hilbert modular forms $f$ and $g$. Their interests was the relation of this value to the congruences between Hilbert modular forms. However they did not give examples of critical values of $L(s, \Omega)$. Recently Dr. K. Okada calculated the ratios of critical values of $L(s, \Omega)$ and confirmed the numerical value of Example 7.1 by method A. He obtained one more example for $F=\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{17})$.
(3) Suppose that $F=\mathbf{Q}$. The method B is developed into the theory of modular symbols which is presently used to calculate characteristic polynomials of Hecke operators. For the $L$-values, the author doesn't know which is faster. But the calculation of [Sh1] reviewed in the introduction suggests that B would not be more complex than A.

## Appendix. Generators and relations

Let $F$ be a real quadratic field and $\epsilon$ be the fundamental unit of $F$. Let $\{1, \omega\}$ be an integral basis of $\mathcal{O}_{F}$, i.e., $\mathcal{O}_{F}=\mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z} \omega$. We write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon^{2}=A+B \omega, \quad \epsilon^{2} \omega=C+D \omega . \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We put $\Gamma=\operatorname{PSL}\left(2, \mathcal{O}_{F}\right), \widetilde{\Gamma}=\operatorname{SL}\left(2, \mathcal{O}_{F}\right)$,

$$
\widetilde{P}=\left\{\left.\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a & b \\
0 & a^{-1}
\end{array}\right) \right\rvert\, a \in E_{F}, b \in \mathcal{O}_{F}\right\}, \quad P=\widetilde{P} /\left\{ \pm 1_{2}\right\} .
$$

We define elements of $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ by

$$
\sigma=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad \mu=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\epsilon & 0 \\
0 & \epsilon^{-1}
\end{array}\right), \quad \tau=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad \eta=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & \omega \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Then it is known that $\sigma, \mu, \tau$ and $\eta$ generate $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ (cf. Vaserštein [V]). This fact can be proved in elementary way if $\mathcal{O}_{F}$ is a Euclidean ring, $F=\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{5})$ for example. We use same letters $\sigma, \mu, \tau$ and $\eta$ for their classes in $\Gamma$, since this will cause no confusion. Now we have relations among them:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma^{2}=1 \tag{i}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii)

$$
(\sigma \tau)^{3}=1
$$

(iii)

$$
(\sigma \mu)^{2}=1 .
$$

(iv)

$$
\tau \eta=\eta \tau
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu \tau \mu^{-1}=\tau^{A} \eta^{B} . \tag{v}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu \eta \mu^{-1}=\tau^{C} \eta^{D} . \tag{vi}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we can take $\omega=\epsilon$ and $-\epsilon^{-1}=A^{\prime}+B^{\prime} \epsilon$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma \eta \sigma=\tau^{A^{\prime}} \eta^{B^{\prime}} \sigma \eta^{-1} \mu \tag{vii}
\end{equation*}
$$

The relations (ii) and (vii) follow from

$$
\sigma\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & t  \tag{A.2}\\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \sigma=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -t^{-1} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \sigma\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-t & 1 \\
0 & -t^{-1}
\end{array}\right), \quad t \in E_{F}
$$

It is easy to see that $\mu, \tau$ and $\eta$ generate $P$ and (iv) $\sim($ vi) are their fundamental relations.

The purpose of this appendix is to prove the following theorem.
Theorem A.1. Let $F=\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{5})$ and $\Gamma=\operatorname{PSL}\left(2, \mathcal{O}_{F}\right)$. We take $\omega=\epsilon$. The fundamental relations satisfied by the generators $\sigma, \mu, \tau$ and $\eta$ are (i) $\sim$ (vii).

We note that if $F=\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{5})$ then $A=1, B=1, C=1, D=2, A^{\prime}=1$, $B^{\prime}=-1$. The relations (i) to (vi) and (A.2) hold for any real quadratic field. Our theorem states that the minimal relations are enough when $F=\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{5})$. This minimality will be satisfied by some more real quadratic fields with small discriminants but will not hold in general.

We begin by preliminary considerations on generators and relations of $\Gamma$. ${ }^{6}$ Since $\Gamma$ is generated by $P$ and $\sigma$, every relation among elements of $P$ and $\sigma$ takes the form

$$
p_{1} \sigma p_{2} \sigma \cdots p_{m} \sigma=1, \quad p_{i} \in P, 1 \leq i \leq m
$$

Using (i) and (iii) ~ (vi), this relation can be written as

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & x_{1} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \sigma\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & x_{2} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \sigma \cdots\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & x_{m} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \sigma=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
u & 0 \\
0 & u^{-1}
\end{array}\right), \quad x_{i} \in \mathcal{O}_{F}, u \in E_{F} .
$$

We call a relation of this type an $m$ terms relation counting the number of $\sigma$ involved.

Lemma A.2. Using relations (i) and (iii) ~ (vi), every three terms relation can be reduced to (A.2).

Proof. If we have a two terms relation

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & x_{1} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \sigma\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & x_{2} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \sigma=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
u & 0 \\
0 & u^{-1}
\end{array}\right),
$$

[^6]we have $x_{1}=x_{2}=0, u= \pm 1$. Hence the two terms relation reduces to (i). Let
\[

\left($$
\begin{array}{cc}
1 & x_{1} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}
$$\right) \sigma\left($$
\begin{array}{cc}
1 & x_{2} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}
$$\right) \sigma\left($$
\begin{array}{cc}
1 & x_{3} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}
$$\right) \sigma=\left($$
\begin{array}{cc}
u & 0 \\
0 & u^{-1}
\end{array}
$$\right)
\]

be a three terms relation. Then we see that $x_{2}= \pm u \in E_{F}$. Using (A.2), we have $\sigma\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & x_{2} \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right) \sigma=p_{1} \sigma p_{2}$ with some $p_{1}, p_{2} \in P$ and the three terms relation in question reduces to a two terms relation. This completes the proof.

Lemma A.3. Assume that we can take $\omega=\epsilon$. The relation (A.2) can be reduced to the relations (i) $\sim$ (vii). In other words, the relation (A.2) for $t \in E_{F}$ can be reduced to the relations (A.2) for $t=1, \epsilon$ using relations (i) and (iii) ~ (vi).

Proof. We write the relation (A.2) as $\{t\}$. Using (i), the relation (iii) implies the relation $\left(\begin{array}{cc}u & 0 \\ 0 & u^{-1}\end{array}\right) \sigma=\sigma\left(\begin{array}{cc}u^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & u\end{array}\right)$ for $u \in E_{F}$. Then we obtain the relation $\{-t\}$ taking the inverse of the both sides of (A.2), using (i), (iv) $\sim$ (vi). Taking the conjugate by $\mu$ of both sides of (A.2), we obtain the relation $\left\{\epsilon^{-2} t\right\}$ using (i), (iii) $\sim$ (vi). Since $E_{F}$ is generated by $\epsilon$ and $\pm 1$, this completes the proof.

Next we consider the four terms relation.

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & x_{1}  \tag{A.3}\\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \sigma\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & x_{2} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \sigma\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & x_{3} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \sigma\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & x_{4} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \sigma=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
u & 0 \\
0 & u^{-1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

We write the relation (A.3) as $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4} ; u\right\}$.
Lemma A.4. The four terms relation (A.3) reduces to (i) $\sim$ (vi) and (A.2) if $x_{i} \in E_{F}$ for some $i, 1 \leq i \leq 4$.

Proof. Suppose that $x_{2} \in E_{F}$. By (A.2), we have $\sigma\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & x_{2} \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right) \sigma=p_{1} \sigma p_{2}$ with some $p_{1}, p_{2} \in P$. Using this expression, we find that (A.3) reduces to a three terms relation. We write (A.3) as

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & x_{2} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \sigma\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & x_{3} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \sigma\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & x_{4} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \sigma=\sigma\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -x_{1} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
u & 0 \\
0 & u^{-1}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Using (i) $\sim\left(\right.$ vi), the right-hand side can be written as $\left(\begin{array}{cc}u^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & u\end{array}\right) \sigma\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & -u^{-2} x_{1} \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$.
Hence $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4} ; u\right\}$ is equivalent to $\left\{x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, u^{-2} x_{1} ; u^{-1}\right\}$ under (i) $\sim$ (vi). By this cyclic rotation, any $x_{i}$ can be brought to the second position at the cost of multiplying by a unit. Hence the assertion follows.

For $u \in E_{F}, x \in \mathcal{O}_{F}$, we have the relation

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & x \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \sigma\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & (1-u) / x \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \sigma\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -x / u \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \sigma\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -u(1-u) / x \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \sigma  \tag{A.4}\\
= & \left(\begin{array}{cc}
u & 0 \\
0 & u^{-1}
\end{array}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

if $x$ divides $u-1$.
Lemma A.5. Under (i) ~ (vi) and (A.2), the four terms relation (A.3) can be reduced to (A.4) with some $x$ and $u$.

Proof. We see easily that the four terms relation (A.3) is equivalent to a relation of the form

$$
\sigma\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & x \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \sigma=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & y_{1} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \sigma\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & y_{2} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \sigma\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & y_{3} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
h & 0 \\
0 & h^{-1}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Here $x, y_{i} \in \mathcal{O}_{F}, 1 \leq i \leq 3$ and $h \in E_{F}$. By a direct computation, we get

$$
h\left(y_{1} y_{2}-1\right)=-\omega, \quad h y_{2}=\omega x, \quad h^{-1}\left(y_{2} y_{3}-1\right)=-\omega
$$

where $\omega= \pm 1$. Putting $u=\omega h^{-1}$, we have

$$
y_{2}=u x, \quad y_{1}=\frac{1-u}{u x}, \quad y_{3}=\frac{1-u^{-1}}{u x} .
$$

Hence we see that $x$ divides $u-1$ and that (A. $3^{\prime}$ ) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sigma\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & x \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \sigma \\
= & \left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & (1-u) / u x \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \sigma\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & u x \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \sigma\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & \left(1-u^{-1}\right) / u x \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
u^{-1} & 0 \\
0 & u
\end{array}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, under (i) $\sim(\mathrm{vi}),(\mathrm{A} .4)$ is equivalent to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sigma\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -x \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \sigma \\
= & \left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & (1-u) / x \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \sigma\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -x / u \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \sigma\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -u(1-u) / x \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
u & 0 \\
0 & u^{-1}
\end{array}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

We obtain (A. $3^{\prime \prime}$ ) from (A.4') by substituting $x$ by $-x$ and $u$ by $u^{-1}$. This completes the proof.

We denote the four terms relation (A.4) by $\{x, u\}$. We have $\{x, u\}=$ $\{x,(1-u) / x,-x / u,-u(1-u) / x ; u\}$. Under (i) $\sim(\mathrm{vi})$, the relation of the form (A. $3^{\prime}$ ) is equivalent to $\{x, u\}$ and the relation $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4} ; u\right\}$ is equivalent to $\left\{x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, u^{-2} x_{1} ; u^{-1}\right\}$ (cf. the proofs of Lemmas A. 4 and A.5). Therefore $\{x, u\}$ is equivalent to $\left\{(1-u) / x, u^{-1}\right\}$ under (i) $\sim($ vi). By Lemma A.4, $\{x, u\}$ is reducible to $(\mathrm{i}) \sim(\mathrm{vi})$ and (A.2) if $x \in E_{F}$ or $(1-u) / x \in E_{F}$.

Lemma A.6. Assuming (i) ~ (vi) and (A.2), the following assertions hold.
(1) $\{x, u\}$ is equivalent to $\left\{-x, u^{-1}\right\}$.
(2) $\{x, u\}$ is equivalent to $\left\{t^{2} x, u\right\}$ for every $t \in E_{F}$.
(3) We assume the four terms relation $\{x, u\}$. Then $\left\{x, u^{e}\right\}$ is equivalent to $\left\{u^{e} x, u^{1-e}\right\}$ for $e \in \mathbf{Z}$.
(4) $\{x, u\}$ is equivalent to $\left\{(1-u) / x, u^{-1}\right\}$.
(5) Suppose that $(x)=(2)$. Then $\{x, u\}$ is equivalent to $\{x,-u\}$.

Proof. We write $\left\{-x, u^{-1}\right\}$ in the form of (A. $\left.3^{\prime \prime}\right)$. Taking the inverses of both sides, we obtain (1). We obtain (2) taking the conjugates of both sides by $\left(\begin{array}{cc}t^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & t\end{array}\right)$. To prove (3), we set the right-hand side of (A.3 $3^{\prime \prime}$ ) is equal for $\{x, u\}$ and for $\left\{x, u^{e}\right\}$. By a simple computation, we find that the resulting equality is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & u^{e} x \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \sigma \\
= & \left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & \left(u^{e-1}-1\right) / u^{e} x \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \sigma\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & u x \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \sigma\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & \left(u^{-1}-u^{e-2}\right) / x \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
u^{e-1} & 0 \\
0 & u^{1-e}
\end{array}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

which is $\left\{u^{e} x, u^{1-e}\right\}$. Hence we obtain (3). We noted (4) already in the discussion before Lemma A.6. To prove (5), we set the right-hand side of (A. $3^{\prime \prime}$ ) is equal for $\{x, u\}$ and for $\{x,-u\}$. The resulting equality is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & u x \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \sigma \\
= & \left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -2 / u x \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \sigma\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -u x \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \sigma\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -2 / u x \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $-2 / u x \in E_{F}$, this relation reduces to a three terms relation by Lemma A.4. In view of Lemma A.2, this completes the proof.

Remark A.7. Suppose that $(1-u) / x \in E_{F}$. Then, by Lemma A.4, $\{t x, u\}$ can be reduced to (i) $\sim(\mathrm{vi})$ and (A.2) for every $t \in E_{F}$. By (1) and (3) of Lemma A.6, we see that $\left\{x, u^{e}\right\}$ can be reduced to (i) $\sim$ (vi) and (A.2) for all $e \in \mathbf{Z}$.

The following Lemma is of some interest though it will not be used in this paper.

Lemma A.8. Suppose that there exist sequences of integers $x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots$, $x_{k} \in \mathcal{O}_{F}$ and units $u_{0}, u_{1}, \ldots, u_{k} \in E_{F}$ such that

$$
x_{i-1} x_{i}=1-u_{i}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq k .
$$

We assume that $u_{i}=u_{i-1}^{m_{i}}, 1 \leq i \leq k$ with a nonzero interger $m_{i}$. If $\left(1-u_{0}\right) / x_{0} \in E_{F}$, then the four terms relation $\left\{x_{k}, u_{k}\right\}$ reduces to (i) $\sim(v i)$ and (A.2).

Proof. Using Lemma A.6, the reducibility of $\left\{t x_{i}, u_{i}^{e}\right\}, t \in E_{F}, e \in \mathbf{Z}$ can be shown easily by induction on $i$.

Let $G$ be a group with generators $\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{m}$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a free group on the free generators $\widetilde{\sigma}_{1}, \ldots, \widetilde{\sigma}_{m}$. Then we can define a surjective homomorphism $\pi: \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow G$ by $\pi\left(\widetilde{\sigma}_{i}\right)=\sigma_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq m$. Let $R$ be the kernel of $\pi$. Next let $S$ be a finite subset of $G$ which generates $G$. For $\gamma \in S$, we prepare a symbol $[\gamma]$ and let $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ be the free group on the free generators $[\gamma], \gamma \in S$. We can define a surjective homomorphism $\pi^{\prime}: \mathcal{F}^{\prime} \longrightarrow G$ by $\pi^{\prime}([\gamma])=\gamma$, $\gamma \in S$. Let $R^{\prime}$ be the kernel of $\pi^{\prime}$. Clearly $\left(\left[\gamma_{1}\right]\left[\gamma_{2}\right]\right)^{-1}\left[\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}\right] \in R^{\prime}$ if $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}$, $\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2} \in S$. We assume that $R^{\prime}$ is generated by the elements of this form and their conjugates.

Now for every $\gamma \in S$, we take and fix an expression

$$
\gamma=\sigma_{i_{1}}^{\epsilon_{1}} \cdots \sigma_{i_{k}}^{\epsilon_{k}}, \quad i_{j} \in[1, m], \quad \epsilon_{j}= \pm 1
$$

and put $\widetilde{\gamma}=\widetilde{\sigma}_{i_{1}}^{\epsilon_{1}} \cdots \widetilde{\sigma}_{i_{k}}^{\epsilon_{k}}$. (If $\gamma=\sigma_{i} \in S$, we put $\widetilde{\gamma}=\widetilde{\sigma}_{i}$.) By the universality of the free group, there exists a homomorphism $\varphi: \mathcal{F}^{\prime} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}$ which satisfies $\varphi([\gamma])=\widetilde{\gamma}, \gamma \in S$. Then we have $\pi^{\prime}=\pi \circ \varphi$. Let $R_{0}$ be the normal subgroup of $\mathcal{F}$ generated by $\left(\widetilde{\gamma}_{1} \widetilde{\gamma}_{2}\right)^{-1} \widetilde{\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}}, \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{1} \gamma_{2} \in S$ and their conjugates. We have $R_{0} \subset R$. Since $\varphi\left(R^{\prime}\right) \subset R_{0}$ by the assumption, $\varphi$ induces the homomomorphism $\bar{\varphi}: \mathcal{F}^{\prime} / R^{\prime} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} / R_{0}$ which satisfies $\bar{\varphi}\left(g \bmod R^{\prime}\right)=\varphi(g)$ $\bmod R_{0}, g \in \mathcal{F}^{\prime}$.

Lemma A.9. Let the notation be the same as above. If $\sigma_{i} \in S$, $1 \leq i \leq m$, then we have $R_{0}=R$.

Proof. Define a homomorphism $\pi_{0}: \mathcal{F} / R_{0} \longrightarrow G$ by $\pi_{0}\left(h \bmod R_{0}\right)=$ $\pi(h), h \in \mathcal{F}$. Since $\left(\pi_{0} \circ \bar{\varphi}\right)\left(g \bmod R^{\prime}\right)=(\pi \circ \varphi)(g)=\pi^{\prime}(g), g \in \mathcal{F}^{\prime}, \pi_{0} \circ \bar{\varphi}$ is injective. Hence $\pi_{0} \mid \bar{\varphi}\left(\mathcal{F}^{\prime} / R^{\prime}\right)$ is injective. We can write $\bar{\varphi}\left(\mathcal{F}^{\prime} / R^{\prime}\right)=H / R_{0}$ with a subgroup $H$ of $\mathcal{F}$. Now the assumption of the Lemma implies $H=\mathcal{F}$. Therefore $\pi_{0}$ is injective and we obtain $R_{0}=R$.

For the proof of Theorem A.1, we use the following theorem of Macbeath (cf. Theorem 1 of [Mac] and also Theorem 1.1 of [Sw]).

Theorem M. Let $X$ be a path connected Hausdorff topological space and $\Gamma$ be a group which acts on $X$ as homeomorphisms. We assume that the fundamental group $\pi_{1}(X)$ of $X$ is trivial. Let $V$ be a path connected open subset of $X$ such that $X=\Gamma V$. Define a subset $S$ of $\Gamma$ by

$$
S=\{\gamma \in \Gamma \mid V \cap \gamma V \neq \emptyset\} .
$$

Then $S$ generates $\Gamma$. ${ }^{7}$ Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the free group which has the symbols $[\sigma]$, $\sigma \in S$ as free generators. Define a homomorphism $\pi: \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \Gamma$ by $\pi([\sigma])=\sigma$. Let $R$ be the kernel of $\pi$. Then $R$ is generated by $([\sigma][\tau])^{-1}[\sigma \tau]$ and their conjugates, where $\sigma$ and $\tau$ are elements of $S$ which satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
V \cap \sigma V \cap \sigma \tau V \neq \emptyset . \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

In other words, $\Gamma$ has a presentation $\Gamma=\mathcal{F} / R$.
Swan ([Sw]) generalized this theorem to the case where $\pi_{1}(X) \neq 1$ and obtained generators and relations for $\operatorname{SL}\left(2, \mathcal{O}_{K}\right)$, for several imaginary quadratic fields $K$ with small discriminants.

Let the notation be the same as in Theorem M. For a subset T of X, we put

$$
S(T)=\{\gamma \in \Gamma \mid T \cap \gamma T \neq \emptyset\} .
$$

Let $D$ be a closed subset of $X$ such that $\Gamma D=X$.
Lemma A.10. Suppose in addition that the topological space $X$ is normal. Then we have

$$
\cap_{U \supset D, U} \text { is open } S(U)=S(D) .
$$

Proof. Clearly the left-hand side contains the right-hand side. Pick an element $\gamma$ of the left-hand side. Assume that $D \cap \gamma D=\emptyset$. Since $X$ is normal, we can find open subsets $U$ and $U^{\prime}$ of $X$ so that

$$
U \supset D, \quad U^{\prime} \supset \gamma D, \quad U \cap U^{\prime}=\emptyset .
$$

Put $U^{\prime \prime}=U \cap \gamma^{-1} U^{\prime}$. Then we have $U^{\prime \prime} \supset D, U^{\prime \prime} \cap \gamma U^{\prime \prime} \subset U \cap U^{\prime}=\emptyset$. This is a contradiction and we complete the proof.

Next we assume that $S(D)$ is finite and that $S(U)$ is finite for an open set $U$ which contains $D$. We put

$$
S(D)=\left\{\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{m}\right\}, \quad S(U)=\left\{\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{m}, \gamma_{m+1}, \ldots, \gamma_{n}\right\}
$$

[^7]assuming $S(U) \supsetneqq S(D)$. By Lemma A.10, for every $\gamma_{i}, i>m$, there exists an open set $U_{i} \supset D$ such that $\gamma_{i} \notin S\left(U_{i}\right)$. Put $V=U \cap\left(\cap_{i=m+1}^{n} U_{i}\right)$. Then we have $\gamma_{i} \notin S(V)$. Therefore we conclude that $S(D)=S(V)$ for an open set $V$ which contains $D$. This means that we may replace $S$ to $S(D)$ in Theorem M if such a $V$ is path connected. (Note that in Theorem M, $([\sigma][\tau])^{-1}[\sigma \tau] \in R$ for $\sigma, \tau \in S$ such that $\sigma \tau \in S$. Thus the condition $(*)$ may be dropped. However $(*)$ reduces the number of relations and can be essential for the practical purpose.)

Now let $F$ be a totally real field of degree $n$. Let us review the fundamental domain of $\Gamma=\operatorname{PSL}\left(2, \mathcal{O}_{F}\right)$ acting on $\mathfrak{H}^{n}\left(c f\right.$. [Si2]). Let $\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{n}$ be all the isomorphisms of $F$ into $\mathbf{R}$. For $a \in F$, we put $a^{(i)}=a^{\sigma_{i}}$. Take an integral basis of $\mathcal{O}_{F}$ so that

$$
\mathcal{O}_{F}=\mathbf{Z} \omega_{2}+\mathbf{Z} \omega_{2}+\cdots+\mathbf{Z} \omega_{n}
$$

and let $\epsilon_{1}, \ldots, \epsilon_{n-1}$ be generators of a free part of $E_{F}$. For $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in$ $\mathrm{C}^{n}$, we put $N(x)=x_{1} \cdots x_{n}$. For simplicity, we assume that the class number of $F$ is one. Take $z=\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right) \in \mathfrak{H}^{n}$. Put $z_{j}=x_{j}+i y_{j}, x_{j}, y_{j} \in \mathbf{R}$. We define the local coordinates of $z$ relative to the cusp $\infty$ by the formulas (cf. [Si2], p. 249)
(A.5) $Y_{1} \log \left|\epsilon_{1}^{(k)}\right|+\cdots+Y_{n-1} \log \left|\epsilon_{n-1}^{(k)}\right|=\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{y_{k}}{\sqrt[n]{N(y)}}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n-1$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{1} \omega_{1}^{(l)}+\cdots+X_{n} \omega_{n}^{(l)}=x_{l}, \quad 1 \leq l \leq n \tag{A.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $y=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$. We put

$$
D_{\infty}=\left\{z \in \mathfrak{H}^{n} \left\lvert\,-\frac{1}{2} \leq Y_{i}<\frac{1}{2}\right., 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \quad-\frac{1}{2} \leq X_{j}<\frac{1}{2}, 1 \leq j \leq n\right\} .
$$

Then $D_{\infty}$ is a fundamental domain of $P$. ( $P$ is the subgroup of $\Gamma$ consisting of all elements which are represented by upper triangular matrices.) We define

$$
\begin{align*}
D=\left\{z \in \overline{D_{\infty}} \mid\right. & N(|c z+d|) \geq 1 \text { whenever } \\
& \left.c \text { and } d \text { are relatively prime integers of } \mathcal{O}_{F}\right\} . \tag{A.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $\overline{D_{\infty}}$ denote the closure of $D_{\infty}$ and $|c z+d|=\left(\left|c^{(1)} z_{1}+d^{(1)}\right|, \ldots, \mid c^{(n)} z_{n}+\right.$ $\left.d^{(n)} \mid\right)$. Then $D$ satisfies that (cf. [Si2], p. 266-268):

1. $D$ is a closed subset of $\mathfrak{H}^{n}$ such that $\Gamma D=\mathfrak{H}^{n}$.
2. Two distinct interior points of $D$ cannot be transformed each other by an element of $\Gamma$.
3. There are only finitely many $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $D \cap \gamma D \neq \emptyset$. Furthermore $D$ and $\gamma D, \gamma \neq 1$ can intersect only on the boundary of $D$.

Now we assume that $[F: \mathbf{Q}]=2$. We may assume that $\omega_{1}=1, \omega_{2}=\omega$, $\epsilon^{(1)}=\epsilon$. Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& D=\left\{z \in \mathfrak{H}^{2} \left\lvert\, \epsilon^{-2} \leq \frac{y_{2}}{y_{1}} \leq \epsilon^{2}\right.,\right.  \tag{A.8}\\
& -\frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{1}{\omega-\omega^{\prime}}\left(\omega^{\prime} x_{1}-\omega x_{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2}, \quad-\frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{1}{\omega-\omega^{\prime}}\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2},
\end{align*}
$$

$N(|c z+d|) \geq 1$ whenever $c$ and $d$ are relatively prime integers of $\left.\mathcal{O}_{F}\right\}$.
Here $\omega^{\prime}$ denotes the conjugate of $\omega$.
Hereafter in this section, we assume that $F=\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{5})$. We take $\omega=\epsilon$. The next lemma is the essential ingredient of the proof of Theorem A.1.

Lemma A.11. Let $F=\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{5})$ and take $\omega=\epsilon$. Put $S=\{\gamma \in \Gamma \mid$ $D \cap \gamma D \neq \emptyset\}$. Then $S$ is a finite set and we have $S \subset S_{0} \sqcup S_{1} \sqcup S_{2}$, where

$$
\begin{gathered}
S_{0}=P, \quad S_{1}=\left\{\gamma=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right), c \in E_{F}\right\}, \\
S_{2}=\left\{\gamma=\left(\begin{array}{cc} 
\pm \epsilon^{3} & b \\
2 \epsilon & \pm \epsilon^{3}
\end{array}\right), \quad\left(\begin{array}{cc} 
\pm 1 & b \\
2 \epsilon^{-2} & \pm 1
\end{array}\right)\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Here $\pm$ can be taken arbirarily and $b \in \mathcal{O}_{F}$ is chosen so that $\operatorname{det} \gamma=1$. $\left(S_{2}\right.$ consists of eight elements.)

We give a proof of Theorem A. 1 assuming Lemma A.11.
Proof of Theorem A.1. We consider $\mathfrak{H}^{2} \subset \mathbf{C}^{2}$ and let $d$ denote the Euclidean metric induced by this embedding. For $\delta>0$, we put

$$
D_{\delta}=\left\{z \in \mathfrak{H}^{2} \mid d(z, D)<\delta\right\} .
$$

We see easily that $D$ is path connected. Let $z \in D_{\delta}$. Then there exists $z_{1} \in D$ such that $d\left(z, z_{1}\right)<\delta$. Hence $z$ is connected by a path to $z_{1}$. Therefore $D_{\delta}$ is path connected. By using the argument of Lemma A.10, we see that $\cap_{\delta>0} S\left(D_{\delta}\right)=S$. Moreover we can show without difficulty that $S\left(D_{\delta}\right)$ is finite when $\delta$ is sufficiently small. Therefore $S\left(D_{\delta}\right)=S$ when $\delta$
is sufficiently small and Theorem M can be applied with $S$ given in Lemma A. 11 .

For $\gamma \in S$, we prepare a symbol $[\gamma]$ and consider the free group $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ on the free generators $[\gamma]$. By Theorem M, it is sufficient to show that $\left[\gamma_{2}\right]^{-1}\left[\gamma_{1}\right]^{-1}\left[\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}\right], \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{1} \gamma_{2} \in S$ can be reduced to a three term relation. We put $S_{i}^{\prime}=S \cap S_{i}, 0 \leq i \leq 2$. We can check easily that $\sigma, \mu, \tau, \eta \in S$. Hence Lemma A. 9 is applicable. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the free group on the free generators $\widetilde{\sigma}$, $\widetilde{\mu}, \widetilde{\tau}$ and $\widetilde{\eta}$. We define a homomorphism $\pi: \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \Gamma$ by $\pi(\widetilde{\sigma})=\sigma, \pi(\widetilde{\mu})=\mu$, $\pi(\widetilde{\tau})=\tau, \pi(\widetilde{\eta})=\eta$. For $\gamma \in S$, we define $\widetilde{\gamma} \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $\pi(\widetilde{\gamma})=\gamma$ as follows.

If $\gamma \in P$, we write $\gamma=\mu^{a} \tau^{b} \eta^{c}$. Then we define $\widetilde{\gamma}=\widetilde{\mu}^{a} \widetilde{\tau}^{b} \widetilde{\eta}^{c}$. In particular, this rule applies to an element $\gamma \in S_{0}^{\prime}$. We have

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b  \tag{A.9}\\
c & d
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & c^{-1} a \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-c & -d \\
0 & -c^{-1}
\end{array}\right), \quad c \in E_{F}
$$

Hence $\gamma \in S_{1}^{\prime}$ can be written as $\gamma=p_{1} \sigma p_{2}, p_{1}, p_{2} \in P$. We fix such an expression and define $\widetilde{\gamma}=\widetilde{p}_{1} \widetilde{\sigma} \widetilde{p}_{2}$. Suppose $\gamma \in S_{2}^{\prime}$. We write $\gamma$ in the form $\gamma=\left(\begin{array}{cc}u & \beta \\ 2 \epsilon^{m} & u^{*}\end{array}\right), u, u^{*} \in E_{F}, \beta \in \mathcal{O}_{F}, m \in \mathbf{Z}$. We have

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
u & \beta  \tag{A.10}\\
2 \epsilon^{m} & u^{*}
\end{array}\right)=\sigma\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -2 u^{-1} \epsilon^{m} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \sigma\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-u & -\beta \\
0 & -u^{-1}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

We fix this expression $\gamma=\sigma p_{1} \sigma p_{2}, p_{1}, p_{2} \in P$ and define $\widetilde{\gamma}=\widetilde{\sigma} \widetilde{p}_{1} \widetilde{\sigma} \widetilde{p}_{2}$.
By Lemma A.9, it is sufficient to show that $\widetilde{\gamma}_{2}^{-1} \widetilde{\gamma}_{1}^{-1} \widetilde{\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}}$ reduces to a three terms relation (under (i) $\sim(\mathrm{vi})$ and (A.2)) when $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{1} \gamma_{2} \in S$. We see that there cannot arise the case where all of $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}$ belong to $S_{2}^{\prime}$, by inspecting the (2,1)-component of $\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}$. This implies that if two of $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}$ belong to $S_{2}^{\prime}$, then the other one must belong to $S_{0}^{\prime}$. Therefore $\widetilde{\gamma}_{2}^{-1} \widetilde{\gamma}_{1}^{-1} \widetilde{\gamma}_{1} \gamma_{2}$ defines at most a four terms relation. We may assume that $\widetilde{\gamma}_{2}^{-1} \widetilde{\gamma}_{1}^{-1} \widetilde{\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}}$ defines a four terms relation. Then one of $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}$ belongs to $S_{2}^{\prime}$. By (A.10), this relation takes the form (A.3') with $x \in \mathcal{O}_{F}$ such that $(x)=(2)$. As shown in the proof of Lemma A.5, it suffices to consider the four terms relation $\{x, u\}$ for $u \in E_{F}$ such that $x$ divides $u-1$. Now the group $E_{(2)}=\left\{u \in E_{F} \mid u \equiv 1\right.$ $\bmod 2\}$ is generated by -1 and $\epsilon^{3}$. By $\epsilon^{3}-1=2 \epsilon$ and Remark A.7, we see that $\left\{x, \epsilon^{3 e}\right\}$ is reducible to (i) $\sim$ (vi) and (A.2) for $e \in \mathbf{Z}$. By Lemma A.6, (5), $\left\{x,-\epsilon^{3 e}\right\}$ is reducible to (i) $\sim($ vi) and (A.2). This completes the proof.

Now we are going to prove Lemma A.11. We consider an element $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that for a point $z \in D, \gamma z \in D$ holds, i.e., $D \cap \gamma^{-1} D \neq \emptyset$. 8 . We put

[^8]$\gamma=\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right), z^{\prime}=\gamma z, z^{\prime}=\left(z_{1}^{\prime}, z_{2}^{\prime}\right), z_{j}^{\prime}=x_{j}^{\prime}+i y_{j}^{\prime}, j=1,2, y^{\prime}=\left(y_{1}^{\prime}, y_{2}^{\prime}\right) . \mathrm{We}$ have

$$
N\left(y^{\prime}\right)=\frac{N(y)}{N(|c z+d|)^{2}} .
$$

Hence $N\left(y^{\prime}\right) \leq N(y)$. Changing the roles of $z$ and $z^{\prime}$, we have $N(y) \leq N\left(y^{\prime}\right)$. Hence we see that $N\left(y^{\prime}\right)=N(y)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(|c z+d|)=1 . \tag{A.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since we are assuming that $F=\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{5}), \omega=\epsilon$, we have $x_{1}=X_{1}+\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} X_{2}, \quad x_{2}=X_{1}+\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2} X_{2}, \quad-\frac{1}{2} \leq X_{1} \leq \frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2} \leq X_{2} \leq \frac{1}{2}$.

Then $x_{1} x_{2}=X_{1}^{2}-X_{2}^{2}+X_{1} X_{2}$ and we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|x_{1} x_{2}\right| \leq \frac{5}{16}, \quad\left|x_{1}\right| \leq \frac{3+\sqrt{5}}{4}, \quad\left|x_{2}\right| \leq \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{4} . \tag{A.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $z \in D$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(|z|)^{2}=\left(x_{1}^{2}+y_{1}^{2}\right)\left(x_{2}^{2}+y_{2}^{2}\right) \geq 1 \tag{A.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Put $k=y_{1} y_{2}$. Since $\epsilon^{-2} \leq y_{1} / y_{2} \leq \epsilon^{2}$, we have $\epsilon^{-1} \sqrt{k} \leq y_{1}, y_{2} \leq \epsilon \sqrt{k}$. Then by (A.13), we have

$$
k^{2}+\left(x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}\right) \epsilon^{2} k+x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2}-1 \geq 0 .
$$

We consider the equation with respect to $t$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
t^{2}+\left(x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}\right) \epsilon^{2} t+x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2}-1=0 \tag{A.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\xi$ be the positive root of (A.14) and let $\kappa^{*}=\min \xi$. Here the minimum is taken with respect to $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$, regarding $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ as the functions of $X_{1}$ and $X_{2} ; X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ extend over the domain $-1 / 2 \leq X_{1}, X_{2} \leq 1 / 2$. Let $\kappa$ be the positive root of the equation

$$
t^{2}+\frac{7(3+\sqrt{5})}{8} t-\frac{15}{16}=0
$$

This is the positive root of (A.14) when $X_{1}=X_{2}=1 / 2, x_{1}=(3+\sqrt{5}) / 4$, $x_{2}=(3-\sqrt{5}) / 4$. We have $\kappa=0.19622 \cdots$. By elementary but somewhat
tedious calculation, which we omit the details, we can show that $\kappa^{*}=\kappa$. Hence we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{1} y_{2} \geq \kappa=0.19622 \cdots \tag{A.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $c=0$, then $\gamma \in S_{0}$. It suffices to show that $\gamma \in S_{1} \sqcup S_{2}$ assuming $c \neq 0$. By (A.11), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|N(c)| y_{1} y_{2} \leq 1 . \tag{A.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (A.15), we have $|N(c)| \leq 1 / \kappa$. Therefore $|N(c)|=1$ or 4 or 5 . If $|N(c)|=1$, then $c \in E_{F}$ and $\gamma \in S_{1}$. Hereafter we assume $|N(c)|=4$ or 5 . By (A.15) and (A.16), noting $\epsilon^{-2} \leq y_{1} / y_{2} \leq \epsilon^{2}$, , we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon^{-1} \sqrt{\kappa} \leq y_{1}, y_{2} \leq \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{|N(c)|}} \tag{A.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $N(|z|) \geq 1$, we have $\left(x_{1}^{2}+y_{1}^{2}\right)\left(x_{2}^{2}+y_{2}^{2}\right) \geq 1$. Using $y_{1} y_{2} \leq 1 /|N(c)|$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}^{2} y_{2}^{4}-\left(1-x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2}-\frac{1}{N(c)^{2}}\right) y_{2}^{2}+\frac{x_{2}^{2}}{N(c)^{2}} \geq 0 \tag{A.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $x_{1}=0$, we obtain

$$
y_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2} \geq 1-\frac{1}{N(c)^{2}} \geq 1-\frac{1}{16}
$$

from $N(|z|) \geq 1$ and (A.16). By (A.12), we have

$$
y_{1} \geq \sqrt{1-\frac{1}{16}} \cdot \frac{2}{\epsilon}=1.19681 \cdots
$$

This contradics (A.17). Hence we have $x_{1} \neq 0$.
First we exclude the case $|N(c)|=5$. To this end, we assume $|N(c)|=5$ and consider the equation (cf. (A.18))

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}^{2} t^{2}-\left(1-x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2}-\frac{1}{25}\right) t+\frac{x_{2}^{2}}{25}=0 . \tag{A.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $f(t)$ be the polynomial of $t$ on the left-hand side. For $t_{0}=\epsilon^{-2} \kappa$, we have

$$
f\left(t_{0}\right) \leq\left(\frac{\epsilon+1}{2}\right)^{2} t_{0}^{2}-\left(1-\frac{25}{256}-\frac{1}{25}\right) t_{0}+\frac{1}{25}\left(\frac{\epsilon}{2}\right)^{2}=-0.02882 \cdots<0
$$

using (A.12). Let $\eta_{1}>\epsilon^{-2} \kappa>\eta_{2}$ be the roots of the equation (A.19). By (A.17) and (A.18), we must have $y_{2} \geq \sqrt{\eta_{1}}$. We note that (cf. (A.17))

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{1}, y_{2} \leq \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{5}}=0.72360 \cdots \tag{A.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

We consider $\eta_{1}$ as a function of $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ defined in the domain $-1 / 2 \leq$ $X_{1}, X_{2} \leq 1 / 2$. First we consider $\eta_{1}$ on the subdomain defined by the condition $x_{1}>0$. It is not difficult to check that $\eta_{1}$ is monotone decreasing with respect to the both arguments $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$. For $X_{1}=1 / 2, X_{2}=0.4985$, we have $\sqrt{\eta_{1}}=0.72377 \cdots$. For $X_{1}=0.4985, X_{2}=1 / 2$, we have $\sqrt{\eta_{1}}=0.72389 \cdots$. In view of (A.20), we must have $X_{1}, X_{2}>0.4985$. Similarly, in the subdomain $x_{1}<0$, we must have $X_{1}, X_{2}<-0.4985$.

First we consider the case $X_{1}, X_{2}>0.4985$. For relatively prime integers $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{O}_{F}$, we have (cf. (A.8)) $N(|\alpha z+\beta|) \geq 1$. Take $\alpha=2, \beta=-\epsilon^{2}$. We have

$$
\left|2 x_{1}-\epsilon^{2}\right| \leq 0.03(1+\epsilon), \quad\left|2 x_{2}-\epsilon^{-2}\right| \leq 0.03\left(1+\left|\epsilon^{\prime}\right|\right) .
$$

Here $\epsilon^{\prime}=(1-\sqrt{5}) / 2$ is the conjugate of $\epsilon$. Then we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N\left(\left|2 z-\epsilon^{2}\right|\right)^{2}=\left\{\left(2 x_{1}-\epsilon^{2}\right)^{2}+4 y_{1}^{2}\right\}\left\{\left(2 x_{2}-\epsilon^{-2}\right)^{2}+4 y_{2}^{2}\right\} \\
= & 16 y_{1}^{2} y_{2}^{2}+4 y_{1}^{2}\left(2 x_{2}-\epsilon^{-2}\right)^{2}+4 y_{2}^{2}\left(2 x_{1}-\epsilon^{2}\right)^{2}+\left(2 x_{1}-\epsilon^{2}\right)^{2}\left(2 x_{2}-\epsilon^{-2}\right)^{2} \\
\leq & \frac{16}{25}+4 y_{1}^{2}\{0.03(1+\epsilon)\}^{2}+4 y_{2}^{2}\left\{0.03\left(1+\left|\epsilon^{\prime}\right|\right)\right\}^{2} \\
& +\{0.03(1+\epsilon)\}^{2}\left\{0.03\left(1+\left|\epsilon^{\prime}\right|\right)\right\}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $y_{1}, y_{2} \leq 0.72360 \cdots$, this contradicts $N\left(\left|2 z-\epsilon^{2}\right|\right) \geq 1$. When $X_{1}$, $X_{2}<-0.4985$, we obtain a contradiction similarly by taking $\alpha=2, \beta=\epsilon^{2}$. Thus we have shown that the case $|N(c)|=5$ cannot occur.

It remains to show that $\gamma \in S_{2}$ assuming $|N(c)|=4$. We can write $c= \pm 2 \epsilon^{m}$ with $m \in \mathbf{Z}$. Changing $\gamma$ to $-\gamma$ if necessary, we may assume that $c=2 \epsilon^{m}$. We put $z^{\prime}=\left(z_{1}^{\prime}, z_{2}^{\prime}\right)=\gamma z, z_{j}^{\prime}=x_{j}^{\prime}+i y_{j}^{\prime}, j=1,2$. Since $z=\gamma^{-1} z^{\prime}$, $\gamma^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}d & -b \\ -c & a\end{array}\right)$, the estimate (A.17) holds also for $y_{1}^{\prime}$ and $y_{2}^{\prime}$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon^{-1} \sqrt{\kappa}=0.27376 \cdots \leq y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{1}^{\prime}, y_{2}^{\prime} \leq \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{|N(c)|}}=0.80901 \cdots . \tag{A.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have

$$
\left|c^{(j)} z_{j}+d^{(j)}\right|^{2}=\frac{y_{j}}{y_{j}^{\prime}}, \quad j=1,2
$$

Hence we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon^{-2} \sqrt{\kappa} \sqrt{|N(c)|} \leq\left|c^{(j)} z_{j}+d^{(j)}\right|^{2} \leq \frac{\epsilon^{2}}{\sqrt{\kappa} \sqrt{|N(c)|}}, \quad j=1,2 . \tag{A.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, we have

$$
\left(c^{(j)}\right)^{2} y_{j}^{2} \leq \frac{\epsilon^{2}}{\sqrt{\kappa} \sqrt{|N(c)|}}, \quad j=1,2
$$

Using (A.21), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|c^{(j)}\right| \leq \epsilon^{2} \kappa^{-3 / 4}|N(c)|^{-1 / 4}=6.27915 \cdots, \quad j=1,2 . \tag{A.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (A.23), we obtain $m=0, \pm 1, \pm 2$.
Next we are going to restrict possibilities of $d$. A preliminary table of listing all possible $d$ can be obtained by (A.22) and (A.23). By (A.11) and (A.21), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\left(2 \epsilon^{m} x_{1}+d^{(1)}\right)^{2}+4 \epsilon^{2 m} \cdot \epsilon^{-2} \kappa\right\}\left\{\left(2\left(\epsilon^{\prime}\right)^{m} x_{2}+d^{(2)}\right)^{2}+4 \epsilon^{-2 m} \cdot \epsilon^{-2} \kappa\right\} \leq 1 . \tag{A.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

We consider the equation (cf. (A.18))

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}^{2} t^{2}-\left(1-x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2}-\frac{1}{16}\right) t+\frac{x_{2}^{2}}{16}=0 . \tag{A.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $g(t)$ be the polynomial of $t$ on the left-hand side. For $t_{0}=\epsilon^{-2} \kappa$, we can check $g\left(t_{0}\right)<0$. Let $\eta_{1}>t_{0}>\eta_{2}$ be the roots of $g(t)$. By (A.18) and (A.21), we have $y_{2} \geq \sqrt{\eta_{1}}$. As in the case where $|N(c)|=5$, we consider $\eta_{1}$ as a function of $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ defined in the domain $-1 / 2 \leq X_{1}, X_{2} \leq 1 / 2$. On the subdomain defined by the condition $x_{1}>0$, we check that $\eta_{1}$ is monotone decreasing with respect to the both arguments $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$. For $X_{1}=1 / 2$, $X_{2}=0.39$, we have $\sqrt{\eta_{1}}=0.81291 \cdots$. For $X_{1}=0.38, X_{2}=1 / 2$, we have $\sqrt{\eta_{1}}=0.81101 \cdots$. In view of (A.21), we must have $X_{1}>0.38, X_{2}>0.39$. Similarly, in the subdomain $x_{1}<0$, we must have $X_{1}<-0.38, X_{2}<-0.39$. Let $V$ be the closed domain

$$
V=\left\{\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)\left|0.38 \leq\left|X_{1}\right| \leq 1 / 2,0.39 \leq\left|X_{2}\right| \leq 1 / 2\right\}\right.
$$

and consider the function

$$
f\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)=\left\{\left(2 \epsilon^{m} x_{1}+d^{(1)}\right)^{2}+4 \epsilon^{2 m-2} \kappa\right\}\left\{\left(2\left(\epsilon^{\prime}\right)^{m} x_{2}+d^{(2)}\right)^{2}+4 \epsilon^{-2 m-2} \kappa\right\}
$$

on $V$. By (A.24), we see that:
(C1) The minimum of $f\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)$ on $V$ does not exceed 1 .
Next let $\xi$ be the positive root of (A.14). Since $y_{1} y_{2} \geq \xi$, we have $y_{1}$, $y_{2} \geq \epsilon^{-1} \sqrt{\xi}$. By (A.11), we obtain another inequality:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(2 \epsilon^{m} x_{1}+d^{(1)}\right)^{2}\left(2\left(\epsilon^{\prime}\right)^{m} x_{2}+d^{(2)}\right)^{2}+4 \epsilon^{-2 m-2} \xi\left(2 \epsilon^{m} x_{1}+d^{(1)}\right)^{2} \\
+ & 4 \epsilon^{2 m-2} \xi\left(2\left(\epsilon^{\prime}\right)^{m} x_{2}+d^{(2)}\right)^{2}+16 \xi^{2} \leq 1 . \tag{A.26}
\end{align*}
$$

We regard $x_{1}, x_{2}$ and $\xi$ as the functions of $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ and let $g\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)$ be the function on the left-hand side of (A.26). Then (A.26) implies:
(C2) The minimum of $g\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)$ on $V$ does not exceed 1 .
By numerical computations using a computer, we find the following:
For $m=0$, (C1) leaves possibilities $d= \pm 1, \pm \epsilon, \pm \epsilon^{2}, \pm \epsilon^{-1}$. If combined with (C2), the only possibility is $d= \pm \epsilon^{2}$. For $m=1$, (C1) leaves possibilities $d= \pm 1, \pm \epsilon, \pm \epsilon^{2}, \pm \epsilon^{3}$. If combined with (C2), the only possibility is $d= \pm \epsilon^{3}$. For $m=2$, (C1) leaves possibilities $d= \pm \epsilon, \pm \epsilon^{2}, \pm \epsilon^{3}, \pm \epsilon^{4}$. If combined with (C2), the only possibility is $d= \pm \epsilon^{4}$. For $m=-1$, (C1) leaves possibilities $d= \pm 1, \pm \epsilon, \pm \epsilon^{-1}, \pm \epsilon^{-2}$. If combined with (C2), the only possibility is $d= \pm \epsilon$. For $m=-2$, (C1) leaves possibilities $d= \pm 1, \pm \epsilon^{-1}, \pm \epsilon^{-2}, \pm \epsilon^{-3}$. If combined with (C2), the only possibility is $d= \pm 1$.

Thus, in every case where $c=2 \epsilon^{m}$, we have $d= \pm \epsilon^{n}$ with $n$ depending only on $m$. Changing the roles of $z$ and $z^{\prime}$ and noting that $-\gamma^{-1}=$ $\left(\begin{array}{cc}-d & b \\ c & -a\end{array}\right)$, we see that $a$ must have the same form $a= \pm \epsilon^{n}$. (Here the $\pm$ sign is arbitrary but $n$ is the same for $d$ and $a$.) By $\operatorname{det} \gamma=1$, we have $a d \equiv 1$ $\bmod 2$, which implies $n \equiv 0 \bmod 3$. Therefore only the cases $m=1,-2$ can survive and we see that $\gamma \in S_{2}$. This completes the proof of Lemma A.11.
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[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ The $d_{n}$ in the diagram below should not be confused with $d_{n}$ in (1.1).

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ For every $g \in \mathrm{SL}(2, F)$, we have the Fourier expansion $\left(\left.\Omega\right|_{k} g\right)(z)=$ $\sum_{0 \ll \xi \in L} a_{g}(\xi) \mathbf{e}_{F}(\xi z)$ where $L$ is a lattice in $F$. We have the estimate $\left|a_{g}(\xi)\right| \leq$ $M \xi^{k_{1} / 2} \xi^{\prime k_{2} / 2}$ with a positive constant $M$ depending on $\Omega$ and $g$ (cf. [Sh7], p. 280, Proposition A6.4). Using this estimate, it is not difficult to check the absolute convergence of the integral (2.10) defining $F(z)$ when $w_{2}$ is replaced by $w_{2}^{*}$.

[^3]:    ${ }^{3} f^{*}=\widetilde{T}(f)$ satisfies this condition.

[^4]:    ${ }^{4}$ In this paper, this step will be used for the actual calculations only in the case $a \in E_{F}$. Since it will become necessary in future calculations, we write one (tentative) algorithm explicitly.

[^5]:    ${ }^{5}$ We can show that the $L$-functions (2.47) are the same for two Hecke eigenforms in the non base change part. In fact, let $\Omega \neq 0$ be a Hecke eigenform in the non base change part and let $\lambda(\mathfrak{m})$ be the eigenvalue of $T(\mathfrak{m})$ for $\Omega$. For the nontrivial automorphism $\sigma$ of $F$, there exists a Hecke eigenform $\Omega_{\sigma} \neq 0$ such that $\Omega_{\sigma} \mid T(\mathfrak{m})=\lambda\left(\mathfrak{m}^{\sigma}\right) \Omega_{\sigma}$ (cf. [Y2], p. 1035, Remark). Since $\Omega$ is not a base change, we have $\lambda(\mathfrak{m}) \neq \lambda\left(\mathfrak{m}^{\sigma}\right)$ for some $\mathfrak{m}$. Hence $\Omega_{\sigma}$ is not a constant multiple of $\Omega$. On the other hand, $L\left(s, \Omega_{\sigma}\right)$ is equal to $L(s, \Omega)$.

[^6]:    ${ }^{6}$ For this part, we do not assume $F=\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{5})$.

[^7]:    ${ }^{7}$ This fact is an old result of Siegel, cf. [Si1].

[^8]:    ${ }^{8}$ Since $S_{i}, i=0,1,2$ is stable under $\gamma \mapsto \gamma^{-1}$, it suffices to determine $\gamma$ which satisfies $D \cap \gamma^{-1} D \neq \emptyset$.

