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#### Abstract

We devise a fairly general sufficient condition ensuring that the endomorphism monoid of a countably infinite ultrahomogeneous structure (i.e. a Fraïssé limit) embeds all countable semigroups. This approach provides us not only with a framework unifying the previous scattered results in this vein, but actually yields new applications for endomorphism monoids of the (rational) Urysohn space and the countable universal ultrahomogeneous semilattice.
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## Introduction

The principal source of motivation for this paper stems from fascinating objects of model theory called Fraïssé limits. Namely, by a well-known result of R. Fraïssé [12, 13] (see also [16]), if $\mathscr{C}$ is a countable set of finitely generated first-order structures of a fixed countable signature which is (up to isomorphism) closed for taking finitely generated substructures, enjoys the amalgamation property (AP) and the joint embedding property (JEP), then there is a unique countable structure $F$ with the following two features:

- the set of all finitely generated substructures of $F$ coincides (up to isomorphism) with $\mathscr{C}$,
- $F$ is ultrahomogeneous, meaning that any isomorphism between its finitely generated substructures extends to an automorphism of $F$.

Such $F$ is called the $\mathscr{C}$-universal ultrahomogeneous structure or, following [16], the Fraïssé limit of $\mathscr{C}$. The corresponding class $\mathscr{C}$ with the above properties is called a Fraïssé class. Conversely, it is known that every countably infinite ultrahomogeneous structure arises in this way: it is simply the limit of the class of structures isomorphic to its finitely generated substructures. Of course, if all of this happens within a locally finite class of structures (e.g. relational structures with finitely many constants), then it suffices to replace 'finitely generated' by 'finite' in the above definitions.

Historically, the two oldest examples of Fraïssé limits are the rational Urysohn space $\mathbb{U}_{\mathbb{Q}}$, the limit of the class of all finite metric spaces with rational distances, and the linear order of the rationals, $\mathbb{Q}$, which is the limit of the class of all finite linear orders. Other well-known classes admitting Fraïssé limits include finite simple graphs, finite posets, and finite semilattices, resulting in the random graph $R$ [3, 4], the random (generic) poset $\mathbb{P}$ [9, 23], and the countable universal homogeneous semilattice $\Omega$ [10], respectively.

More recently, searching for a broader perspective to the notion of ultrahomogeneity, P. J. Cameron and J. Nešetřil [5] introduced the concept of homomorphism-homogeneity. A structure $A$ is homomorphism-homogeneous if any homomorphism $B \rightarrow A$ defined on a finitely generated substructure $B$ of $A$ can be extended to an endomorphism of $A$. In particular, any endomorphism of $B$ extends to an endomorphism of $A$. Now, a somewhat more sophisticated question arises whether one can select these extensions in a 'regular' fashion, in the sense that it is possible to choose, for each $\varphi \in \operatorname{End}(B)$, an extension $\widehat{\varphi} \in \operatorname{End}(A)$ such that the mapping $\varphi \mapsto \widehat{\varphi}$ is a monoid embedding $\operatorname{End}(B) \rightarrow \operatorname{End}(A)$. The same question applies to an arbitrary substructure $B$ of $A$.

Here we consider the case when $A$ is a countably infinite ultrahomogeneous structure, that is, a Fraïssé limit. Since $A$ is universal for a certain class of countable structures, it is to be expected that $\operatorname{End}(A)$, the endomorphism monoid of $A$, will exhibit a very rich structure and to some extent 'inherit' the universal properties of $A$. In fact, if for some (countably) infinite substructure $B$ of $A$ there is an embedding $\operatorname{End}(B) \rightarrow \operatorname{End}(A)$ and $B$ admits any self-map of the set $B$ as its endomorphism, then $\operatorname{Self}\left(\aleph_{0}\right)$, the monoid of all self-maps of a countably infinite set, embeds into $\operatorname{End}(A)$. Since any countable semigroup embeds into $\operatorname{Self}\left(\aleph_{0}\right)$ (by the semigroup analogue of the Cayley theorem) it would then follow that the monoid $\operatorname{End}(A)$ is countably universal, i.e. that it contains a copy of each countable semigroup.

For example, it was shown in [2] that this is true in the case of the random graph $R$, while the same conclusion is reached in [6] for the generic poset $\mathbb{P}$. Furthermore, Uspenskij [25] proved that every topological group with a countable base is isomorphic to a topological subgroup of the isometry (i.e. automorphism) group of the Urysohn space $\mathbb{U}$ [18, 24], which implies that every countable group embeds into $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{U}_{\mathbb{Q}}\right)$. Also, for any countably infinite ultrahomogeneous simple graph $G$ (a complete list of these is given by Lachlan and Woodrow [19]) it is true that the symmetric group $\operatorname{Sym}\left(\aleph_{0}\right)$, and thus any countable group, embeds into $\operatorname{Aut}(G)$, see [15]. This is, however, no longer true if we move into the realm of monoids and semigroups: it follows from [21] that $R$ is actually the only countable ultrahomogeneous graph whose endomorphism monoid embeds all countable semigroups. Moreover, an easy exercise shows that no nontrivial finite group embeds into $\operatorname{End}(\mathbb{Q})$, the monoid of all orderpreserving mappings of $\mathbb{Q}$.

In this paper we develop a system of conditions on a Fraïssé class $\mathscr{C}$ under which its limit $F=\operatorname{Flim}(\mathscr{C})$ has the property that $\operatorname{End}(F)$ embeds the endomorphism monoid of its arbitrary substructure, with universality consequences as sketched above. After introducing the required preliminary notions and constructions, in Section 2 we state our general method. The concrete applications are presented in Section 3. First, we review the results of [2, 6] in the light of the presented general approach. We also derive some new consequences by proving that both $\operatorname{End}\left(\mathbb{U}_{\mathbb{Q}}\right)$ and $\operatorname{End}(\Omega)$ contain copies of $\operatorname{Self}\left(\aleph_{0}\right)$. Some open problems are discussed as well.

## 1 Preliminaries: pushouts, amalgams, amalgamated sums

For a Fraïssé class $\mathscr{C}$, let $\overline{\mathscr{C}}$ denote the class of all countable structures all of whose finitely generated substructures belong to $\mathscr{C}$. We shall be concerned with Fraïssé classes $\mathscr{C}$ for which $\overline{\mathscr{C}}$, considered as a concrete category of first-order structures, admits certain constructions and properties (see 20 for the basics of category theory). The most fundamental such construction will be that of a pushout. Let $X, Y, Z$ be objects of a category $\mathbf{C}$, along with morphisms $f: X \rightarrow Y$ and $g: X \rightarrow Z$; such configuration

$$
Y \stackrel{f}{\leftrightarrows} X \xrightarrow{g} Z
$$

is referred to as a span. The pushout of this span consists of an object $P$ and two morphisms $i_{1}: Y \rightarrow P$ and $i_{2}: Z \rightarrow P$ such that:
(a) the diagram

commutes,
(b) for any object $Q$ and morphisms $j_{1}: Y \rightarrow Q$ and $j_{2}: Z \rightarrow Q$ for which the part of following diagram involving $X, Y, Z, Q$ is commutative, there exists a unique morphism $u: P \rightarrow Q$ making the whole diagram

commutative.
A standard argument shows that the pushout is unique up to an isomorphism. The diagram from item (a) above will be called a pushout square (in C). Throughout the paper the composition of morphisms will follow the right-to-left convention, so that if $\alpha: A \rightarrow B$ and $\beta: B \rightarrow C$, then their composition is $\beta \alpha: A \rightarrow C$. Thus the condition (b) asserts the existence of a unique morphism $u$ such that $u i_{1}=j_{1}$ and $u i_{2}=j_{2}$, where $j_{1} f=j_{2} g$.

Recall that an amalgam (in a concrete category) is a span ( $A, B, C, f, g$ ) such that $f: B \rightarrow A$ and $g: B \rightarrow C$ are embeddings. If $A, B, C \in \mathscr{C}$ for some class $\mathscr{C}$, then we have an amalgam in $\mathscr{C}$. The amalgamation property for $\mathscr{C}$, mentioned earlier, asserts that any amalgam in $\mathscr{C}$ can be embedded into a structure $D \in \mathscr{C}$, i.e. that there are embeddings $j_{1}: A \rightarrow D$ and $j_{2}: C \rightarrow D$ such that $j_{1} f=j_{2} g$. If $\mathscr{C}$ is a class of finitely generated structures with the AP (for example, a Fraïssé class), then it is a part of folklore in model theory that the statement of the AP extends to non-finitely generated members of $\overline{\mathscr{C}}$ in the following sense (see e.g. [7] for a proof).

Lemma 1.1. Let $(A, B, C, f, g)$ be an amalgam such that $B \in \mathscr{C}$ and $A, C \in \overline{\mathscr{C}}$. Then it can be embedded into some structure $D \in \overline{\mathscr{C}}$.

Now suppose that $(A, B, C, f, g)$ is an amalgam in a concrete category $\mathbf{C}$ which admits pushouts. Then the $\mathbf{C}$-pushout $P$ of $(A, B, C, f, g)$ is usually called the amalgamated free C-sum of this amalgam and denoted by $A *_{B} C$. If, in addition, $A, B, C$ belong to a class $\mathscr{C}$ (contained in $\mathbf{C}$ ) which has the AP, then $i_{1}, i_{2}$ must be embeddings. Indeed, by the AP there exists a structure $D \in \mathscr{C}$ and embeddings $j_{1}: A \rightarrow D$ and $j_{2}: C \rightarrow D$; but then there is a homomorphism $u: A *_{B} C \rightarrow D$ such that $u i_{1}=j_{1}$ and $u i_{2}=j_{2}$, prompting $i_{1}, i_{2}$ to be embeddings as well.

Here we are going to consider Fraïssé classes $\mathscr{C}$ satisfying the following strict amalgamation property: we require that for any amalgam $(A, B, C, f, g)$ in $\mathscr{C}$ there exists a structure $P \in \mathscr{C}$ and embeddings $i_{1}: A \rightarrow P$ and $i_{2}: C \rightarrow P$ such that

is a pushout square in $\overline{\mathscr{C}}$, considered as a category. (Here we follow the convention that 'hooked' arrows always denote embeddings.) In other words, for any amalgam ( $A, B, C, f, g$ ) in $\mathscr{C}$, their amalgamated free $\overline{\mathscr{C}}$-sum exists and belongs to $\mathscr{C}$. The condition of the strict AP for $\mathscr{C}$ can be extended in a fashion similar to the previous lemma.

Lemma 1.2. Let $\mathscr{C}$ be a Fraïssé class enjoying the strict AP. Then for any amalgam $(A, B, C, f, g)$ such that $B \in \mathscr{C}$ and $A, C \in \overline{\mathscr{C}}$ there exists a $P \in \overline{\mathscr{C}}$ such that (1.1) is a pushout square in $\overline{\mathscr{C}}$.

Proof. First of all, consider the case when $B, C \in \mathscr{C}$ and $A \in \mathscr{C}$. Without loss of generality we can assume that $B$ is a substructure of both $A$ and $C$ and $A \cap C=B$. Let $A=$ $\left\{a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots\right\}$ be an enumeration of the elements of $A$. Consider the sequence of finitely generated substructures of $A$ defined by $A_{0}=B$ and $A_{n+1}=\left\langle A_{n} \cup\left\{a_{m_{n}}\right\}\right\rangle$ for all $n \geqslant 0$, where $m_{n}=\min \left\{i: a_{i} \notin B_{n}\right\}$. Clearly, $A_{i} \in \overline{\mathscr{C}}$ for all $i$ since $A \in \overline{\mathscr{C}}$ and $A=\bigcup_{j<\omega} A_{j}$.

Now let $P_{n}$ be a sequence of structures and $h_{n}: A_{n} \rightarrow P_{n}$ a sequence of embeddings defined as follows. We set $P_{0}=C$ and $h_{0}=\mathbf{1}_{B}$ (where throughout the paper $\mathbf{1}_{X}$ denotes the identity mapping on the set $X$ ), that is, $h_{0}$ is just the inclusion map of $B$ into $C$. For $n \geqslant 0, P_{n+1}$ and $h_{n+1}$ are defined by the requirement that the following diagram is a pushout square in $\overline{\mathscr{C}}$ :

where the symbol $\subseteq$ will always denote the corresponding inclusion map. Note that $P_{n+1}$ exists and belongs to $\mathscr{C}$ by the strict AP. Therefore, we have a sequence of pushout squares:


Without loss of generality we can assume that $P_{n}$ is a substructure of $P_{n+1}$ for all $n \geqslant 0$, so let $P=\bigcup_{j<\omega} P_{j}$. Clearly, $P \in \overline{\mathscr{C}}$ as a union of a chain of structures from $\mathscr{C}$. Moreover, $h_{0} \subseteq h_{1} \subseteq h_{2} \subseteq \ldots$ and $i_{1}=\bigcup_{j<\omega} h_{j}$ is an embedding $A \hookrightarrow P$. Let $i_{2}$ denote the obvious embedding $C=P_{0} \hookrightarrow P$. Then the diagram (1.1) commutes and is easily seen to be a pushout square in $\overline{\mathscr{C}}$.

The statement of the lemma is now extended to the case when $C \in \overline{\mathscr{C}}$ essentially by repeating an argument analogous to the above one.

Let us now quickly review the general synopsis of constructing the Fraïssé limit of a Fraïssé class $\mathscr{C}$, cf. Hodges [16]. Recall that a structure $C$ is a one-point extension of its substructure $B$ of there is an element $x \in C \backslash B$ such that $C$ is generated by $B \cup\{x\}$. Trivially, if $B$ is finitely generated, so is $C$.

For a structure $A \in \overline{\mathscr{C}}$, we first construct its extension $A^{\star}$. Let

$$
\left\{\left(B_{i}, C_{i}\right): i<\omega\right\}
$$

be the enumeration of all pairs of structures such that $B_{i} \in \mathscr{C}$ is a finitely generated substructure of $A$, while $C_{i}$ is a one-point extension of $B_{i}$ belonging to $\mathscr{C}$; for each isomorphism type we take one such extension. (Here we consider extensions $(B, C)$ and ( $B, C^{\prime}$ ) isomorphic if there is an isomorphism $\iota: C \rightarrow C^{\prime}$ such that $\left.\iota\right|_{B}=\mathbf{1}_{B}$.) Now we construct a chain of structures $A_{i}, i \geqslant 0$, by successive amalgamations of these extensions. More precisely, let $A_{0}=A$ and assume that $A_{n}$ has already been constructed for some $n \geqslant 0$ such that $A \subseteq A_{n} \in \overline{\mathscr{C}}$. Then $B_{n}$ is a substructure of $A$ and so of $A_{n}$, whence ( $B_{n}, A_{n}, C_{n}, \mathbf{1}_{B_{n}}, \mathbf{1}_{B_{n}}$ ) is an amalgam such that $B_{n}, C_{n} \in \mathscr{C}$ and $A_{n} \in \overline{\mathscr{C}}$. By Lemma 1.1, there exists a structure $A_{n+1} \in \overline{\mathscr{C}}$ which embeds this amalgam. As we have just seen, in the case when $\mathscr{C}$ satisfies the strict AP we can be more specific at this point and let $A_{n+1}=A_{n} *_{B_{n}} C_{n}$ (the free sum is taken with respect to $\overline{\mathscr{C}}$ ), by Lemma 1.2. Clearly, there is no loss of generality in assuming that $A_{n} \subseteq A_{n+1}$, so that $A$ is a substructure of $A_{n+1}$. Finally, we let

$$
A^{\star}=\bigcup_{n<\omega} A_{n} .
$$

Of course, this construction can be iterated, so that we apply $\aleph_{0}$ successive rounds of amalgamation. Namely, let $A^{(0)}=A$ and define $A^{(n+1)}=\left(A^{(n)}\right)^{\star}$ for all $n \geqslant 0$. We set

$$
F_{A}=\bigcup_{n<\omega} A^{(n)}
$$

which is an extension of $A$. Any finitely generated substructure of $F_{A}$ must belong to some $A^{(m)}$, and since $A^{(m)} \in \overline{\mathscr{C}}$ the finitely generated structure in question belongs to $\mathscr{C}$; hence, $F_{A} \in \overline{\mathscr{C}}$. A standard model-theoretic argument (which can be found in [7) shows that $F_{A}$ is precisely the Fraïssé limit of $\mathscr{C}$.

Proposition 1.3. For any $A \in \overline{\mathscr{C}}$ we have $F_{A} \cong \operatorname{Flim}(\mathscr{C})$.
Now we would like to modify the above construction, so that instead of amalgamating the extensions $C_{i}$ one by one into $A^{\star}$ we do it "all at once". To this end, suppose we have a structure $A$ and a family of its substructures $\left\{B_{i}: i \in I\right\}$, while $\left\{C_{i}: i \in I\right\}$ is another family of structures such that $A \cap C_{i}=B_{i}$ for each $i \in I$ (so that $B_{i}$ is a substructure of
$\left.C_{i}\right)$. Furthermore, for any $i, j \in I, i \neq j$, we assume that $\left(C_{i} \backslash B_{i}\right) \cap\left(C_{j} \backslash B_{j}\right)=\varnothing$. Then the tuple

$$
\left(A,\left(B_{i}, C_{i}\right)_{i \in I}\right)
$$

is called a rooted multi-amalgam. For a class $\mathscr{C}$ of finitely generated structures of a fixed signature let $A \in \overline{\mathscr{C}}$ be a countable structure, and assume $B_{i}$ 's are finitely generated substructures of $A, B_{i} \in \mathscr{C}$, while $C_{i} \in \mathscr{C}$ are their finitely generated extensions (disjoint outside $A$ ). Then we call $\left(A,\left(B_{i}, C_{i}\right)_{i \in I}\right)$ a rooted multi-amalgam over $\mathscr{C}$, and the structure $A$ is its root.


Figure 1.1. A rooted multi-amalgam
The free $\mathscr{C}$-sum of the rooted amalgam $\left(A,\left(B_{i}, C_{i}\right)_{i \in I}\right)$ over $\mathscr{C}$ is a structure $D \in \overline{\mathscr{C}}$ with the following properties:
(a) there are embeddings $f: A \rightarrow D$ and $g_{i}: C_{i} \rightarrow D, i \in I$, such that $\left.f\right|_{B_{i}}=\left.g_{i}\right|_{B_{i}}$ for any $i \in I$,
(b) for any structure $D^{\prime} \in \overline{\mathscr{C}}$ and any homomorphisms $\varphi: A \rightarrow D^{\prime}, \psi_{i}: C_{i} \rightarrow D^{\prime}, i \in I$, such that for any $i \in I$ we have $\left.\varphi\right|_{B_{i}}=\left.\psi_{i}\right|_{B_{i}}$, there exists a unique homomorphism $\delta: D \rightarrow D^{\prime}$ extending all the given homomorphisms, that is, such that we have $\delta f=\varphi$ and $\delta g_{i}=\psi_{i}$ for all $i \in I$.

Speaking just a bit more loosely, the free sum is freely generated within $\overline{\mathscr{C}}$ by its partial substructure $A \cup \bigcup_{i \in I} C_{i}$. Yet another way of saying this is that the free $\mathscr{C}$-sum is the colimit of the following diagram:


The free $\mathscr{C}$-sum $D$ of $\left(A,\left(B_{i}, C_{i}\right)_{i \in I}\right)$, if it exists, is unique up to isomorphism and generated by $f(A) \cup \bigcup_{i \in I} g_{i}\left(C_{i}\right)$. We denote it by $\coprod^{*}\left(A,\left(B_{i}, C_{i}\right)_{i \in I}\right)$.


Figure 1.2. Three commuting diagrams

Lemma 1.4. Let $\mathscr{C}$ be a Fraïssé class enjoying the strict AP. Then for every rooted multiamalgam $\left(A,\left(B_{i}, C_{i}\right)_{i \in I}\right)$ over $\mathscr{C}$ the free $\mathscr{C}$-sum $\coprod^{*}\left(A,\left(B_{i}, C_{i}\right)_{i \in I}\right)$ exists and belongs to $\overline{\mathscr{C}}$.

Proof. Since each $B_{i}, C_{i}$ is finitely generated, the index set $I$ is countable, so there is no loss of generality if we assume that $I$ is in fact the ordinal $\omega$. Let us inductively define a sequence of structures $P_{i}, i \geqslant 0$, as follows. First of all, let $P_{0}=A$. Given $P_{n}$, let $P_{n+1}$ be the ( $\overline{\mathscr{C}}$-)pushout of the amalgam ( $C_{n}, B_{n}, P_{n}, \mathbf{1}_{B_{n}}, \mathbf{1}_{B_{n}}$ ) (note that $B_{n}$ is a substructure of $A=P_{0}$ and so of each $P_{n}$ ). This pushout exists and belong to $\overline{\mathscr{C}}$ by Lemma 1.2, Also, there will be no loss of generality in assuming that $P_{n}$ is actually a substructure of $P_{n+1}$ for each $n \geqslant 0$.

Let $P=\bigcup_{j<\omega} P_{j}$. Clearly, $P \in \overline{\mathscr{C}}$, since $P$ is the union of a chain of structures from $\overline{\mathscr{C}}$. Let us show that $P$ satisfies the properties required by the free $\mathscr{C}$-sum of $\left(A,\left(B_{i}, C_{i}\right)_{i \in I}\right)$, i.e. that it is the colimit of the diagram (1.2) in $\overline{\mathscr{C}}$. Obviously, the condition (a) from the definition of a free $\mathscr{C}$-sum is satisfied, as both $A$ and all $C_{i}$ 's (in fact, the whole rooted multiamalgam $\left.\left(A,\left(B_{i}, C_{i}\right)_{i<\omega}\right)\right)$ are contained in $P$, so the corresponding inclusion mappings will take the role of $f$ and the $g_{i}$ 's.

Now let $Q \in \overline{\mathscr{C}}$ and let $\varphi: A \rightarrow Q, \psi_{i}: C_{i} \rightarrow Q, i \geqslant 0$, be homomorphisms such that $\left.\varphi\right|_{B_{i}}=\left.\psi_{i}\right|_{B_{i}}$ for all $i$. By the construction of $P_{1}$, there is a unique homomorphism $m_{1}: P_{1} \rightarrow Q$ such that the diagram in Fig. 1.2 (a) commutes. The diagram in Fig. 1.2 (b) also commutes: the triangle commutes by Fig. $1.2(a)$, while the square commutes by the initial assumption on $Q$. Hence, the outer square in the diagram in Fig. 1.2 (c) commutes for $n=1$, so there is a unique homomorphism $m_{2}: P_{2} \rightarrow Q$ that makes the entire diagram commutative for $n=1$. By induction, for every $n \geqslant 1$, there is a unique homomorphism $m_{n+1}: P_{n+1} \rightarrow Q$ such that the diagram in Fig. $1.2(c)$ commutes. Thus we have a sequence of homomorphisms $\varphi \subseteq m_{1} \subseteq m_{2} \ldots$, so define $m=\bigcup_{j<\omega} m_{j}$. Clearly, $m: P \rightarrow Q$ and the diagram in Fig. 1.3 (a) commutes or all $i \geqslant 0$.

Let $m^{\prime}: P \rightarrow Q$ be another homomorphism that makes the diagram in Fig. 1.3 (a) commutative for all $i \geqslant 0$, and let us show that $m^{\prime}=m$. Let $m_{n}^{\prime}=\left.m^{\prime}\right|_{P_{n}}: P_{n} \rightarrow Q$ for $n \geqslant 1$, and let $m_{0}^{\prime}=\left.m^{\prime}\right|_{A}$. Clearly, $m_{0}^{\prime}=\varphi$ (see Fig. $\left.1.3(a)\right)$. Specializing to $i=0$ and using the fact that $C_{0}$ and $A$ are substructures of $P_{1}$, we get the diagram in Fig. 1.3 (b). Therefore, $m_{1}^{\prime}=m_{1}$ since $m_{1}$ is the unique homomorphism $P_{1} \rightarrow Q$ that makes the diagram commutative. On the other hand, the diagram in Fig. 1.3 (c) commutes (the two triangles commute because of the assumptions on $m^{\prime}$ and the fact that $m_{1}^{\prime}$ is a


Figure 1.3. The mediating homomorphism
restriction of $m_{2}^{\prime}$ and $m_{2}^{\prime}$ is a restriction of $\left.m^{\prime}\right)$. Therefore, $m_{2}^{\prime}=m_{2}$ since $m_{2}$ is the unique homomorphism $P_{2} \rightarrow Q$ that makes the diagram commutative. Proceeding by induction we obtain $m_{n}^{\prime}=m_{n}$ for every $n \geqslant 1$, so $m^{\prime}=m$. This completes the proof that $P$ is the colimit of the diagram (1.2) in $\overline{\mathscr{C}}$, i.e. that $P$ is the free $\mathscr{C}$-sum of $\left(A,\left(B_{i}, C_{i}\right)_{i \in I}\right)$.

Example 1.5. 1. Finite simple graphs have the strict AP, since the free sum of an amalgam of finite graphs is basically the amalgam itself. So, the free sum of a rooted multi-amalgam $\left(A,\left(B_{i}, C_{i}\right)_{i \in I}\right)$ over the class of finite simple graphs is the graph with the vertex set $A \cup \bigcup_{i<\omega} C_{i}$ in which the set of edges is just the union of edges of $A$ and $C_{i}$ 's.
2. Finite posets have the strict AP: consequently, the free sum $\coprod^{*}\left(A,\left(B_{i}, C_{i}\right)_{i \in I}\right)$ of a rooted multi-amalgam over the class of finite posets is just the transitive closure of the reflexive and antisymmetric relation on $A \cup \bigcup_{i<\omega} C_{i}$ obtained as the union of order relations on $A$ and $C_{i}$ 's.
3. As is well-known (see [14]), if $\mathbf{V}$ if a variety of algebras, then $\coprod^{*}\left(A,\left(B_{i}, C_{i}\right)_{i \in I}\right)$ is just the free algebra freely generated in $\mathbf{V}$ by the partial algebra $\left(A,\left(B_{i}, C_{i}\right)_{i \in I}\right)$, which exists if and only if the rooted multi-amalgam $\left(A,\left(B_{i}, C_{i}\right)_{i \in I}\right)$ can be embedded into a member of $\mathbf{V}$; essentially, for algebraic structures the ordinary and the strict AP for the class of finitely generated members of $\mathbf{V}$ are equivalent (bearing in mind Lemmata 1.1 and (1.2). Therefore, finite semilattices, finite distributive lattices and finite Boolean algebras, respectively, have the strict AP and thus all free sums of their rooted multi-amalgams exist in the corresponding varieties.
Given the notion of a rooted multi-amalgam and the conclusion of Lemma 1.4 , we change a bit the construction of the extension $A^{\star}$ of a structure $A \in \overline{\mathscr{C}}$. As before, let $\left\{\left(B_{i}, C_{i}\right): i<\omega\right\}$ be an arbitrary enumeration of all pairs where $B_{i}$ is a finitely generated substructure of $A$ and $C_{i}$ is a one-point extension of $B_{i}$, such that each isomorphism type of such pairs is represented exactly once. Then, clearly, $\left(A,\left(B_{i}, C_{i}\right)_{i \in I}\right)$ is a rooted multiamalgam over $\mathscr{C}$, so we redefine

$$
A^{\star}=\coprod^{*}\left(A,\left(B_{i}, C_{i}\right)_{i \in I}\right)
$$

It is not difficult to show that if we iterate this construction and define

$$
F_{A}^{*}=\bigcup_{n<\omega} A^{(n)},
$$

then the result is again isomorphic to the Fraïssé limit of $\mathscr{C}$. In other words, we have the following variant of the previous proposition.

Proposition 1.6. For any $A \in \overline{\mathscr{C}}$ we have $F_{A}^{*} \cong \operatorname{Flim}(\mathscr{C})$.
Proof. By [16, Lemma 6.1.3], since $F_{A}^{*}$ is countably infinite, it suffices to show that it is weakly homogeneous (or that it realizes all one-point extensions in the terminology of 9]), i.e. that for each finitely generated substructure $B$ of $F_{A}^{*}$ and its one-point extension $C \in \mathscr{C}$ there should be an embedding $f: C \rightarrow F_{A}^{*}$ such that $\left.f\right|_{B}=\mathbf{1}_{B}$.

Indeed, since $B$ is finitely generated, there exists an index $k<\omega$ such that $B \subseteq A^{(k)}$. Furthermore, if $\left\{\left(B_{i}^{(k)}, C_{i}^{(k)}\right): i<\omega\right\}$ is the enumeration of all isomorphism types of finitely generated substructures of $A^{(k)}$ and its one-point extensions, yielding the components of the rooted multi-amalgam the free sum of which is $A^{(k+1)}$, then for some $p<\omega$ we have $B=B_{p}^{(k)}$ and $C \cong C_{p}^{(k)}$. By the properties of the free $\mathscr{C}$-sum, the latter isomorphism gives rise to an embedding of $C$ into $A^{(k+1)}$, and thus into $F_{A}^{*}$.

## 2 A general embedding theorem

A fundamental property of the free $\mathscr{C}$-sum is that in order to extend an endomorphism $\varphi$ of a structure $A$ to an endomorphism $\widehat{\varphi}$ of $A^{\star}$, one only needs to define homomorphisms $\psi_{i}: C_{i} \rightarrow A^{\star}, i<\omega$, which agree with $\varphi$ on each $B_{i}$. This underlines the importance of the following configuration: we have a finitely generated structure $B \in \mathscr{C}$ and a surjective homomorphism $f: B \rightarrow B^{\prime}$, where $B^{\prime} \in \mathscr{C}$; at the same time, we have a one-point extension $C$ of $B$. In fact, we have a span

$$
C \stackrel{1_{B}}{\longleftrightarrow} B \xrightarrow{f} B^{\prime}
$$

where we follow the convention that 'double-headed' arrows stand for surjective homomorphisms (recall that 'hooked' ones denote embeddings).

We say that a class $\mathscr{C}$ enjoys the one-point homomorphism extension property (1PHEP) if for any $B, B^{\prime}, C \in \mathscr{C}$ forming a configuration as above there exists an extension $C^{\prime}$ of $B^{\prime}$ and a surjective homomorphism $f^{*}: C \rightarrow C^{\prime}$ such that $\left.f^{*}\right|_{B}=f$; in other words, the following diagram commutes:


As it easily turns out, $C^{\prime}$ is either a one-point extension of $B^{\prime}$, or it coincides with $B^{\prime}$. As noticed in Remark 3.1 of [7], this property of a Fraïssé class $\mathscr{C}$ is equivalent to the homomorphism amalgamation property (HAP) intimately related to homomorphism-homogeneity. In fact, by Proposition 3.8 of [7, the ultrahomogeneous structure $\operatorname{Flim}(\mathscr{C})$ is homomorphismhomogeneous if and only if $\mathscr{C}$ has the 1PHEP. Results of [7] show that 1PHEP is enjoyed by the classes of finite simple graphs, finite posets, finite metric spaces (with rational distances), as well as by each class of finitely generated algebras with the congruence extension property (CEP) [14] closed under taking homomorphic images, such as finite semilattices.

We need a stronger form of the 1PHEP for our purpose, related to a construction that in a way represents the analogue of the amalgamated free sum for the spans considered here. We say that a class of structures $\mathscr{C}$ has the strict $1 P H E P$ if any span of the form

$$
C \stackrel{i}{\longleftrightarrow} B \xrightarrow{f} B^{\prime}
$$

where $B, B^{\prime}, C \in \mathscr{C}$ and $C$ is a one-point extension of $B$, has a pushout $P \in \mathscr{C}$ with respect to $\overline{\mathscr{C}}$ as a category, and if

is a pushout square in $\overline{\mathscr{C}}$, then $i^{\prime}$ is an embedding and the homomorphism $f^{\prime}$ is surjective. In other words, the pushout of the above span serves as a witness in the particular instance of the 1PHEP for the span in question.

The following is the main result of this paper.
Theorem 2.1. Let $\mathscr{C}$ be a Fraïssé class satisfying the following three properties:
(i) $\mathscr{C}$ enjoys the strict $A P$.
(ii) $\mathscr{C}$ enjoys the strict 1 PHEP.
(iii) For any $B, C \in \mathscr{C}$ such that $C$ is a one-point extension of $B$, the pointwise stabilizer of $B$ in $\operatorname{Aut}(C)$ is trivial.

Then for any $A \in \overline{\mathscr{C}}$ there is an embedding of $\operatorname{End}(A)$ into $\operatorname{End}\left(A^{\star}\right)$. Consequently, if $F=\operatorname{Flim}(\mathscr{C})$, then $\operatorname{End}(A)$ embeds into $\operatorname{End}(F)$.

Proof. We start by considering an arbitrary $\varphi \in \operatorname{End}(A)$. As mentioned in the beginning of this section, our first task here is to specify homomorphisms $\psi_{i}^{(\varphi)}: C_{i} \rightarrow A^{\star}, i<\omega$, agreeing with $\varphi$ on each $B_{i}$. For convenience, write $\varphi_{i}=\left.\varphi\right|_{B_{i}}$. Then there is an index $j<\omega$ such that $\varphi\left(B_{i}\right)=B_{j}$. By (ii) we have the pushout square


However, $B_{j}$ has come with the accompanying $C_{j}$ such that the extension $\left(B_{j}, C_{j}\right)$ is of the same isomorphism type as $\left(B_{j}, C_{i}^{\prime}\right)$. Therefore, there is an isomorphism $\iota_{i}^{(\varphi)}: C_{i}^{\prime} \rightarrow C_{j}$ such that


Since $B_{i} B_{j} C_{i} C_{i}^{\prime}$ is a pushout square and $C_{j}$ is isomorphic to $C_{i}^{\prime}$, it follows that $B_{i} B_{j} C_{i} C_{j}$ is also a pushout square. Now we define $\psi_{i}^{(\varphi)}=\iota_{i}^{(\varphi)} \xi_{i}^{(\varphi)}$; therefore, we have a family of homomorphisms

$$
\left(\varphi,\left(\psi_{i}^{(\varphi)}\right)_{i<\omega}\right)
$$

defined on the components of the rooted multi-amalgam in $\mathscr{C}$ whose sum is $A^{\star}$. By the properties of the free $\mathscr{C}$-sum, this family extends to a unique endomorphism $\widehat{\varphi}$ of $A^{\star}$. We claim that the assignment $\varphi \mapsto \widehat{\varphi}$ is a monoid embedding $\operatorname{End}(A) \rightarrow \operatorname{End}\left(A^{\star}\right)$. Since $\left.\widehat{\varphi}\right|_{A}=\varphi$ we have that the latter assignment is injective, and since $\left(\mathbf{1}_{A},\left(\mathbf{1}_{C_{i}}\right)_{i<\omega}\right)$ yields $\widehat{\mathbf{1}_{A}}=\mathbf{1}_{A^{\star}}$, we need to prove that for any two $\varphi, \varphi^{\prime} \in \operatorname{End}(A)$ we have

$$
\widehat{\varphi^{\prime} \varphi}=\widehat{\varphi^{\prime}} \widehat{\varphi}
$$

To this end, let $\varphi^{\prime}$ be another endomorphism of $A, \Phi=\varphi^{\prime} \varphi$ and $\varphi_{i}^{\prime}=\left.\varphi^{\prime}\right|_{B_{i}}, \Phi_{i}=\left.\Phi\right|_{B_{i}}$ for any $i<\omega$. We still assume that the index $j$ is selected for a given arbitrary $i$ such that $\varphi\left(B_{i}\right)=B_{j}$. So, let $\phi_{j}^{\prime}\left(B_{j}\right)=B_{k}$. By the definition of $\widehat{\varphi^{\prime}}$ there exist a surjective homomorphism $\xi_{j}^{\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right)}: C_{j} \rightarrow C_{j}^{\prime}$ and an isomorphism $\iota_{j}^{\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right)}: C_{j}^{\prime} \rightarrow C_{k}$, where ( $B_{k}, C_{k}$ ) is the representative of the isomorphism type of $\left(B_{k}, C_{j}^{\prime}\right)$, such that $B_{j} B_{k} C_{j} C_{k}$ in the following diagram

is a pushout square. Then, by the properties of pushouts, $B_{i} B_{k} C_{i} C_{k}$ is a pushout square. On the other hand, we can repeat the procedure in a slightly different setting to get the following pushout square


Therefore, there exist homomorphisms $m, n: C_{k} \rightarrow C_{k}$ such that the diagram below commutes (both inner and the outer square are pushout squares):


In particular,

$$
\begin{gathered}
m \psi_{i}^{\left(\varphi^{\prime} \varphi\right)}=\psi_{j}^{\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right)} \psi_{i}^{(\varphi)}, \\
n\left(\psi_{j}^{\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right)} \psi_{i}^{(\varphi)}\right)=\psi_{i}^{\left(\varphi^{\prime} \varphi\right)}
\end{gathered}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
n m \psi_{i}^{\left(\varphi^{\prime} \varphi\right)}=n\left(\psi_{j}^{\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right)} \psi_{i}^{(\varphi)}\right)=\psi_{i}^{\left(\varphi^{\prime} \varphi\right)}, \\
m n\left(\psi_{j}^{\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right)} \psi_{i}^{(\varphi)}\right)=m \psi_{i}^{\left(\varphi^{\prime} \varphi\right)}=\psi_{j}^{\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right)} \psi_{i}^{(\varphi)} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Since both $\psi_{j}^{\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right)} \psi_{i}^{(\varphi)}$ and $\psi_{i}^{\left(\varphi^{\prime} \varphi\right)}$ are surjective, it follows that $m n=n m=\mathbf{1}_{C_{k}}$, so $m$ and $n$ are mutually inverse automorphisms of $C_{k}$ fixing $B_{k}$ pointwise. The requirement (iii) now yields that $m=n=\mathbf{1}_{C_{k}}$, whence

$$
\psi_{j}^{\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right)} \psi_{i}^{(\varphi)}=\psi_{i}^{\left(\varphi^{\prime} \varphi\right)}
$$

This completes the proof that $\widehat{\varphi^{\prime} \varphi}=\widehat{\varphi^{\prime}} \widehat{\varphi}$.
We say that a one-point extension $C$ of $B$ is uniquely generated if for any $x, x^{\prime} \in C \backslash B$ such that $\langle B \cup\{x\}\rangle=\left\langle B \cup\left\{x^{\prime}\right\}\right\rangle$ we have $x=x^{\prime}$. We focus our interest to Fraïssé classes with this property, since it is always present in (but, as we shall see, not confined to) the case when the signature of $\mathscr{C}$ contains no operation symbols (in particular, in all relation structures): the unique generator is the single element in $C \backslash B$. Notice that the uniquely generated one-point extensions instantly satisfy condition (iii) from the previous theorem, so that it may be dropped altogether e.g. for classes of relational structures.

Corollary 2.2. Let $\mathscr{C}$ be a Fraissé class satisfying the condition of uniquely generated onepoint extensions. If $\mathscr{C}$ satisfies the strict $A P$ and the strict $1 P H E P$, then for any $A \in \overline{\mathscr{C}}$, $\operatorname{End}(A)$ embeds into $\operatorname{End}\left(A^{\star}\right)$ and so into the endomorphism monoid of $\operatorname{Flim}(\mathscr{C})$.

## 3 Applications

### 3.1 The cases of the random graph and the generic poset

As noted in items 1 and 2 of Example [1.5, the classes of finite simple graphs and finite posets enjoy the strict AP and thus admit free sums of their rooted multi-amalgams. Of course, these classes have the property of uniquely generated one-point extensions, thus we may use Corollary 2.2. Our aim in this section is to briefly reprove the main results of the notes [2] and [6] in order to show that they are transparent instances of our general scheme.

Lemma 3.1. The class of finite simple graphs satisfies the strict 1PHEP.
Proof. Let $G$ be a finite simple graph, $f: G \rightarrow G^{\prime}$ a surjective graph homomorphism and $H=G \cup\{\mathbf{v}\}$ a one-point extension of $G$ (for convenience, we assume that the embedding $i$ from the definition of the strict 1PHEP is an inclusion map). Let $N_{G}(\mathbf{v}) \subseteq V(G)$ be the set of all vertices adjacent to $\mathbf{v}$. Define $H^{\prime}$ to be the graph obtained from $G^{\prime}$ by adjoining a new vertex $\mathbf{v}^{\prime}$ which is adjacent to all vertices from $\left\{f(\mathbf{w}): \mathbf{w} \in N_{G}(\mathbf{v})\right\}$ and no other
vertex from $V\left(G^{\prime}\right)$. Furthermore, let $f^{\prime}$ be the homomorphism extending $f$ by sending $\mathbf{v}$ to $\mathbf{v}^{\prime}$.

We claim that $H^{\prime}$, along with $\mathbf{1}_{G^{\prime}}$ and $f^{\prime}$, is a pushout for the span $\left(G, G^{\prime}, H, f, \mathbf{1}_{G}\right)$. Indeed, let $\Gamma$ be a graph such that there are homomorphisms $g: G^{\prime} \rightarrow \Gamma$ and $h: H \rightarrow \Gamma$ with $g f=\left.h\right|_{G}$. Now there is only one function $u: V\left(H^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow V(\Gamma)$ such that $\left.u\right|_{V\left(G^{\prime}\right)}=g$ and $u f^{\prime}=h$ : it is defined by $u(\mathbf{x})=g(\mathbf{x})$ for $\mathbf{x} \in V\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ and $u\left(\mathbf{v}^{\prime}\right)=h(\mathbf{v})$. Since $g$ is a graph homomorphism, and since for any $\mathbf{w} \in N_{G}(\mathbf{v})$ we have that $u\left(f^{\prime}(\mathbf{w})\right)=u f(\mathbf{w})=h(\mathbf{w})$ is adjacent to $h(\mathbf{v})=u\left(\mathbf{v}^{\prime}\right)$ (as $h$ is a homomorphism, too), it follows that $u$ is a graph homomorphism as well. Since $f^{\prime}$ is surjective, the lemma is proved.

Corollary 3.2 ([2]). Self $\left(\aleph_{0}\right)$ (and so any countable semigroup) embeds into $\operatorname{End}(R)$, the endomorphism monoid of the countably infinite random graph.

Proof. In Corollary 2.2 choose $A$ to be the countably infinite graph with no edges, since then $\operatorname{End}(A) \cong \operatorname{Self}\left(\aleph_{0}\right)$.

Lemma 3.3. The class of finite posets satisfies the strict $1 P H E P$.
Proof. Let $P$ be a finite poset, $f: P \rightarrow P^{\prime}$ a surjective poset homomorphism (orderpreserving map) and $Q=P \cup\{\mathbf{x}\}$ a one-point extension of $P$. In order to define the poset $Q$, let

$$
L=\{p \in P: p<\mathbf{x}\} \quad \text { and } \quad U=\{p \in P: \mathbf{x}<p\} .
$$

Clearly, we have $\ell<u$ for any $\ell \in L$ and $u \in U$; therefore we have that $f(\ell) \leqslant f(u)$ holds in $P^{\prime}$. We distinguish between two cases. If $f(L) \cap f(U)=\varnothing$, then we define $Q$ to be the poset obtained from $P^{\prime}$ by inserting a new element $\mathbf{x}^{\prime}$ 'between' the down-set $f(L)$ and up-set $f(U)$ : we have $y<\mathrm{x}^{\prime}$ if and only if $y \in f(L)$ and $\mathrm{x}^{\prime}<y$ if and only if $y \in f(U)$ (the other elements of $P^{\prime}$ are set to be incomparable to $\left.\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)$. Then, the homomorphism $f^{\prime}: Q \rightarrow Q^{\prime}$ is defined as the extension of $f$ obtained by sending $\mathbf{x} \mapsto \mathbf{x}^{\prime}$. However, if $f(L) \cap f(U) \neq \varnothing$, then for any $y \in f(L) \cap f(U), \ell^{\prime} \in f(L)$ and $u^{\prime} \in f(U)$ we have $\ell^{\prime} \leqslant y \leqslant u^{\prime}$, implying that such $y$ must be unique: we have $f(L) \cap f(U)=\left\{y_{0}\right\}$ for some $y_{0} \in P^{\prime}$. Then we define $Q^{\prime}=P^{\prime}$ and $f^{\prime}: Q \rightarrow P^{\prime}$ is an extension of $f$ determined by $f(\mathbf{x})=y_{0}$. In both cases $f^{\prime}$ is surjective.

We claim that $Q^{\prime}$ is the pushout of the span $\left(P, P^{\prime} Q, f, \mathbf{1}_{P}\right)$, together with the poset homomorphisms (order-preserving maps) $\mathbf{1}_{P^{\prime}}$ and $f^{\prime}$; that is, we claim that there is a unique order-preserving map $m: Q^{\prime} \rightarrow X$ making the following diagram commutative:

where $X$ is a poset, while $g: P^{\prime} \rightarrow X$ and $h: Q \rightarrow X$ are order-preserving functions such that $g f=\left.h\right|_{P}$. As in the case of graphs, there is a single function $m: Q^{\prime} \rightarrow X$ that makes the above diagram commutative, and it is defined by $m(y)=g(y)$ for all $y \in P^{\prime}$ and, if $\mathbf{x}^{\prime}$ exists, $m\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)=h(\mathbf{x})$. Thus it remains to verify that $m$ is order-preserving on $Q^{\prime}$. This
is clear if $Q^{\prime}=P^{\prime}$ since then $m=g$; hence, we may assume that $f(L) \cap f(U)=\varnothing$ and $Q^{\prime}=P^{\prime} \cup\left\{\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right\}$. If $y \in P^{\prime}$ is such that $y<\mathbf{x}^{\prime}$, then $y \in f(L)$, so $m(y)=g(y)=g f(\ell)=h(\ell)$ for some $\ell \in L$. Since $\ell<\mathbf{x}$ and $h$ is order-preserving on $Q$, we have $m(y)=h(\ell) \leqslant h(\mathbf{x})=$ $m\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)$. The conclusion that $\mathbf{x}^{\prime}<z$ for $z \in P^{\prime}$ implies $m\left(x x^{\prime}\right) \leqslant m(z)$ follows in a dual fashion. Finally, $m$ preserves the order on the remaining pairs of distinct elements of $Q^{\prime}$ as it agrees with $g$ on such pairs; therefore, $m$ is a poset homomorphism.

Corollary 3.4 ( 6$]$ ). $\operatorname{Self}\left(\aleph_{0}\right)$ (and so any countable semigroup) embeds into $\operatorname{End}(\mathbb{P})$, the endomorphism monoid of the generic poset.

Proof. In Corollary 2.2 choose $A$ to be the countably infinite anti-chain.
Actually, in [6] strict endomorphisms of $\mathbb{P}$ were used in order to obtain a more specific version of the above result, thus avoiding the case when $Q^{\prime}=P^{\prime}$ in the above lemma. Still, even the strict endomorphisms were sufficient to accomplish the desired embedding of $\operatorname{Self}\left(\aleph_{0}\right)$ into $\operatorname{End}(\mathbb{P})$.

### 3.2 The case of the (rational) Urysohn space

The traditional way to work with metric spaces is to consider them as pairs $\left(X, d_{X}\right)$ consisting of a non-empty set of points $X$ and a distance function (a metric) $d_{X}: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{0}^{+}$ satisfying the triangle inequality. However, there is an easy trick to convert them into firstorder relational structures by defining, for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha>0$, a binary relation $R_{\alpha}$ on $X$ so that $(x, y) \in R_{\alpha}$ if and only if $d(x, y)<\alpha$. Furthermore, we may restrict the set of possible distances between points to some countable subset of $\mathbb{R}_{0}^{+}$, thus obtaining structures over a countable signature; for example, the set $\mathbb{Q}_{0}^{+}$of non-negative rationals will serve this purpose.

In a posthumous paper [24] (probably the last contribution before his utterly tragic and untimely death), P. S. Urysohn showed that up to isometry (a distance preserving bijection) there is a unique complete separable metric space $\mathbb{U}$ which is ultrahomogeneous and universal, i.e. which embeds all separable metric spaces. Today, $\mathbb{U}$ is called the Urysohn space (see, e.g., [17, 18, 22, 26, 27] for further background). There is one construction of $\mathbb{U}$ which will be of a particular interest for us. Namely, let $\mathscr{C}$ be the class of all finite metric spaces with rational distances; it is easily verified that $\mathscr{C}$ is a Fraïssé class. Therefore, this class has a Fraïssé limit, which is denoted by $\mathbb{U}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and called the rational Urysohn space. This space is not complete, but its completion $\overline{\mathbb{U}_{\mathbb{Q}}}$ has all the defining properties of the Urysohn space, so $\overline{\mathbb{U}_{\mathbb{Q}}}$ must be isometric to $\mathbb{U}$ by Urysohn's result.

It was proved in [7, Lemma 3.5] that the class of finite metric spaces has the 1PHEPand the same is true if we confine ourselves only to spaces with rational distances - implying that both $\mathbb{U}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and $\mathbb{U}$ are homomorphism-homogeneous. Here the notion of a homomorphism of metric spaces transpires from its first-order-structure representation: for metric spaces $X, Y$, a function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a homomorphism if it is non-expanding, that is,

$$
d_{Y}\left(f\left(x_{1}\right), f\left(x_{2}\right)\right) \leqslant d_{X}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)
$$

holds for all $x_{1}, x_{2} \in X$. In other words, we have the 1-Lipschitz mappings.
Here we prove a somewhat stronger result.

Lemma 3.5. Let $\Sigma$ be an additive submonoid of $\mathbb{R}_{0}^{+}$. The class $\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}$ of all finite metric spaces with distances in $\Sigma$ enjoys the strict 1PHEP.

Proof. Let $X$ be a finite metric space and $Y=X \cup\left\{x^{*}\right\}$ its one-point extension. Let $f: X \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ be a surjective non-expanding map. Let $P=X^{\prime} \cup\left\{y^{*}\right\}$ where $y^{*} \notin X^{\prime}$ is a new point. Let us define a metric on $P$ as follows: for $x, x^{\prime} \in X^{\prime}$ let $d_{P}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)=d_{X^{\prime}}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$, while

$$
d_{P}\left(y^{*}, x\right)=\min \left\{d_{Y}\left(x^{*}, w\right)+d_{X^{\prime}}(f(w), x): w \in X\right\} .
$$

It is easy to verify that $d_{P}$ is indeed a metric on $P$. Note that since all the distances in $X^{\prime}$ and $Y$ are from $\Sigma$, so are all distances in $P$. The mapping $g: Y \rightarrow P$ defined by $g(x)=f(x)$ for $x \in X$ and $g\left(x^{*}\right)=y^{*}$ is clearly a surjective homomorphism and the diagram

commutes. Let us show that this is a pushout square (in $\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}$, but actually it will be a pushout square in the category of all metric spaces). Let $Z$ be a finite metric space (with distances from $\Sigma$ ), and let $\mu: Y \rightarrow Z$ and $\nu: X^{\prime} \rightarrow Z$ be homomorphisms such that $\left.\mu\right|_{X}=\nu f$ :


Then there exists exactly one mapping $u: P \rightarrow Z$ such that the diagram above commutes, namely, the one defined by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
u(x) & =\nu(x), \quad\left(x \in X^{\prime}\right), \\
u\left(y^{*}\right) & =\mu\left(x^{*}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us show that $u$ is non-expanding, i.e. a homomorphism of metric spaces. Let $x, x^{\prime} \in X^{\prime}$. Then $d_{Z}\left(u(x), u\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)=d_{Z}\left(\nu(x), \nu\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right) \leqslant d_{X^{\prime}}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)=d_{P}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$, since $\nu$ is a homomorphism. To show $d_{Z}\left(u(x), u\left(y^{*}\right)\right) \leqslant d_{P}\left(x, y^{*}\right)$ note that there exists a $w \in X$ such that $d_{P}\left(y^{*}, x\right)=d_{Y}\left(x^{*}, w\right)+d_{X^{\prime}}(f(w), x)$. Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{P}\left(y^{*}, x\right) & =d_{Y}\left(x^{*}, w\right)+d_{X^{\prime}}(f(w), x) & & \\
& =d_{Z}\left(\mu\left(x^{*}\right), \mu(w)\right)+d_{Z}(\nu(f(w)), \nu(x)) & & {[\mu \text { and } \nu \text { are hom's] }} \\
& =d_{Z}\left(\mu\left(x^{*}\right), \mu(w)\right)+d_{Z}(\mu(w), \nu(x)) & & {\left[\nu f=\left.\mu\right|_{X}\right] } \\
& \leqslant d_{Z}\left(\mu\left(x^{*}\right), \nu(x)\right) & & {[\text { triangle inequality }] } \\
& =d_{Z}\left(u\left(y^{*}\right), u(x)\right), & & \text { [definition of } u]
\end{aligned}
$$

as required.

Metric spaces do not admit coproducts-for example, the existence of a hypothetical coproduct of a metric space $X$ and a trivial space would result in a one-point extension of $X$ by a 'farthest' possible point, whereas the values appearing in the vector of distances from the new point to the old ones are obviously not bounded. However, the free amalgamated sum of an amalgam of two spaces exists provided their nonempty intersection is finite.

Lemma 3.6. For any additive submonoid $\Sigma$ of $\mathbb{R}_{0}^{+}$, the class $\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}$ has the strict AP.
Proof. Let $\left(X, Y, Z, \mathbf{1}_{Y}, \mathbf{1}_{Y}\right)$ be an amalgam of finite matric spaces with distances from $\Sigma$, so that $Y=X \cap Z$. We define a metric space $M$ on $X \cup Z$ with distances from $\Sigma$ and prove that $M$ has the properties required by the definition of the free amalgamated sum $X *_{Y} Z$ with respect to $\overline{\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}}$. If either $u, v \in X$, or $u, v \in Z$, then the distance $d_{M}(u, v)$ coincides with the distance in the corresponding metric space. If, however, $x \in X$ and $z \in Z$, then we define

$$
d_{M}(x, z)=\min \left\{d_{X}(x, y)+d_{Z}(y, z): y \in Y\right\} .
$$

Notice that the indicated minimum exists because $Y$ is finite. A straightforward verification shows that all triangle inequalities are satisfied, so that we indeed obtain a metric space. Moreover, $M \in \mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}$.

Assume now that for a (countable) metric space $M^{\prime}$ (with distances from $\Sigma$ ) we have given metric space homomorphisms $f: X \rightarrow M^{\prime}$ and $g: Z \rightarrow M^{\prime}$ such that $f$ and $g$ agree on $Y$. We have that the binary relation $h=f \cup g$ is a function, and we know that it is non-expanding on pairs of points that both belong either to $X$, or to $Z$. Now let $x \in X \backslash Z$ and $z \in Z \backslash X$. Then $d_{M}(x, z)=d_{X}\left(x, y_{0}\right)+d_{Z}\left(y_{0}, z\right)$ for a particular $y_{0} \in Y$, so

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{M^{\prime}}(h(x), h(z)) & =d_{M^{\prime}}(f(x), g(z)) \\
& \leqslant d_{M^{\prime}}\left(f(x), f\left(y_{0}\right)\right)+d_{M^{\prime}}\left(g\left(y_{0}\right), g(z)\right) \\
& \leqslant d_{X}\left(x, y_{0}\right)+d_{Y}\left(y_{0}, z\right)=d_{M}(x, z)
\end{aligned}
$$

bearing in mind that $f\left(y_{0}\right)=g\left(y_{0}\right)$ and that $f$ and $g$ are homomorphisms (i.e. nonexpanding functions). Therefore, $h: M \rightarrow M^{\prime}$ is a homomorphism of metric spaces, and we are done, since $h$ is obviously the unique function extending both $f$ and $g$ to $M$.

We can now turn to the main results of this section.
Corollary 3.7. $\operatorname{Self}\left(\aleph_{0}\right)$ (and so any countable semigroup) embeds into $\operatorname{End}\left(\mathbb{U}_{\mathbb{Q}}\right)$.
Proof. First of all, note that by Lemmata 1.4 and 3.6 if all the distances in $X$ and $Z_{i}$, $i \in I$, are rational, so are the distances in $\coprod^{*}\left(X,\left(Y_{i}, Z_{i}\right)_{i \in I}\right)$. Now apply Corollary 2.2 while selecting $A$ to be the countably infinite metric space in which the distance between any two different points is 1 (the unit $\aleph_{0}$-simplex) and noticing that any self-map of $A$ is a metric space endomorphism of $A$.

Proposition 3.8. End $\left(\mathbb{U}_{\mathbb{Q}}\right)$ embeds into End $(\mathbb{U})$, so the assertion of Corollary 3.7 holds for $\mathbb{U}$ as well.

Proof. Any homomorphism of metric spaces is a uniformly continuous mapping-recall that we are concerned with particular Lipschitz functions. Therefore, any homomorphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ of metric spaces, where $Y$ is complete, extends uniquely to the completion $\bar{X}$ of
$X$ yielding a (uniformly continuous) function $\bar{f}: \bar{X} \rightarrow Y$. Thus if $x, y \in \bar{X}$ and if $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n<\omega}$ and $\left\{y_{n}\right\}_{n<\omega}$ are any Cauchy sequences converging to $x$ and $y$, respectively, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
d(\bar{f}(x), \bar{f}(y)) & =d\left(\bar{f}\left(\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}\right), \bar{f}\left(\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} y_{n}\right)\right) \\
& =d\left(\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} f\left(x_{n}\right), \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} f\left(y_{n}\right)\right) \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(f\left(x_{n}\right), f\left(y_{n}\right)\right) \\
& \leqslant \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)=d(x, y)
\end{aligned}
$$

implying $\bar{f}$ to be a homomorphism.
So, for any $f, g \in \operatorname{End}\left(\mathbb{U}_{\mathbb{Q}}\right)$ we have $\bar{f}, \bar{g} \in \operatorname{End}(\mathbb{U})$, and both $\overline{g f}$ and $\bar{g} \bar{f}$ are endomorphisms (thus uniformly continuous functions) of $\mathbb{U}$ extending $g f$. Since $\mathbb{U}=\overline{\mathbb{U}_{\mathbb{Q}}}$, we must have $\overline{g f}=\bar{g} \bar{f}$, and the proposition follows.

Remark 3.9. Since metric space endomorphisms are just a particular type of Lipschitz functions, the monoid of all Lipschitz functions of both $\mathbb{U}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and $\mathbb{U}$ also embeds $\operatorname{Self}\left(\aleph_{0}\right)$.

Problem 3.10. Is every topological semigroup with a countable base isomorphic to a topological subsemigroup of $\operatorname{End}(\mathbb{U})$ ?

### 3.3 The case of the countable universal ultrahomogeneous semilattice

In previous applications of our general results we confined ourselves solely to relational structures; here we consider an example in algebraic ones. However, there is a sense in which semilattices are a unique type of algebra with respect to the method used in this paper (in particular, in Corollary (2.2), making them similar to relational structures.

Lemma 3.11. Any semilattice $(S, \wedge)$ which is a one-point extension of its subsemilattice $T$ is uniquely generated over $T$.

Proof. Assume $S=\left\langle T \cup\left\{x_{1}\right\}\right\rangle=\left\langle T \cup\left\{x_{2}\right\}\right\rangle$ for some $x_{1}, x_{2} \in S \backslash T$. Bearing in mind that $\wedge$ is a commutative and idempotent operation, there exist $t, t^{\prime} \in T$ such that $x_{2}=x_{1} \wedge t$ and $x_{1}=x_{2} \wedge t^{\prime}$. Hence, $x_{1} \wedge t^{\prime}=x_{1}$ and thus

$$
x_{1}=x_{1} \wedge t \wedge t^{\prime}=x_{1} \wedge t=x_{2}
$$

as wanted.
This behavior is actually quite untypical for algebraic structures: in general, a onepoint extension of an algebra can have many relative generators. Basically, the whole Galois theory is built around the simple idea of subfield-fixing automorphisms of a given field. Similarly, any $n \times n$ regular diagonal matrix having 1 as all but one of its diagonal entries gives rise to a nonidentical automorphism of an $n$-dimensional vector space fixing pointwise one of its $(n-1)$-dimensional subspaces.

Recall (e.g. from [14]) that an algebra $A$ has the congruence extension property (CEP) if for any subalgebra $B$ of $A$ and any congruence $\rho$ of $B$ there exists a congruence $\theta$ of $A$, whose restriction to $B$ is precisely $\rho$, that is, $\theta \cap(B \times B)=\rho$. Semilattices represent a classical example of algebras with the CEP, so the following lemma applies in particular to them.

Lemma 3.12. Let $A$ be an algebra with the CEP, and let $B$ be a subalgebra of $A$. Then for every congruence $\rho$ of $B$ the congruence $\theta$ of $A$ generated by $\rho$ (i.e. the smallest $\theta \in \operatorname{Con} A$ containing $\rho$ ) has the property that $\theta \cap(B \times B)=\rho$.
Proof. Since $A$ has the CEP, there exists a congruence $\theta^{\prime}$ of $A$ such that $\theta^{\prime} \cap(B \times B)=\rho$; but then $\rho \subseteq \theta^{\prime}$, implying $\theta \subseteq \theta^{\prime}$. Therefore,

$$
\rho \subseteq \theta \cap(B \times B) \subseteq \theta^{\prime} \cap(B \times B)=\rho,
$$

thus $\theta \cap(B \times B)=\rho$ holds as well.
The next assertion is a stronger form of Lemma 3.6 from [7.
Lemma 3.13. Let $\mathscr{C}$ be a class of finitely generated algebras with the CEP closed for taking homomorphic images. Then $\mathscr{C}$ (and, in particular, the class of all finite semilattices) has the strict 1PHEP.

Proof. Let $A, B, B^{\prime} \in \mathscr{C}$ are such that $f: B \rightarrow B^{\prime}$ is a surjective homomorphism and $B$ is a subalgebra of $A$ (the restriction that $A$ is a one-point extension of $B$ will turn out to be immaterial). Then ker $f$ is a congruence of $B$. By the CEP and the previous lemma, if $\theta$ is the congruence of $A$ generated by the relation ker $f$, then $\theta \cap(B \times B)=\operatorname{ker} f$. Therefore, $B^{\prime} \cong B / \operatorname{ker} f$ embeds into $A / \theta$ by the (well-defined) mapping $\iota: f(b) \mapsto b / \theta, b \in B$. On the other hand, the natural homomorphism $\nu_{\theta}: A \rightarrow A / \theta$ is obviously surjective. Summing up, the following diagram commutes:


By the given conditions, $A / \theta \in \mathscr{C}$. We claim that the above diagram is a pushout square in $\mathscr{C}$.

To this end, assume that $C \in \mathscr{C}$, while $g: B^{\prime} \rightarrow C$ and $f: A \rightarrow C$ are two homomorphisms such that $g f=\left.h\right|_{B}$ :


Clearly, a function $u: A / \theta \rightarrow C$ makes the above diagram commutative if and only if it satisfies the condition $u(a / \theta)=h(a)$ for all $a \in A$. It is immediate that there is at most one such function; we argue that such function exists, i.e. that the considered condition consistently defines a function $u$. For this it suffices to show that $\theta \subseteq \operatorname{ker} h$. However, note that ker $f \subseteq \operatorname{ker} g f$; thus if $\eta$ is the smallest congruence of $A$ containing the relation ker $g f$, then $\theta \subseteq \eta$. But $g f=\left.h\right|_{B}$, which implies $\operatorname{ker} g f=\operatorname{ker} h \cap(B \times B)$ and so $\operatorname{ker} g f \subseteq \operatorname{ker} h$. Since ker $h$ is a congruence of $A$ it follows that $\eta \subseteq \operatorname{ker} h$, yielding the desired conclusion $\theta \subseteq \operatorname{ker} h$. Finally, it is routinely verified that the mapping $u$ is a homomorphism, which completes the proof.

Remark 3.14. We have already recorded in item 3 of Example 1.5 that the Fraïssé class of finite semilattices has the strict AP. It is possible, however, to be more specific than this. Namely, it is not difficult to prove the following handy representation: we can take $\coprod^{*}\left(A,\left(B_{i}, C_{i}\right)_{i \in I}\right)$ to consist of all finite subsets $X$ of $A \cup \bigcup_{i<\omega} C_{i}$ such that $|X \cap A| \leqslant 1$ and $\left|X \cap C_{i}\right| \leqslant 1$ for all $i<\omega$. Furthermore, $X \wedge Y$ is defined so that for $C \in\{A\} \cup\left\{C_{i}: i<\omega\right\}$ if any of $X \cap C, Y \cap C$ is empty, then $(X \wedge Y) \cap C=(X \cup Y) \cap C$, while otherwise if $X \cap C=\{x\}$ and $Y \cap C=\{y\}$ then $(X \wedge Y) \cap C=\{x \wedge y\}$.

By the following main result of this section we supply an affirmative solution to Problem 4.1 from our recent paper [8].

Corollary 3.15. $\operatorname{Self}\left(\aleph_{0}\right)$ (and so any countable semigroup) embeds into $\operatorname{End}(\Omega)$, the endomorphism monoid of the countable universal ultrahomogeneous semilattice.

Proof. In Corollary 2.2 (which applies because of Lemma 3.11), choose the initial semilattice $A$ to be $F\left(\aleph_{0}\right)$ the free semilattice on a countably infinite set of generators. Namely, any self-map of the free generating set of $F\left(\aleph_{0}\right)$ induces an endomorphism of $F\left(\aleph_{0}\right)$. Such endomorphisms form a submonoid of $\operatorname{End}\left(F\left(\aleph_{0}\right)\right)$ that is isomorphic to $\operatorname{Self}\left(\aleph_{0}\right)$.

Previously it was only known that $\operatorname{Self}\left(\aleph_{0}\right)$ is a homomorphic image of a subsemigroup of $\operatorname{End}(\Omega)$ [7, Corollary 4.5] and that $\operatorname{End}(\Omega)$ embeds all finite semigorups [8, Corollary 4.4]. We refer to [1, 8, 9, 10] for further properties of $\Omega$, its automorphism group and its endomorphism monoid.

Problem 3.16. Is it possible to embed $\operatorname{Self}\left(\aleph_{0}\right)$ into $\operatorname{End}(\mathbb{D})$ and $\operatorname{End}(\mathbb{A})$, the endomorphism monoids of the countable universal ultrahomogeneous distributive lattice [11] and the countable atomless Boolean algebra, respectively?

The main obstacle here is the lack of the property of uniquely generated one-point extensions, i.e the existence of nontrivial automorphisms of a one-point extension fixing pointwise the initial structure. Of course, other methods might be developed for approaching this problem; just as a very simple example, let us mention that $\operatorname{Self}\left(\aleph_{0}\right)$ embeds into the endomorphism monoid of the Fraïssé limit of the class of all finite-dimensional vector spaces over a given field $\mathbb{F}$. This is because the limit in question is the $\aleph_{0}$-dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{F}$, which is at the same time a free algebra in the variety of vector spaces over $\mathbb{F}$, so any self-map of its basis extends uniquely to a linear map of this space into itself.
Acknowledgements. The research of both authors is supported by Grant No. 174019 of the Ministry of Science and Technological Development of the Republic of Serbia.

## References

[1] M. H. Albert and S. N. Burris, Finite axiomatizations for existentially closed posets and semilattices, Order 3 (1986), 169-178.
[2] A. Bonato, D. Delić and I. Dolinka, All countable monoids embed into the monoid of the infinite random graph, Discrete Math. 310 (2010), 373-375.
[3] P. J. Cameron, The random graph, in eds. R. L. Graham and J. Nešetřil, Algorithms and Combinatorics, Vol. 14 (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997), pp. 333-351.
[4] P. J. Cameron, The random graph revisited, in European Congress of Mathematics, Vol. I, Barcelona, 2000, Progr. Math. Vol. 201 (Birkhäuser, Basel, 2001), pp. 267-274.
[5] P. J. Cameron and J. Nešetřil, Homomorphism-homogeneous relational structures, Comb. Probab. Comput. 15 (2006). 91-103.
[6] I. Dolinka, The endomorphism monoid of the random poset contains all countable semigroups, Algebra Univers. 56 (2007), 469-474.
[7] I. Dolinka, The Bergman property for endomorphism monoids of some Fraïssé limits, submitted for publication. arXiv:1009.2106
[8] I. Dolinka and D. Mašulović, Remarks on homomorphism-homogeneous lattices and semilattices, Monatsh. Math. (to appear).
[9] M. Droste and D. Kuske, On random relational structures, J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A 102 (2003), 241-254.
[10] M. Droste, D. Kuske and J. K. Truss, On homogeneous semilattices and their automorphism groups, Order 16 (1999), 31-56.
[11] M. Droste and H. D. Macpherson, The automorphism group of the universal distributive lattice, Algebra Univers. 43 (2000), 295-306.
[12] R. Fraïssé, Sur certains relations qui généralisent l'ordre des nombres rationnels, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 237 (1953), 540-542.
[13] R. Fraïssé, Sur l'extension aux relations de quelques propriétés des ordres, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. 71 (1954), 363-388.
[14] G. GrÄtZer, Universal algebra, 2nd ed. (Springer-Verlag, New York, 2008).
[15] C. W. Henson, A family of countable homogeneous graphs, Pacific J. Math. 38 (1971), 69-83.
[16] W. Hodges, A shorter model theory (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997).
[17] J. HubičKa and J. Nešetřil, A finite presentation of the rational Urysohn space, Topology Appl. 155 (2008), 1483-1492.
[18] M. Katětov, On universal metric spaces, in ed. Z. Frolík, Proc. 6th Prague Topological Symp., Prague, 1986 (Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1988), pp. 323-330.
[19] A. H. Lachlan and R. E. Woodrow, Countable ultrahomogeneous undirected graphs, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 262 (1980), 51-94.
[20] S. Mac Lane, Categories for the working mathematician, 2nd ed. (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998).
[21] N. Mudrinski, Notes on endomorphisms of Henson graphs and their complements, Ars Combin. 96 (2010), 173-183.
[22] V. Pestov, The isometry group of the Urysohn space as a Lévy group, Topology Appl. 154 (2007), 2173-2184.
[23] J. H. Schmerl, Countable homogeneous partially ordered sets, Algebra Univers. 9 (1979), 317-321.
[24] P. Urysohn, Sur un espace métrique universel, Bull. Math. Sci. 51 (1927), 43-64, 74-90.
[25] V. V. Uspenskij, On the group of isometries of the Urysohn universal metric space, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolince 31 (1990), 181-182.
[26] V. V. UspenskiJ, The Urysohn universal metric space is homeomorphic to a Hilbert space, Topology Appl. 139 (2004), 145-149.
[27] A. M. Vershik, A random metric space is a Urysohn space, Dokl. Akad. Nauk 387 (2002), 733-736 (Russian).

