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#### Abstract

Let $\Gamma$ be an $\widetilde{A}_{n}$ subgroup of $\operatorname{PGL}_{n+1}(\mathbb{K})$, with $n \geq 2$, where $\mathbb{K}$ is a local field with residue field of order $q$ and let $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{K}}^{n}$ be projective $n$-space over $\mathbb{K}$. The module of coinvariants $H_{0}\left(\Gamma ; C\left(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{K}}^{n}, \mathbb{Z}\right)\right)$ is shown to be finite. Consequently there is no nonzero $\Gamma$-invariant $\mathbb{Z}$-valued distribution on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{K}}^{n}$.


## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathbb{K}$ be a nonarchimedean local field with residue field $k$ of order $q$ and uniformizer $\pi$. Denote by $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{K}}^{n}$ the set of one dimensional subspaces of the vector space $\mathbb{K}^{n+1}$, i.e. the set of points in projective $n$-space over $\mathbb{K}$. Then $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{K}}^{n}$ is a compact totally disconnected space with the quotient topology inherited from $\mathbb{K}^{n+1}$, and there is a continuous action of $G=\mathrm{PGL}_{n+1}(\mathbb{K})$ on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{K}}^{n}$.

Let $\Gamma$ be a lattice subgroup of $G$. The abelian group $C\left(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{K}}^{n}, \mathbb{Z}\right)$ of continuous integer-valued functions on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{K}}^{n}$ has the structure of a $\Gamma$-module and the module of coinvariants $C\left(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{K}}^{n}, \mathbb{Z}\right)_{\Gamma}=H_{0}\left(\Gamma ; C\left(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{K}}^{n}, \mathbb{Z}\right)\right)$ is a finitely generated group. Now suppose that $\Gamma$ is an $\widetilde{A}_{n}$ group [3, 4, i.e. $\Gamma$ acts freely and transitively on the vertex set of the Bruhat-Tits building of $G$, which has type $\widetilde{A}_{n}$. A free group is an $\widetilde{A}_{1}$ group since it acts freely and transitively on the vertex set of a tree, which is a building of type $\widetilde{A}_{1}$. For $n \geq 2$, the $\widetilde{A}_{n}$ groups are unlike free groups. This article proves the following.

Theorem 1.1. If $\Gamma$ is an $\widetilde{A}_{n}$ subgroup of $\mathrm{PGL}_{n+1}(\mathbb{K})$, where $n \geq 2$, then $C\left(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{K}}^{n}, \mathbb{Z}\right)_{\Gamma}$ is a finite group.

The proof depends upon the fact that $\Gamma$ has Kazhdan's property ( T ). A distribution on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{K}}^{n}$ is a finitely additive $\mathbb{Z}$-valued measure $\mu$ defined on the clopen subsets of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{K}}^{n}$.

Corollary 1.2. If $\Gamma$ is an $\widetilde{A}_{n}$ subgroup of $\mathrm{PGL}_{n+1}(\mathbb{K})$, where $n \geq 2$, then there is no nonzero $\Gamma$-invariant $\mathbb{Z}$-valued distribution on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{K}}^{n}$.

This contrasts strongly with the main result of [8] concerning boundary distributions associated with finite graphs. A torsion free lattice subgroup $\Gamma$ of $\mathrm{PGL}_{2}(\mathbb{K})$ is a free group, of rank $r$ say. It was shown in [8] that in this case the group of $\Gamma$-invariant $\mathbb{Z}$-valued distributions on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{K}}^{1}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^{r}$. In particular, there are many such distributions.
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## 2. Background

2.1. The Bruhat-Tits building. If $\mathbb{K}$ is a local field, with discrete valuation $v$ : $\mathbb{K}^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, let $\mathcal{O}=\{x \in \mathbb{K}: v(x) \geq 0\}$ and let $\pi \in \mathbb{K}$ satisfy $v(\pi)=1$. A lattice $L$ is an $\mathcal{O}$-submodule of $\mathbb{K}^{n+1}$ of rank $n+1$. In other words $L=\mathcal{O} e_{1}+\mathcal{O} e_{2}+\cdots+\mathcal{O} e_{n+1}$, for some basis $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{n+1}\right\}$ of $\mathbb{K}^{n+1}$. Two lattices $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ are equivalent if $L_{1}=\alpha L_{2}$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}^{\times}$. The Bruhat-Tits building of $\mathrm{PGL}_{n+1}(\mathbb{K})$ is a two dimensional simplicial complex $\Delta$ whose vertices are equivalence classes of lattices in $\mathbb{K}^{n+1}$ 9]. Two lattice classes $\left[L_{0}\right],\left[L_{1}\right]$ are adjacent if, for suitable representatives $L_{1}, L_{2}$, we have $L_{0} \subset L_{1} \subset \pi^{-1} L_{0}$. A simplex is a set of pairwise adjacent lattice classes. The maximal simplices (chambers) are the sets $\left\{\left[L_{0}\right],\left[L_{1}\right], \ldots,\left[L_{n}\right]\right\}$ where $L_{0} \subset L_{1} \subset \cdots \subset L_{n} \subset \pi^{-1} L_{0}$. These inclusions determine a canonical ordering of the vertices in a chamber, up to cyclic permutation. Each vertex $v$ of $\Delta$ has a type $\tau(v) \in \mathbb{Z} /(n+1) \mathbb{Z}$, and each chamber of $\Delta$ has exactly one vertex of each type. If the Haar measure on $\mathbb{K}^{n+1}$ is normalized so that $\mathcal{O}^{n+1}$ has measure 1 then the type map may be defined by $\tau([L])=\log _{q}(\operatorname{vol}(L))+(n+1) \mathbb{Z}$. The cyclic ordering of the vertices of a chamber coincides with the natural ordering given by the vertex types (Figure 11). Let $E^{1}$ denote the set of directed edges $e=(x, y)$ of $\Delta$ such that $\tau(y)=\tau(x)+1$. Write $o(e)=x$ and $t(e)=y$. The subgraph of the 1 -skeleton of $\Delta$ with edge set $E^{1}$ is studied in [5, 7].


Figure 1. $\widetilde{A}_{3}$ case: cyclic ordering of the vertices of a chamber

Lemma 2.1. Let $C$ be a chamber of $\Delta$. Then $C$ contains $n+1$ directed edges $e \in E^{1}$.

Proof. By [9, Chapter 9.2], there is a basis $\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n+1}\right)$ of $\mathbb{K}^{n+1}$ such that the vertices of $C$ are the classes of the lattices

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{0}=\pi \mathcal{O} e_{1}+\pi \mathcal{O} e_{2}+\pi \mathcal{O} e_{3}+\cdots+\pi \mathcal{O} e_{n+1} \\
& L_{1}=\mathcal{O} e_{1}+\pi \mathcal{O} e_{2}+\pi \mathcal{O} e_{3}+\cdots+\pi \mathcal{O} e_{n+1} \\
& L_{2}=\mathcal{O} e_{1}+\mathcal{O} e_{2}+\pi \mathcal{O} e_{3}+\cdots+\pi \mathcal{O} e_{n+1} \\
& \ldots \ldots \\
& L_{n}=\mathcal{O} e_{1}+\mathcal{O} e_{2}+\mathcal{O} e_{3}+\cdots+\pi \mathcal{O} e_{n+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Define $L_{n+1}=L_{0}$. Then the edges $C$ which lie in $E^{1}$ are $\left(\left[L_{k}\right],\left[L_{k+1}\right]\right)$, where $0 \leq k \leq n$.

The building $\Delta$ is of type $\widetilde{A}_{n}$ and the action of $\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}(\mathbb{K})$ on the set of lattices induces an action of $\mathrm{PGL}_{n+1}(\mathbb{K})$ on $\Delta$ which is transitive on the vertex set. The action of $\mathrm{PGL}_{n+1}(\mathbb{K})$ on $\Delta$ is type rotating in the sense that, for each $g \in \mathrm{PGL}_{n+1}(\mathbb{K})$, there exists $i \in \mathbb{Z} /(n+1) \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\tau(g v)=\tau(v)+i$ for all vertices $v \in \Delta$.

Fix a vertex $v_{0} \in \Delta$ of type 0 , and let $\Pi\left(v_{0}\right)$ be the set of vertices adjacent to $v_{0}$. Then $\Pi\left(v_{0}\right)$ has a natural incidence structure: if $u, v \in \Pi\left(v_{0}\right)$ are distinct, then $u$ and $v$ are incident if $u, v$ and $v_{0}$ lie in a common chamber of $\Delta$. If $v_{0}$ is the lattice class $\left[L_{0}\right]$, then $\Pi\left(v_{0}\right)$ consists of the classes $[L]$ where $L_{0} \subset L \subset \pi^{-1} L_{0}$, and one can associate to $[L] \in \Pi\left(v_{0}\right)$ the subspace $v=L / L_{0}$ of $\pi^{-1} L_{0} / L_{0} \cong k^{n+1}$. Thus we may identify $\Pi\left(v_{0}\right)$ with the flag complex of subspaces of the vector space $k^{n+1}$. Under this identification, a vertex $v \in \Pi\left(v_{0}\right)$ has type $\tau(v)=\operatorname{dim}(v)+\mathbb{Z} /(n+1) \mathbb{Z}$ where $\operatorname{dim}(v)$ is the dimension of $v$ over $k$. A chamber $C$ of $\Delta$ which contains $v_{0}$ has vertices $v_{0}, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}$ where $(0)=v_{0} \subset v_{1} \subset \cdots \subset v_{n} \subset k^{n+1}$ is a complete flag. For brevity, write $C=\left\{v_{0} \subset v_{1} \subset \cdots \subset v_{n}\right\}$.
Definition 2.2. If $e=\left(\left[L_{0}\right],\left[L_{1}\right]\right) \in E^{1}$, where $L_{0} \subset L_{1} \subset \pi^{-1} L_{0}$ and $\tau\left(\left[L_{1}\right]\right)=$ $\tau\left(\left[L_{0}\right]\right)+1$, then define $\Omega(e)$ to be the set of lines $\ell \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{K}}^{n}$ such that $L_{1}=L_{0}+$ ( $\ell \cap \pi^{-1} L_{0}$ ). The sets $\Omega(e), e \in E^{1}$, form a basis for the topology on $P_{\mathbb{K}}^{n}$ (c.f. [10, Ch.II.1.1], [1, 1.6]).
Lemma 2.3. If $e \in E^{1}$, then $\Omega(e)$ may be expressed as a disjoint union of $q^{n}$ sets

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega(e)=\bigsqcup_{\substack{o\left(e^{\prime}\right)=t(e) \\ \Omega\left(e^{\prime}\right) \subset \Omega(e)}} \Omega\left(e^{\prime}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $e=\left(\left[L_{0}\right],\left[L_{1}\right]\right) \in E^{1}$, where $L_{0} \subset L_{1} \subset \pi^{-1} L_{0}$ and $\tau\left(\left[L_{1}\right]\right)=\tau\left(\left[L_{0}\right]\right)+1$. If $\ell \in \Omega(e)$ then $L_{1}=L_{0}+\left(\ell \cap \pi^{-1} L_{0}\right)$. Choose $e^{\prime}=\left(\left[L_{1}\right],\left[L_{2}\right]\right)$ where $L_{2}=$ $L_{0}+\left(\ell \cap \pi^{-2} L_{0}\right)$. Now $L_{0} \subset L_{1} \subset L_{2} \subset \pi^{-1} L_{1}$ and $L_{2} / L_{1}$ is a 1-dimensional subspace of $\pi^{-1} L_{1} / L_{1} \cong k^{n+1}$. Moreover, $L_{2} / L_{1}$ is not incident with the $n$ dimensional subspace $\pi^{-1} L_{0} / L_{1}$ of $\pi^{-1} L_{1} / L_{1} \cong k^{n+1}$. There are precisely $q^{n}$ such 1-dimensional subspaces of $k^{n+1}$, each of which corresponds to an edge $e^{\prime} \in E^{1}$.

Lemma 2.4. If $\xi$ is a fixed vertex of $\Delta$, then $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{K}}^{n}$ may be expressed as a disjoint union

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{K}}^{n}=\bigsqcup_{o(e)=\xi} \Omega(e) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $\xi=\left[L_{0}\right]$, where $L_{0}$ is a lattice. If $\ell \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{K}}^{n}$, define the lattice $L_{1}=$ $L_{0}+\left(\ell \cap \pi^{-1} L_{0}\right)$. Then $L_{0} \subset L_{1} \subset \pi^{-1} L_{0}$ and $\tau\left(\left[L_{1}\right]\right)=\tau\left(\left[L_{0}\right]\right)+1$, since $L_{0}$ is maximal in $L_{1}$. Thus the edge $e=\left(\left[L_{0}\right],\left[L_{1}\right]\right)$ lies in $E^{1}$, and $\ell \in \Omega(e)$.
Lemma 2.5. Let $C$ be a chamber of $\Delta$ and denote the directed edges of $C \cap E^{1}$ by $e_{0}, e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$. Then $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{K}}^{n}$ may be expressed as a disjoint union

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{K}}^{n}=\bigsqcup_{i=0}^{n} \Omega\left(e_{i}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $C$ have vertex set $\left\{\left[L_{0}\right],\left[L_{1}\right], \ldots,\left[L_{n}\right]\right\}$ where $L_{0} \subset L_{1} \subset \cdots \subset L_{n} \subset$ $\pi^{-1} L_{0}$. Let $\ell=\mathbb{K} a \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{K}}^{n}$, where $a \in \mathbb{K}^{n+1}$ is scaled so that $a \in \pi^{-1} L_{0}-L_{0}$. Then $a \in L_{i+1}-L_{i}$ for some $i$, where $L_{i+1} / L_{i} \cong k$ and $L_{n+1}=\pi^{-1} L_{0}$. Thus $\ell \in \Omega\left(e_{i}\right)$.
2.2. $\widetilde{A}_{n}$ groups. From now on let $\Pi=\Pi\left(v_{0}\right)$, the set of neighbours of the fixed vertex $v_{0} \in \Delta$. Thus $\Pi$ is isomorphic to the flag complex of subspaces of $k^{n+1}$ and a chamber $C$ of $\Delta$ which contains $v_{0}$ is a complete flag $\left\{v_{0} \subset v_{1} \subset \cdots \subset v_{n}\right\}$. For $1 \leq r \leq n$, let $\Pi_{r}=\left\{u \in \Pi\left(v_{0}\right): \operatorname{dim} u=r\right\}$.

Now suppose that $\Gamma$ is an $\widetilde{A}_{n}$ group i.e. $\Gamma$ acts freely and transitively on the vertex set of $\Delta$ [3, 4]. Then for each $v \in \Pi\left(v_{0}\right)$, there is a unique element $g_{v} \in \Gamma$ such that $g_{v} v_{0}=v$. If $v \in \Pi\left(v_{0}\right)$, then $g_{v}^{-1} v_{0}$ also lies in $\Pi\left(v_{0}\right)$, and $\lambda(v)=g_{v}^{-1} v_{0}$ defines an involution $\lambda: \Pi\left(v_{0}\right) \rightarrow \Pi\left(v_{0}\right)$ such that $g_{\lambda(v)}=g_{v}^{-1}$. Let $\mathcal{T}=\{(u, v, w) \in$ $\left.\Pi\left(v_{0}\right)^{3}: g_{u} g_{v} g_{w}=1\right\}$. If $(u, v, w) \in \mathcal{T}$ then $w$ is uniquely determined by $(u, v)$ and there is a bijective correspondence between triples $(u, v, w) \in \mathcal{T}$ and directed triangles $\left(v_{0}, \lambda(u), v\right)$ of $\Delta$ containing $v_{0}$. By [6, Proposition 2.2], the abstract group $\Gamma$ has a presentation with generating set $\left\{g_{v}: v \in \Pi\left(v_{0}\right)\right\}$ and relations

$$
\begin{align*}
g_{u} g_{\lambda(u)} & =1, \quad u \in \Pi\left(v_{0}\right)  \tag{4a}\\
g_{u} g_{v} g_{w} & =1, \quad(u, v, w) \in \mathcal{T} . \tag{4b}
\end{align*}
$$

If $u \in \Pi\left(v_{0}\right)$ and then $\tau\left(g_{u} v_{0}\right)=\tau(u)=\tau(u)+\tau\left(v_{0}\right)$. Hence $\tau\left(g_{u} x\right)=\tau(u)+\tau(x)$ for each vertex $x$ of $\Delta$, since $g_{u}$ is type rotating. In particular, if $u, v \in \Pi\left(v_{0}\right)$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau\left(g_{u} g_{v} v_{0}\right)=\tau(u)+\tau(v) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (5) that

$$
\tau(\lambda(u))=-\tau(u)
$$

for each $u \in \Pi$. Also, if $(u, v, w) \in \mathcal{T}$, then

$$
\tau(u)+\tau(v)+\tau(w)=0
$$

Let $C=\left\{v_{0} \subset v_{1} \subset \cdots \subset v_{n}\right\}$ be a chamber of $\Delta$ containing $v_{0}$. Since the vertices $v_{i-1}$ and $v_{i}$ are adjacent, so are the vertices $v_{0}=g_{v_{i-1}}^{-1} v_{i-1}$ and $g_{v_{i-1}}^{-1} g_{v_{i}} v_{0}=$ $g_{v_{i-1}}^{-1} v_{i}$. Also $\tau\left(g_{v_{i-1}}^{-1} g_{v_{i}} v_{0}\right)=\tau\left(v_{i}\right)-\tau\left(v_{i-1}\right)=1$. Therefore $g_{v_{i-1}}^{-1} g_{v_{i}}=g_{a_{i}}$ where $a_{i} \in \Pi_{1}, v_{n+1}=v_{0}$ and $g_{v_{0}}=1$. Thus $g_{a_{1}} g_{a_{2}} \ldots g_{a_{k}}=g_{v_{k}}(1 \leq k \leq n)$ and $g_{a_{1}} g_{a_{2}} \ldots g_{a_{n+1}}=1$.

The $(n+1)$-tuple $\sigma(C)=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n+1}\right) \in \Pi_{1}^{n+1}$ is uniquely determined by the chamber $C$ containing $v_{0}$. Denote by $\mathfrak{S}$ the set of all $(n+1)$-tuples $\sigma(C)$ associated with such chambers $C$. If $u \in \Pi\left(v_{0}\right)$ with $\operatorname{dim}(u)=k$, then $u$ is a vertex of a chamber $C$ containing $v_{0}$. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{u}=g_{a_{1}} g_{a_{2}} \ldots g_{a_{k}}, \quad \text { where } \quad a_{i} \in \Pi_{1}, 1 \leq i \leq k \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, the set $\left\{g_{a}: a \in \Pi_{1}\right\}$ generates $\Gamma$. Since $g_{\lambda(u)}=g_{u}^{-1}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{\lambda(u)}=g_{a_{i+1}} \ldots g_{a_{n+1}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the expression (6) for $g_{u}$ is not unique, but depends on the choice of the chamber $C$ containing $u$ and $v_{0}$. An edge in $E^{1}$ has the form $\left(x, g_{a} x\right)$ where $a \in \Pi_{1}$.
Lemma 2.6. The $\widetilde{A}_{n}$ group $\Gamma$ has a presentation with generating set $\left\{g_{a}: a \in \Pi_{1}\right\}$ and relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{a_{1}} g_{a_{2}} \ldots g_{a_{n+1}}=1, \quad\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n+1}\right) \in \mathfrak{S} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. It is enough to show that the relations (4) follow from the relations (8). Let $(u, v, w) \in \mathcal{T}$ with $\operatorname{dim}(u)=i, \operatorname{dim} v=j$ and $\operatorname{dim} w=k$, where $i+j+$ $k \equiv 0 \bmod (n+1)$. Choose a chamber $C=\left\{v_{0} \subset v_{1} \subset \cdots \subset v_{n}\right\}$ containing $\left\{v_{0}, g_{u} v_{0}, g_{u} g_{v} v_{0}\right\}$. Let $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n+1}\right)=\sigma(C) \in \Pi_{1}^{n+1}$ be the element of $\mathfrak{S}$ determined by $C$. Then $g_{u} v_{0}$ is the vertex of $C$ of type $i$, so $g_{u}=g_{a_{1}} g_{a_{2}} \ldots g_{a_{i}}$.

Suppose that $j<n+1-i$. Then $g_{u} g_{v} v_{0}$ is the vertex of $C$ of type $i+j$ and $g_{u} g_{v}=g_{a_{1}} g_{a_{2}} \ldots g_{a_{i+j}}$. Thus $g_{v}=g_{a_{i+1}} \ldots g_{a_{i+j}}$ and $g_{w}=g_{a_{i+j+1}} \ldots g_{a_{n+1}}$. Therefore

$$
g_{u} g_{v} g_{w}=g_{a_{1}} g_{a_{2}} \ldots g_{a_{n+1}} .
$$

Suppose that $j>n+1-i$. Then $g_{u} g_{v} v_{0}$ has type $i+j-n-1$ and

$$
g_{u} g_{v}=g_{a_{1}} g_{a_{2}} \ldots g_{a_{i+j-n-1}}=g_{a_{1}} g_{a_{2}} \ldots g_{a_{n+1}} g_{a_{1}} \ldots g_{a_{i+j-n-1}} .
$$

Thus $g_{v}=g_{a_{i+1}} \ldots g_{a_{n+1}} g_{a_{1}} \ldots g_{a_{i+j-n-1}}$ and $g_{w}=g_{a_{i+j-n}} \ldots g_{a_{n+1}}$. Therefore

$$
g_{u} g_{v} g_{w}=\left(g_{a_{1}} g_{a_{2}} \ldots g_{a_{n+1}}\right)^{2}
$$

In each case the relations (4b) follow from the relations (8). The same is true for the relations (4a), by equation (7).

## 3. The coinvariants

If $\Gamma$ is an $\widetilde{A}_{n}$ group acting on $\Delta$, then $\Gamma$ acts on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{K}}^{n}$, and the abelian group $C\left(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{K}}^{n}, \mathbb{Z}\right)$ has the structure of a $\Gamma$-module, with $(g \cdot f)(\ell)=f\left(g^{-1} \ell\right), g \in \Gamma, \ell \in$ $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{K}}^{n}$. The module of coinvariants, $C\left(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{K}}^{n}, \mathbb{Z}\right)_{\Gamma}$, is the quotient of $C\left(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{K}}^{n}, \mathbb{Z}\right)$ by the submodule generated by $\left\{g \cdot f-f: g \in \Gamma, f \in C\left(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{K}}^{n}, \mathbb{Z}\right)\right\}$. If $f \in C\left(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{K}}^{n}, \mathbb{Z}\right)$ then let $[f]$ denote its class in $C\left(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{K}}^{n}, \mathbb{Z}\right)_{\Gamma}$. Also, let $\mathbf{1}$ denote the constant function defined by $\mathbf{1}(\ell)=1$ for $\ell \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{K}}^{n}$, and let $\varepsilon=[\mathbf{1}]$.

If $e \in E^{1}$, let $\chi_{e}$ be the characteristic function of $\Omega(e)$. For each $g \in \Gamma$, the functions $\chi_{e}$ and $g \cdot \chi_{e}=\chi_{g e}$ project to the same element in $C\left(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{K}}^{n}, \mathbb{Z}\right)_{\Gamma}$. Any edge $e \in E^{1}$ is in the $\Gamma$-orbit of some edge ( $v_{0}, g_{a} v_{0}$ ), where $a \in \Pi_{1}$ is uniquely determined by $e$. Therefore it makes sense to denote by $[a]$ the class of $\chi_{e}$ in $C\left(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{K}}^{n}, \mathbb{Z}\right)_{\Gamma}$.

Lemma 3.1. The group $C\left(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{K}}^{n}, \mathbb{Z}\right)_{\Gamma}$ is finitely generated, with generating set $\{[a]$ : $\left.a \in \Pi_{1}\right\}$.

Proof. Every clopen set $V$ in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{K}}^{n}$ may be expressed as a finite disjoint union of sets of the form $\Omega(e), e \in E^{1}$. Any function $f \in C\left(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{K}}^{n}, \mathbb{Z}\right)$ is bounded, by compactness of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{K}}^{n}$, and so takes finitely many values $n_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore $f$ may be expressed as a finite sum $f=\sum_{j} n_{j} \chi_{e_{j}}$, with $e_{j} \in E^{1}$. The result follows, since $\left\{\left[\chi_{e}\right]: e \in E^{1}\right\}=$ $\left\{[a]: a \in \Pi_{1}\right\}$.

Suppose that $e, e^{\prime} \in E^{1}$ with $o\left(e^{\prime}\right)=t(e)=x$, so that $o(e)=g_{\lambda(a)} x$ and $t\left(e^{\prime}\right)=g_{b} x$ for (unique) $a, b \in \Pi_{1}$. Then, by the proof of Lemma 2.3, $\Omega\left(e^{\prime}\right) \subset \Omega(e)$ if and only if $b \cap \lambda(a)=(0)$.

Equations (1) and (2) imply the following relations in $C\left(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{K}}^{n}, \mathbb{Z}\right)_{\Gamma}$.

$$
\begin{align*}
\varepsilon & =\sum_{a \in \Pi_{1}}[a] ;  \tag{9a}\\
{[a]=} & \sum_{\substack{b \in \Pi_{1} \\
b \cap \lambda(a)=(0)}}[b], \quad a \in \Pi_{1} . \tag{9b}
\end{align*}
$$

It is easy to see that $\left|\Pi_{1}\right|=\frac{q^{n+1}-1}{q-1}$. If $a \in \Pi_{1}$, then $\lambda(a) \in \Pi_{n}$ and so the number of elements $b \in \Pi_{1}$ which are incident with $\lambda(a)$ is $\frac{q^{n}-1}{q-1}$. Thus there exist $q^{n}$ elements $b \in \Pi_{1}$ such that $b \cap \lambda(a)=(0)$. In other words, the right side of (9b) contains $q^{n}$ terms. As a first step towards proving that $C\left(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{K}}^{n}, \mathbb{Z}\right)_{\Gamma}$ is finite, we show that the element $\varepsilon=[\mathbf{1}]$ has finite order.

Lemma 3.2. In the group $C\left(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{K}}^{n}, \mathbb{Z}\right)_{\Gamma},\left(q^{n}-1\right) \varepsilon=0$.
Proof. By (9a) and (9b),

$$
\varepsilon=\sum_{a \in \Pi_{1}}[a]=\sum_{a \in \Pi_{1}}\left(\sum_{\substack{b \in \Pi_{1} \\ b \cap \lambda(a)=(0)}}[b]\right)=\sum_{b \in \Pi_{1}} q^{n}[b]=q^{n} \varepsilon .
$$

We can now prove Theorem 1.1. It follows from (3) that if $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n+1}\right) \in \mathfrak{S}$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n+1}\left[a_{i}\right]=\varepsilon \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, by Lemmas 2.6 and 3.1, there is a homomorphism $\theta$ from $\Gamma$ onto the abelian group $C\left(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{K}}^{n}, \mathbb{Z}\right)_{\Gamma} /\langle\varepsilon\rangle$ defined by $\theta\left(g_{a}\right)=[a]+\langle\varepsilon\rangle$, for $a \in \Pi_{1}$.

The $\widetilde{A}_{n}$ group $\Gamma$ has Kazhdan's property ( T ) [2, Theorems 1.6.1 and 1.7.1]. It follows that $C\left(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{K}}^{n}, \mathbb{Z}\right)_{\Gamma} /\langle\varepsilon\rangle$ is finite [2, Corollary 1.3.5]. Therefore $C\left(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{K}}^{n}, \mathbb{Z}\right)_{\Gamma}$ is also finite, since $\langle\varepsilon\rangle$ is finite, by Lemma 3.2.

Distributions. A distribution on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{K}}^{n}$ is a finitely additive $\mathbb{Z}$-valued measure $\mu$ defined on the clopen subsets of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{K}}^{n}$ [1, 1.4]. By integration, a distribution may be regarded as a $\mathbb{Z}$-linear function on the group $C\left(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{K}}^{n}, \mathbb{Z}\right)$. Therefore a $\Gamma$-invariant distribution defines a homomorphism $C\left(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{K}}^{n}, \mathbb{Z}\right)_{\Gamma} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. This homomorphism is necessarily trivial, since $C\left(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{K}}^{n}, \mathbb{Z}\right)_{\Gamma}$ is finite. This proves Corollary 1.2 ,
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