

# Upper bounds for the bondage number of graphs on topological surfaces

Andrei Gagarin<sup>a,\*</sup>, Vadim Zverovich<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Acadia University, Wolfville, Nova Scotia, B4P 2R6, Canada*

<sup>b</sup>*Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of the West of England, Bristol, BS16 1QY, UK*

---

## Abstract

The bondage number  $b(G)$  of a graph  $G$  is the smallest number of edges of  $G$  whose removal from  $G$  results in a graph having the domination number larger than that of  $G$ . We show that, for a graph  $G$  having the maximum vertex degree  $\Delta = \Delta(G)$  and embeddable on an orientable surface of genus  $h$  and a non-orientable surface of genus  $k$ ,

$$b(G) \leq \min\{\Delta(G) + h + 2, \Delta(G) + k + 1\}.$$

This generalizes known upper bounds for planar and toroidal graphs.

*Keywords:* Bondage number, Domination number, Topological surface, Embedding on a surface, Euler's formula

---

## 1. Introduction

We consider simple finite non-empty graphs. For a graph  $G$ , its vertex and edge sets are denoted, respectively, by  $V(G)$  and  $E(G)$ . We also use the following standard notation:  $d(v)$  for the degree of a vertex  $v$  in  $G$ ,  $\Delta = \Delta(G)$  for the maximum vertex degree of  $G$ ,  $\delta = \delta(G)$  for the minimum vertex degree of  $G$ , and  $N(v)$  for the neighbourhood of a vertex  $v$  in  $G$ .

A set  $D \subseteq V(G)$  is a *dominating set* if every vertex not in  $D$  is adjacent to at least one vertex in  $D$ . The minimum cardinality of a dominating set

---

\*Corresponding author, fax: (1-902) 585-1074, phone: (1-902) 585-1419

*Email addresses:* `andrei.gagarin@acadiau.ca` (Andrei Gagarin),  
`vadim.zverovich@uwe.ac.uk` (Vadim Zverovich)

of  $G$  is the *domination number*  $\gamma(G)$ . Clearly, for any spanning subgraph  $H$  of  $G$ ,  $\gamma(H) \geq \gamma(G)$ . The *bondage number* of  $G$ , denoted by  $b(G)$ , is the minimum cardinality of a set of edges  $B \subseteq E(G)$  such that  $\gamma(G - B) > \gamma(G)$ .

The bondage number was introduced by Bauer et al. [1] (see also Fink et al. [4]). Two unsolved classical conjectures for the bondage number of arbitrary and planar graphs are as follows.

**Conjecture 1** (Teschner [8]). *For any graph  $G$ ,  $b(G) \leq \frac{3}{2}\Delta(G)$ .*

Hartnell and Rall [6] and Teschner [9] showed that for the cartesian product  $G_n = K_n \times K_n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , the bound of Conjecture 1 is sharp, i.e.  $b(G_n) = \frac{3}{2}\Delta(G_n)$ . Teschner [8] also proved that Conjecture 1 holds when  $\gamma(G) \leq 3$ .

**Conjecture 2** (Dunbar et al. [3]). *If  $G$  is a planar graph, then  $b(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 1$ .*

The planar graphs are precisely the graphs that can be drawn on the sphere with no crossing edges. A topological surface  $S$  can be obtained from the sphere  $S_0$  by adding a number of handles or crosscaps. If we add  $h$  handles to  $S_0$ , we obtain an orientable surface  $S_h$ , which is often referred to as the  *$h$ -holed torus*. The number  $h$  is called the *orientable genus* of  $S_h$ . If we add  $k$  crosscaps to the sphere  $S_0$ , we obtain a non-orientable surface  $N_k$ . The number  $k$  is called the *non-orientable genus* of  $N_k$ . Any topological surface is homeomorphically equivalent either to  $S_h$  ( $h \geq 0$ ), or to  $N_k$  ( $k \geq 1$ ). For example,  $S_1$ ,  $N_1$ ,  $N_2$  are the *torus*, the *projective plane*, and the *Klein bottle*, respectively.

A graph  $G$  is *embeddable* on a topological surface  $S$  if it admits a drawing on the surface with no crossing edges. Such a drawing of  $G$  on the surface  $S$  is called an *embedding* of  $G$  on  $S$ . Notice that there can be many different embeddings of the same graph  $G$  on a particular surface  $S$ . The embeddings can be distinguished and classified by different properties. The set of faces of a particular embedding of  $G$  on  $S$  is denoted by  $F(G)$ .

An embedding of  $G$  on the surface  $S$  is a *2-cell embedding* if each face of the embedding is homeomorphic to an open disk. In other words, a 2-cell embedding is an embedding on  $S$  that “fits” the surface. This is expressed in Euler’s formulae (1) and (2) of Theorem 3. For example, a cycle  $C_n$  ( $n \geq 3$ ) does not have a 2-cell embedding on the torus, but it has 2-cell embeddings on the sphere and the projective plane. Similarly, a planar graph may have 2-cell and non-2-cell embeddings on the torus.

The following result is usually known as (generalized) *Euler's formula*. We state it here in a form similar to Thomassen [10].

**Theorem 3** (Euler's Formula, [10]). *Suppose a connected graph  $G$  with  $|V(G)|$  vertices and  $|E(G)|$  edges admits a 2-cell embedding having  $|F(G)|$  faces on a topological surface  $S$ . Then, either  $S = S_h$  and*

$$|V(G)| - |E(G)| + |F(G)| = 2 - 2h, \quad (1)$$

or  $S = N_k$  and

$$|V(G)| - |E(G)| + |F(G)| = 2 - k. \quad (2)$$

Equation (1) is usually referred to as Euler's formula for an orientable surface  $S_h$  of genus  $h$ ,  $h \geq 0$ , and Equation (2) is known as Euler's formula for a non-orientable surface  $N_k$  of genus  $k$ ,  $k \geq 1$ .

The *orientable genus* of a graph  $G$  is the smallest integer  $h = h(G)$  such that  $G$  admits an embedding on an orientable topological surface  $S$  of genus  $h$ . The *non-orientable genus* of  $G$  is the smallest integer  $k = k(G)$  such that  $G$  can be embedded on a non-orientable topological surface  $S$  of genus  $k$ . Clearly, in general,  $h(G) \neq k(G)$ , and the embeddings on  $S_{h(G)}$  and  $N_{k(G)}$  must be 2-cell embeddings.

Trying to prove Conjecture 2, Kang and Yuan [7] came up with the following upper bound whose simpler topological proof was later discovered by Carlson and Develin [2].

**Theorem 4** ([7, 2]). *For any connected planar graph  $G$ ,*

$$b(G) \leq \min\{8, \Delta(G) + 2\}.$$

This solves Conjecture 2 in case  $\Delta(G) \geq 7$ . The upper bound of Theorem 4 is for the sphere  $S_0$  that has orientable genus  $h = 0$ . The proof of Theorem 4 in [2] is topologically intuitive, uses Euler's formula for the sphere, and allows its authors to establish a partially similar result for the torus.

**Theorem 5** ([2]). *For any connected toroidal graph  $G$ ,  $b(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 3$ .*

Notice that the torus  $S_1$  has orientable genus  $h = 1$ . As mentioned in [2], it is sufficient to prove the results of Theorems 4 and 5 for connected graphs because the bondage number of a disconnected graph  $G$  is the minimum of the bondage numbers of its components.

In this paper, we prove the following result which generalizes the corresponding upper bounds of Theorems 4 and 5 for any orientable or non-orientable topological surface  $S$ .

**Theorem 6.** *For a connected graph  $G$  of orientable genus  $h = h(G)$  and non-orientable genus  $k = k(G)$ ,*

$$b(G) \leq \min\{\Delta(G) + h + 2, \Delta(G) + k + 1\}.$$

The upper bound of Theorem 6 follows from Theorems 8 and 9 proved below in Section 2.

## 2. The bondage number on orientable and non-orientable surfaces

In this section, we prove Theorem 6 by considering orientable and non-orientable surfaces separately. The proofs are done by using Euler's formulae (1) and (2), counting arguments, and the following result.

**Lemma 7** (Hartnell and Rall [6]). *For any edge  $uv$  in a graph  $G$ , we have  $b(G) \leq d(u) + d(v) - 1 - |N(u) \cap N(v)|$ . In particular, this implies that  $b(G) \leq \delta(G) + \Delta(G) - 1$ .*

Having a graph  $G$  embedded on a surface  $S$ , each edge  $e_i = uv \in E(G)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, |E(G)|$ , can be assigned two weights,  $w_i = \frac{1}{d(u)} + \frac{1}{d(v)}$  and  $f_i = \frac{1}{m'} + \frac{1}{m''}$ , where  $m'$  is the number of edges on the boundary of a face on one side of  $e_i$ , and  $m''$  is the number of edges on the boundary of the face on the other side of  $e_i$ . Notice that, in an embedding on a surface, an edge  $e_i$  may be not separating two distinct faces, but instead it can appear twice on the boundary of the same face. For example, every edge of a path  $P_n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) embedded on the sphere is on the boundary of a unique face, and it appears exactly twice on the face boundary walk: once for each side of the edge. Clearly, in this case,  $m' = m'' = 2(n - 1)$  and  $f_i = \frac{2}{m'} = \frac{2}{m''} = \frac{1}{n-1}$ .

Notice that weights  $w_i$  and  $f_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, |E(G)|$ , count the number of vertices of  $G$  and faces of its embedding on  $S$  as follows:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{|E(G)|} w_i = |V(G)|, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{|E(G)|} f_i = |F(G)|.$$

Then, by Euler's formula (1), we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{|E(G)|} (w_i + f_i - 1) = |V(G)| + |F(G)| - |E(G)| = 2 - 2h,$$

or, in other words,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{|E(G)|} \left( w_i + f_i - 1 - \frac{2-2h}{|E(G)|} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^{|E(G)|} \left( w_i + f_i - 1 + \frac{2h-2}{|E(G)|} \right) = 0.$$

Now, each edge  $e_i = uv \in E(G)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, |E(G)|$ , can be associated with the quantity  $w_i + f_i - 1 + \frac{2h-2}{|E(G)|}$  called the *oriented curvature* of the edge. Also, by Euler's formula (2), we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{|E(G)|} (w_i + f_i - 1) = |V(G)| + |F(G)| - |E(G)| = 2 - k,$$

or, in other words,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{|E(G)|} \left( w_i + f_i - 1 - \frac{2-k}{|E(G)|} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^{|E(G)|} \left( w_i + f_i - 1 + \frac{k-2}{|E(G)|} \right) = 0.$$

Then, each edge  $e_i = uv \in E(G)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, |E(G)|$ , can be associated with the quantity  $w_i + f_i - 1 + \frac{k-2}{|E(G)|}$  called the *non-oriented curvature* of the edge.

**Theorem 8.** *Let  $G$  be a connected graph 2-cell embeddable on an orientable surface of genus  $h \geq 0$ . Then*

$$b(G) \leq \Delta(G) + h + 2. \quad (3)$$

PROOF. Suppose  $G$  is 2-cell embedded on the  $h$ -holed torus  $S_h$ . By Lemma 7, if  $G$  has any vertices of degree  $h+3$  or less, we have  $\delta(G) \leq h+3$ , and inequality (3) holds. Therefore, we can assume  $\Delta(G) \geq \delta(G) \geq h+4$ .

Now, suppose the opposite,  $b(G) \geq \Delta(G) + h + 3$ . Then, by Lemma 7, for any edge  $e_i = uv$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, |E(G)|$ , we have  $d(u) + d(v) - 1 - |N(u) \cap N(v)| \geq b(G) \geq \Delta(G) + h + 3$ . Then,  $d(u) + d(v) \geq \Delta(G) + h + 4 + |N(u) \cap N(v)|$ , and  $d(u) \leq \Delta(G)$ ,  $d(v) \leq \Delta(G)$ . If either  $d(u)$  or  $d(v)$  is equal to  $h+4$ , the other degree must be equal to  $\Delta(G) \geq h+4$ , and  $u$  and  $v$  cannot have any common neighbors, so that  $m'$  and  $m''$  are both at least 4. Since in this case  $|E(G)| \geq \frac{(h+4)(h+5)}{2}$ , such an edge  $e_i = uv$  has a negative oriented curvature:

$$w_i + f_i - 1 + \frac{2h-2}{|E(G)|} \leq \frac{2}{h+4} + \frac{2}{4} - 1 + \frac{2(2h-2)}{(h+4)(h+5)} = \frac{-8 + h(3-h)}{2(h+4)(h+5)} < 0$$

for any  $h \geq 1$ , and, in case  $h = 0$ ,

$$w_i + f_i - 1 - \frac{2}{|E(G)|} \leq \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4} - 1 - \frac{2}{|E(G)|} = \frac{-2}{|E(G)|} < 0.$$

Suppose one of  $d(u)$  and  $d(v)$  is equal to  $h + 5$ , without loss of generality,  $d(u) = h + 5$ . Then,  $\Delta(G) \geq d(v) \geq \Delta(G) - 1 + |N(u) \cap N(v)|$ . If  $d(v) = h + 4 = \Delta(G) - 1$ , we are in the previous case. Otherwise, we have  $d(v) \geq h + 5$ , and at most one of  $m'$  and  $m''$  can be equal to 3, implying the other is at least 4. Then again, since in this case  $|E(G)| \geq \frac{(h+4)(h+4)+2(h+5)}{2} = \frac{h^2+10h+26}{2}$ , the edge  $e_i$  must have a negative oriented curvature:

$$w_i + f_i - 1 + \frac{2h - 2}{|E(G)|} \leq \frac{2}{h + 5} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{4} - 1 + \frac{2(2h - 2)}{h^2 + 10h + 26} = \frac{-5h^3 - 3h^2 + 52h - 266}{12(h + 5)(h^2 + 10h + 26)} < 0$$

for any  $h \geq 1$ , and, in case  $h = 0$ ,

$$w_i + f_i - 1 - \frac{2}{|E(G)|} \leq \frac{1}{5} + \frac{1}{5} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{4} - 1 - \frac{2}{|E(G)|} = -\frac{1}{60} - \frac{2}{|E(G)|} < 0.$$

The only remaining case is when  $d(u) \geq h + 6$  and  $d(v) \geq h + 6$ . Since  $m' \geq 3$  and  $m'' \geq 3$ , and, in this case,  $|E(G)| \geq \frac{(h+4)(h+5)+2(h+6)}{2} = \frac{h^2+11h+32}{2}$ , the edge  $e_i$  must have a negative oriented curvature:

$$w_i + f_i - 1 + \frac{2h - 2}{|E(G)|} \leq \frac{2}{h + 6} + \frac{2}{3} - 1 + \frac{2(2h - 2)}{h^2 + 11h + 32} = \frac{-h^3 + h^2 + 28h - 72}{3(h + 6)(h^2 + 11h + 32)} < 0$$

for any  $h \geq 1$ , and, in case  $h = 0$ ,

$$w_i + f_i - 1 - \frac{2}{|E(G)|} \leq \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{3} - 1 - \frac{2}{|E(G)|} = \frac{-2}{|E(G)|} < 0.$$

Summing over all edges  $e_i \in E(G)$  yields

$$\sum_{i=1}^{|E(G)|} \left( w_i + f_i - 1 + \frac{2h - 2}{|E(G)|} \right) < 0,$$

which is a contradiction to Euler's formula (1) stating

$$\sum_{i=1}^{|E(G)|} \left( w_i + f_i - 1 - \frac{2 - 2h}{|E(G)|} \right) = |V(G)| + |F(G)| - |E(G)| - (2 - 2h) = 0.$$

Thus,  $b(G) \leq \Delta(G) + h + 2$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 9.** *Let  $G$  be a connected graph 2-cell embeddable on a non-orientable surface of genus  $k \geq 1$ . Then*

$$b(G) \leq \Delta(G) + k + 1. \quad (4)$$

PROOF. Suppose  $G$  is 2-cell embedded on the sphere with  $k$  crosscaps  $N_k$ . By Lemma 7, if  $G$  has any vertices of degree  $k+2$  or less, we have  $\delta(G) \leq k+2$ , and inequality (4) holds. Therefore, we can assume  $\Delta(G) \geq \delta(G) \geq k+3$ .

Suppose the opposite,  $b(G) \geq \Delta(G) + k + 2$ . Then, by Lemma 7, for any edge  $e_i = uv$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, |E(G)|$ , we have  $d(u) + d(v) - 1 - |N(u) \cap N(v)| \geq b(G) \geq \Delta(G) + k + 2$ . Then,  $d(u) + d(v) \geq \Delta(G) + k + 3 + |N(u) \cap N(v)|$ , and  $d(u) \leq \Delta(G)$ ,  $d(v) \leq \Delta(G)$ . If either  $d(u)$  or  $d(v)$  is equal to  $k+3$ , the other degree must be equal to  $\Delta(G) \geq k+3$ , and  $u$  and  $v$  cannot have any common neighbors, so that  $m'$  and  $m''$  are both at least 4. Since in this case  $|E(G)| \geq \frac{(k+3)(k+4)}{2}$ , the non-oriented curvature of the edge  $e_i = uv$  is

$$w_i + f_i - 1 + \frac{k-2}{|E(G)|} \leq \frac{2}{k+3} + \frac{2}{4} - 1 + \frac{2(k-2)}{(k+3)(k+4)} = \frac{-4 + k(1-k)}{2(k+3)(k+4)} < 0$$

for any  $k \geq 2$ , and, in case  $k = 1$ ,

$$w_i + f_i - 1 - \frac{1}{|E(G)|} \leq \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4} - 1 - \frac{1}{|E(G)|} = \frac{-1}{|E(G)|} < 0.$$

Suppose one of  $d(u)$  and  $d(v)$ , let us say  $d(u)$ , is equal to  $k+4$ . Then,  $\Delta(G) \geq d(v) \geq \Delta(G) - 1 + |N(u) \cap N(v)|$ . If  $d(v) = k+3 = \Delta(G) - 1$ , we are in the previous case. Otherwise, we have  $d(v) \geq k+4$ , and at most one of  $m'$  and  $m''$  can be equal to 3, implying the other is at least 4. Then again, since in this case  $|E(G)| \geq \frac{(k+3)(k+3)+2(k+4)}{2} = \frac{k^2+8k+17}{2}$ , the edge  $e_i$  must have a negative non-oriented curvature:

$$w_i + f_i - 1 + \frac{k-2}{|E(G)|} \leq \frac{2}{k+4} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{4} - 1 + \frac{2(k-2)}{k^2+8k+17} = \frac{-124 - 5k - 12k^2 - 5k^3}{12(k+4)(k^2+8k+17)} < 0$$

for any  $k \geq 2$ , and, in case  $k = 1$ ,

$$w_i + f_i - 1 - \frac{1}{|E(G)|} \leq \frac{1}{5} + \frac{1}{5} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{4} - 1 - \frac{1}{|E(G)|} = -\frac{1}{60} - \frac{1}{|E(G)|} < 0.$$

The only remaining case is when  $d(u) \geq k+5$  and  $d(v) \geq k+5$ . Since  $m' \geq 3$  and  $m'' \geq 3$ , and, in this case,  $|E(G)| \geq \frac{(k+3)(k+4)+2(k+5)}{2} = \frac{k^2+9k+22}{2}$ ,

the edge  $e_i$  must have a negative non-oriented curvature:

$$w_i + f_i - 1 + \frac{k-2}{|E(G)|} \leq \frac{2}{k+5} + \frac{2}{3} - 1 + \frac{2(k-2)}{k^2+9k+22} = \frac{-k^3-2k^2+5k-38}{3(k+5)(k^2+9k+22)} < 0$$

for any  $k \geq 2$ , and, in case  $k = 1$ ,

$$w_i + f_i - 1 - \frac{1}{|E(G)|} \leq \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{3} - 1 - \frac{1}{|E(G)|} = \frac{-1}{|E(G)|} < 0.$$

Summing over all edges  $e_i \in E(G)$  yields

$$\sum_{i=1}^{|E(G)|} \left( w_i + f_i - 1 + \frac{k-2}{|E(G)|} \right) < 0,$$

which is a contradiction to Euler's formula (2) stating

$$\sum_{i=1}^{|E(G)|} \left( w_i + f_i - 1 - \frac{2-k}{|E(G)|} \right) = |V(G)| + |F(G)| - |E(G)| - (2-k) = 0.$$

Thus,  $b(G) \leq \Delta(G) + k + 1$ , and the proof is complete.  $\square$

### 3. Conclusions

The upper bound of Theorem 6 provides a hierarchy of upper bounds that eventually may help solving Conjecture 1. However, it can be seen that the bounds of Theorems 8 and 9 are not tight for larger values of the genera  $h = h(G)$  and  $k = k(G)$ . For example, by adjusting respectively the proofs of Theorems 8 and 9, upper bound (3) can be improved to  $b(G) \leq \Delta(G) + h + 1$  for  $h \geq 8$ , and upper bound (4) can be improved to  $b(G) \leq \Delta(G) + k$  for  $k \geq 3$  and to  $b(G) \leq \Delta(G) + k - 1$  for  $k \geq 6$ . It is left to the reader to adjust the proofs and bounds for a particular topological surface of higher genus.

In view of Theorem 4, its proof in [2], and results presented in this paper, it should be reasonable to conjecture that, when  $\Delta(G)$  is sufficiently large, the bondage number  $b(G)$  is bounded by a certain constant depending only on the properties of topological surfaces where  $G$  embeds.

**Conjecture 10.** *For a connected graph  $G$  of orientable genus  $h$  and non-orientable genus  $k$ ,  $b(G) \leq \min\{c_h, c'_k, \Delta(G) + h + 2, \Delta(G) + k + 1\}$ , where  $c_h$  and  $c'_k$  are constants depending, respectively, on the orientable and non-orientable genera of  $G$ .*



Since  $\delta(G) \leq 5$  for a planar graph  $G$ , Fischermann et al. [5] ask whether there exist planar graphs of bondage numbers 6, 7, or 8. A class of planar graphs with the bondage number equal to 6 is shown in [2]. Therefore, in case of planar graphs, we have  $6 \leq c_0 \leq 8$ . It would be interesting to have an estimation for the constants  $c_h$  and  $c'_k$  for the torus  $S_1$ , projective plane  $N_1$ , and Klein bottle  $N_2$ .

## References

- [1] D. Bauer, F. Harary, J. Nieminen, C.L. Suffel, Domination alteration sets in graphs, *Discrete Math.* 47 (1983) 153–161.
- [2] K. Carlson, M. Develin, On the bondage number of planar and directed graphs, *Discrete Math.* 306 (2006) 820–826.
- [3] J.E. Dunbar, T.W. Haynes, U. Teschner, L. Volkmann, Bondage insensitivity and reinforcement, in: T.W. Haynes, S.T. Hedetniemi, P.J. Slater (Eds.), *Domination in Graphs: Advanced Topics*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1998, pp. 249–259.
- [4] J.F. Fink, M.J. Jacobson, L.F. Kinch, J. Roberts, The bondage number of a graph, *Discrete Math.* 86 (1990) 47–57.
- [5] M. Fischermann, D. Rautenbach, L. Volkmann, Remarks on the bondage number of planar graphs, *Discrete Math.* 260 (2003) 57–67.
- [6] B.L. Hartnell, D.F. Rall, Bounds on the bondage number of a graph, *Discrete Math.* 128 (1994) 173–177.
- [7] L. Kang, J. Yuan, Bondage number of planar graphs, *Discrete Math.* 222 (2000) 191–198.
- [8] U. Teschner, A new upper bound for the bondage number of graphs with small domination number, *Australas. J. Combin.* 12 (1995) 27–35.
- [9] U. Teschner, The bondage number of a graph  $G$  can be much greater than  $\Delta(G)$ , *Ars Combin.* 43 (1996) 81–87.
- [10] C. Thomassen, The Jordan-Schönflies theorem and the classification of surfaces, *Amer. Math. Monthly* 99 (1992) 116–131.