

Gravitation Field Dynamics in Jeans Theory

A. A. Stupka

*Dnipropetrovsk National University, Quantum Chromoplasma Laboratory, Naukova Str., 13, 49050, Dnipropetrovsk, Ukraine.
e-mail: antonstupka@mail.ru*

Received 2007 November 20; accepted 2009 January 27

Abstract. Closed system of time equations for nonrelativistic gravitation field and hydrodynamic medium was obtained by taking into account binary correlations of the field, which is the generalization of Jeans theory. Distribution function of the system was built on the basis of the Bogolyubov reduced description method. Calculations were carried out up to the first order of a perturbation theory in interaction. Adiabatic and entropic types of perturbations were corrected and two new types of perturbations were found.

Key words. Time equations—Jeans theory—Bogolyubov reduced description method—perturbation types.

Jeans theory is the fundamental basis for self-gravitating gas study (Zeldovich & Novikov 1983) and is known for the simplicity of the model. Earlier generalizations of the Jeans theory for more complicated gas models are actively studied, for example, the model with Burnett terms (Garcia-Colin & Sandoval-Villalbazo 2005), magnetization (Lee & Hong 2007), heat flux (Sandoval-Villalbazo & Garcia-Perciante 2007), binary systems (Tsiklauri 1998). In this paper, on the other hand, generalization was built by taking into account freedom degrees of nonrelativistic longitudinal gravitation field. New independent variables (second correlations of the field) are introduced. This gives us the possibility to estimate the influence of the mentioned field on perturbation motion in the gas. This problem is analogous to the Coulomb electronic plasma with Langmuir (plasma) waves (Sokolovsky & Stupka 2006).

1. Hamilton function

We will begin with nonrelativistic action for the system of massive particles and Newtonian field (Landau & Lifshitz 1980)

$$S = \iint \left(\frac{\rho v^2}{2} - \rho\phi - \frac{(\nabla\phi)^2}{8\pi G} \right) dV dt. \quad (1)$$

Let us make the replacement of generalized field co-ordinate

$$\varphi = \partial_t \lambda. \quad (2)$$

If we consider equation (1) as nonrelativistic limit of general relativity action, then $\varphi = -c^2 h_{00}/2$, where h_{00} is a small addition term to the proper component of Galilei metrics.

The co-ordinates shift by small four-vector ξ_α (the Greek indices are 0, 1, 2, 3) causes change of metric tensor (Landau & Lifshitz 1980)

$$h'_{\alpha\beta} = h_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{\partial \xi_\alpha}{\partial x^\beta} - \frac{\partial \xi_\beta}{\partial x^\alpha},$$

by comparing with equation (2) one can see $\xi_0 = \lambda/c$. This means that equation (2) corresponds to returning from the co-ordinate system with $h_{00} = 0$ to the laboratory system.

Let us denote $\mathbf{A} = \nabla\lambda$, where the components of \mathbf{A} coincide with $-ch_{0i}$ of the system with $h_{00} = 0$ at $\xi_i = 0$: $-ch_{0i} = \nabla_i\lambda$. In a linear theory the gravitation vector potential is formed from the components h_{0i} . Similarly, one could name the vector \mathbf{A} as longitudinal vector potential, however, one should remember that it is a new generalized co-ordinate of the field exactly in the laboratory system.

Then, the second term in equation (1) integrating by parts over time and using equation of continuity:

$$\partial_t \rho + \nabla \mathbf{j} = 0, \quad (3)$$

and after integrating by parts over space, and in the third term simply changing the order of derivatives we obtain:

$$S = \iiint \left(\frac{\rho v^2}{2} - \mathbf{j} \mathbf{A} - \frac{(\partial_t \mathbf{A})^2}{8\pi G} \right) dV dt. \quad (4)$$

In equation (4), unlike equation (1), the generalized co-ordinate of gravitation field is a dynamic one and it is easy to build the Hamiltonian function by standard procedure (Sokolovsky & Stupka 2006). Let us introduce the correspondent velocity $\mathbf{E} = \partial_t \mathbf{A}$, and nonrelativistic Hamilton function of the system has the form:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H} &= \hat{H}_0 + \hat{H}_{\text{int}} = (\hat{H}_m + \hat{H}_f) + (\hat{V}_1 + \hat{V}_2), \\ \hat{H}_f &= - \int d^3 \mathbf{x} \frac{\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x})^2}{8\pi}, \\ \hat{V}_1 &= - \int d^3 \mathbf{x} \hat{\mathbf{A}}_n(\mathbf{x}) \hat{\mathbf{j}}_{\text{on}}(\mathbf{x}), \\ \hat{V}_2 &= \frac{1}{2} \int d^3 \mathbf{x} \hat{\mathbf{A}}^2(\mathbf{x}) \hat{\rho}(\mathbf{x}); \\ \hat{\mathbf{j}}_{\text{on}}(\mathbf{x}) &= \sum_a \hat{\mathbf{j}}_{\text{on}a}(\mathbf{x}) = \hat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}_{\text{on}}(\mathbf{x}), \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where \hat{H}_m and \hat{H}_f are Hamilton functions for free medium particles and longitudinal gravitation field respectively ($\text{rot } \mathbf{E} = 0$). The last expression (5) contains free (i.e., without interaction) momentum density $\hat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}_{\text{on}}(\mathbf{x})$ (such values have additional subscript o), that involve a question about gauge invariance, which will be solved in a standard way (Sokolovsky & Stupka 2006; Landau & Lifshitz 1980).

2. Distribution function

The considered system will be described by field strength $\eta_{1nx} \equiv \mathbf{E}_n(\mathbf{x}, t)$, its longitudinal vector potential $\eta_{2nx} \equiv \mathbf{A}_n(\mathbf{x}, t)$, binary correlations $(\hat{\mathbf{E}}_n(\mathbf{x})\hat{\mathbf{E}}_l(\mathbf{x}'))^t$ (we denote them as variables $\eta_\alpha(t)$) and by densities of energy $\zeta_0(\mathbf{x}, t) \equiv \varepsilon(\mathbf{x}, t)$, momentum $\zeta_n(\mathbf{x}, t) \equiv \boldsymbol{\pi}_n(\mathbf{x}, t)$, mass $\zeta_4(\mathbf{x}, t) \equiv \rho(\mathbf{x}, t)$ of gas subsystem (variables $\zeta_\mu(\mathbf{x}, t)$). A similar parameter set was used in Sokolovsky & Stupka (2006). Gauge invariant densities of momentum and energy can be introduced in the usual manner. For example, gauge invariant mass velocity u_{an} of the electron subsystem is given by the expression:

$$\mathbf{u}_n(\mathbf{x}, t) = \mathbf{u}_{\text{on}}(\mathbf{x}, t) - \mathbf{A}_n(\mathbf{x}, t)\rho(\mathbf{x}, t), \quad (6)$$

where \mathbf{u}_{on} is free velocity. This allows us to use the Galilei transformation and to obtain results in a gauge invariant form.

Equations of motion for microscopic values of reduced description parameters in terms of the gauge invariant densities have the standard form:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathbf{E}}_n(\mathbf{x}) &= 4\pi G \hat{\mathbf{j}}_n(\mathbf{x}), & \hat{\mathbf{A}}_n(\mathbf{x}) &= -\hat{\mathbf{E}}_n(\mathbf{x}); \\ \hat{\rho}(\mathbf{x}) &= -\frac{\partial \hat{j}_l(\mathbf{x})}{\partial \mathbf{x}_l}, & \hat{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{x}) &= -\frac{\partial \hat{q}_n(\mathbf{x})}{\partial \mathbf{x}_n} + \hat{\mathbf{j}}_n(\mathbf{x})\hat{\mathbf{E}}_n(\mathbf{x}), \\ \hat{\pi}_l(\mathbf{x}) &= -\frac{\partial \hat{t}_{ln}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial \mathbf{x}_n} + \hat{\rho}(\mathbf{x})\hat{\mathbf{E}}_l(\mathbf{x}). \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

These formulae allow us to write the corresponding microscopic equations for products of values $\hat{\mathbf{E}}_n(\mathbf{x})$. Averaging such equations with the nonequilibrium distribution function of the system $\rho(\eta(t), \zeta_o(t))$ we obtain a closed system of equations for parameters describing the system's state (it is convenient to do this by using non-gauge invariant medium variables ζ_o).

To construct distribution function $\rho(\eta(t), \zeta_o(t))$ for this case, one can use the Bogolyubov reduced description method (Akhiezer & Peletminsky 1981) starting from the Liouville equation

$$\partial_t \rho(\eta(t), \zeta_o(t)) = \{\hat{H}, \rho(\eta(t), \zeta_o(t))\} \equiv \mathbf{L}\rho(\eta(t), \zeta_o(t)). \quad (8)$$

Field microscopic values and their correlations satisfy the Peletminsky–Yatsenko condition $\mathbf{L}_m \hat{\eta}_\alpha = -i \sum_{\alpha'} c_{\alpha\alpha'} \hat{\eta}_{\alpha'}$. Using a boundary condition of complete correlation weakening, according to Akhiezer & Peletminsky (1981) and Sokolovsky & Stupka (2005), we obtain the following integral equation for distribution function $\rho(\eta, \zeta_o)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(\eta, \zeta_o) &= \rho_q(\eta,)w(\zeta_o) + \int_0^{+\infty} d\tau e^{\tau \mathbf{L}_m} \left\{ e^{\tau \mathbf{L}_f} \left(\mathbf{L}_{\text{int}} \rho(\eta, \zeta_o) + 4\pi G \sum_{\alpha} \frac{\partial \rho(\eta, \zeta_o)}{\partial \eta_{\alpha}} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \times j_{\alpha}(\eta, \zeta_o) - \sum_{\mu} \int d\mathbf{x} \frac{\partial \rho(\eta, \zeta_o)}{\delta \zeta_{\mu o}(\mathbf{x})} M_{\mu}(\mathbf{x}, \eta, \zeta_o) \right) \Big|_{\eta \rightarrow e^{i\tau c\eta}} - \rho_q(\eta) \mathbf{L}_m w(\zeta_o) \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Further, it is convenient to consider the equation (9) in the picture of spatial dependence of microscopic values by Baryakhtar–Peletminsky (Akhiezer & Peletminsky

1981) using distribution function $\rho(\mathbf{x}, \eta, \zeta_o)$ instead of $\rho(\eta, \zeta_o)$. Then one can choose $w(\mathbf{x}, \zeta_o)$ in the form of local in $\zeta_{o\mu}(\mathbf{x})$ quasi-equilibrium distribution function of the medium and $\rho_q(\eta)$ as arbitrary distribution function of the field. Functions $j_\alpha(\eta, \zeta_o)$, $M_\mu(\mathbf{x}, \eta, \zeta_o)$ are defined by equations of motion for parameters describing the system

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\eta}_\alpha(t) &= i \sum_{\alpha'} c_{\alpha\alpha'} \eta_{\alpha'}(t) + 4\pi G j_\alpha(\eta(t), \zeta_o(t)), \\ \dot{\zeta}_{o\mu}(\mathbf{x}, t) &= M_\mu(\mathbf{x}, \eta(t), \zeta_o(t)).\end{aligned}\quad (10)$$

In the Baryakhtar–Peletminsky picture equation (9) is solvable in a perturbation theory in small interaction ($\hat{V}_1 \sim \lambda^1$, $\hat{V}_2 \sim \lambda^2$, $\lambda \ll 1$). The weakness of EM interaction allows us to build the perturbation theory in small parameter $\lambda = \Omega/\omega_0$, where Ω , ω_0 are Jeans and collision frequencies starting from estimations $L_s \sim \lambda^0$, $L_{bo} \sim \lambda^0$, $L_1 \sim \lambda^1$, $L_2 \sim \lambda^2$ for the contribution in Liouville operator (Sokolovsky & Stupka 2005).

It was found that in the local rest reference system of the gas subsystem:

$$\rho^{0(0)}(\mathbf{x}, \eta, \zeta_o) = \rho_q(\eta)w(\zeta_o(\mathbf{x})),$$

$$w(\zeta_o) = \exp \beta\{\Omega(\beta, \mu) - \hat{H}_m + \mu \hat{M}\};$$

$$\begin{aligned}\rho^{0(1)}(\mathbf{x}, \eta, \zeta_o) &= \int_{-\infty}^0 d\tau \int d\mathbf{x}' \sum_{\alpha} v_{n\alpha}(\mathbf{x}', \tau) \\ &\times \left(\{\rho_q w(\zeta_o(\mathbf{x})), \hat{\eta}_\alpha(\hat{\mathbf{j}}_{\text{on}}(\mathbf{x}' - \mathbf{x}, \tau) + \hat{\rho}(\mathbf{x}' - \mathbf{x}, \tau)\mathbf{u}_n(\mathbf{x}))\} \right. \\ &+ \rho_q \hat{\eta}_\alpha \sum_{\mu} \frac{\partial w(\zeta_o(\mathbf{x}))}{\partial \zeta_{o\mu}} \text{Sp}_m w(\zeta_o(\mathbf{x}))\{\hat{\zeta}_\mu(0), (\hat{\mathbf{j}}_{\text{on}}(\mathbf{x}' - \mathbf{x}) \\ &\left. + \hat{\rho}(\mathbf{x}' - \mathbf{x})\mathbf{u}_n(\mathbf{x}))\} + w(\zeta_o(\mathbf{x}))\hat{\rho}(\mathbf{x}')\mathbf{u}_n(\mathbf{x}') \sum_{\alpha'} \frac{\partial \rho_q}{\partial \eta_{\alpha'}} \varepsilon_{\alpha'\alpha} \right),\end{aligned}\quad (11)$$

where $\hat{\mathbf{A}}_n(\mathbf{x})$ and $\hat{\mathbf{j}}_{\text{an}}(\mathbf{x})$ in the Dirac picture and matrix $\varepsilon_{\alpha\alpha'}$ were introduced

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\mathbf{A}}_n(\mathbf{x}, \tau) &\equiv e^{-\tau L_f} \hat{\mathbf{A}}_n(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\alpha} \mathbf{v}_{n\alpha}(\mathbf{x}, \tau) \hat{\eta}_\alpha, \\ \hat{\mathbf{j}}_{\text{on}}(\mathbf{x}, \tau) &= e^{-\tau L_m} \hat{\mathbf{j}}_{\text{on}}(\mathbf{x}), \quad \varepsilon_{\alpha\alpha'} \equiv i \text{Sp}_f \rho_q \{\hat{\eta}_\alpha, \hat{\eta}_{\alpha'}\}\end{aligned}\quad (12)$$

($\mathbf{v}_{n\alpha}(\mathbf{x}, \tau)$ are known functions), w is equilibrium distribution function of the gas subsystem, \hat{H}_m , \hat{M} are the Hamiltonian and mass density of the gas subsystem. Zero superscript in $\rho^{0(0)}(\mathbf{x}, \eta, \zeta)$, $\rho^{0(1)}(\mathbf{x}, \eta, \zeta)$ and further indicates, that the corresponding value belongs to the system of the local rest. The first term in equation (11) contains

the expression:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-\infty}^0 d\tau \int d\mathbf{x} \{ \hat{\mathbf{A}}_n(\mathbf{x}, \tau) \hat{\mathbf{j}}_n(\mathbf{x}, \tau), \rho_q(\eta) w \} \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^0 d\tau \int d\mathbf{x} (\{ \hat{\mathbf{A}}_n(\mathbf{x}, \tau), \rho_q(\eta) \} \hat{\mathbf{j}}_n(\mathbf{x}, \tau) w + \rho_q(\eta) \hat{\mathbf{A}}_n(\mathbf{x}, \tau) \{ \hat{\mathbf{j}}_n(\mathbf{x}, \tau), w \}), \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

in which the last Poisson brackets can be rewritten in the form as in equation (5) (Sokolovsky & Stupka 2005)

$$\{ \hat{\mathbf{j}}_n(\mathbf{x}, \tau), w \} = -\beta \{ \hat{\mathbf{j}}_n(\mathbf{x}, \tau), \hat{H}_m \} w = \beta \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{j}}_n(\mathbf{x}, \tau)}{\partial \tau} w \quad (14)$$

($\beta = T^{-1}$). Then integrating by parts and taking into account the boundary condition of the complete correlation weakening, we see that the lower integral limit disappears and equation (11) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^0(\mathbf{x}, \eta, \zeta) &= \rho_q(\eta) w - \int_{-\infty}^0 d\tau \int d\mathbf{x} \left(\{ \hat{\mathbf{A}}_n(\mathbf{x}, \tau), \rho_q(\eta) \} \hat{\mathbf{j}}_n(\mathbf{x}, \tau) w \right. \\ &\quad \left. + w(\zeta_o(\mathbf{x})) \sum_{\alpha} \mathbf{v}_{n\alpha}(\mathbf{x}', \tau) \hat{\rho}(\mathbf{x}') \mathbf{u}_n(\mathbf{x}') \sum_{\alpha'} \frac{\partial \rho_q}{\partial \eta_{\alpha'}} \varepsilon_{\alpha' \alpha} \right) \\ &\quad - \beta \int_{-\infty}^0 d\tau \int d\mathbf{x} \rho_q(\eta) \hat{\mathbf{E}}_n(\mathbf{x}, \tau) \hat{\mathbf{j}}_n(\mathbf{x}, \tau) w \\ &\quad - \beta \int d\mathbf{x} \rho_q(\eta) \hat{\mathbf{A}}_n(\mathbf{x}) \hat{\mathbf{j}}_n(\mathbf{x}) w. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

The last term in equation (15) will be dismissed with term from \hat{V}_2 .

3. Equations of motion and perturbations

To simplify further discussion we will keep in equations, terms up to the first order in λ .

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} &= -\frac{\partial \rho \mathbf{u}_n}{\partial \mathbf{x}_n}, \\ \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_l}{\partial t} &= -\mathbf{u}_n \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_l}{\partial \mathbf{x}_n} - \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial (p + p^{(1)})}{\partial \mathbf{x}_l} + \mathbf{E}_l + \frac{1}{\rho} (\hat{\rho} \hat{\mathbf{E}}_l)^0, \\ \frac{\partial \varepsilon^0}{\partial t} &= -\frac{\partial (\varepsilon^0 \mathbf{u}_n + \mathbf{q}_n^{0(1)})}{\partial \mathbf{x}_n} - p \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_l}{\partial \mathbf{x}_l} + \rho \mathbf{u}_n \mathbf{E}_n + (\hat{\mathbf{j}}_n \hat{\mathbf{E}}_n)^0. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Here $p, p^{(1)}$ are the local equilibrium and the first order contribution to pressure of the gas subsystem; $\mathbf{q}_n^{0(1)}$ is the first order contribution to energy flux of the gas subsystem.

The medium-field correlations are given by the expressions:

$$\begin{aligned}
(\hat{\mathbf{j}}_n \hat{\mathbf{E}}_l) &= (\hat{\mathbf{j}}_n \hat{\mathbf{E}}_l)^0 + (\hat{\rho} \hat{\mathbf{E}}_l) \mathbf{u}_n, \\
(\hat{\zeta}_{o\mu n} \hat{\mathbf{E}}_l) &= \lambda_{\mu n, \alpha}(\mathbf{x}, \zeta(\mathbf{x})) (\hat{\eta}_\alpha \hat{\mathbf{E}}_l) + S_{\mu n, l}(\mathbf{x}, \zeta(\mathbf{x})); \\
S_{\mu n, \alpha}(\mathbf{x}, \zeta) &= 8\pi \int_{-\infty}^0 d\tau \int d\mathbf{x}' \sum_{\alpha'} \varepsilon_{\alpha\alpha'} \mathbf{v}_{l\alpha'}(\mathbf{x}', \tau) \\
&\quad \times \text{Sp}_m w(\zeta) (\hat{\mathbf{j}}_{\text{obl}}(\mathbf{x}', \tau) + \hat{\rho}(\mathbf{x}', \tau) \mathbf{u}_l - \rho \mathbf{u}_l) \hat{\zeta}_{o\mu n}(\mathbf{x}), \\
\lambda_{a\mu n, \alpha}(\mathbf{x}, \zeta) &= -\beta \int_{-\infty}^0 d\tau \int d\mathbf{x}' v_{l\alpha}(\mathbf{x}') \text{Sp}_m w^o(\zeta^o) \hat{\zeta}_{oa\mu n}(\mathbf{x}) \\
&\quad \times \left(\sum_b \hat{\mathbf{j}}_{\text{obl}}(\mathbf{x}', \tau) + \hat{\rho}(\mathbf{x}', \tau) \mathbf{u}_l \right). \tag{17}
\end{aligned}$$

The first order contribution to hydrodynamic fluxes has the form $\zeta_{oa\mu n}^{(1)} = \lambda_{a\mu n, l}(\mathbf{x}, \zeta(\mathbf{x})) \mathbf{E}_l$, where function $\lambda_{a\mu n, \alpha}$ is defined in equation (17). In the considered approximation, relations (11) and (14) give the following equations for average gravitation field and correlations of the field

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{E}_n}{\partial t} = 4\pi G \rho \mathbf{u}_n, \quad \frac{\partial (\hat{\mathbf{E}}_n \hat{\mathbf{E}}_l)}{\partial t} = 4\pi G (\hat{\mathbf{j}}_n \hat{\mathbf{E}}_l). \tag{18}$$

From equation (17), it follows that we received a closed system of equations (16) and (18) for gravitation field and gas. These equations can be applied for studying field dynamics and correlation phenomena in the system. Suppose the correlations have their equilibrium value $(EE)_{nn} = 4\pi T$, one obtains equations of Jeans theory, but the first equation (18) allows zero solution, which was forbidden by Poisson equation.

Further, we will ignore spatial dispersion and consider zero correlation radius approximation using notation of a kind $(\hat{\mathbf{E}}_n(\mathbf{x}) \hat{\mathbf{E}}_l(\mathbf{x}')) = (\hat{E} \hat{E})_{nl}(\mathbf{x}) \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}')$. Linearization of equations (16)–(18) near the equilibrium after the Fourier transformation gives the following equations for deviations of the corresponding values from the equilibrium:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} &= -i\rho_0 k u, & \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} &= -\frac{i}{\rho_0} k (p_T T + p_\rho \rho) + E, \\
\varepsilon_T^0 \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} &= -ik\lambda_4 E - (w - \varepsilon_\rho^0 \rho_0) iku + \lambda_2 \{(EE)_{nn} - 4\pi T\}; \tag{19}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial t} = 4\pi G \rho_0 u, \quad \frac{\partial (EE)_{nn}}{\partial t} = 4\pi \lambda_1 \{(EE)_{nn} - 4\pi T\}, \tag{20}$$

(we do not use a special notation for the deviations; ρ_0 is equilibrium mass density of electrons). Here only longitudinal parts of velocity vector fields were kept, constant coefficients $\lambda_1 \delta_{nl} = -G \int d\mathbf{x} \lambda_{4n, l}(\mathbf{x}, \zeta)$, $\lambda_2 \delta_{nl} = \lambda_{4n, l}(\mathbf{x} = 0, \zeta)$,

$\lambda_4 \delta_{nl} = \int d\mathbf{x} \lambda_{0n,1l}(\mathbf{x}, \zeta)$ were introduced and notations $p = p_T T + p_\rho \rho$, $\varepsilon^0 = \varepsilon_T^0 T + \varepsilon_\rho^0 \rho$ were used. So, we have five equations (19) and (20), which form a closed system. It allows us to study the influence of average field and field correlation degrees of freedom on such systems. And we obtain two new perturbation types: field one, that characterized by λ_4 and correlation one, that characterized by λ_1 and λ_2 . To solve the system we assume the time-dependence to have the form $\sim \exp(\omega t)$. After some calculation we obtain the following corrected dispersion law for the known adiabatic types (Zeldovich & Novikov 1983) for small wave vector and small interaction:

$$\omega = \pm (\Omega + O(\lambda^2)) + \left(\mp \frac{u^2}{2\Omega} - \frac{\Delta}{2} + \frac{\psi\theta}{2\Omega^2} + O(\lambda^2) \right) k^2 + O(k^3), \quad (21)$$

where $\Omega = \sqrt{4\pi Gm}$ is Jeans frequency, $\Delta \equiv 4\pi\lambda_4 p_T / \varepsilon_T^0$, $\psi \equiv p_T(\varepsilon^0 + p - \varepsilon_\rho^0 \rho) / \rho \varepsilon_T^0$, $\theta \equiv 4\pi\lambda_2 / \varepsilon_T^0$ and $u = \sqrt{p_\rho + \psi}$ is the sound velocity. For the field type $\omega = 0$ and for entropic and correlation types:

$$\omega = \left(\frac{\vartheta - \theta \pm |\vartheta - \theta|}{2} + O(\lambda^2) \right) \pm \left(\frac{\psi\theta(\vartheta - \theta \pm |\vartheta - \theta|) + \Delta(\vartheta + \theta \pm |\vartheta - \theta|)\Omega^2}{2\Omega^2|\vartheta - \theta|} + O(\lambda^2) \right) k^2 + O(k^3), \quad (22)$$

where $\vartheta = 4\pi\lambda_1$. Together with the sound velocity the expression (21) contains new terms connected with average field and field correlations because kinetic coefficients λ_4 and λ_2 , which can be a leading contribution compared with an ordinary expression (Zeldovich & Novikov 1983). Expression (22) gives an essentially new effect due to new kinetic coefficients λ_4 , λ_1 and λ_2 .

4. Conclusions

We have generalized Jeans theory by taking into account binary correlations of non-relativistic gravitation field and using time equation for the average field. This has been done by using Bogolyubov reduced description method and mass conservation law starting from action for nonrelativistic gas and Newtonian field. As a result, the solution of linearized system has been proposed, that corrected known adiabatic perturbation eigenvalues and introduced two new ones, one of which is zero, but the other is coupled with corrected entropic perturbation. This, for example, changes the Jeans mass and may be useful in galaxy organization theories.

References

- Akhiezer, A. I., Peletminsky, S. V. 1981, *Methods of Statistical Physics* (Oxford, Pergamon).
 Garcia-Colin, L. S., Sandoval-Villalbazo, A. 2005, *Physica A*, **347**, 375.
 Landau, L. D., Lifshitz, E. M. 1980, *The Classical Theory of Fields*. Course of Theoretical Physics Series, Vol. 2 (Elsevier Science & Technology Books).
 Lee, Sang Min, Hong, S. S. 2007, *ApJ S S*, **169**(2), 269.
 Sandoval-Villalbazo, A., Garcia-Perciante, A. L. 2007, *General Relativity and Gravitation*, Online First, 10.1007/s10714-007-0498-z.

- Sokolovsky, A. I., Stupka, A. A. 2005, *Cond. Mat. Phys.*, **8**, **4(44)**, 685.
- Sokolovsky, A. I., Stupka, A. A. 2006, *Proc. Int. Conf. 13th International Congress on Plasma Physics*, Kiev, A157.
- Tsiklauri, D. 1998, *ApJ.*, **507**, 226.
- Zeldovich, Ya. B., Novikov, I. D. 1983, *Relativistic Astrophysics, Vol. II. The Structure and Evolution of the Universe* (Chicago, IL, University of Chicago Press).