RIEMANN HYPOTHESIS AND SOME NEW ASYMPTOTICALLY MULTIPLICATIVE INTEGRALS WHICH CONTAIN THE REMAINDER OF THE PRIME-COUNTING FUNCTION $\pi(x)$

JAN MOSER

ABSTRACT. A new parametric integral is obtained as a consequence of the Riemann hypothesis. An asymptotic multiplicability is the main property of this integral.

1. The result

1.1. Let us remind that

(1.1)
$$\pi(x) = \int_0^x \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{\ln t} + P(x) = \mathrm{li}(x) + P(x)$$

The following theorem holds true.

Theorem. On the Riemann hypothesis

(1.2)
$$\int_{2}^{\infty} \frac{\ln(xe^{-2})}{x^{3/2+\delta}} P(x) \mathrm{d}x = -\frac{1}{\delta} + \mathcal{O}(1), \ \delta \in (0, \Delta)$$

where Δ is a sufficiently small fixed value and the $\mathcal{O}(1)$ -function is bounded on $[0, \Delta]$.

(1.3)
$$\Omega(\delta) = \int_{2}^{\infty} \frac{\ln(xe^{-2})}{x^{3/2+\delta}} \{-P(x)\} dx.$$

Then we obtain from (1.2)

Corollary 1.

(1.4)
$$\Omega\left(\prod_{k=1}^{n} \delta_{k}\right) \sim \prod_{k=1}^{n} \Omega(\delta_{k}), \ \delta_{k} \to 0, \ k = 1, \dots, n,$$

especially,

$$\Omega(\delta) \sim \sqrt[n]{\Omega(\delta^n)},$$

i.e., the function $\Omega(\delta)$ possesses the property of the asymptotic multiplicability.

Let

$$n = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \dots p_k^{\alpha_k}, \ p_1, \dots, p_k \to \infty$$

Key words and phrases. Riemann zeta-function.

be the factorization of a natural number n. Then we have (see (1.4))

$$\frac{1}{n} = \prod_{l=1}^{k} \left(\frac{1}{p_l}\right)^{\alpha_l} \Rightarrow \Omega\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \sim \prod_{l=1}^{k} \left\{\Omega\left(\frac{1}{p_l}\right)\right\}^{\alpha_l},$$

for example.

2. The main formula

2.1. Let us remind that

(2.1)
$$\pi(x) = \int_{2}^{x} \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{\ln t} + \bar{P}(x) = \mathrm{Li}(x) + \bar{P}(x),$$
$$\bar{P}(x) = P(x) + \mathrm{V.p.} \int_{0}^{2} \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{\ln t} = P(x) + K; \ K \approx 1.04,$$

(see (1.1), (2.1) and [1], p. 3). The following lemma holds true.

Lemma 1.

(2.2)
$$\int_{2}^{\infty} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \{\ln(1-x^{-s})\} \bar{P}(x) dx = \ln \zeta(s) + \int_{2}^{\infty} \frac{\ln(1-x^{s})}{\ln x} dx,$$

for $\sigma > 1, \ s = \sigma + it.$

Proof. We apply the formula ([2], p. 2)

(2.3)
$$\ln \zeta(s) = s \int_{2}^{x} \frac{\pi(x)}{x(x^{s}-1)} \mathrm{d}x, \ \sigma > 1.$$

Since

(2.4)
$$\frac{s}{x(x^s-1)} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \{\ln(1-x^{-s})\},$$

then we obtain from (2.3)

(2.5)
$$\ln \zeta(s) = \int_2^\infty \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \{\ln(1 - x^{-s})\} \pi(x) \mathrm{d}x, \ \sigma > 1.$$

Next

$$\frac{\mathrm{dLi}(x)}{\mathrm{d}x} = \frac{1}{\ln x}, \ \mathrm{Li}(2) = 0,$$

(see (2.1)) and

(2.6)
$$\int_{2}^{\infty} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \{\ln(1-x^{-s})\} \operatorname{Li}(x) dx = \operatorname{Li}(x) \ln(1-x^{-s}) \Big|_{x=2}^{x=\infty} - \int_{2}^{\infty} \frac{\ln(1-x^{-s})}{\ln x} dx = -\int_{2}^{\infty} \frac{\ln(1-x^{-s})}{\ln x} dx,$$

then from (2.5) by (2.1), (2.6) the formula (2.2) follows.

 \Box Page 2 of 6

3. The differentiation of the formula (2.2)

Let \prod_1 denote the open rectangle generated by the points $1 + \delta \pm i$, $\frac{3}{2} \pm i$ ($0 < \delta$ is the sufficiently small fixed value). The following lemma holds true.

Lemma 2.

(3.1)
$$-\int_2^\infty F(x;s)\overline{P}(x)\mathrm{d}x = \frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)} + G(s), \ s \in \Pi_1,$$

where

(3.2)

$$F(x;s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \{s(n+1)\ln x - 1\} x^{-(n+1)s-1},$$

$$G(s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+1)s - 1} \frac{1}{2^{(n+1)s - 1}}.$$

Proof. We use the formula (see (2.2), (2.4))

(3.3)
$$\int_{2}^{\infty} \frac{s}{x^{s}-1} \frac{\bar{P}(x)}{x} dx = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{p_{n}}^{p_{n+1}} \frac{s}{x^{s}-1} \frac{\bar{P}(x)}{x} dx = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} w_{n}(s).$$

Since $\bar{P}(x) = \mathcal{O}(x)$, and $\bar{P}(x)$, $x \in (p_n, p_{n+1})$ is continuous $(p_n \text{ is a prime number}, p_1 = 2)$ then $w_n(s)$, $s \in \Pi_1$ is an analytic function. Next, the uniform convergence of the series in the set Π_1 follows from the uniform convergence of the integral (see (3.1) in the set Π_1 . Thus, by the theorem of Weierstrass, the integral in (3.3) is an analytic function in Π_1 . Since

(3.4)
$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}s}\frac{s}{x^s-1} = \frac{1}{x^s-1} - \frac{sx^s\ln x}{(x^s-1)^2} = \frac{x^{-s}}{1-x^{-s}} - \frac{sx^{-s}\ln x}{(1-x^{-s})^2} = -\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \{s(n+1)\ln x - 1\}x^{-(n+1)s},$$

then we have (see (3.3), (3.4))

(3.5)
$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}s} \int_{2}^{\infty} \frac{s}{x^{s} - 1} \frac{\bar{P}(x)}{x} \mathrm{d}x = -\int_{2}^{\infty} F(x; s) \bar{P}(x) \mathrm{d}x, \ x \in \Pi_{1},$$
$$F(x; s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \{s(n+1)\ln x - 1\} x^{-(n+1)s-1}.$$

Similarly, we obtain

(3.6)
$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}s} \int_{2}^{\infty} \frac{\ln(1-x^{-s})}{\ln x} \mathrm{d}x = \int_{2}^{\infty} \frac{x^{-s}}{1-x^{-s}} \mathrm{d}x = \int_{2}^{\infty} \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^{-(n+1)s} \right\} \mathrm{d}x =$$
$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+1)s-1} \frac{1}{2^{(n+1)s-1}}, \ s \in \Pi_{1}.$$

Finally, we obtain the formula (3.1) by the differentiation of (2.2), (see (3.5), (3.6)). \Box Page 3 of 6

4. Cancelation of the singular parts corresponding to the pole at
$$s = 1$$
. The pole at $s = \frac{1}{2}$

Let Π_2 denote the open rectangle generated by the points $\frac{1}{2} \pm i, \frac{3}{2} \pm i$. The following lemma holds true.

Lemma 3.

(4.1)
$$\int_{2}^{\infty} F(x;s)\bar{P}(x)dx = -\frac{1}{2s-1} + g(s), \ s \in \Pi_{1}$$

where g(s), $s \in \Pi_2$ is the analytic and bounded function.

Proof. Let (see (3.1))

(4.2)
$$H(s) = \frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)} + G(s), \ s \in \Pi_1$$

First of all (see (3.2))

(4.3)
$$G(s) = \frac{1}{s-1} \frac{1}{2^{s-1}} + \frac{1}{2s-1} \frac{1}{2^{2s-1}} + g_1(s),$$

$$g_1(s) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+1)s - 1} \frac{1}{2^{(n+1)s - 1}}, \ s \in \Pi_1$$

Since

$$\frac{1}{s-1}\frac{1}{2^{s-1}} = \frac{1}{s-1}e^{-(s-1)\ln 2} = \frac{1}{s-1}\left\{1 - (s-1)\ln 2 + \mathcal{O}(|s-1|^2)\right\} = \frac{1}{s-1} - \ln 2 + \mathcal{O}(|s-1|) = \frac{1}{s-1} + g_2(s),$$

and similarly

$$\frac{1}{2s-1}\frac{1}{2^{s-1}} = \frac{1}{2s-1} - \ln 2 + \mathcal{O}(|2s-1|) = \frac{1}{2s-1} + g_3(s)$$

then (see (4.2), (4.3))

(4.4)
$$H(s) = \frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)} + \frac{1}{s-1} + \frac{1}{2s-1} + g_4(s), \ s \in \Pi_1$$
$$g_4(s) = g_1(s) + g_2(s) + g_3(s),$$

where $g_4(s), s \in \Pi_2$ is the analytic and bounded function. Next, by the known formula

(4.5)
$$\frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)} + \frac{1}{s-1} = b - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma} \left(\frac{s}{2} + 1\right) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{s-\rho_n} + \frac{1}{\rho_n}\right) = g_5(s)$$

where $\zeta(\rho_n) = 0$, and $g_5(s)$, $s \in \Pi_2$ is the analytic and bounded function. Finally, from (3.1) by (4.2)-(4.5) the asertion of the Lemma 3 follows.

5. The analytic continuation of the formula (3.1)

5.1. The following lemma holds true.

Lemma 4. On the Riemann hypothesis

(5.1)
$$\int_{2}^{\infty} F(x;\sigma)\overline{P}(x)\mathrm{d}x = -\frac{1}{2\sigma-1} + g(\sigma), \ \sigma \in \left(\frac{1}{2},\frac{3}{2}\right)$$

where $g(\sigma), \ \sigma \in [\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}]$ is the bounded function.

Page 4 of 6

Proof. Let $\Pi_2(\delta)$ denote the open rectangle generated by the points $\frac{1}{2} + \delta \pm i$; $\frac{3}{2} \pm i$. We obtain from (3.2)

(5.2)
$$|F(x;s)| = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\ln x}{x^{\sigma+1}}\right)$$

Next, on the Riemann hypothesis, the following estimate of von Koch

(5.3)
$$P(x), \bar{P}(x) = \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{x}\ln x)$$

holds true (comp. (2.1)). Then we have (see (5.2), (5.3))

$$\int_{2}^{\infty} |F(x;s)\bar{P}(x)| \mathrm{d}x = \mathcal{O}\left(\int_{2}^{\infty} x^{-1-\frac{\delta}{2}} \mathrm{d}x\right) = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\delta}\right),$$

i.e. the integral

$$\int_{2}^{\infty} F(x;s)\bar{P}(x)\mathrm{d}x, \ s \in \Pi_{2}(\delta)$$

is the analytic function. Finally, from the formula (4.1), $s \in \Pi_1$, $(g(s), s \in \Pi_2$ is the analytic function) by the method of analytic continuation we obtain the formula

$$\int_{2}^{\infty} F(x;s)\bar{P}(x)dx = -\frac{1}{2s-1} + g(s), \ s \in \Pi_{2}(\delta),$$

from which the formula (5.1) follows.

5.2. Since (see (5.2))

$$\int_{2}^{\infty} F(x;\sigma) \mathrm{d}x = \mathcal{O}\left(\int_{2}^{\infty} x^{-\frac{3}{2}+\delta} \mathrm{d}x\right) = \mathcal{O}(1), \ \sigma \in \left[\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}\right],$$

we obtain, putting $\bar{P}(x) = P(x) + K$ (see (2.1)) in (5.1), the following lemma

Lemma 5. On the Riemann hypothesis

(5.4)
$$\int_{2}^{\infty} F(x;\sigma)P(x)\mathrm{d}x = -\frac{1}{2\sigma - 1} + \tilde{g}(\sigma), \ \sigma \in \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}\right)$$

where $\tilde{g}(\sigma), \ \sigma \in [\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}]$ is the bounded function.

6. Proof of the Theorem

Since (see (3.2), comp. (5.2), (5.3))

$$F(x;\sigma) = \frac{\sigma \ln x - 1}{x^{\sigma+1}} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\ln x}{x^{2\sigma+1}}\right),$$

$$\int_{2}^{\infty} \frac{\ln x}{x^{2\sigma+1}} |P(x)| \mathrm{d}x = \mathcal{O}\left(\int_{2}^{\infty} x^{-\frac{3}{2}+\delta} \mathrm{d}x\right) = \mathcal{O}(1), \ \sigma \in \left[\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}\right],$$

$$\int_{2}^{\infty} F(x;\sigma)P(x) \mathrm{d}x = \int_{2}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma \ln x - 1}{x^{\sigma+1}} P(x) \mathrm{d}x + \mathcal{O}(1), \ \sigma \in \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}\right)$$

then (see (5.4))

$$\int_{2}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma \ln x - 1}{x^{\sigma+1}} P(x) dx = -\frac{1}{2\sigma - 1} + \mathcal{O}(1), \ \sigma \in \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}\right).$$

Putting here $\sigma = \frac{1}{2} + \delta$, $\delta \in (0, \Delta)$, $\Delta < 1$, we obtain the formula

(6.1)
$$\int_{2}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2} + \delta\right) \ln x - 1}{x^{\frac{3}{2} + \delta}} P(x) \mathrm{d}x = -\frac{1}{\delta} + \mathcal{O}(1).$$

Page 5 of 6

However, (see (5.3))

(6.2)
$$\int_{2}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}+\delta\right)\ln x-1}{x^{\frac{3}{2}+\delta}} P(x) dx - \int_{2}^{\infty} \frac{\frac{1}{2}\ln x-1}{x^{\frac{3}{2}+\delta}} P(x) dx =$$
$$= \delta \int_{2}^{\infty} \frac{\ln x}{x^{\frac{3}{2}+\delta}} P(x) dx = \mathcal{O}\left(\delta \int_{2}^{\infty} \frac{\ln^{2} x}{x^{1+\delta}} dx\right) =$$
$$\mathcal{O}\left(\delta \int_{2}^{\infty} x^{-1-\frac{\delta}{2}} dx\right) = \mathcal{O}\left(\delta \frac{1}{\delta}\right) = \mathcal{O}(1), \ \delta \in (0, \Delta).$$

The formula (1.2) follows from (6.1) by (6.2).

I would like to thank Michal Demetrian for helping me with the electronic version of this work.

References

[1] A.E. Ingham, 'The distribution of prime numbers', Cambridge, 1932.

[2] E.C. Titchmarsh, 'The theory of the Riemann zeta-function', Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1951.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS AND NUMERICAL MATHEMATICS, COMENIUS UNI-VERSITY, MLYNSKA DOLINA M105, 842 48 BRATISLAVA, SLOVAKIA

 $E\text{-}mail \ address: \texttt{jan.mozer@fmph.uniba.sk}$