Explicit Analytic Solution for Stagnation Flow and Heat Transfer on a Moving Plate

Ahmad Doosthoseini^{1, 2,*}, Alireza Sharifi³ and Kambiz Takin¹

¹Civil Engineering Department, Engineering Faculty of Islamic Azad University Malard Branch, Iran

²Mechanical Engineering Department, Engineering Faculty of Azad University Karaj Branch, Iran

³Information System and Security Lab. (ISSL), Department of Electrical Engineering Sharif University of Technology, Tehran, Iran

Abstract

In this article, we use an efficient analytical method called homotopy analysis method (HAM) to derive an approximate solution of stagnation flow on a moving solid boundary. Actually, we solved the Navier-Stocks and energy equations by the HAM. Unlike the perturbation method, the HAM does not require the addition of a small physically parameter to the differential equation. It is applicable to strongly and weakly nonlinear problems. Moreover, the HAM involves an auxiliary parameter, \hbar which renders the convergence parameter of series solutions Controllable, and increases the convergence, and increases the convergence significantly. This article depicts that the HAM is an efficient and powerful method for solving nonlinear differential equations.

Keywords: Nonlinear differential equations; stagnation flow; Homotopy analysis method (HAM), Homotopy perturbation method (HPM).

1 Introduction

Modeling of natural phenomena in most sciences yields nonlinear differential

^{*} Corresponding Author's email: ma_doosthoseini@yahoo.com

equations the exact solutions of which are usually rare. Therefore, analytical methods are strongly needed. For instance, one analytical method, called perturbation, involves creating a small physically parameter in the problem, however, finding this parameter is impossible in most cases [1, 2]. Generally speaking, one simple solution for controlling convergence and increasing it does not exist in all analytical methods.

In 1992, Liao [3] presented homotopy analysis method (HAM) based on fundamental concept of homotopy in topology [4-9]. In this method, we do not need to apply the small parameter and unlike all other analytic techniques, the HAM provides us with a simple way to adjust and control the convergence region of approximate series solutions. HAM has been successfully applied to solve many types of nonlinear problems [10-14].

In this work, the basic idea of HAM is described, and then we apply it to the stagnation flow equations. Stagnation flow on a moving solid boundary is basic in many convection-cooling processes. Stagnation flow towards a moving plate has been considered by Root [16], Wang [17], Libby [15] and extended by Weidman and Mahalingam [18]. These sources applied the conventional no slip condition on the solid boundary.

2 Basic idea of HAM

Let us consider the following differential equation

 $N[u(\tau)] = 0,$ (1) where N is a nonlinear operator, τ denotes in dependent variable, $u(\tau)$ is an unknown function that is the solution of the equation. We define the function

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi(\tau; p) &= u_0(\tau), \\
p &\to 0
\end{aligned}$$
(2)

where, $p \in [0,1]$ and $u_0(\tau)$ is the initial guess which satisfies the initial or boundary condition and is

$$\lim_{p \to 1} \phi(\tau; p) = u(\tau).$$
(3)

By means of generalizing the traditional homotopy method, Liao [3] constructs the so-called *zero- order deformation* equation

$$(1-p) L[\phi(\tau;p) - u_0(\tau)] = p \,\hbar H(\tau) N \,[\phi(\tau;p)], \tag{4}$$

where \hbar is the auxiliary parameter which increases the results convergence, $H(\tau) \neq 0$ is an auxiliary function and *L* is an auxiliary linear operator, *p* increases from 0 to 1, the solution $\phi(\tau; p)$ changes between the initial guess $u_0(\tau; p)$ and solution $u(\tau)$. Expanding $\phi(\tau; p)$ in Taylor series with respect to p, we have

$$\phi(\tau; p) = u_0(\tau) + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} u_m(\tau) p^m,$$
(5)

where

$$u_m(\tau) = \frac{1}{m!} \left. \frac{\partial^m \phi(\tau; p)}{\partial p^m} \right|_{p=0},\tag{6}$$

if the auxiliary linear operator, the initial guess, the auxiliary parameter \hbar , and the auxiliary function are so properly chosen, the series (5) converges at p = 1, and then we have

$$u(\tau) = u_0(\tau) + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} u_m(\tau),$$
(7)

which must be one of the solutions of the original nonlinear equation, as proved by Liao [7]. It is clear that if the auxiliary parameter is $\hbar = -1$ and auxiliary function is determined to be $H(\tau) = 1$, Eq. (4) will be

$$(1-p) L[\phi(\tau;p) - u_0(\tau)] + p N[\phi(\tau;p)] = 0,$$
(8)

this statement is commonly used in HPM procedure. Indeed, in HPM we solve the nonlinear differential equation by separating every Taylor expansion term.

Now we define the vector of \vec{u}_m as follows

$$\vec{u}_m = \{\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2, \vec{u}_3, \dots, \vec{u}_n\}.$$

According to the definition Eq. (6), the governing equation and the corresponding initial condition of $u_m(\tau)$ can be deduced from *zero-order* deformation Eq. (4). Differentiating Eq. (4) for *m*-times with respect to the embedding parameter p and setting p = 0 and finally dividing by *m*!, we will have the so-called *mth order deformation* equation in the following from

$$L[u_{m}(\tau) - x_{m}u_{m-1}(\tau)] = h H(\tau)R_{m} (\vec{u}_{m-1}),$$
(9)

where

$$R_{m}(\vec{u}_{m-1}) = \frac{1}{(m-1)!} \frac{\partial^{m-1}N[\phi(\tau;p)]}{\partial p^{m-1}} \bigg|_{p=0},$$
(10)

and

$$x_{m} = \begin{cases} 0 & m \le 1, \\ 1 & m > 1. \end{cases}$$
(11)

So by applying inverse linear operator to both sides of the linear equation, Eq. (9), we can easily solve the equation and compute the generation constant by applying the initial or boundary condition.

4 Applications

Now we consider the two-dimensional stagnation flow. Fig. 1 shows a twodimensional stagnation flow in the *x*-*z* plane impinging on a plate at z=0 moving with velocity *U* in the *x* direction and velocity V in the *y* direction. The flow far from the plate is given by the potential flow

$$u = ax$$
, $v = 0$, $w = -az$, $p = p_0 - \rho a^2 (x^2 + z^2)/2$, (12)

where $u_{,v,w}$ are velocity components in the Cartesian $x_{,y,z}$ directions, a is the strength of the stagnation flow, ρ is the density, p is the pressure and p_0 is the stagnation pressure. For viscous flow, set

$$u = a x f'(\eta) + U g(\eta), \quad v = V h(\eta), \quad w = -\sqrt{a v} f(\eta),$$
(13)

$$p = p_0 - \rho(a^2 x^2 / 2 + w^2 / 2 - v w_z), \tag{14}$$

where $\eta \equiv \sqrt{a/vz}$ and v is the kinematic viscosity. The subscript z denotes differentiation with respect to z. The three-dimensional Navier- Stokes equations then reduce to the similarity ordinary differential equations [19].

$$f''' + ff'' - (f')^2 + 1 = 0, (15)$$

$$g'' + fg' - fg' = 0, (16)$$

$$h'' + f h' = 0. (17)$$

On the plate, Navier's condition gives

$$u - U = N \rho v u_z, \quad v - V = N \rho v v_z, \tag{18}$$

Where N is a slip constant. The no slip condition is recovered when N=zero. For (no slip) the boundary conditions:

$$f(0) = 0,$$
 $f'(\infty) = 1,$ $f'(0) = 0,$ (19)

$$g(\infty) = 0,$$
 $g(0) = 1,$ (20)

$$h(\infty) = 0,$$
 $h(0) = 1,$ (21)

Let the temperature far from the plate be T_{∞} and temperature on the plate be T_{0} . Set

$$\theta(\eta) = \frac{T - T_{\infty}}{T_0 - T_{\infty}}.$$
(22)

The energy equation becomes

$$\theta'' + Pf \ \theta' = 0, \tag{23}$$

where P is the Prandtl number. A temperature slip condition similar to Navier's condition is

$$T - T_0 = ST_z, \tag{24}$$

where S is a proportionality constant. Eq. (24) can be written as

$$\theta(0) = 1 + \beta \theta'(0), \tag{25}$$

where $\beta = S \sqrt{\frac{a}{v}}$ is the thermal slip factor. At infinity the condition is

$$\theta(\infty) = 0. \tag{26}$$

Now we solve the problem for no slip condition.



Fig.1. Two-dimensional stagnation flow.

We choose the initial approximation.

$f_0(\eta) = 0/616295\eta^2,$	(27)
$J_0(1) = 0,0102551$	(27)

 $g_0(\eta) = 1 - 0/8113\eta, \tag{28}$

$$h_0(\eta) = 1 - 0/57047\eta, \tag{29}$$

$$\theta_0(\eta) = \eta^2, \tag{30}$$

and the linear operator for equation (15)

$$L[\phi_1(\eta;p)] = \frac{\partial^3 \phi_1(\eta;p)}{\partial \eta^3},\tag{31}$$

and the linear operator for equation (16)

$$L[\phi_2(\eta;p)] = \frac{\partial^2 \phi_2(\eta;p)}{\partial \eta^2},$$
(32)

and the linear operator for equation (17)

$$L[\phi_3(\eta;p)] = \frac{\partial^2 \phi_3(\eta;p)}{\partial \eta^2},\tag{33}$$

and the linear operator for equation (23)

$$L[\phi_4(\eta;p)] = \frac{\partial^2 \phi_4(\eta;p)}{\partial \eta^2},\tag{34}$$

we change equations (15), (16), (17) and (23) to nonlinear form

$$N_{1}[\phi_{1}(\eta;p)] = \frac{\partial^{3}\phi_{1}(\eta;p)}{\partial\eta^{3}} + \phi_{1}(\eta;p)\frac{\partial^{2}\phi_{1}(\eta;p)}{\partial\eta^{2}} - \left(\frac{\partial\phi_{1}(\eta;p)}{\partial\eta}\right)^{2} + 1,$$
(35)

$$N_{2}[\phi_{1}(\eta,p),\phi_{2}(\eta,p)] = \frac{\partial^{2}\phi_{2}(\eta;p)}{\partial\eta^{2}} + \phi_{1}(\eta;p)\frac{\partial\phi_{2}(\eta;p)}{\partial\eta} - \frac{\partial\phi_{1}(\eta;p)}{\partial\eta}\phi_{2}(\eta;p),$$
(36)

$$N_{3}[\phi_{1}(\eta;p),\phi_{3}(\eta;p)] = \frac{\partial^{2}\phi_{3}(\eta;p)}{\partial\eta^{2}} + \phi_{1}(\eta;p)\frac{\partial\phi_{3}(\eta;p)}{\partial\eta},$$
(37)

$$N_{4}[\phi_{1}(\eta;p),\phi_{4}(\eta;p)] = \frac{\partial^{2}\phi_{4}(\eta;p)}{\partial\eta^{2}} + P\phi_{1}(\eta;p)\frac{\partial\phi_{4}(\eta;p)}{\partial\eta},$$
(38)

assuming $H(\tau) = 1$, we use above definition to construct the *zero-order* deformation equations.

$$(1-p)L[\phi_1(\eta;p) - f_0(\eta)] = p\hbar N_1[\phi_1(\eta;p)],$$
(39)

$$(1-p)L[\phi_2(\eta;p) - g_0(\eta)] = p\hbar N_2[\phi_1(\eta;p), \phi_2(\eta;p)],$$
(40)

 $(1-p)L[\phi_3(\eta;p) - h_0(\eta)] = p\hbar N_3[\phi_1(\eta;p), \phi_3(\eta;p)],$ (41)

$$(1-p)L[\phi_4(\eta;p) - \theta_0(\eta)] = p\hbar N_4[\phi_1(\eta;p), \phi_4(\eta;p)],$$
(42)

Obviously, when p=0 and p=1,

$$\phi_{1}(\eta;0) = f_{0}(\eta), \qquad \phi_{1}(\eta;1) = f(\eta), \qquad (43)$$

$$\phi_2(\eta;0) = g_0(\eta), \qquad \phi_2(\eta;1) = f(\eta), \qquad (44)$$

$$\phi_3(\eta;0) = h_0(\eta), \qquad \phi_3(\eta;1) = h(\eta), \qquad (45)$$

$$\phi_4(\eta; 0) = \theta_0(\eta), \qquad \phi_4(\eta; 1) = \theta(\eta),$$
(46)

Differentiating the *zero-order* deformation equations (39), (40), (41) and (42) *m*-times with respect to *p*.

$$L[f_{m} - x_{m}f_{m-1}] = \hbar R_{1m}(\vec{f}_{m-1}, \vec{g}_{m-1}, \vec{h}_{m-1}, \theta_{m-1}),$$
(47)

$$L[g_m - x_m g_{m-1}] = \hbar R_{2m} (\vec{f}_{m-1}, \vec{g}_{m-1}, \vec{h}_{m-1}, \theta_{m-1}),$$
(48)

$$L[h_m - x_m h_{m-1}] = \hbar R_{3m} (\vec{f}_{m-1}, \vec{g}_{m-1}, \vec{h}_{m-1}, \theta_{m-1}),$$
(49)

$$L[\theta_m - x_m \theta_{m-1}] = \hbar R_{4m} (\vec{f}_{m-1}, \vec{g}_{m-1}, \vec{h}_{m-1}, \theta_{m-1}),$$
(50)

where

$$R_{1m}(\vec{f}_{m-1},\vec{g}_{m-1},\vec{h}_{m-1},\vec{\theta}_{m-1}) = \frac{\partial^3 f_{m-1}(\eta)}{\partial \eta^3} + \sum_{n=0}^{m-1} [f_n(\eta) \frac{\partial^2 f_{m-1-n}(\eta)}{\partial \eta^2} - \frac{\partial f_n(\eta)}{\partial \eta} \frac{\partial f_{m-1-n}(\eta)}{\partial \eta}] + (1-x_m), (51)$$

$$R_{2m}(\vec{f}_{m-1}, \vec{g}_{m-1}, \vec{h}_{m-1}, \vec{\theta}_{m-1}) = \frac{\partial^2 g_{m-1}(\eta)}{\partial \eta^2} + \sum_{n=0}^{m-1} [f_n(\eta) \frac{\partial g_{m-1-n}(\eta)}{\partial \eta} - \frac{\partial f_n(\eta)}{\partial \eta} g_{m-1-n}(\eta)],$$
(52)

$$R_{3m}(\vec{f}_{m-1}, \vec{g}_{m-1}, \vec{h}_{m-1}, \vec{\theta}_{m-1}) = \frac{\partial^2 h_{m-1}(\eta)}{\partial \eta^2} + \sum_{n=0}^{m-1} f_n(\eta) \frac{\partial h_{m-1-n}(\eta)}{\partial \eta},$$
(53)

$$R_{4m}(\vec{f}_{m-1}, \vec{g}_{m-1}, \vec{h}_{m-1}, \vec{\theta}_{m-1}) = \frac{\partial^2 \theta_{m-1}(\eta)}{\partial \eta^2} + P \sum_{n=0}^{m-1} f_n(\eta) \frac{\partial \theta_{m-1-n}(\eta)}{\partial \eta},$$
(54)

and
$$x_m = \begin{cases} 0 & m \ge 1, \\ 1 & m > 1. \end{cases}$$
 (55)

From (27) to (30) and (51) to (54), we now successively obtain the $f(\eta)$, $g(\eta)$, $h(\eta)$ and $\theta(\eta)$. The equations (47), (48), (49) and (50) are linear and thus can be easily solved, especially by means of symbolic computation software such as Mathematica, Maple, MATLAB and so on. We used 25 terms in evaluating the approximate solution.

$$f(\eta) = f_0(\eta) + \sum_{m=1}^{25} f_m(\eta),$$
(56)

$$g(\eta) = g_0(\eta) + \sum_{m=1}^{25} g_m(\eta),$$
(57)

$$h(\eta) = h_0(\eta) + \sum_{m=1}^{25} h_m(\eta),$$
(58)

$$\theta(\eta) = \theta_0(\eta) + \sum_{m=1}^{25} \theta_m(\eta), \tag{59}$$

Note that this series contains the auxiliary parameter \hbar , which influence its convergence region and rate. We should therefore focus on the choice of \hbar by plotting of \hbar -curve. Fig.2 shows the \hbar -curve of $\frac{\partial^3 f(0)}{\partial \eta^3}$, Fig.3, shows the \hbar -curve of $\frac{\partial^3 g(0)}{\partial \eta^4}$ and Fig.5 shows the \hbar -curve of $\frac{\partial^4 h(0)}{\partial \eta^4}$ and Fig.5 shows the \hbar -curve of $\frac{\partial \theta(0)}{\partial \eta}$.









Fig.4. The 25th-order approximation of $h^{iv}(0)$ versus \hbar

Fig.5. The 25th-order approximation of $\theta'(0)$ versus \hbar

We should select optimal \hbar from the region in which the diagram is quite horizontal. Horizontal region is the optimal \hbar region. Regarding figure (2) optimal \hbar equals zero, regarding figure (3) optimal \hbar equals -1, regarding figure (4) optimal \hbar equals -1 regarding figure (5) optimal \hbar equals -1. In this article we have obtained the values of *f*,*g*,*h* by applying HAM remarkable method as well as by numerical method and you will see the consequences of these methods in figures No,6,7 and 8. These three diagrams apparently show that quite analytic method of HAM is so close to numerical solution with great exactness which is a token of its high accuracy.



Fig.6. Comparison of numerical results with HAM of $f(\eta)$



Fig.7. Comparison of numerical results with HAM of $g(\eta)$



Fig.8. Comparison of numerical results with HAM of $h(\eta)$

The values	of $\theta'(0)$. for	no slip con	dition. (P	=7)
β	0	0.5	1	5
numerical	1.21176	0.752941	0.523529	0.170588
HAM	1.21175	0.752941	0.523528	0.170585

We consider four different situation of the no slip energy equation $(\beta = 0, \beta = 0.5, \beta = 1 \text{ and } \beta = 5)$ which led us to identical \hbar -curve for different values of β . Then we obtain $\theta'(0)$ for Prandtl number seven. Prandtl number 7 represents liquids. In Table1, we can see that the HAM solution is very close to the numerical solution.

4 Conclusions

In this paper, we utilized the powerful method of homotopy analysis to obtain the stagnation flow equations. We achieved a very good approximation with the numerical solution of the considered problem. In addition, this technique is algorithmic and it is easy to implementation by symbolic computation software, such as Maple and Mathematica. Different from all other analytic techniques, it provides us with a simple way to adjust and control the convergence region of approximate series solutions. Unlike perturbation methods, the HAM does not need any small parameter. It shows that the HAM is a very efficient method. We sincerely hope this method can be applied in a wider range.

Acknowledgments. The Authors would like to thank ISSL's members for technical discussion and invaluable comments.

References

- [1] N. Ali Hasan, Introduction to Perturbation Techniques, John Wiley Sons, New York, 1981.
- [2] N. Ali Hasan, Problems in Perturbation, John Wiley & Sons, 1985.
- [3] S.J. Liao, The proposed homotopy analysis technique for the solution of nonlinear problems, Ph.D. Thesis, ShanghaiJiao TongUniversity, 1992.
- [4] S.J. Liao, An approximate solution technique not depending on small parameters: A special example, Int. J. Nonlinear Mech. 30 (1995) 371-380.

Table 1	1
---------	---

- [5] S.J. Liao, A kind of approximate solution technique which does not depend upon small parameters-II An application in fluid mechanics, Int. J. Nonlinear Mech. 32 (5) (1997) 815.
- [6] S.J. Liao, An explicit, totally analytic approximate solution of Blasiuse' viscouse flow problems, Int. J. Nonlinear Mech. 34 (4) (1999) 759-778.
- [7] S.J. Liao, Beyond Perturbation: Introduction to the Homotopy Analysis Method, Chapman and Hall/CRC Press, Boca Raton (2003).
- [8] S.J. Liao, An explicit, totally analytic approximate solution for Blasiuse' viscous flow problems, Appl. Math. Comput. 147 (2004) 499-513.
- [9] S.J. Liao, Comparison between the homotopy analysis method and homotopy perturbation method, Appl. Math. Comput. 169 (2005) 1186-1194.
- [10] Alireza Doosthoseini, Ahmad Doosthoseini, Ensiyeh Doosthoseini, M. M. Sharpasand, An Analytic Solution for Finding the Natural Frequency of a Nonlinear Oscillating System, Applied Mathematical Sciences, 3 (2009) 1031-1039.
- [11] S. Dinarvand, A. Doosthoseini, E. Doosthoseini, M.M. Rashidi, Series solutions for unsteady laminar MHD flow near forward stagnation point of an impulsively rotating and translating sphere in presence of buoyancy forces, Nonlinear Analysis: Real World Applications, Accepted Manuscript (2009).
- [12] T. Hayat, M. Khan, M. Ayub, On the explicit analytic solutions of an Oldroyd 6-constant fluid, Int. J. Eng. Sci. 42 (2004), 123-135.
- [13] S.J. Liao, I. Pop, Explicit analytic solution for similarity boundary layer equations, Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer 47 (2004) 75-85.
- [14] S. Abbasbandy, The application of homotopy analysis method to nonlinear equations arising in heat transfer, Phys. Lett. A 360 (2006) 109-113.
- [15] Libby, P.A., 1974. Wall shear at a three dimensional stagnation point with amoving wall. AIAA Journal 12, 408–409.
- [16] Rott, N, 1956. Unsteady viscous flow in the vicinity of a stagnation point.Quarterly of Applied Mathematics 13, 444–451.
- [17] Rott, N, 1956. Unsteady viscous flow in the vicinity of a stagnation point.Quarterly of Applied Mathematics 13, 444–451.
- [18] Weidman, P.D., Mahalingam S., 1997. Axisymmetric stagnation-point flow impinging on a transversely oscillating plate with suction. Journal of Engineering Mathematics 31, 305–318.

Received: March, 2009