SAMPLING AND RECOVERY OF MULTIDIMENSIONAL BANDLIMITED FUNCTIONS VIA FRAMES

BENJAMIN BAILEY

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we investigate frames for $L_2[-\pi,\pi]^d$ consisting of exponential functions in connection to oversampling and nonuniform sampling of bandlimited functions. We derive a multidimensional nonuniform oversampling formula for bandlimited functions with a fairly general frequency domain. The stability of said formula under various perturbations in the sampled data is investigated, and a computationally manageable simplification of the main oversampling theorem is given. Also, a generalization of Kadec's 1/4 Theorem to higher dimensions is considered. Finally, the developed techniques are used to approximate biorthogonal functions of particular exponential Riesz bases for $L_2[-\pi,\pi]$, and a well known theorem of Levinson is recovered as a corollary.

1. INTRODUCTION

The subject of recovery of bandlimited signals from discrete data has its origins in the Whittaker-Kotel'nikov-Shannon (WKS) sampling theorem (stated below), historically the first and simplest such recovery formula. Without loss of generality, the formula recovers a function with a frequency band of $[-\pi,\pi]$ given the function's values at the integers. The WKS theorem has drawbacks. Foremost, the recovery formula does not converge given certain types of error in the sampled data, as Daubechies and DeVore mention in [7]. They use oversampling to derive an alternative recovery formula which does not have this defect. Additionally for the WKS theorem, the data nodes have to be equally spaced, and nonuniform sampling nodes are not allowed. As discussed in [15, pages 41-42], nonuniform sampling of bandlimited functions has its roots in the work of Paley, Wiener, and Levinson. Their sampling formulae recover a function from nodes $(t_n)_n$, where $(e^{it_n x})_n$ forms a Riesz basis for $L_2[-\pi,\pi]$. More generally, frames have been applied to nonuniform sampling, particularly in the work of Benedetto and Heller in [2] and [3]; see also [15, chapter 10].

In Section 3, we derive a multidimensional oversampling formula, (see equation (4)), for nonuniform nodes and bandlimited functions with a fairly general frequency domain; Section 4 investigates the stability of equation (4) under perturbation of the sampled data. Section 5 presents a computationally feasible version of equation (4) in the case where the nodes are asymptotically uniformly distributed. Kadec's theorem gives a criterion for the nodes $(t_n)_n$ so that $(e^{it_n x})_n$ forms a Riesz basis for $L_2[-\pi,\pi]$. Generalizations of Kadec's 1/4 theorem to higher dimensions are considered in Section 6, and an asymptotic equivalence of two generalizations is given. Section 7 investigates approximation of the biorthogonal functionals of Riesz bases. Additionally, we give a simple proof of a theorem of Levinson.

This paper forms a portion of the author's doctoral thesis, which is being prepared at Texas A & M University under the direction of Thomas Schlumprecht and N. Sivakumar.

2. Preliminaries

We use the d-dimensional L_2 Fourier transform

$$\mathcal{F}(f)(\cdot) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(\xi) e^{-i\langle \cdot, \xi \rangle} d\xi, \quad f \in L_2(\mathbb{R})^d,$$

where the inverse transform is given by

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1}(f)(\cdot) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(\xi) e^{i\langle \cdot, \xi \rangle} d\xi, \quad f \in L_2(\mathbb{R})^d.$$

This is an abuse of notation. The integral is actually a principal value where the limit is in the L_2 sense. This map is an onto isomorphism from $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ to itself.

Definition 2.1. Given a bounded measurable set E with positive measure, we define $PW_E := \{f \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^d) | \text{supp}(\mathcal{F}^{-1}(f)) \subset E\}$. Functions in PW_E are said to be bandlimited.

Definition 2.2. The function $\text{sinc} : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is defined by $\text{sinc}(x) = \frac{\sin(x)}{x}$. We also define the multidimensional sinc function $\text{SINC} : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ by $\text{SINC}(x) = \text{sinc}(x_1) \cdot \ldots \cdot \text{sinc}(x_d)$, $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_d)$.

We recall some basic facts about PW_E:

1) PW_E is a Hilbert space consisting of entire functions, though in this paper we only regard the functions as having real arguments.

2) In PW_E, L_2 convergence implies uniform convergence. This is an easy consequence of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality.

3) The function $\text{sinc}(\pi(x-y))$ is a reproducing kernel for $PW_{[-\pi,\pi]}$, that is, if $f \in PW_{[-\pi,\pi]}$, then we have

$$(1) \qquad\qquad f(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\tau) \text{sinc}(\pi(t - \tau)) d\tau, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

4) The WKS sampling theorem (see for example [14, page 91]): If $f \in PW_{[-\pi,\pi]}$, then

$$f(t) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f(n) \text{sinc}(\pi(t - n)), \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

where the sum converges in $PW_{[-\pi,\pi]}$, and hence uniformly.

If $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Schauder basis for a Hilbert space H, then there exists a unique set of functions $(f_n^*)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, (the biorthogonals of $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$) such that $\langle f_n, f_m^* \rangle = \delta_{nm}$. The biorthogonals also form a Schauder basis for H. Note that biorthogonality is preserved under a unitary transformation.

Definition 2.3. A sequence $(f_n)_n \subset H$ such that the map $Le_n = f_n$ is an onto isomorphism is called a Riesz basis for H.

The following definitions and facts concerning frames are found in [6, section 4].

Definition 2.4. A frame for a separable Hilbert space H is a sequence $(f_n)_n \subset H$ such that for some $0 < A < B$,

$$
(2) \qquad A\|f\|^2 \leq \sum_n |\langle f, f_n \rangle|^2 \leq B\|f\|^2, \quad \forall f \in H.
$$

The numbers A and B in the equation (2) are called the lower and upper frame bounds.

Let H be a Hilbert space with orthonormal basis $(e_n)_n$. The following conditions are equivalent to $(f_n)_n \subset H$ being a frame for H.

1) The map $L : H \to H$ defined by $Le_n = f_n$ is bounded linear and onto. This map is called the preframe operator.
2) The map $L^* : H \to H$ (the adjoint of the preframe operator) given by $f \mapsto \sum_n \langle f, f_n \rangle e_n$ is an isomorphic embedding.

Given a frame $(f_n)_n$ with preframe operator L, the map $S = LL^*$ given by $Sf = \sum_n \langle f, f_n \rangle f_n$ is an onto isomorphism. S is called the frame operator associated to the frame. It follows that S is positive and self-adjoint.

The basic connection between frames and sampling theory of bandlimited functions (more generally in a reproducing kernel Hilbert space) is straightforward. If $(e^{it_n(\cdot)})_n$ is a frame for $f \in PW_{[-\pi,\pi]}$ with frame operator S, and $f \in PW_{[-\pi,\pi]}$, then

$$
S(\mathcal{F}^{-1}(f)) = \sum_n \langle \mathcal{F}^{-1}(f), f_n \rangle f_n = \sum_n \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{F}^{-1}(f))(t_n) f_n = \sum_n f(t_n) f_n,
$$

implying that $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(f) = \sum_n f(t_n) S^{-1} f_n$, so that $f = \sum_n f(t_n) \mathcal{F}(S^{-1} f_n)$. Note that in the case when $t_n = n$, we recover the WKS theorem.

Definition 2.5. A sequence $(f_n)_n$ satisfying the second inequality in equation (2) is called a Bessel sequence.

Definition 2.6. An exact frame is a frame which ceases to be one if any of its elements is removed.

It can be shown that the notions of Riesz bases, exact frames, and unconditional Schauder bases coincide.

Definition 2.7. A subset S of $\mathbb{R}^d$ is said to be uniformly separated if

$$
\inf_{x,y \in S, x \neq y} \|x - y\|_2 > 0.
$$

Definition 2.8. If $S = (x_k)_k$ is a sequence of real numbers and f is a function with S in its domain, then f_S denotes the sequence $(f(x_k))_k$.

3. The multidimensional oversampling theorem

In [7], Daubechies and DeVore derive the following formula:

$$(3) \qquad f(t) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f\left(\frac{n}{\lambda}\right) g\left(t - \frac{n}{\lambda}\right), \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

where g is infinitely smooth and decays rapidly. Thus oversampling allows the representation of bandlimited functions as combinations of integer translates of g rather than the sinc function. In this sense equation (3) is a generalization of the WKS theorem. The rapid decay of g yields a certain stability in the recovery formula, given bounded perturbations in the sampled data [7].

In this section we derive a multidimensional version of equation (3), (Theorem 3.1) for un-equally spaced sample points, and the corresponding non-oversampling version of the WKS theorem is given in Theorem 3.2.

Daubechies and DeVore regard $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(f)$ as an element of $L_2[-\lambda\pi, \lambda\pi]$ for some $\lambda > 1$. In their proof the obvious fact that $[-\pi, \pi] \subset [-\lambda\pi, \lambda\pi]$ allows for the construction of the bump function $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(g) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ which is 1 on $[-\pi, \pi]$ and 0 off $[-\lambda\pi, \lambda\pi]$. If their result is to be generalized to a sampling theorem for PW_E in higher dimensions, a suitable condition for E allowing the existence of a bump function is necessary. If $E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is chosen to be compact such that for all $\lambda > 1$, $E \subset \text{int}(\lambda E)$, then Lemma 8.18 in [9, page 245], a C^∞-version of the Urysohn lemma, implies the existence of a smooth bump function which is 1 on E and 0 off λE. It is to such regions that we generalize equation (3):

Theorem 3.1. *Let* $0 \in E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ *be compact such that for all* $\lambda > 1$, $E \subset \text{int}(\lambda E)$. *Choose* $S = (t_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ *such that* $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, *defined by* $f_n(\cdot) = e^{i\langle \cdot, t_n \rangle}$, *is a frame for* $L_2(E)$ *with frame operator* S. *Let* $\lambda_0 > 1$ *with* $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(g) : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$, $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(g) \in C^\infty$ *where* $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(g)|_E = 1$ *and* $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(g)|_{(\lambda_0 E)^c} = 0$. *If* $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$ *and* $f \in PW_E$, *then*

$$(4) \qquad f(t) = \frac{1}{\lambda^d} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_{kn} f\left(\frac{t_n}{\lambda}\right) \right) g\left(t - \frac{t_k}{\lambda}\right), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

where $B_{kn} = \langle S^{-1} f_n, S^{-1} f_k \rangle_E$. *Convergence of the sum is in* $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, *hence also uniform. Further, the map* $B : \ell_2(\mathbb{N}) \to \ell_2(\mathbb{N})$ *defined by* $(y_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mapsto \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_{kn} y_n \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ *is bounded linear, and is an onto isomorphism iff* $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ *is a Riesz basis for* $L_2(E)$.

Proof. Define $f_{\lambda,n}(\cdot) = f_n\left(\frac{\cdot}{\lambda}\right)$. Note that $(f_{\lambda,n})_n$ is a frame for $L_2(\lambda E)$ with frame operator S_λ.

Step 1: We show that

$$(5) \qquad f = \sum_n f\left(\frac{t_n}{\lambda}\right) \mathcal{F}[(S_\lambda^{-1} f_{\lambda,n}) \mathcal{F}^{-1}(g)], \quad f \in PW_E.$$

We know $\mathrm{supp}(\mathcal{F}^{-1}(f)) \subset E \subset \lambda E$, so we may work with $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(f)$ via its frame decomposition. We have

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1}(f) = S_\lambda^{-1} S_\lambda (\mathcal{F}^{-1}(f)) = \sum_n \langle \mathcal{F}^{-1}(f), f_{\lambda,n} \rangle_{\lambda E} S_\lambda^{-1} f_{\lambda,n}, \quad \text{on} \quad \lambda E.$$

This yields

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1}(f) = \sum_n \langle \mathcal{F}^{-1}(f), f_{\lambda,n} \rangle_{\lambda E} (S_\lambda^{-1} f_{\lambda,n}) \mathcal{F}^{-1}(g), \quad \text{on} \quad \mathbb{R}^d,$$

since $\mathrm{supp}\mathcal{F}(g) \subset \lambda E$. Taking Fourier transforms we obtain

(6)
$$f = \sum_n \langle \mathcal{F}^{-1}(f), f_{\lambda,n} \rangle_{\lambda E} \mathcal{F}[(S_\lambda^{-1} f_{\lambda,n}) \mathcal{F}^{-1}(g)], \quad \text{on} \quad \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Now

$$\langle \mathcal{F}^{-1}(f), f_{\lambda,n} \rangle_{\lambda E} = \int_{\lambda E} \mathcal{F}^{-1}(f)(\xi) e^{-i\langle \xi, \frac{t_n}{\lambda} \rangle} d\xi = f\left(\frac{t_n}{\lambda}\right)$$

which, when substituted into equation (6), yields (5).

Step 2: We show that

(7)
$$f(\cdot) = \sum_n f\left(\frac{t_n}{\lambda}\right) \left[\sum_k \langle S_\lambda^{-1} f_{\lambda,n}, S_\lambda^{-1} f_{\lambda,k} \rangle_{\lambda E} g\left(\cdot - \frac{t_k}{\lambda}\right) \right],$$

where convergence is in L_2.

We compute $\mathcal{F}[(S_\lambda^{-1} f_{\lambda,n}) \mathcal{F}^{-1}(g)]$. For $h \in L_2(\lambda E)$ we have

$$h = S_\lambda(S_\lambda^{-1} h) = \sum_k \langle S_\lambda^{-1} h, f_{\lambda,k} \rangle_{\lambda E} f_{\lambda,k} = \sum_k \langle h, S_\lambda^{-1} f_{\lambda,k} \rangle_{\lambda E} f_{\lambda,k}.$$

Letting $h = S_\lambda^{-1} f_{\lambda,n}$,

$$S_\lambda^{-1} f_{\lambda,n} = \sum_k \langle S_\lambda^{-1} f_{\lambda,n}, S_\lambda^{-1} f_{\lambda,k} \rangle_{\lambda E} f_{\lambda,k}.$$

This gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}[(S_\lambda^{-1} f_{\lambda,n}) F^{-1}(g)](\cdot) &= \sum_k \langle S_\lambda^{-1} f_{\lambda,n}, S_\lambda^{-1} f_{\lambda,k} \rangle_{\lambda E} \mathcal{F}[f_{\lambda,k} \mathcal{F}^{-1}(g)](\cdot) \\
&= \sum_k \langle S_\lambda^{-1} f_{\lambda,n}, S_\lambda^{-1} f_{\lambda,k} \rangle_{\lambda E} \int_{\lambda E} e^{i\langle \xi, \frac{t_k}{\lambda} \rangle} \mathcal{F}^{-1}(g)(\xi) e^{-i\langle \xi, \cdot \rangle} d\xi \\
&= \sum_k \langle S_\lambda^{-1} f_{\lambda,n}, S_\lambda^{-1} f_{\lambda,k} \rangle_{\lambda E} \int_{\lambda E} \mathcal{F}^{-1}(g)(\xi) e^{-i\langle \cdot - \frac{t_k}{\lambda}, \xi \rangle} d\xi \\
&= \sum_k \langle S_\lambda^{-1} f_{\lambda,n}, S_\lambda^{-1} f_{\lambda,k} \rangle_{\lambda E} g\left(\cdot - \frac{t_k}{\lambda}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

so (7) follows from (5).

Step 3: We show that

$$(8) \qquad \langle S_\lambda^{-1} f_{\lambda,n}, S_\lambda^{-1} f_{\lambda,k} \rangle_{\lambda E} = \frac{1}{\lambda^d} \langle S^{-1} f_n, S^{-1} f_k \rangle_E, \quad \text{for} \quad n, k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

First we show $(S_\lambda^{-1} f_{\lambda,n})(\cdot) = \frac{1}{\lambda^d}(S^{-1} f_n)(\frac{\cdot}{\lambda})$, or equivalently that $f_{\lambda,n} = \frac{1}{\lambda^d} S_\lambda\big((S^{-1} f_n)(\frac{\cdot}{\lambda})\big)$.

We have for any $g \in L_2(\lambda E)$,

$$\langle g, f_{\lambda,k} \rangle_{\lambda E} = \int_{\lambda E} g(\xi) e^{-i\langle \frac{\xi}{\lambda}, t_k \rangle} d\xi = \lambda^d \int_E g(\lambda x) e^{-i\langle x, t_k \rangle} dx = \lambda^d \langle g(\lambda(\cdot)), f_k \rangle_E.$$

By definition of the frame operator S_λ,

$$S_\lambda g = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \langle g, f_{\lambda,k} \rangle_{\lambda E} f_{\lambda,k},$$

which then becomes

$$S_\lambda g = \lambda^d \sum_k \langle g(\lambda(\cdot)), f_k \rangle_E f_{\lambda,k}.$$

Substituting $g = \frac{1}{\lambda^d}(S^{-1} f_n)(\frac{\cdot}{\lambda})$ into the equation above we obtain

$$\frac{1}{\lambda^d} S_\lambda\big((S^{-1} f_n)(\frac{\cdot}{\lambda})\big) = \sum_k \langle S^{-1} f_n, f_k \rangle_E f_{\lambda,k} = \big(S(S^{-1} f_n)\big)(\frac{\cdot}{\lambda}) = f_{\lambda,n}.$$

We now compute the desired inner product:

$$\langle S_\lambda^{-1} f_{\lambda,n}, S_\lambda^{-1} f_{\lambda,k} \rangle_{\lambda E} = \frac{1}{\lambda^{2d}} \int_{\lambda E} (S^{-1} f_n)(\frac{x}{\lambda}) \overline{(S^{-1} f_k)(\frac{x}{\lambda})} dx$$

$$= \frac{\lambda^d}{\lambda^{2d}} \int_E (S^{-1} f_n)(x) \overline{(S^{-1} f_k)(x)} dx = \frac{1}{\lambda^d} \langle S^{-1} f_n, S^{-1} f_k \rangle_E.$$

Note that equation (7) becomes

$$(9) \qquad f(\cdot) = \frac{1}{\lambda^d} \sum_n f(\frac{t_n}{\lambda}) \Big[\sum_k \langle S^{-1} f_n, S^{-1} f_k \rangle g(\cdot - \frac{t_k}{\lambda}) \Big].$$

Step 4: The map $V : \ell_2(\mathbb{N}) \to \ell_2(\mathbb{N})$ given by $x = (x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mapsto \big(\sum_n B_{kn} x_n\big)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} = Bx$ is bounded linear and self-adjoint.

Let $(d_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the standard basis for $\ell_2(\mathbb{N})$, and let $(e_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an orthonormal basis for $L_2(E)$. Then

$$V d_j = (B_{kj})_{k \in \mathbb{N}} = \sum_k B_{kj} d_k = \sum_k \langle S^{-1} f_j, S^{-1} f_k \rangle d_k = \sum_k \langle L^*(S^{-1})^2 L e_j, e_k \rangle d_k,$$

where L is the preframe operator, i.e., $S = LL^*$. Define $\phi : \ell^2(\mathbb{N}) \to L_2(E)$ by $\phi(d_k) = e_k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Clearly ϕ is unitary. It follows that $V = \phi^{-1} L^*(S^{-1})^2 L \phi$, which concludes Step 4. From here on we identify V with B. Clearly B is an onto isomorphism iff L and L^* are both onto, i.e., iff the map $L e_n = f_n$ is an onto isomorphism.

Step 5: Verification of equation (4). Recalling Definition 2.8, $f_{S/\lambda} = \left(f\left(\frac{t_n}{\lambda}\right)\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$; for each $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$, let $g_\lambda(t) = \left(g\left(t - \frac{t_n}{\lambda}\right)\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Noting that $f\left(\frac{\cdot}{\lambda}\right), g\left(t - \frac{\cdot}{\lambda}\right) \in L_2(\lambda E)$, and recalling that $(f_{\lambda,n})_n$ is a frame for $L_2(\lambda E)$, we have

(10)
$$\sum_n \left|f\left(\frac{t_n}{\lambda}\right)\right|^2 = \sum \left|\langle \mathcal{F}^{-1}(f), f_{\lambda,n}\rangle_{\lambda E}\right|^2 \leq A_\lambda \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}(f)\|^2,$$

and

$$\sum_n \left|g\left(t - \frac{t_n}{\lambda}\right)\right|^2 = \sum \left|\langle \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(g\left(t - \frac{\cdot}{\lambda}\right)\right), f_{\lambda,n}\rangle_{\lambda E}\right|^2 \leq A_\lambda \left\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(g\left(t - \frac{\cdot}{\lambda}\right)\right)\right\|^2.$$

Note that equation (9) becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(t) &= \frac{1}{\lambda^d} \sum_n f\left(\frac{t_n}{\lambda}\right)\left[\sum_k B_{kn} g\left(t - \frac{t_k}{\lambda}\right)\right] = \frac{1}{\lambda^d} \sum_n f\left(\frac{t_n}{\lambda}\right)\overline{\left[\sum_k B_{nk} g\left(t - \frac{t_k}{\lambda}\right)\right]} \\
&= \frac{1}{\lambda^d} \sum_n (f_{S/\lambda})_n (B\overline{g_\lambda(t)})_n = \frac{1}{\lambda^d}\langle f_{S/\lambda}, B\overline{g_\lambda(t)}\rangle = \frac{1}{\lambda^d}\langle Bf_{S/\lambda}, \overline{g_\lambda(t)}\rangle \\
&= \frac{1}{\lambda^d} \sum_k (Bf_{S/\lambda})_k g\left(t - \frac{t_k}{\lambda}\right) = \frac{1}{\lambda^d} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}}\left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_{kn} f\left(\frac{t_n}{\lambda}\right)\right) g\left(t - \frac{t_k}{\lambda}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

which proves (4).

Step 6: We verify that convergence in equation (4) is in $L_2(\mathbb{R})$ (hence uniform). Define

$$f_n(t) = \frac{1}{\lambda^d} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} (Bf_{S/\lambda})_k g\left(t - \frac{t_k}{\lambda}\right)$$

and

$$f_{m,n}(t) = \frac{1}{\lambda^d} \sum_{m \leq k \leq n} (Bf_{S/\lambda})_k g\left(t - \frac{t_k}{\lambda}\right).$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
[\mathcal{F}^{-1}(f_{m,n})](\xi) &= \frac{1}{\lambda^d} \sum_{m \leq k \leq n} (Bf_{S/\lambda})_k \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left[g\left(\cdot - \frac{t_n}{\lambda}\right)\right] \\
&= \frac{1}{\lambda^d} \sum_{m \leq k \leq n} (Bf_{S/\lambda})_k \mathcal{F}^{-1}(g)(\xi) e^{i\langle \xi, \frac{t_k}{\lambda}\rangle},
\end{aligned}
$$

so

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|[\mathcal{F}^{-1}(f_{m,n})]\|_2^2 &= \frac{1}{\lambda^d} \int_{\lambda E} |\mathcal{F}^{-1}(g)(\xi)|^2 \left|\sum_{m \leq k \leq n} (Bf_{S/\lambda})_k e^{i\langle \xi, \frac{t_k}{\lambda}\rangle}\right|^2 d\xi \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\lambda^d}\left\|\sum_{m \leq k \leq n} (Bf_{S/\lambda})_k f_{\lambda,k}\right\|_2^2.
\end{aligned}
$$

If $(h_n)_n$ is a orthonormal basis for $L_2(\lambda E)$, then the map $Th_k = f_{\lambda,k}$ (the preframe operator) is bounded linear, so

$$\|[\mathcal{F}^{-1}(f_{m,n})]\|_2^2 \;\leq\; \frac{1}{\lambda^d}\Big\|T\Big(\sum_{m\leq k\leq n}(Bf_{S/\lambda})_k h_k\Big)\Big\|_2^2 \leq \frac{1}{\lambda^d}\|T\|^2 \sum_{m\leq k\leq n}|(Bf_{S/\lambda})_k|^2.$$

But $Bf_{S/\lambda} \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$, so $\|[\mathcal{F}^{-1}(f_{m,n})]\|_2 \to 0$ as $m, n \to \infty$. As $\mathcal{F}^{-1}$ is an onto isomorphism, we have $\|f_{m,n}\| \to 0$, implying that $\|f - f_n\| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. $\qquad\square$

Note that equation (3.1) is conveniently written as

$$(11) \qquad\qquad f(t) = \frac{1}{\lambda^d}\sum_k (Bf_{S/\lambda})_k g\Big(t - \frac{t_k}{\lambda}\Big), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Remark: There is a geometric characterization of sets $E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ such that $E \subset \text{int}(\lambda E)$ for all $\lambda > 0$. Intuitively, E must be a "continuous radial stretching of the closed unit ball". This is precisely formulated in the following proposition (whose proof is omitted).

Proposition 3.2. *If $0 \in E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is compact, then the following are equivalent:*
1) $E \subset \text{int}(\lambda E)$ for all $\lambda > 1$.
2) There exists a continuous map $\phi : S^{d-1} \to (0,\infty)$ such that $E = \{ty\phi(y)|y \in S^{d-1}, t \in [0,1]\}$.

The following is a simplified version of Theorem 3.1, which is proven in a similiar fashion:

Theorem 3.3. *Choose $(t_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ such that $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, defined by $f_n(\cdot) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}}e^{i\langle\cdot,t_n\rangle}$, is a frame for $L_2([-\pi,\pi]^d)$. If $f \in PW_E$, then*

$$(12) \qquad\qquad f(t) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{N}}\Big(\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}}B_{kn}f(t_n)\Big)\text{SINC}(\pi(t - t_k)), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

The matrix B and the convergence of the sum are as in Theorem 3.1.

Equation (4) generalizes equation (12) in the same way that equation (3) generalizes the WKS equation.

We can write equation (12) as

$$(13) \qquad\qquad f(t) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{N}}(Bf_S)_k\text{SINC}(\pi(t - t_k)).$$

The preceding result is similar in spirit to Theorem 1.9 in [4, page 19].

Frames for $L_2(E)$ satisfying the conditions in Theorems 3.1 and 3.3 occur in abundance. The following result is due to Beurling in [5, see Theorem 1, Theorem 2, and (38)].

Theorem 3.4. *Let $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be countable such that*

$$r(\Lambda) \;:=\; \frac{1}{2}\inf_{\lambda,\mu\in\Lambda,\lambda\neq\mu}\|\lambda - \mu\|_2 > 0$$

$$\text{and} \quad R(\Lambda) \quad := \quad \sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d} \inf_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \|\lambda - \mu\|_2 < \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

If E is a subset of the closed unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^d$ and E has positive measure, then $\{e^{i\langle \cdot, \lambda \rangle} | \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ is a frame for $L_2(E)$.

4. REMARKS REGARDING THE STABILITY OF THEOREM 3.1

A desirable trait in a recovery formula is stability given error in the sampled data. Suppose we have sample values $\tilde{f}_n = f\left(\frac{n}{\lambda}\right) + \epsilon_n$ where $\sup_n |\epsilon_n| = \epsilon$. If in equation (3) we replace $f\left(\frac{n}{\lambda}\right)$ by $\tilde{f}_n$, and call the resulting expression $\tilde{f}$, then we have

$$|f(t) - \tilde{f}(t)| \le \epsilon \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| g\left(t - \frac{n}{\lambda}\right) \right| \le \epsilon(\lambda^{-1}\|g'\|_{L_1} + \|g\|_{L_1}).$$

It follows that equation (3) is certainly stable under ℓ_∞ perturbations in the data, while the WKS sampling Theorem is not. For a more detailed discussion see [7].

Such a stability result is not immediately forthcoming for equation (4), as the following example illustrates.

Restricting to $d = 1$, let $(t_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ satisfy $t_0 = D \notin \mathbb{Z}$, and $t_n = n$ for $n \ne 0$. The forthcoming discussion in Section 5 shows that $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a Riesz basis for $L_2[-\pi, \pi]$.

Note that when $(f_n)_n$ is a Riesz basis, the sequence $(S^{-1}f_n)_n$ is its biorthogonal sequence. We matrix B associated to this basis is computed as follows.

The biorthogonal functions $(G_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ for $(\text{sinc}(\pi(\cdot - n)))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ are

$$G_n(t) \quad = \quad \frac{(-1)^n n (t - D) \text{sinc}(\pi t)}{(n - D)(t - n)}, \quad n \ne 0, \quad \text{and}$$

$$G_0(t) \quad = \quad \frac{\text{sinc}(\pi t)}{\text{sinc}(\pi D)}.$$

That these functions are in $PW_{[-\pi, \pi]}$ is verified by applying the Paley-Wiener Theorem [14, page 85], and the biorthogonality condition is verified by applying equation (1). Again using equation (1), we obtain

$$i) \qquad B_{m0} = \langle G_0, G_m \rangle = \frac{D(-1)^m}{\text{sinc}(\pi D)(m - D)}, \quad m \ne 0,$$

$$ii) \qquad B_{00} = \langle G_0, G_0 \rangle = \frac{1}{\text{sinc}^2(\pi D)},$$

$$iii) \qquad B_{mn} = \langle G_n, G_m \rangle = \delta_{nm} + \frac{D^2(-1)^{n+m}}{(n - D)(m - D)}, \quad \text{else.}$$

Note that the rows of B are not in ℓ_1, so that as an operator acting on ℓ_∞, B does not act boundedly. Consequently, the equation

$$(14) \qquad \tilde{f}(t) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_k (B\tilde{f}_{S/\lambda})_k g\left(t - \frac{t_k}{\lambda}\right)$$

is not defined for all perturbed sequences $\tilde{f}_{S/\lambda}$ where $(\tilde{f}_{S/\lambda})_n = (f_{S/\lambda})_n + \epsilon_n$ where $\sup_n |\epsilon_n| = \epsilon$.

Despite the above failure, the following shows that there is some advantage of equation (4) over equation (12).

If $\tilde{f}_{S/\lambda}$ is *some* perturbation of $f_{S/\lambda}$ such that $\|B\tilde{f}_{S/\lambda} - Bf_{S/\lambda}\|_\infty \leq \epsilon$, then

$$(15) \qquad \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}^d} |f(t) - \tilde{f}(t)| \leq \epsilon \sum_k \left| g\left(t - \frac{t_k}{\lambda}\right) \right|.$$

5. Restriction of the sampling Theorem to the case where the exponential frame is a Riesz basis

From here on, we focus on the case where $(t_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an ℓ_∞ perturbation of the lattice $\mathbb{Z}^d$, and $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Riesz basis for $L_2[-\pi, \pi]^d$. In this case, under the additional constraint that the sample nodes are asymptotically the integer lattice, the following theorem gives a computationally feasible version of equation (4). The summands in equation (4) involves an infinite invertible matrix B, though under the constraints mentioned above, we show that B can be replaced by a related finite-rank operator which can be computed concretely. Precisely, one has the following.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $(n_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an enumeration of $\mathbb{Z}^d$, and $S = (t_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ such that*

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \|n_k - t_k\|_\infty = 0.$$

Define $e_k, f_k : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{C}$ by $e_k(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} e^{i\langle n_k, x\rangle}$ and $\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} e^{i\langle t_k, x\rangle}$, and let $(h_k)_k$ be the standard basis for $\ell_2(\mathbb{N})$. Let $P_l : \ell_2(\mathbb{N}) \to \ell_2(\mathbb{N})$ be the orthogonal projection onto $\mathrm{span}\{h_1, \cdots, h_l\}$. If $(f_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Riesz basis for $L_2[-\pi, \pi]^d$, then for all $f \in PW_{[-\pi, \pi]^d}$, we have

$$(16) \qquad f(t) = \lim_{l \to \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda^d} \sum_{k=1}^{l} [(P_l B^{-1} P_l)^{-1} f_{S/\lambda}]_k g\left(t - \frac{t_k}{\lambda}\right), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

where convergence is in L_2 and uniform. Furthermore,

$$(P_l B^{-1} P_l)_{nm} = \begin{cases} \mathrm{sinc}\,\pi(t_{n,1} - t_{m,1}) \cdot \ldots \cdot \mathrm{sinc}\,\pi(t_{n,d} - t_{m,d}), & 1 \leq n, m \leq l \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Convergence of the sum is in L_2 and also uniform.

There is a slight abuse of notation in the formula above. The matrix $P_l B^{-1} P_l$ is clearly not invertible as an operator on ℓ_2, and it should be interpreted as the inverse of an $l \times l$ matrix acting on the first l coordinates of $f_{S/\lambda}$.

The following version of Theorem 5.1 avoids oversampling. Its proof is similar to that of Theorem 5.1.

Theorem 5.2. *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 5.1,*

$$(17) \qquad f(t) = \lim_{l \to \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{l} [(P_l B^{-1} P_l)^{-1} f_S]_k \mathrm{SINC}(t - t_k), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

where convergence of the sum is both L_2 and uniform.

The following lemma forms the basis of the proof of the preceding theorems, as well as the other results in the paper.

Lemma 5.3. *Let $(n_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an enumeration of $\mathbb{Z}^d$, and let $(t_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. Define $e_k, f_k : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{C}$ by $e_k(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} e^{i\langle n_k, x\rangle}$ and $f_k(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} e^{i\langle t_k, x\rangle}$. Then for any $r, s \geq 1$, and any finite sequence $(a_k)_{k=r}^{s}$, we have*

$$(18)$$
$$\left\| \sum_{k=r}^{s} \left(\frac{a_k}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} e^{i\langle (\cdot), n_k\rangle} - \frac{a_k}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} e^{i\langle (\cdot), t_k\rangle} \right) \right\|_2 \leq \left(e^{\pi d \left(\sup_{r \leq k \leq s} \|n_k - t_k\|_\infty \right)} - 1 \right) \left(\sum_{k=r}^{s} |a_k|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Proof. Let $\delta_k = t_k - n_k$ where $\delta_k = (\delta_{k1}, \cdots, \delta_{kd})$. Then

$$(19) \quad \phi_{r,s}(x) := \sum_{k=r}^{s} \frac{a_k}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} \left[e^{i\langle n_k, x\rangle} - e^{i\langle t_k, x\rangle} \right] = \sum_{k=r}^{s} \frac{a_k}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} e^{i\langle n_k, x\rangle} \left[1 - e^{i\langle \delta_k, x\rangle} \right],$$

Now for any δ_k,

$$
\begin{aligned}
1 - e^{i\langle \delta_k, x\rangle} &= 1 - e^{i\delta_{k1}x_1} \cdot \ldots \cdot e^{i\delta_{kd}x_d} = 1 - \left(\sum_{j_1=0}^{\infty} \frac{(i\delta_{k1}x_1)^{j_1}}{j_1!} \right) \cdot \ldots \cdot \left(\sum_{j_d=0}^{\infty} \frac{(i\delta_{kd}x_d)^{j_d}}{j_d!} \right) \\
&= 1 - \sum_{\substack{(j_1,\cdots,j_d) \\ j_i \geq 0}} \frac{(i\delta_{k1}x_1)^{j_1} \cdot \ldots \cdot (i\delta_{kd}x_d)^{j_d}}{j_1! \cdot \ldots \cdot j_d!} \\
&= - \sum_{(j_1,\cdots,j_d) \in J} i^{j_1+\ldots+j_d} \frac{(\delta_{k1}x_1)^{j_1} \cdot \ldots \cdot (\delta_{kd}x_d)^{j_d}}{j_1! \cdot \ldots \cdot j_d!},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $J = \{(j_1, \cdots, j_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d | j_i \geq 0, (j_1, \cdots, j_d) \neq 0\}$. Then equation (19) becomes

$$
\phi_{r,s}(x) = - \sum_{k=r}^{s} \frac{a_k}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} e^{i\langle n_k, x\rangle} \left[\sum_{(j_1,\cdots,j_d) \in J} i^{j_1+\ldots+j_d} \frac{(\delta_{k1}x_1)^{j_1} \cdot \ldots \cdot (\delta_{kd}x_d)^{j_d}}{j_1! \cdot \ldots \cdot j_d!} \right]
$$

$$= - \sum_{(j_1,\cdots,j_d)\in J} \frac{x_1^{j_1}\cdot\ldots\cdot x_d^{j_d}}{j_1!\cdot\ldots\cdot j_d!} i^{j_1+\ldots+j_d} \sum_{k=r}^{s} \frac{a_k}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} \delta_{k1}^{j_1}\cdot\ldots\cdot\delta_{kd}^{j_d} e^{i\langle n_k,x\rangle},$$

so

$$|\phi_{r,s}(x)| \leq \sum_{(j_1,\cdots,j_d)\in J} \frac{\pi^{j_1+\ldots+j_d}}{j_1!\cdot\ldots\cdot j_d!}\Big|\sum_{k=r}^{s} a_k\delta_{k1}^{j_1}\cdot\ldots\cdot\delta_{kd}^{j_d}\frac{e^{i\langle n_k,x\rangle}}{(2\pi)^{d/2}}\Big|.$$

For brevity denote the outer summand above by $h_{j_1,\ldots,j_d}(t)$. Then

$$\Big(\int_{[-\pi,\pi]^d} |\phi_{r,s}(x)|^2 dt\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \Big(\int_{[-\pi,\pi]^d} \Big|\sum_{(j_1,\cdots,j_d)\in J} h_{j_1,\ldots,j_d}(x)\Big|^2 dx\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\leq \sum_{(j_1,\cdots,j_d)\in J}\Big(\int_{[-\pi,\pi]^d}\Big|h_{j_1,\ldots,j_d}(x)\Big|^2 dx\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

so that

$$\|\phi_{r,s}\|_2 \leq \sum_{(j_1,\cdots,j_d)\in J} \frac{\pi^{j_1+\ldots+j_d}}{j_1!\cdot\ldots\cdot j_d!}\Big(\int_{[-\pi,\pi]^d}\Big|\sum_{k=r}^{s} a_k\delta_{k1}^{j_1}\cdot\ldots\cdot\delta_{kd}^{j_d}\frac{e^{i\langle n_k,x\rangle}}{(2\pi)^{d/2}}\Big|^2 dx\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$= \sum_{(j_1,\cdots,j_d)\in J} \frac{\pi^{j_1+\ldots+j_d}}{j_1!\cdot\ldots\cdot j_d!}\Big(\sum_{k=r}^{s} |a_k|^2|\delta_{k1}^{j_1}|^2\cdot\ldots\cdot|\delta_{kd}^{j_d}|^2\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\leq \sum_{(j_1,\cdots,j_d)\in J} \frac{\pi^{j_1+\ldots+j_d}}{j_1!\cdot\ldots\cdot j_d!}\Big(\sum_{k=r}^{s} |a_k|^2\Big(\sup_{r\leq k\leq s}\|n_k-t_k\|_\infty\Big)^{2(j_1+\ldots+j_d)}\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$= \sum_{(j_1,\cdots,j_d)\in J} \frac{\Big(\pi\sup_{r\leq k\leq s}\|n_k-t_k\|_\infty\Big)^{j_1+\ldots+j_d}}{j_1!\cdot\ldots\cdot j_d!}\Big(\sum_{k=r}^{s} |a_k|^2\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$= \Big[\prod_{l=1}^{d}\Big(\sum_{j_\ell=0}^{\infty}\frac{\big(\pi\sup_{r\leq k\leq s}\|n_k-t_k\|_\infty\big)^{j_\ell}}{j_\ell!}\Big)-1\Big]\Big(\sum_{k=r}^{s} |a_k|^2\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$= \Big(e^{\pi d\big(\sup_{r\leq k\leq s}\|n_k-t_k\|_\infty\big)}-1\Big)\Big(\sum_{k=r}^{s} |a_k|^2\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

$\square$

Corollary 5.4. *Let $(n_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ be an enumeration of $\mathbb{Z}^d$, and let $(t_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}\subset\mathbb{R}^d$ such that*

$$\sup_{k\in\mathbb{N}}\|n_k-t_k\|_\infty = L < \infty.$$

Define $e_k, f_k : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{C}$ by $e_k(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}}e^{i\langle n_k,x\rangle}$ and $\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}}e^{i\langle t_k,x\rangle}$. Then the map $T : L_2[-\pi,\pi]^d \to L_2[-\pi,\pi]^d$, defined by $Te_n = e_n - f_n$, satisfies the following estimate:

(20) $$\|T\| \leq e^{\pi Ld} - 1.$$

Proof. Lemma (5.3) shows that T is uniformly continuous on a dense subset of the ball in $L_2(E)$, so T is bounded on $L_2[-\pi, \pi]^d$. The inequality (20) follows immediately. $\qquad\square$

Corollary 5.5. *Let $(n_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, $(t_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, and let e_k, f_k and T be defined as in Corollary 5.4. For each $l \in \mathbb{N}$, define T_l by $T_l e_k = e_k - f_k$ for $1 \le k \le l$, and $T_l e_k = 0$ for $l < k$. If $\lim_{k \to \infty} \|n_k - t_k\|_\infty = 0$, then $\lim_{l \to \infty} T_l = T$ in the operator norm. In particular, T is a compact operator.*

Proof. As

$$(T - T_l)\Big(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k e_k\Big) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k(e_k - f_k) - \sum_{k=1}^{l} a_k(e_k - f_k)$$

$$= \sum_{k=l+1}^{\infty} a_k(e_k - f_k) = T\Big(\sum_{k=l+1}^{\infty} a_k e_k\Big),$$

the estimate derived in lemma (5.3) yields

$$\Big\| (T - T_l)\Big(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k e_k\Big) \Big\|_2 = \Big\| T\Big(\sum_{k=l+1}^{\infty} a_k e_k\Big) \Big\|_2 \le \big(e^{\pi d \sup_{k \ge l+1} \|\delta_k\|_\infty} - 1\big)\Big\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k e_k \Big\|_2,$$

so $\big\| (T - T_l) \big\|_2 \to 0$ as $l \to \infty$. As T_l has finite rank, we deduce that T is compact. $\qquad\square$

We are ready for the proof of Theorem 5.1.

Proof. Step 1: B is a compact perturbation of the identity map, namely

$$(21) \qquad\qquad B = I + \lim_{l \to \infty} \big(-P_l + (P_l B^{-1} P_l)^{-1}\big).$$

Since $(f_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Riesz basis for $L_2[-\pi, \pi]^d$, $L^* = (I - T)$ is an onto isomorphism where $T e_k = e_k - f_k$; so B simplifies to $(I - T)^{-1}(I - T^*)^{-1}$. We examine

$$B^{-1} = (I - T^*)(I - T) = I + (T^* T - T - T^*) := I + \Delta,$$

where Δ is a compact operator. If an operator $\Delta : H \to H$ is compact then so is Δ^*, hence $P_l \Delta P_l \to \Delta$ in the operator norm because

$$\|P_l \Delta P_l - \Delta\| \le \|P_l \Delta P_l - P_l \Delta\| + \|P_l \Delta - \Delta\| \le \|\Delta P_l - \Delta\| + \|P_l \Delta - \Delta\|$$
$$= \|P_l \Delta^* - \Delta^*\| + \|P_l \Delta - \Delta\| \to 0.$$

We have

$$B^{-1} = \lim_{l \to \infty} (I + P_l \Delta P_l) = \lim_{l \to \infty} (I + P_l(B^{-1} - I)P_l) = \lim_{l \to \infty} (I - P_l + P_l B^{-1} P_l).$$

Now $(P_l B^{-1} P_l)$ restricted to the first l rows and columns is the Grammian matrix for the set $(f_1, \cdots, f_l)$ which can be shown (in a straightforward manner) to be linearly independent. We conclude that $P_l B^{-1} P_l$ is invertible as an $l \times l$ matrix. By $(P_l B^{-1} P_l)^{-1}$ we mean the inverse as an $l \times l$ matrix and zeroes elsewhere. Observing that the ranges of $P_l B^{-1} P_l$ and $(P_l B^{-1} P_l)^{-1}$ are in the kernel of $I - P_l$, and that the range of $I - P_l$ is in the kernels of $P_l B^{-1} P_l$ and $(P_l B^{-1} P_l)^{-1}$, we easily compute

$$(I - P_l + (P_l B^{-1} P_l)^{-1})^{-1} = I - P_l + P_l B^{-1} P_l,$$

so that

$$B^{-1} = \lim_{l \to \infty} (I - P_l + (P_l B^{-1} P_l)^{-1})^{-1},$$

implying

$$B = \lim_{l \to \infty} (I - P_l + (P_l B^{-1} P_l)^{-1}) := \lim_{l \to \infty} B_l = I + \lim_{l \to \infty} (-P_l + (P_l B^{-1} P_l)^{-1}).$$

Step 2: We verifiy equation (16) and its convergence properties. Recalling equation (11), we have

$$f(t) - \frac{1}{\lambda^d} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [(I - P_l + (P_l B^{-1} P_l)^{-1}) f_{S/\lambda}]_k g\big(t - \frac{t_k}{\lambda}\big) = \frac{1}{\lambda^d} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [(B - B_l) f_{S/\lambda}]_k g\big(t - \frac{t_k}{\lambda}\big)$$

implying

$$f(t) - \frac{1}{\lambda^d} \sum_{k=1}^{l} [(P_l B^{-1} P_l)^{-1} f_{S/\lambda}]_k g\big(t - \frac{t_k}{\lambda}\big)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\lambda^d} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [(B - B_l) f_{S/\lambda}]_k g\big(t - \frac{t_k}{\lambda}\big) + \frac{1}{\lambda^d} \sum_{k=l+1}^{\infty} f\big(\frac{t_k}{\lambda}\big) g\big(t - \frac{t_k}{\lambda}\big).$$

Therefore,

$$\Big\| f(\cdot) - \frac{1}{\lambda^d} \sum_{k=1}^{l} [(P_l B^{-1} P_l)^{-1} f_{S/\lambda}]_k g\big(\cdot - \frac{t_k}{\lambda}\big) \Big\|_2 =$$

$$= \Big\| \frac{1}{\lambda^d} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [(B - B_l) f_{S/\lambda}]_k g\big(\cdot - \frac{t_k}{\lambda}\big) + \frac{1}{\lambda^d} \sum_{k=l+1}^{\infty} f\big(\frac{t_k}{\lambda}\big) g\big(\cdot - \frac{t_k}{\lambda}\big) \Big\|_{[-\lambda\pi, \lambda\pi]^d}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\lambda^d} \Big\| \mathcal{F}^{-1}(g)(\cdot) \Big(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [(B - B_l) f_{S/\lambda}]_k e^{i\langle \cdot, \frac{t_k}{\lambda}\rangle} + \sum_{k=l+1}^{\infty} f\big(\frac{t_k}{\lambda}\big) e^{i\langle \cdot, \frac{t_k}{\lambda}\rangle} \Big) \Big\|_{[-\lambda\pi, \lambda\pi]^d}$$

after taking the inverse Fourier transform. Now

$$\Big\| f(\cdot) - \frac{1}{\lambda^d} \sum_{k=1}^{l} [(P_l B^{-1} P_l)^{-1} f_{S/\lambda}]_k g\big(\cdot - \frac{t_k}{\lambda}\big) \Big\|_2$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\lambda^d} \Big\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [(B - B_l) f_{S/\lambda}]_k e^{i\langle \cdot, \frac{t_k}{\lambda}\rangle} \Big\|_{[-\lambda\pi, \lambda\pi]^d} + \frac{1}{\lambda^d} \Big\| \sum_{k=l+1}^{\infty} f\big(\frac{t_k}{\lambda}\big) e^{i\langle \cdot, \frac{t_k}{\lambda}\rangle} \Big\|_{[-\lambda\pi, \lambda\pi]^d}$$

$$\leq \frac{M}{\lambda^d} \Big\| (B - B_l) f_{S/\lambda} \Big\|_{\ell_2(\mathbb{N})} + \frac{M}{\lambda^d} \Big(\sum_{k=l+1}^{\infty} |f\big(\frac{t_k}{\lambda}\big)|^2 \Big)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

since $\big(f_k(\frac{\cdot}{\lambda})\big)_k$ is a Riesz basis for $L_2[-\lambda\pi, \lambda\pi]^d$. Since $B_l \to B$ as $l \to \infty$ and $\big(f\big(\frac{t_k}{\lambda}\big)\big)_k \in \ell_2(\mathbb{N})$, the last two terms in the inequality above tend to zero, which proves the required result.

Finally, to compute $(P_l B^{-1} P_l)_{nm}$, recall that $B^{-1} = (I - T^*)(I - T)$. Proceeding in a manner similar to the proof of equation (10), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{mn}^{-1} &= \langle LL^* e_n, e_m \rangle = \langle L^* e_n, L^* e_m \rangle = \langle f_n, f_m \rangle \\
&= \operatorname{sinc}\pi(t_{n,1} - t_{m,1}) \cdot \ldots \cdot \operatorname{sinc}\pi(t_{n,d} - t_{m,d}).
\end{aligned}
$$

The entries of $P_l B^{-1} P_l$ agree with those of B^{-1} when $1 \le n, m \le l$. $\qquad \square$

One generalization of Kadec's 1/4 theorem given by Pak and Shin in [12] (which is actually a special case of Avdonin's theorem) is:

Theorem 5.6. *Let $(t_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a sequence of distinct points such that*

$$
\limsup_{|n| \to \infty} |n - t_n| = L < \frac{1}{4}.
$$

Then the sequence of functions $(f_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$, defined by $f_k(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{it_k x}$, is a Riesz basis for $L_2[-\pi, \pi]$.

Theorem 5.6 shows that in the univariate case of Theorem 5.1, the restriction that $(f_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Riesz basis for $L_2[-\pi, \pi]$ can be dropped. The following example shows that the multivariate case is very different

Let $(e_n)_n$ be an orthonormal basis for a Hilbert space H. Let $f_1 \in H$ with $\|f_1\| = 1$, then $(f_1, e_2, e_3, \cdots)$ is a Riesz basis for H iff $\langle f_1, e_1 \rangle \ne 0$. Verifying that the map T, given by $e_k \mapsto e_k$ for $k > 1$ and $e_1 \mapsto f_1$, is a continuous bijection is routine, so T is an isomorphism via the Open Mapping Theorem. In the language of Theorem 5.1, $(f_1, e_2, e_3, \cdots)$ is a Riesz basis for $L_2[-\pi, \pi]$ iff

$$
0 \ne \operatorname{sinc}(\pi t_{1,1}) \cdot \ldots \cdot \operatorname{sinc}(\pi t_{1,d}),
$$

that is, iff $t_1 \in (\mathbb{R} \setminus \{\pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots\})^d$.

6. Generalizations of Kadec's 1/4 Theorem

Corollary 5.4 yields the following generalization of Kadec's Theorem in d dimensions.

Corollary 6.1. *Let $(n_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an enumeration of $\mathbb{Z}^d$, and let $(t_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ such that*

$$
\tag{22} \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|n_k - t_k\|_\infty = L < \frac{\ln(2)}{\pi d}.
$$

Then the sequence $(f_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ defined by $f_k(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} e^{i\langle x, t_k \rangle}$ is a Riesz basis for $L_2[-\pi, \pi]^d$.

The proof is immediate. Note that equation (20) implies that the map T given in Corollary 5.4 has norm less than 1. We conclude that the map $(I - T)e_k = f_k$ is invertible by considering its Neumann series.

The proof of Corollary (5.4) and Corollary (6.1) are straightforward generalizations of the univariate result proved by Duffin and Eachus [8]. Kadec improved the value of the constant in the inequality (22) (for $d = 1$) from $\frac{\ln(2)}{\pi}$ to the optimal value of $1/4$; this is his celebrated

"1/4 theorem" [10].

Kadec's method of proof is to expand $e^{i\delta x}$ with respect to the orthogonal basis

$$\{1, \cos(nx), \sin\left(n - \frac{1}{2}\right)x\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$$

for $L_2[-\pi, \pi]$, and use this expansion to estimate the norm of T. In the proof of Corollary (5.4) and Corollary (6.1) we simply used a Taylor series. Unlike the estimates in Kadec's Theorem, the estimate in equation (20) can be used for any sequence $(t_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ such that $\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|n_k - t_k\|_\infty = L < \infty$, not only those for which the exponentials $(e^{it_n x})_n$ form a Riesz basis. An impressive generalization of Kadec's 1/4 theorem when $d = 1$ is Avdonin's "1/4 in the mean" theorem, [1].

Sun and Zhou (see [13] second half of Theorem 1.3) refined Kadec's argument to obtain a partial generalization of his result in higher dimensions:

Theorem 6.2. *Let* $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ *such that*

$$0 < L < \frac{1}{4},$$

$$D_d(L) := \left(1 - \cos \pi L + \sin \pi L + \frac{\sin \pi L}{\pi L}\right)^d - \left(\frac{\sin \pi L}{\pi L}\right)^d, \quad \text{and}$$

$$\|a_n - n\|_\infty \le L, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}^d.$$

If $D_d(L) < 1$, *then* $\left(\frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} e^{i\langle a_n, (\cdot) \rangle}\right)$ *is a Riesz basis for* $L_2[-\pi, \pi]^d$ *with frame bounds* $(1 - D_d(L))^2$ *and* $(1 + D_d(L))^2$.

In the one-dimensional case, Kadec's theorem is recovered exactly from Theorem 6.2, When $d > 1$, the value x_d satisfying $0 < x_d < 1/4$ and $D_d(x_d) = 1$ is an upper bound for any value of L satisfying $0 < L < 1/4$ and $D_d(L) < 1$. The value of x_d is not readily apparent, whereas the constant in Corollary 6.1 is $\frac{\ln 2}{\pi d}$. A relationship between this number and x_d is given in the following theorem (whose proof is omitted).

Theorem 6.3. *Let* x_d *be the unique number satisfying* $0 < x_d < 1/4$ *and* $D_d(x_d) = 1$. *Then*

$$\lim_{d \to \infty} \frac{x_d - \frac{\ln 2}{\pi d}}{\frac{(\ln 2)^2}{12 \pi d^2}} = 1.$$

Thus, for sufficiently large d, Theorem 6.2 and Corollary 6.1 are essentially the same.

7. A METHOD OF APPROXIMATION OF BIORTHOGONAL FUNCTIONS AND A RECOVERY OF A THEOREM OF LEVINSON

In this section we apply the techniques developed in the previously to approximate the biorthogonal functions to Riesz bases $\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{it_n(\cdot)}\right)$ for which the preframe operator is small perturbation of the identity. This is the content of Theorem 7.1. A well known theorem of

Levinson (see [11, pages 47-67]), follows as a corollary to Theorem 7.1.

Definition 7.1. A Kadec sequence is a sequence $(t_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ of real numbers satisfying

$$\sup_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} |t_n - n| = D < 1/4.$$

Theorem 7.2. *Let $(t_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a sequence (with $t_n \neq 0$ for $n \neq 0$) such that $(f_n)_n = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{it_n(\cdot)}\right)_n$ is a Riesz basis for $L_2[-\pi, \pi]$, and let $(e_n)_n$ be the standard exponential or-thonormal basis for $L_2[-\pi, \pi]$. If the map L given by $Le_n = f_n$ satisfies the estimate $\|I - L\| < 1$, then the biorthogonals G_n of $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\mathcal{F}(f_n)(\cdot) = \mathrm{sinc}(\pi(\cdot - t_n))$ in $PW_{[-\pi,\pi]}$ are*

(23)
$$G_n(t) = \frac{H(t)}{(t - t_n)H'(t_n)}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z},$$

where

(24)
$$H(t) = (t - t_0) \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{t}{t_n}\right)\left(1 - \frac{t}{t_{-n}}\right).$$

Definition 7.3. Let $(t_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a sequence such that $(f_n)_n = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{it_n(\cdot)}\right)_n$ is a Riesz basis for $L_2[-\pi, \pi]$. If $l \geq 0$, the *l-truncated sequence* $(t_{l,n})_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is defined by $t_{l,n} = t_n$ if $|n| \leq l$ and $t_{l,n} = n$ otherwise. Define $f_{l,n} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{it_{l,n}(\cdot)}$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $l \geq 0$.

Let $P_l : L_2[-\pi, \pi] \to L_2[-\pi, \pi]$ be the orthogonal projection onto $\mathrm{span}\{e_{-l}, \ldots, e_l\}$.

Proposition 7.4. *Let $(t_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a sequence such that $(f_n)_n$ (defined above) is a Riesz basis for $L_2[-\pi, \pi]$. If $(e_n)_n$ is the standard exponential orthonormal basis for $L_2[-\pi, \pi]$ and the map L (defined above) satisfies the estimate $\|I - L\| = \delta < 1$, then the following are true:*

1) For $l \geq 0$, the sequence $(f_{l,n})_n$ is a Riesz basis for $L_2[-\pi, \pi]$.
2) For $l \geq 0$, the map L_l defined by $L_l e_n = f_{l,n}$ satisfies $\|L_l^{-1}\| \leq \frac{1}{1-\delta}$.

Proof. If $(c_n)_n \in \ell_2(\mathbb{Z})$, then

$$(I - L_l)\left(\sum_n c_n e_n\right) = \sum_n c_n(e_n - L_l e_n) = \sum_{|n| \leq l}(e_n - f_n) = (I - L)P_l\left(\sum_n c_n e_n\right),$$

so that

(25)
$$(I - L_l) = (I - L)P_l.$$

From this, $\|I - L_l\| \leq \delta$, which implies 1) and 2). $\qquad\square$

Define the biorthogonal functions of $(f_{l,n})_n$ to be $(f_{l,n}^*)_n$. Passing to the Fourier transform, we have $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\mathcal{F}(f_{l,n})(t) = \mathrm{sinc}(\pi(t - t_{l,n}))$ and $G_{l,n}(t) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\mathcal{F}(f_{l,n}^*)(t)$. Define the biorthog-onal functions of $(f_n)_n$ similarly.

Lemma 7.5. *If $(t_n)_n \subset \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the hypotheses of proposition 7.4, then*

$$\lim_{l \to \infty} G_{l,n} = G_n$$

in $PW_{[-\pi,\pi]}$.

Proof. Note that

$$\delta_{nm} = \langle f_{l,n}, f_{l,m}^* \rangle = \langle L_l e_n, f_{l,m}^* \rangle = \langle e_n, L_l^* f_{l,m}^* \rangle$$

so that for all m, $f_{l,m}^* = (L_l^*)^{-1} e_m$. Similarly, $f_m^* = (L^*)^{-1} e_m$. We have

$$f_{l,m}^* - f_m^* \quad = \quad ((L_l^*)^{-1} - (L^*)^{-1})e_m = (L_l^*)^{-1}(L^* - L_l^*)(L^*)^{-1} e_m.$$

Now equation (25) implies $L - L_l = (L - I)(I - P_l)$, so that

$$f_{l,m}^* - f_m^* = (L_l^*)^{-1}(I - P_l)(L^* - I)(L^*)^{-1} e_m.$$

Applying proposition 7.4 yields

$$\|f_{l,m}^* - f_m^*\| \le \frac{1}{1 - \delta}\|(I - P_l)(L^* - I)(L^*)^{-1} e_m\|,$$

which for fixed m goes to 0 as $l \to \infty$. We conclude $\lim_{l \to \infty} f_{l,m}^* = f_m^*$, which, upon passing to the Fourier transform, yields $\lim_{l \to \infty} G_{l,m} = G_m$. $\qquad \square$

Proof of Theorem 7.2.

We see that $\delta_{nm} = \langle G_{l,m}, S_{l,n} \rangle$, where $S_{l,n}(t) = \text{sinc}(\pi(t - t_n))$ when $|n| \le l$ and $S_{l,n}(t) = \text{sinc}(\pi(t - n))$ when $|m| > l$. Without loss of generality, let $|m| < l$. Equation (1) implies that $G_{l,m}(k) = 0$ when $|k| > l$. By the WKS theorem we have

$$G_{l,m}(t) \quad = \quad \sum_{k=-l}^{k=l} G_{l,m}(k)\text{sinc}(\pi(t - k)) = \Big(\sum_{k=-l}^{k=l} \frac{tG_{l,m}(k)}{k - t} \Big)\text{sinc}(\pi t)$$

$$= \quad \frac{w_l(t)}{\prod_{k=1}^{l}(k - t)(-k - t)}\text{sinc}(\pi t),$$

where w_l is a polynomial of degree at most $2l$. Noting that

$$\text{sinc}(\pi t) = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \Big(1 - \frac{t^2}{k^2}\Big) \quad \text{and} \quad \prod_{k=1}^{l}(k - t)(-k - t) = (-1)^l(l!)^2 \prod_{k=1}^{l} \Big(1 - \frac{t^2}{k^2}\Big),$$

we have

$$G_{l,m}(t) = \frac{(-1)^l w_l(t)}{(l!)^2} \prod_{k=l+1}^{\infty} \Big(1 - \frac{t^2}{k^2}\Big).$$

Again by equation (1), $\delta_{nm} = G_{l,m}(t_n)$ when $|n| \le l$ so that

$$\delta_{nm} = \frac{(-1)^l}{(l!)^2} w_l(t_n) \prod_{k=l+1}^{\infty} \Big(1 - \frac{t_n^2}{k^2}\Big).$$

This determines the zeroes of w_l. We deduce that

$$w_l(t) = \frac{c_l \prod_{k=1}^{k=l}(t - t_k)(t - t_{-k})}{t - t_m}$$

for some constant c_l. Absorbing constants, we have

$$G_{l,m}(t) = \frac{c_l H_l(t)}{t - t_m},$$

where

$$H_l(t) := (t - t_0) \prod_{k=1}^{l} \left(1 - \frac{t}{t_k}\right)\left(1 - \frac{t}{t_{-k}}\right) \prod_{l+1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{t^2}{k^2}\right).$$

Now $0 = H_l(t_m)$, so $G_{l,m}(t) = c_l \frac{H_l(t) - H_l(t_m)}{t - t_m}$. Taking limits, $c_l = \frac{1}{(H_l)'(t_m)}$. This yields

$$G_{l,m}(t) = \frac{H_l(t)}{(t - t_m)H_l'(t_m)}.$$

Define

$$H(t) = (t - t_0) \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{t}{t_k}\right)\left(1 - \frac{t}{t_{-k}}\right).$$

Basic complex analysis shows that H is entire, and $H_l \to H$ and $H_l' \to H'$ uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{C}$. Furthermore, $H'(t_k) \neq 0$ for all k, since each t_k is a zero of H of multiplicity one. Together we have

$$\lim_{l \to \infty} G_{l,m}(t) = \frac{H(t)}{(t - t_m)H'(t_m)}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

By the foregoing lemma, $G_{l,m} \to G_m$. Observing that convergence in $PW_{[-\pi,\pi]}$ implies pointwise convergence yields the desired result.

Levinson proved a version of Theorem 7.2 in the case where $(t_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is a Kadec sequence. His original proof is found in [11, pages 47-67]). We recall that if $(f_n)_n$ is a Riesz basis arising from a Kadec sequence, then the preframe operator L satisfies $\|I - L\| < 1$. Levinson's theorem is then recovered from Theorem 7.2.

References

[1] S. A. Avdonin. On the question of Riesz bases of exponential functions in L^2. *Vestnik Leningrad Univ. Ser. Mat.,* **13**, 5-12 (Russian); English translation in *Vestnik Leningrad Univ. Math,* **7**, (1979) 203-211.

[2] J. Benedetto, Irregular sampling and frames, *Wavelets - A Tutorial in Theory and Applications*, C. Chui, Ed., Academic Press, New York, (1991) 1-63.

[3] J. Benedetto and W. Heller, Irregular sampling and the theory of frames, Part I, *Note Mathematica*, Suppl. 1, (1990) 103-125.

[4] J. J. Benedetto, Paulo J. S. G. Ferriera, Modern Sampling Theory, Birkhauser, (2001).

[5] A. Beurling, Local harmonic analysis with some applications to differential operators, *in* "Some Recent Advances in the Basic Sciences, Vol. 1" (Proc. Annual Sci. Conf., Belfer Grad. School Sci., Yeshiva Univ., New York, 1962-1964), (1966) 109-125.

[6] Peter G. Casazza, The Art of Frames, *Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics*, Vol. 4, No. 2, (2001) 129-201.

[7] I. Daubechies, R. DeVore, Approximating a bandlimited function using very coursely quantized data: a family of stable sigma-delta modulators of arbitrary order, *Annals of Mathematics* **158**, (2003) 679-710.

[8] Duffin. R. J , and Eachus, J. J. Some notes on an expansion theorem of Paley and Wiener. *Bull. Am. Math. Soc.* **44**, (1942) 850-855.

[9] G. B. Folland, Real Analysis: Modern Techniques and Their Applications, Second Edition, John Wiley & Sons, (1999) 245.

[10] Kadec, M. I. The exact value of the Paley-Wiener constant. *Sov. Math. Dokl.* **5**, (1964) 559-561.

[11] N. Levinson, Gap and Density Theorems, American Mathematical Society, (1940).

[12] H. Pak and C. Shin, Perturbation of Nonharmonic Fourier Series and Nonuniform Sampling Theorem, *Bulletin of the Korean Mathematical Society* **44**, (2007) No.2, 351-358.

[13] W. Sun, and X. Zhou, On the Stability of Multivariate Trigonometric Systems, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **235**, (1999) 159-167.

[14] R. M. Young, An Introduction to Nonharmonic Fourier Series, Academic Press, (1980).

[15] A. Zayed, Advances in Shannon's Sampling Theory, CRC Press, (2000).

Department of Mathematics, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843, USA

E-mail address: `abailey@math.tamu.edu`