

The effect of triangular flow on di-hadron azimuthal correlations in relativistic heavy ion collisions

Jun Xu¹ and Che Ming Ko²

¹*Cyclotron Institute, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843-3366, USA*

²*Cyclotron Institute and Department of Physics and Astronomy,
Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843-3366, USA*

(Dated: December 27, 2010)

Using the AMPT model for relativistic heavy ion collisions, we have studied the di-hadron azimuthal angular correlations triggered by emitted jets in Au+Au collisions at center of mass energy $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV and impact parameter $b = 8$ fm. A double-peak structure for the associated particles at the away side of trigger particles is obtained after subtracting background correlations due to the elliptic flow. Both the near-side peak and the away-side double peaks in the azimuthal angular correlations are, however, significantly suppressed (enhanced) in events of small (large) triangular flow, which are present as a result of fluctuations in the initial collision geometry. After subtraction of background correlations due to the triangular flow, the away-side double peaks change into a single peak with broad shoulders on both sides. The away side of the di-hadron correlations becomes essentially a single peak after further subtraction of higher-order flows.

PACS numbers: 24.10.Lx, 25.75.-q, 12.38.Mh

Collisions of heavy nuclei at the Relativistic Heavy-Ion Collider (RHIC) have created a hot and dense matter that is believed to consist of deconfined quarks and gluons, as the inferred energy density is much greater than the critical value of ~ 1 GeV/fm³ for the formation of a quark-gluon plasma (QGP) [1–4]. This matter was found to have a very small viscosity and thus behaves like a nearly perfect fluid [5, 6]. Among the signatures for the formation of the QGP is the large quenching of energetic jets produced from initial hard collisions, leading to the suppressed production of hadrons with large transverse momenta [7, 8]. Further studies of the di-hadron azimuthal angular correlations triggered by emitted jets have provided the possibility of investigating the response of the QGP to the quenched away-side jets [9–11]. It was found in these studies that for central and mid-central collisions of heavy nuclei, there existed not only a pronounced peak at the near side of triggered jets but also a broad double-peak structure at the away side of triggered jets [12, 13]. Different mechanisms have been proposed to explain this interesting phenomenon, including the medium-induced gluon radiation [14, 15], the Mach cone from the shock wave generated by the traversing jet in produced QGP [16, 17], the path-length-dependent jet energy loss [18, 19], the Čerenkov radiation from the jet [20], the strong parton cascade [21], and the deflection of jets by partons in the QGP [22–24]. Also, it was recently pointed out that the so far overlooked large triangular flow, resulting from the non-vanishing triangularity in the initial collision geometry as a result of the spatial fluctuation of participating nucleons [25–27], could be responsible for the double-peak structure at the away side of di-hadron correlations [28, 29]. This stems from the observation that the angular distribution of hadrons resulting from their triangular flow peaks at 0, $2\pi/3$ and $4\pi/3$, which are similar to the peaks observed in the experimentally measured di-hadron azimuthal angular cor-

relations. It was shown that the away-side double peaks could indeed appear in the hydrodynamic model if the initial density fluctuations are included [30]. However, a recent paper by the STAR Collaboration [31] shows that the away-side double-peak structure is not much affected after subtracting the background correlations that include the effect based on an estimated triangular flow. It is therefore of great interest to use a dynamical model to study consistently the effect of triangular flow on di-hadron azimuthal angular correlations triggered by emitted jets in relativistic heavy ion collisions. In this paper, we carry out such a study within the framework of the AMPT model [32, 33].

The AMPT model is a hybrid model with the initial particle distributions generated by the HIJING model [34]. In the version of string melting, which has been shown to better describe the experimental observations at RHIC [35–37], hadrons produced from the HIJING model are converted to their valence quarks and antiquarks, and their evolution in time and space is then modeled by the ZPC parton cascade model [38]. After stopping scattering, quarks and antiquarks are converted via a spatial coalescence model to hadrons, which are followed by hadronic scattering via the ART model [39]. As in previous studies [35–37], the elastic two-body scattering cross section used in the parton cascade is set to be 10 mb, which is larger than the value expected from leading-order pQCD, to compensate for the neglected higher-order inelastic processes.

Using the AMPT model, we have studied Au+Au collisions at center of mass energy $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV and impact parameter $b = 8$ fm. The latter is similar to the average value in minimum-bias collisions that have been studied in experiments. The azimuthal angle ϕ distribution of the transverse momentum p_T of final hadrons in the transverse plane of a single AMPT event can be




FIG. 1: (Color online) Event distributions of elliptic flow v_2 and triangular flow v_3 (panel (a)) and corresponding event plane angles ψ_2 and ψ_3 (panel (b)) in Au+Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV and $b = 8$ fm from the AMPT model.

written as [29]

$$f(p_T, \phi) = \frac{N(p_T)}{2\pi} \left\{ 1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} v_n(p_T) \cos[n(\phi - \psi_n)] \right\}, \quad (1)$$

where the n th-order event plane angle ψ_n and the anisotropic flow $v_n(p_T)$ of hadrons with transverse momentum p_T in this event can be calculated, respectively, from

$$\psi_n = \frac{1}{n} \arctan \frac{\langle \sin(n\phi) \rangle}{\langle \cos(n\phi) \rangle}, \quad (2)$$

$$v_n(p_T) = \langle \cos[n(\phi - \psi_n)] \rangle_{p_T}, \quad (3)$$

with $\langle \dots \rangle$ and $\langle \dots \rangle_{p_T}$ denoting, respectively, average over all hadrons in the event and over those of transverse momentum p_T . The total n th-order anisotropic flow v_n in this event is then obtained by integrating $v_n(p_T)$ over transverse momentum.

In panel (a) of Fig. 1, we show the event distributions of the dominant elliptic flow v_2 and triangular flow v_3 of hadrons in the mid-pseudorapidities $|\eta| < 1$. It is seen that both elliptic and triangular flows are large, although their values change from event to event. The event distributions of the event plane angles ψ_2 and ψ_3 of mid-pseudorapidity hadrons are displayed in panel (b) of Fig. 1. Similar to the results from the ideal hydrodynamic model using initial fluctuating collision geometry from the UrQMD model [25], the event plane angle ψ_2 for the elliptic flow peaks at 0 while the event plane angle ψ_3 for the triangular flow is uniformly distributed between $-\pi/3$ and $\pi/3$, as the former is mainly due to

the collision geometry while the latter is solely due to fluctuations in the initial collision geometry. We have further found that the distribution of ψ_3 remains similar for events of fixed ψ_2 , which shows that ψ_3 and ψ_2 are essentially independent of each other.




FIG. 2: (Color online) Transverse momentum dependence of the hadron elliptic (v_2) and triangular (v_3) flows.

In Fig. 2, we show the transverse momentum dependence of the event averaged elliptic and triangular flows of mid-pseudorapidity hadrons, i.e., $\langle N(p_T)v_n(p_T) \rangle_e / \langle N(p_T) \rangle_e$ with $\langle \dots \rangle_e$ denoting the average over all events, which are the ones usually shown in the experimental results. It is seen that both elliptic and triangular flows are appreciable at high transverse momenta.




FIG. 3: (Color online) Di-hadron azimuthal angular correlations per trigger particle before (panel (a)) and after (panel (b)) subtracting background correlations due to the hadron elliptic flow shown by the dashed line in panel (a).

The di-hadron azimuthal angular correlations between trigger particles $f^{\text{trig}}(\phi)$ and associated particles $f^{\text{asso}}(\phi)$

are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \frac{dN_{\text{pair}}}{d\Delta\phi} \right\rangle_e &= \frac{1}{2\pi} [\langle N^{\text{trig}} N^{\text{asso}} \rangle_e \\ &+ 2 \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \langle N^{\text{trig}} N^{\text{asso}} v_n^{\text{trig}} v_n^{\text{asso}} \rangle_e \cos(n\Delta\phi)]. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

With trigger particles of transverse momenta in the window $2.5 \text{ GeV}/c < p_T^{\text{trig}} < 6 \text{ GeV}/c$ and associated particles of transverse momenta in the window $0.15 \text{ GeV}/c < p_T^{\text{asso}} < 2.5 \text{ GeV}/c$, which are similar to the ‘soft’ case in Ref. [21], the result for the total di-hadron correlations per trigger particle in the mid-pseudorapidity is shown by solid squares in panel (a) of Fig. 3. It is seen that the associated particles have not only a near-side peak at the azimuthal angle $\Delta\phi = 0$ but also a broad away-side peak at $\Delta\phi = \pi$.

The di-hadron correlations in Eq. (4) include contributions from both the back-to-back jet pairs and background anisotropic flows [40]. The latter can be obtained from Eq. (4) by replacing $\langle N^{\text{trig}} N^{\text{asso}} \rangle_e$ with $\langle N^{\text{trig}} \rangle_e \langle N^{\text{asso}} \rangle_e$ and $\langle N^{\text{trig}} v_n^{\text{trig}} N^{\text{asso}} v_n^{\text{asso}} \rangle_e$ with $\langle N^{\text{trig}} v_n^{\text{trig}} \rangle_e \langle N^{\text{asso}} v_n^{\text{asso}} \rangle_e \equiv \langle N^{\text{trig}} \rangle_e v_n^{\text{trig}} \langle N^{\text{asso}} \rangle_e v_n^{\text{asso}}$, where v_n^{trig} and v_n^{asso} are, respectively, the n th-order anisotropic flows of trigger and associated hadrons averaged over all events, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dN_{\text{pair}}^{\text{back}}}{d\Delta\phi} &= \frac{\langle N^{\text{trig}} \rangle_e \langle N^{\text{asso}} \rangle_e}{2\pi} \\ &\times [1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} v_n^{\text{trig}} v_n^{\text{asso}} \cos(n\Delta\phi)]. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Although the background correlations contain contributions from anisotropic flows of all orders, only those due to the elliptic flow have been considered in the experimental analysis. As shown by the dashed line in panel (a) of Fig. 3, the di-hadron correlations due to the hadron elliptic flow show a similar peak structure as in the total di-hadron correlations. The di-hadron correlations after subtracting the background correlations due to the elliptic flow are shown by solid circles in panel (b) of Fig. 3, and as in the experimental data they show a double-peak structure at the away side of trigger particles.

Before subtracting the background correlations due to the hadron triangular flow, we consider separately events of small ($v_3 < 0.03$) and large ($v_3 > 0.05$) triangular flows. In panel (a) of Fig. 4, the transverse momentum dependence of triangular flows in these two cases are shown, respectively, by open triangles and inverted triangles, and they are compared with that for all events (solid circles). The di-hadron azimuthal angular correlations for these two cases are shown in panel (b) of Fig. 4. Compared with the result from all events, both the near-side peak and the away-side double peaks in the di-hadron correlations are significantly enhanced (suppressed) in events of large (small) triangular flow, which




FIG. 4: (Color online) (a) The triangular flow v_3 as a function of transverse momentum and (b) di-hadron azimuthal angular correlations per trigger particle from all events and from events of small or large triangular flow.

shows that the triangular flow has a large effect on the di-hadron correlations as suggested in Refs. [28, 29].

The background correlations due to both hadron elliptic and triangular flows are shown by the dashed line in panel (a) of Fig. 5. Subtracting these background correlations from the total correlations results in the di-hadron correlations shown in panel (b) of Fig. 5. It is seen that the away-side double peaks change into a single peak with broad shoulders on both sides, and the near-side peak is also suppressed. The residual correlations are similar to those from events of small triangular flow as shown in panel (b) of Fig. 4. After further subtracting background correlations due to anisotropic flows up to $n = 5$ shown by the dotted line in panel (a), the away side of the di-hadron correlations becomes essentially a single peak as shown in panel (c) of Fig. 5. Our results thus indicates that the effects of elliptic and triangular flows on the di-hadron azimuthal correlations are very important, while those of higher-order anisotropic flows are not negligible either.

Although the away-side double-peak structure in di-hadron azimuthal correlations is dominated by the triangular flow in heavy ion collisions at $b = 8$ fm, it was shown, however, in Ref. [41] that the double-peak structure remains appreciable in central heavy ion collisions at $b = 0$ fm after subtracting background correlations due to anisotropic flows even up to the 5th order [41]. This is likely due to the fact that the anisotropic flows from initial density fluctuations are much weaker in central collisions as a result of the larger particle multiplicity and




FIG. 5: (Color online) Di-hadron azimuthal angular correlations per trigger particle before (panel (a)) and after subtracting background correlations due to both hadron elliptic and triangular flows (panel (b)) shown by the dashed line in panel (a) and due to anisotropic flows up to the 5th order (panel (c)) shown by the dotted line in panel (a).

other effects such as those from jet deflections or Mach cone shock waves are stronger.

In conclusion, we have investigated the di-hadron azimuthal angular correlations triggered by emitted jets in the AMPT model with string melting for Au+Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV with $b = 8$ fm. Although the total di-hadron correlations show only a single away-side peak besides a near-side peak, a double peak structure appears in the away side after subtracting the background correlations due to the hadron elliptic flow. Both the near-side peak and away-side double peaks in the di-hadron correlations are found to be sensitive to the hadron triangular flow as they are enhanced (suppressed) when only events of large (small) hadron triangular flow are considered. Moreover, the away-side double peaks change into a single peak after the subtraction of background correlations due to both hadron elliptic and triangular flows. Although other effects [14–24] on di-hadron correlations are appreciable in central collisions, the away-side double peaks may be dominated by the triangular flow in mid-central collisions.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by the U.S. National Science Foundation under Grant No. PHY-0758115 and the Welch Foundation under Grant No. A-1358.

-
- [1] I. Arsene *et al.* (PHOBOS Collaboration), Nucl. Phys. **A757**, 1 (2005).
[2] B. B. Back *et al.* (BRAHMS Collaboration), Nucl. Phys. **A757**, 28 (2005).
[3] J. Adams *et al.* (STAR Collaboration), Nucl. Phys. **A757**, 102 (2005).
[4] K. Adcox *et al.* (PHENIX Collaboration), Nucl. Phys. **A757**, 184 (2005).
[5] M. Gyulassy and L. McLerran, Nucl. Phys. **A757**, 30 (2005).
[6] E. Shuryak, Nucl. Phys. **A757**, 64 (2005).
[7] K. Adcox *et al.* (PHENIX Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 022301 (2002).
[8] C. Adler *et al.* (STAR Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 202301 (2002).
[9] C. Adler *et al.* (STAR Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 082302 (2003).
[10] C. Adler *et al.* (STAR Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 032301 (2003).
[11] J. Adams *et al.* (STAR Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 252301 (2004).
[12] J. Adams *et al.* (STAR Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 152301 (2005).
[13] S.S. Adler *et al.* (PHENIX Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 052301 (2006).
[14] I. Vitev, Phys. Lett. **B630**, 78 (2005).
[15] A.D. Polosa and C.A. Salgado, Phys. Rev. C **75**, 041901(R) (2007).
[16] J. Casalderrey-Solana, E.V. Shuryak, and D. Teaney, Nucl. Phys. **A774**, 577 (2006).
[17] T. Renk and J. Ruppert, Phys. Rev. C **73**, 011901 (2006).
[18] C.B. Chiu and R.C. Hwa, Phys. Rev. C **74**, 064909 (2006).
[19] W. Li, S. Zhang, Y.G. Ma, X.Z. Cai, J.H. Chen, H.Z. Huang, G.L. Ma, and C. Zhong, Phys. Rev. C **80**, 064913 (2009).
[20] V. Koch, A. Majumder, and X.N. Wang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 172302 (2006).
[21] G.L. Ma, S. Zhang, Y.G. Ma, H.Z. Huang, X.Z. Cai, J.H. Chen, Z.J. He, J.L. Long, W.Q. Shen, X.H. Shi, and J.X. Zuo, Phys. Lett. **B641**, 362 (2006).
[22] B. Betz, J. Noronha, G. Torrieri, M. Gyulassy, and D. Rischke, Nucl. Phys. **A830**, 777c (2009).
[23] B. Betz, J. Noronha, G. Torrieri, M. Gyulassy, and D. Rischke, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 222301 (2010).
[24] H. Li, F. Liu, G.L. Ma, X.N. Wang, and Y. Zhu, arXiv:1006.2893v2 [nucl-th].
[25] H. Petersen, G.Y. Qin, S. Bass, and B. Müller, Phys. Rev. C **82**, 041901(R) (2010).
[26] B. Schenke, S. Jeon, and C. Gale, arXiv:1009.3244 [hep-ph].
[27] G.Y. Qin, H. Petersen, S. Bass, and B. Müller, Phys. Rev. C **82**, 064903 (2010).
[28] B. Alver and G. Roland, Phys. Rev. C **81**, 054905 (2010).
[29] B. Alver, C. Gombeaud, M. Luzum, and J. Ollitrault, Phys. Rev. C **82**, 034913 (2010).

- [30] J. Takahashi, B.M. Tavares, W.L. Qian, R. Andrade, F. Grassi, Y. Hama, T. Kodama, and N. Xu, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 242301 (2009).
- [31] H. Agakishiev *et al.* (STAR Collaboration), arXiv:1010.0690 [nucl-ex].
- [32] B. Zhang, C. M. Ko, B. A. Li, and Z. W. Lin, Phys. Rev. C **61**, 067901 (2000).
- [33] Z.W. Lin, C.M. Ko, B.A. Li, B. Zhang, and S. Pal, Phys. Rev. C **72**, 064901 (2005).
- [34] X.N. Wang and M. Gyulassy, Phys. Rev. D **44**, 3501 (1991).
- [35] Z. W. Lin and C. M. Ko, Phys. Rev. C **65**, 034904 (2002).
- [36] Z. W. Lin, C. M. Ko, and S. Pal, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 152301 (2002).
- [37] L. W. Chen, C. M. Ko, and Z. W. Lin, Phys. Rev. C **69**, 031901(R) (2004).
- [38] B. Zhang, Comp. Phys. Comm. **109**, 193 (1998).
- [39] B. A. Li and C. M. Ko, Phys. Rev. C **52**, 2037 (1995).
- [40] A.M. Poskanzer and S.A. Voloshin, Phys. Rev. C **58**, 1671 (1998).
- [41] G.L. Ma and X.N. Wang, arXiv:1011.5249 [nucl-th].