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#### Abstract

In this work, we study the existence and the uniqueness and the regularity of the solution of a problem governed by a nonlinear equation intervening in relativistic quantum mechanics perturbed by an amortizement factor
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## 1. Notations

Let $\Omega$ is an open bounded domain of $\left.I R^{n}, \Sigma=\Omega \times\right] 0, T[$, where $T$ is a finite positive real number. For each real functions $f$ and $g$, we pose:
$(f, g)=\int_{\Omega} f(x) g(x) d x$, and $|f|^{2}=(f, f)$. We denote by $\|f\|_{p}$ the norm of the function $f$ in $L^{p}(\Omega), \quad 1 \leq p \leq \infty$.

## 2. Position of the Problem

Let $\alpha \in] 0,+\infty\left[\right.$, suppose that $f$ is given in $L^{2}(\Sigma)$.
Our problem is: Find $u$ such that: $u \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \cap L^{p}(\Omega)\right)$
and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

And $u$ is solution of the following problem ( $P$ )

$$
(P)\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}+\varepsilon \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}-\Delta u+|u|^{\alpha} u=f \quad \text { in } \quad \Sigma  \tag{2.3}\\
u=0 \quad \text { on } \quad \Gamma \times] 0, T[ \\
u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x) ; \quad x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots ., x_{n}\right) \in \Omega \\
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(x, 0)=u_{1}(x) ; \quad x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots . ., x_{n}\right) \in \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

The equation (2.3) models a phenomenon that occurs in relativistic quantum mechanics perturbed by $\varepsilon \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} ; \quad \varepsilon>0$ called amortizement factor for this phenomenon.
We will use the two following lemmas and the following corollary showed in [3].
Lemma 2.1-. Let be $\left.f \in L^{p} 0, T ; X\right)$ and $\left.\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} \in L^{p} 0, T ; X\right)$ where $X$ is a Banach space and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Then $f:[0, T] \rightarrow X$ is continious almost every where.
Lemma 2.2. Let be $\theta$ a bounded open of $I R_{x}^{n} \times I R_{t}$ and $g_{\mu}, g$ two functions of $L^{q}(\theta) ; 1<q<\infty$ such that $\left\|g_{\mu}\right\|_{L^{p}(\theta)} \leq C$ and $g_{\mu} \rightarrow g$ almost every where in $\theta$. Then $g_{\mu} \rightarrow g$ in $L^{q}(\Omega)$ weakly.
Corollary 2.1. There exists a sequence $\left\{w_{i}\right\}_{1}^{\infty} \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \cap L^{p}(\Omega)$ such that for any $m \geq 0$, the vectors $w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots \ldots ., w_{m}$ are linearly independent and any the subspace spanned by this vectors is dense in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \cap L^{p}(\Omega)$
Theorem 2.1.- Let be given $f, u_{0}, u_{1}$ such that:

$$
\begin{gather*}
f \in L^{2}(\Sigma)  \tag{2.7}\\
u_{0} \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \cap L^{p}(\Omega) \quad p=\alpha+2  \tag{2.8}\\
u_{1} \in L^{2}(\Omega) \tag{2.9}
\end{gather*}
$$

Then the problem (P) admits a solution.
Proof.- The proof of this theoerm 2.1, is done in three steps.
Step 1.- Approximation.-
We pose $u^{\prime}=\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}, \quad u^{\prime \prime}=\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}$, and $a(u, v)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{j}} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{j}} d x$
We use the base introduced into the above corollary, and we define an approximate solution $u_{m}=u_{m}(t)$ of the problem ( $P$ ) under the form : $u_{m}(t)=\sum_{i=1}^{m} g_{i m}(t) w_{i}$ such that for $j=1,2, \ldots, m$, we obtain:
$\left(u_{m}^{\prime \prime}(t), w_{j}\right)+\varepsilon\left(u_{m}^{\prime}(t), w_{j}\right)+a\left(u_{m}(t), w_{j}\right)+\left(\left|u_{m}(t)\right|^{\rho} u_{m}(t), w_{j}\right)=\left(f(t), w_{j}\right)$
with :

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
u_{m}(0)=u_{0 m} & u_{0 m}=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_{i m} w_{i} \rightarrow u_{0} \text { in } H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \cap L^{p}(\Omega) \text { when } m \rightarrow \infty \\
u_{m}^{\prime}(0)=u_{1 m} & u_{1 m}=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \beta_{i m} w_{i} \rightarrow u_{1} \text { in } L^{2}(\Omega) \text { when } m \rightarrow \infty \tag{2.12}
\end{array}
$$

This is a nonlinear ordinary differential system. If one takes into account conditions (2.11) and (2.12), this system admits a solution on $\left[0, t_{m}\right]$, where $t_{m} \leq T$. The following priori estimates will show that $t_{m}=T$

## Step 2 Priori estimates

By multiplying (2.10) by $g_{j m}^{\prime}(t)$ and sum over $j$, we obtain:

$$
\left(u_{m}^{\prime \prime}(t), u_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right)+a\left(u_{m}(t), u_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right)+\varepsilon\left\|u_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left(\left|u_{m}(t)\right|^{\alpha} u_{m}(t), u_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right)=\left(f(t), u_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right)
$$

According to $\alpha=p-2$ (see (2.8)), the above expression can be written as:
$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left[\left\|u_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}+a\left(u_{m}(t), u_{m}(t)\right)+\frac{1}{p}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{m}(x, t)\right|^{p} d x\right)\right]+\varepsilon\left\|u_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}=\left(f(t), u_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right)$
We pose $\|v\|^{2}=a(v, v)$ this is a norm in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ equivalent to the usual norm in $H^{1}(\Omega)$ [1]. Along this work the norms without index are those defined in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ by the above definition. From (2.13), we deduce that :

$$
\begin{align*}
\left.\frac{1}{2}\left[\left\|u_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|u_{m}(t)\right\|^{2}\right)\right]+\frac{1}{p} \| u_{m}\left(t \|_{p}^{p}\right. & \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\left\|u_{1 m}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|u_{0 m}\right\|^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{p}\left\|u_{m}(0)\right\|_{p}^{p}+\varepsilon \int_{0}^{t}\left\|u_{m}^{\prime}(\sigma)\right\|_{2}^{2} d \sigma \\
& +\int_{0}^{t}\|f(\sigma)\|_{2}\left\|u_{m}^{\prime}(\sigma)\right\|_{2} d \sigma \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

From (2.11) and (2.12), we deduce that: There exists a constante $C>0$ such that:

$$
\frac{1}{2}\left(\left\|u_{1 m}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|u_{0 m}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{p}\left\|u_{m}(0)\right\|_{p}^{p} \leq C
$$

This implies that:
$\left.\frac{1}{2}\left[\left|u_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}+\left\|u_{m}(t)\right\|^{2}\right)\right]+\frac{1}{p}\left\|u_{m}(t)\right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}^{p} \leq C+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t}\|f(\sigma)\|_{2}^{2} d \sigma+\frac{1-2 \varepsilon}{2} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|u_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2} d \sigma$
Since $f$ is given in $L^{2}(\Sigma)$, we deduce that: There exists a constante $K>0$ such that: $\int_{0}^{t}|f(\sigma)|^{2} \leq K$. From the above inequality, we deduce that: There is a constante $K_{3}>0$ independent to $m$ such that: $\left\|u_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|+\left\|u_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)} \leq K_{3}$ Therefore $t_{m}=T$, moreover when $m \rightarrow \infty, u_{m}$ remains in a bounded of $L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \cap L^{p}(\Omega)\right)$ and $u_{m}^{\prime}$ in a bounded of $L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$

## Step 3 Taking the limit

According to the Danford Pettis theorem cf. [5], $L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \cap L^{p}(\Omega)\right)$ respectively $L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$ is the dual space of $L^{1}\left(0, T ; H^{-1}(\Omega)+L^{q}(\Omega)\right)$ respectively $L^{1}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$ where $\left(\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1\right)$
Consequently one can extract from $\left\{u_{m}\right\}$ the subsequence $\left\{u_{\mu}\right\}$ such that:
$u_{\mu} \rightarrow u$ in $L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \cap L^{p}(\Omega)\right)$ weak star
and $u_{\mu}^{\prime} \rightarrow u^{\prime}$ dans $L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$ weak star
According to (1.23), we deduce that $\left\{u_{m}\right\}$ is bounded in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right)$ and $\left\{u_{m}^{\prime}\right\}$ is bounded in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$ then $\left\{u_{m}\right\}$ remains in a bounded of $H^{1}(\Sigma)$. However from the Rellich Kondrachoff compcteness theorem see [2], the injection of $H^{1}(\Sigma)$ in $L^{2}(\Sigma)$ is compact. We can then suppose that $u_{\mu} \rightarrow u$ in $L^{2}(\Sigma)$ strongly and almost everywhere
Since the application $v \rightarrow|v|^{\alpha} v$ maps $L^{p}(\Omega)$ on $L^{q}(\Omega)$ where $\left(\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1\right)$, then $\left|u_{\mu}\right|^{\alpha} u_{\mu}$ remains in a bounded domain of $L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{q}(\Omega)\right)$, thus there exists $w \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{q}(\Omega)\right)$ such that $\left|u_{\mu}\right|^{\alpha} u_{\mu} \rightarrow w$ weak star It remains to show that $w=|u|^{\alpha} u$ :
But this results from(2.19) and (2.20) and the lemma 2.2 with $\theta=\Sigma$;
$g_{\mu}=\left|u_{\mu}\right|^{\alpha} u_{\mu}, \quad q=\frac{\alpha+2}{\alpha+1}$, where $\left(\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1\right)$ and from (2.18), we deduce that:
$g_{\mu} \rightarrow|u|^{\alpha} u=g$ and $g_{\mu} \rightarrow w$ in $L^{q}(\theta) ; 1<q<\infty$ weakly and from this we
obtain $w=g=|u|^{\alpha} u$. According to the lemma 2.2, we can now take the limit in (2.10) that we use for $m=\mu$. Let $j$ is a fixed natural number such that $\mu>j$ then:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left(u_{\mu}^{\prime \prime}(t), w_{j}\right)+\varepsilon\left(u_{\mu}^{\prime}(t), w_{j}\right)+a\left(u_{\mu}(t), w_{j}\right)+\left|u_{\mu}(t)\right|^{\alpha} u_{\mu}(t), w_{j}\right)=\left(f(t), w_{j}\right) \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to (2.18), we obtain $a\left(u_{\mu}, w_{j}\right) \rightarrow a\left(u, w_{j}\right)$ in $L^{\infty}(0, T)$ weak star and $\left(u_{\mu}^{\prime}, w_{j}\right) \rightarrow\left(u^{\prime}, w_{j}\right)$ in $L^{\infty}(0, T)$ weak star.
then $\left(u_{\mu}^{\prime \prime}, w_{j}\right)=\frac{d}{d t}\left(u_{\mu}^{\prime}, w_{j}\right) \rightarrow\left(u^{\prime \prime}, w_{j}\right)$ in $D^{\prime}(0, T)$ and according (2.20), we have $\left(\left|u_{\mu}\right|^{\alpha} u_{\mu}, w_{j}\right) \rightarrow\left(|u|^{\alpha} u, w_{j}\right) \quad$ in $L^{\infty}(0, T)$ weak star
One deduces from (2.21) that :

$$
\frac{d^{2}}{d t^{2}}\left(u, w_{j}\right)+\varepsilon \frac{d}{d t}\left(u, w_{j}\right)+a\left(u, w_{j}\right)+\left(|u|^{\alpha} u, w_{j}\right)=\left(f, w_{j}\right)
$$

According to the properties of the density of the base $w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots . ., w_{m}$, see the corollary 2.1, we deduce that:
$\frac{d^{2}}{d t^{2}}(u, v)+\varepsilon \frac{d}{d t}(u, v)+a(u, v)+\left(\left.u\right|^{\alpha} u, v\right)=(f, v) \quad \forall v \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \cap L^{p}(\Omega)$
then $u$ satisfies (2.3),(2.1) and (2.2). It remains to check (2.5) and(2.6).
According to (2.11) , (2.12) and the lemma 2.2, we have $u_{\mu}(0) \rightarrow u(0)$ in $L^{2}(\Omega)$ weakly, but according to (2.11) $u_{\mu}(0)=u_{0 \mu} \rightarrow u_{0}$ in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \cap L^{p}(\Omega)$ then (2.5) is checked. Using the lemma 2.1, we obtain: $\left(u_{\mu}^{\prime \prime}, w_{j}\right) \rightarrow\left(u^{\prime \prime}, w_{j}\right)$ in $L^{\infty}(0, T)$ weak star. So using the lemma 2.1 with $X=I R$, we will have $\left(u_{\mu}^{\prime}(0), w_{j}\right) \rightarrow\left(u^{\prime}, w_{j}\right)_{t=0}=\left(u^{\prime}(0), w_{j}\right)$, from (2.12) we deduce that :
$\left(u^{\prime}(0), w_{j}\right) \rightarrow\left(u_{1}, w_{j}\right)$, on a $\left(u^{\prime}(0), w_{j}\right)=\left(u_{1}, w_{j}\right) \quad \forall j$ then $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(0)=u_{1}$

## 3. Uniqueness

Theorem 3.1. Using the hypothesis of the theorem 2.1 with the condition:
$\alpha \leq \frac{2}{n-2}$ if $n \neq 2(\alpha$ is any finite real number if $n=2)$
Then the problem ( $P$ ) admits an unic solution.
Proof.-
Let $u$ and $v$ are two solutions of the problem (P), then $w=u-v$ checks :

$$
\begin{gather*}
w^{\prime \prime}+\varepsilon w^{\prime}-\Delta w=|v|^{\alpha} v-|u|^{\alpha} u  \tag{3.2}\\
w(0)=0 ; w^{\prime}(0)=0 \\
w \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \cap L^{p}(\Omega)\right) \\
w^{\prime} \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

by multiplying the two members of (3.2) by $w^{\prime}$ and integrating over $\Omega$, it becames :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left[\left\|w^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}+\|w(t)\|^{2}\right]+\varepsilon\|w(t)\|_{2}^{2}=\int_{\Omega}\left(|v|^{\alpha} v-|u|^{\alpha} u\right) v^{\prime} d x \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to the Hölder inequality, the above expression is majored by :
$c\left(\left\|\left.u\right|^{\alpha}\right\|_{n}+\left\|\left.v\right|^{\alpha}\right\|_{n}\right)\|w(t)\|_{q}\left\|w^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{2}$ where $\frac{1}{q}+\frac{1}{n}+\frac{1}{2}=1$
from (3.1), we deduce that $\alpha n \leq q$. According to the prologation theorem of Sobolev [2], we get $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \subset L^{q}(\Omega)$ with $\frac{1}{q}+\frac{1}{n}+\frac{1}{2}=1, n>2$. Then:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{\Omega}\left(|v|^{\alpha} v-|u|^{\alpha} u\right) v^{\prime} d x\right| \leq c\left(\left\||u|^{\alpha}\right\|_{n}+\left\||v|^{\alpha}\right\|_{n}\right)\|w(t)\|\left\|w^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{2} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $u, v \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right)$ we obtain finaly:

$$
\left[\left\|w^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}+\|w(t)\|^{2}\right] \leq \frac{c_{2}}{2}\left\|w^{\prime}(\sigma)\right\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{c_{2}-2 \varepsilon}{2} \int_{0}^{t}\|w(\sigma)\|^{2} d \sigma \quad \text { Then } \quad w=0
$$

## 4 Regularity

Theorem 4.1.- Let us return to the hypothesis of the theorem 2.1, that we use with the following complementary conditions:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} \in L^{2}(\Sigma)  \tag{4.1}\\
u_{0} \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \cap H^{2}(\Omega)  \tag{4.2}\\
u_{1} \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)  \tag{4.3}\\
\alpha \leq \frac{2}{n-2} \quad(\alpha \text { is a finite real number if } n=2) \tag{4.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

Then the problem ( $P$ ) admits an unic solution $u$ checking

$$
\begin{gather*}
u \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \cap H^{2}(\Omega)\right)  \tag{4.5}\\
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right)  \tag{4.6}\\
\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}} \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right) \tag{4.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

## Proof.-

## Existence

We will use the base defined in the corollary 2.1 and that introduced int the step1 of the proof of the theorem 2.1, but in this case this base is defined in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \cap H^{2}(\Omega)$.
We suppose that $u_{0 m} \rightarrow u_{0}$ in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \cap H^{2}(\Omega)$ and $u_{1 m} \rightarrow u_{1}$ in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$
And we use the same methode as that used in proff of the theorem 2.1

## Uuniqueness.-

We use the same technic as that used in the proof of the theorem3.1
It becames: There is a constante $c>0$ such that
constante $M>0$ such that: $\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left[\left\|w^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}+\|w(t)\|^{2}\right] \leq M\|w(t)\|\left\|w^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{2}$
Since $\|w(t)\|\left\|w^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{2} \leq\|w(t)\|^{2}+\left\|w^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}$ and by applying the Gronwal Lemma [5] and [6], we deduce $w=0$.
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