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#### Abstract

\section*{1. Introduction}

For any simply-laced Kac-Moody Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, Lusztig $\lfloor$ has constructed canonical bases for its representations using the geometry of quiver varieties. In particular, Lustzig considered the variety $\operatorname{Rep}(w)_{v}$ of representations of the preprojective algebra $\Lambda$ on a fixed vector space of dimension $v$ and having dimension of socle bounded by $w$. The irreducible components of this variety index Lusztig's canonical basis for a particular weight space of a highest weight representation of $\mathfrak{g}$. The components of $\operatorname{Rep}(w)_{v}$ are also in natural bijection with the components of Nakajima's Lagrangian quiver varieties. This is shown in the work of Saito [Sail section 4.6], who also studied a crystal structure on these components jointly with Kashiwara KS.

Because the components of $\operatorname{Rep}(w)_{v}$ index the canonical basis, it would be interesting to descibe them in an explicit fashion using known combinatorics. In certain special cases (including $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{s l}_{n}$ ), this has been done by Savage Sav, using ad-hoc methods. In a forthcoming paper [BK], Pierre Baumann and the first author will use module-theoretic means to give a uniform description of the components using the theory of MV polytopes K. In our description, a key role is played by certain $\Lambda$-modules with one dimensional socle.

In the current paper, we focus on the case $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{s l}_{n}$. Using elementary means, we classify $\Lambda$-modules with one dimensional socle and explain how these modules can be used to describe components of $\operatorname{Rep}(w)_{v}$. Similar results (and more) will be formulated and proved for general $\mathfrak{g}$ in BK .

More specifically in section 3 we classify $\Lambda$-modules with one dimensional socle by showing that they are all isomorphic to certain Maya modules introduced by Savage Sav. These Maya modules are in bijection with subsets of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ (other than $\{1, \ldots, i\}$ ). Next, we compute the space of homomorphisms between two such modules, obtaining an explicit combinatorial formula. We show that this formula is related to a truncated permutahedron, which is the MV polytope for this situation.

In section 4 we show how Maya modules can be used to describe the components of $\operatorname{Rep}(w)_{v}$. We begin by computing the space of homomorphisms between certain Maya modules and modules associated to tableaux by Savage Sav. We use this to rephrase Savage's description of the components in a module-theoretic fashion.
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## 2. Background

2.1. Notation. Let $Q$ denote the root lattice of $S L_{n}$. So

$$
Q=\left\{\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}: \sum x_{i}=0\right\}
$$

For $i=1, \ldots, n-1$, let $\alpha_{i}=(\ldots, 0,1,-1,0, \ldots)$ denote the simple roots (the 1 is in the $i$ th position). Let $Q_{+}$be the subset of $Q$ given by non-negative sums of the $\alpha_{i}$. Let $\omega_{i}=$ $(1, \ldots, 1,0, \ldots, 0)$ denote the fundamental weights (the first $i$ entries are 1s).

If $A$ and $B$ are $i$ element subsets of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$, then we define

$$
A-B:=1_{A}-1_{B} \in Q
$$

where $1_{A}$ is the $n$-tuple which is 1 in positions indexed by numbers in $A$ and 0 in the other positions. We write $A \geq B$ if $A-B \in Q_{+}$.
2.2. The preprojective algebra. Let $\Omega$ be a simply-laced Dynkin quiver (that is a Dynkin diagram with orientation) with edge set $\Omega$ and vertex set $I$. Let $\Lambda$ denote the preprojective algebra of the quiver $\Omega$. By definition $\Lambda$ is the quotient

$$
P(\Omega \oplus \bar{\Omega}) /\left(\sum_{\tau \in \Omega} \tau \bar{\tau}-\bar{\tau} \tau\right)
$$

of the path algebra of the doubled quiver $\Omega \oplus \bar{\Omega}$ by the preprojective relation.
For this paper, we will work exclusively with the type $A_{n-1}$ quiver with the leftward orientation.


For this quiver we have vertex set $I=\{1, \ldots, n-1\}$ and edge sets

$$
\Omega=\{2 \rightarrow 1,3 \rightarrow 2, \ldots, n-1 \rightarrow n-2\} \quad \bar{\Omega}=\{1 \rightarrow 2,2 \rightarrow 3, \ldots, n-2 \rightarrow n-1\}
$$

So a $\Lambda$-module $M$ consists of an $I$-graded vector space $M=\oplus_{i \in I} M_{i}$ with linear maps

$$
(i \rightarrow i+1): M_{i} \rightarrow M_{i+1} \quad(i \rightarrow i-1): M_{i} \rightarrow M_{i-1}
$$

such that the preprojective relations

$$
(i+1 \rightarrow i)(i \rightarrow i+1)=(i-1 \rightarrow i)(i \rightarrow i-1) \text { for } i=1, \ldots, n-1
$$

are satisfied. Here and later, we adopt the convention that $(1 \rightarrow 0): M_{1} \rightarrow 0$ and $(n-1 \rightarrow n)$ : $M_{n-1} \rightarrow 0$ are 0 .

If $M$ is a $\Lambda$-module, then it has a dimension vector $v=\left(v_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \in \mathbb{N}^{I}$, where $v_{i}=\operatorname{dim}\left(M_{i}\right)$. It will be convenient to encode this as an element of $Q_{+}$as $\alpha_{v}=\sum_{i} v_{i} \alpha_{i}$.
2.3. Socle of modules. The only simple $\Lambda$-modules are the one-dimensional modules $S_{i}$, which have dimension 1 in the $i$ th slot and 0 elsewhere.

If $M$ is any $\Lambda$-module, then the socle of $M$ is defined to be the maximal semisimple submodule of $M$. The $S_{i}$ th isotypic component of the socle of $M$ is called the $i$-socle of $M$ and is denoted $\operatorname{soc}_{i}(M)$.

More explicitly, $\operatorname{soc}(M)$ is the submodule of $M$ whose $i$ th graded piece is

$$
\operatorname{soc}_{i}(M)=\left\{w \in M_{i}:(i \rightarrow i+1)(w)=0 \text { and }(i \rightarrow i-1)(w)=0\right\}
$$

All arrows act by 0 in $\operatorname{soc}(M)$.
2.4. Lusztig quiver varieties. If $v \in \mathbb{N}^{I}$, then we may consider the variety $R e p_{v}$ of representations of $\Lambda$ on a fixed $I$-graded vector space of dimension $v$. By the work of Lusztig [ L ], the irreducible components of $R e p_{v}$ index the canonical basis for $(U \mathfrak{n})_{\alpha_{v}}$, where $U \mathfrak{n}$ denotes the universal envelopping algebra of the upper triangular subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. In particular, the number of irreducible components of $R e p_{v}$ is equal to the Kostant partition function of $\alpha_{v}$.

For each point $x \in \operatorname{Re} p_{v}$, we can consider the corresponding abstract $\Lambda$-module $M_{x}$. For $w \in$ $\mathbb{N}^{I}$, we consider the variety $\operatorname{Rep}(w)_{v}$ of consisting of those points $x \in \operatorname{Rep} p_{v}$ with $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{soc}_{i}\left(M_{x}\right) \leq$ $w_{i}$ for all $i$. Under Lusztig's construction the components of these varieties are related to the irreducible representations as follows. Let $\lambda=\lambda_{w}:=\sum_{i} w_{i} \omega_{i}$ and $\mu=\lambda_{w}-\alpha_{v}$ (here $\omega_{i}$ are the fundamental weights). The irreducible components of $\operatorname{Rep}(w)_{v}$ index the canonical basis for the $\mu$ weight space of the irreducible representation $V(\lambda)$ of $S L_{n}$.

## 3. Modules with one-dimensional socle

3.1. The Maya modules. Let $A$ be a proper subset of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ of size $i$, other than $\{1, \ldots, i\}$. The Maya module $N(A)$ has the following description. If $A=\left\{a_{1}<\cdots<a_{i}\right\}$, then $N(A)$ has basis

$$
w_{1,1}, \ldots, w_{a_{1}-1,1}, \ldots, w_{k, k}, \ldots, w_{a_{k}-1, k}, \ldots, w_{i, i}, \ldots, w_{a_{i}-1, i}
$$

where $w_{j, k} \in N(A)_{j}$.
We define

$$
\begin{align*}
& (j \rightarrow j-1)\left(w_{j, k}\right)=w_{j-1, k} \\
& (j \rightarrow j+1)\left(w_{j, k}\right)=w_{j+1, k+1} \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that $N(A)$ has a 1-dimensional socle $S_{i}$, spanned by $w_{i, i}$.
Let us call the span of $w_{k, k}, \ldots, w_{a_{k}-1, k}$ the $k$ th "row" of $N(A)$ and let us call $N(A)_{j}$ the $j$ th "column". So the $k$ th row starts at column $k$ and extends to column $a_{k}-1$. This can be seen in the following picture of the module $N(\{3,6,7\})$.
$\begin{array}{llllll}1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6\end{array}$


Lemma 3.1. Let $v=\operatorname{dim}(N(A))$. Then $\alpha_{v}=\{1, \ldots, i\}-A$.
Proof. Since we have an explicit basis for $N(A)$ it is easy to see that

$$
\operatorname{dim} N(A)_{j}=\left|\left\{r \in\{1, \ldots, i\}: r \leq j<a_{r}\right\}\right|
$$

From this, the desired result follows immediately.
3.2. The uniqueness theorem. We will now show that every $\Lambda$-module with 1 -dimensional socle is isomorphic to a Maya module.

We start by characterizing the dimension vectors of modules with 1-dimensional socle. If $v$ is a dimension vector, we will extend $v$ by defining $v_{0}=0=v_{n}$ (this will eliminate some special cases below).

Lemma 3.2. Let $M$ be a module with socle $S_{i}$. Let $v=\operatorname{dim}(M)$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& v_{j}=v_{j+1} \text { or } v_{j}+1=v_{j+1}, \text { for all } j<i  \tag{2}\\
& v_{j-1}=v_{j} \text { or } v_{j-1}=v_{j}+1, \text { for all } j>i \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Suppose that (2) does not hold for some $j<i$. Then either $v_{j}>v_{j+1}$ or $v_{j}+a=v_{j+1}$ for some $a>1$.

Suppose that $v_{j}>v_{j+1}$. Then $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker}(j \rightarrow j+1)>0$.
Consider a non-zero element $w \in \operatorname{ker}(j \rightarrow j+1)$. Then

$$
(j+1 \rightarrow j) \circ(j \rightarrow j+1)(w)=0 \Rightarrow(j-1 \rightarrow j) \circ(j \rightarrow j-1)(w)=0
$$

But, $(j \rightarrow j-1)(w) \neq 0$, since $M$ has no $j$-socle. Hence

$$
\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker}(j-1 \rightarrow j)>0
$$

Continuing in this manner we see that $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker}(1 \rightarrow 2)>0$. This means that $M$ has 1 -socle, a contradiction.

Now, suppose that $v_{j}+a=v_{j+1}$ for some $a>1$. Assume $j+1<i$. In this case,

$$
\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker}(j+1 \rightarrow j) \geq a>1
$$

Let $w \in \operatorname{ker}(j+1 \rightarrow j)$, then

$$
(j \rightarrow j+1) \circ(j+1 \rightarrow j)(w)=0 \Rightarrow(j+2 \rightarrow j+1) \circ(j+1 \rightarrow j+2)(w)=0
$$

But $(j+1 \rightarrow j+2)(w) \neq 0$, since $M$ has no $j+1$-socle. Therefore $(j+1 \rightarrow j+2)$ gives us an injective map from $\operatorname{ker}(j+1 \rightarrow j)$ to $\operatorname{ker}(j+2 \rightarrow j+1)$ and so

$$
\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker}(j+2 \rightarrow j+1) \geq a
$$

Continuing in this manner, when we reach $i$, we see that since the socle is one-dimensional, it must be the case that $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker}\left(\left.(i \rightarrow i+1)\right|_{\operatorname{ker}(i \rightarrow i-1)}\right)=1$ and hence we find

$$
\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker}(i+1 \rightarrow i) \geq a-1>0
$$

Again, we consider an element $w \in \operatorname{ker}(i+1 \rightarrow i)$. Then

$$
(i \rightarrow i+1) \circ(i+1 \rightarrow i)(w)=0 \Rightarrow(i+2 \rightarrow i+1) \circ(i+1 \rightarrow i+2)(w)=0
$$

Again, since $M$ does not have $i+1$-socle,

$$
\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker}(i+2 \rightarrow i+1)>0
$$

Continuing in this manner, we see that $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker}(n-1 \rightarrow n-2)>0$, which implies that $M$ has ( $n-1$ )-socle. This is a contradiction.

The proof of (3) follows similarly.
Lemma 3.3. Suppose that $v \in \mathbb{N}^{I}$ satisfies the condition (2) and (3). Then $\alpha_{v}=\{1, \ldots, i\}-A$ for some $i$ element subset of $\{1, \ldots, n\}, A \neq\{1, \ldots, i\}$.

Proof. Let $\alpha_{v}=\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} v_{j} \alpha_{j}$, and let $x_{j}$ be the $j^{t h}$ coordinate of $\alpha_{v}$. Then for each $j=1, \ldots, n$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{j}=1 & \Longleftrightarrow v_{j}=v_{j-1}+1 \\
x_{j}=-1 & \Longleftrightarrow v_{j}+1=v_{j-1} \\
x_{j}=0 & \Longleftrightarrow v_{j}=v_{j-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Also note that $x_{j}=1 \Rightarrow j \leq i$ and $x_{j}=-1 \Rightarrow j>i$. So define

$$
A:=\left\{j \leq i: x_{j}=0\right\} \cup\left\{j>i: x_{j}=1\right\}
$$

and then it is easily seen that $A$ has the desired properties.

Now we formulate and prove the uniqueness statement.
Theorem 3.4. Let $M$ be a module with socle $S_{i}$ and dimension $v$. Let $A$ be such that $\alpha_{v}=$ $\{1, \ldots, i\}-A$. Then $M \cong N(A)$.

This result is well-known to experts. For example, it follows from the fact that certain Nakajima quiver varieties are 0-dimensional. It can also be proved using the crystal structure on components of quiver varieties (due to Kashiwara-Saito [KS]). Here we prefer to give an elementary argument.

Proof. Our goal is to find a basis for $M$ whose module structure matches the Maya module structure (11). Let $A=\left\{a_{1}<\cdots<a_{i}\right\}$.

Let $w_{i, i} \in M_{i}$ be a basis for the socle of $M$. Assume that $a_{i}>i+1$. We claim that there exists $w_{i+1, i} \in M_{i+1}$ such that $(i+1 \rightarrow i)\left(w_{i+1, i}\right)=w_{i, i}$.

Suppose that no such $w_{i+1, i}$ exists. From the proof of Lemma 3.2, we see that $w_{i, i}$ spans the kernel of $(i \rightarrow i+1)$. Hence if $w_{i, i}$ is not in the image of $(i+1 \rightarrow i)$, then $(i \rightarrow i+1) \circ(i+1 \rightarrow i)$ is an isomorphism. By the preprojective relations, this means that $(i+2 \rightarrow i+1) \circ(i+1 \rightarrow i+2)$ is an isomorphism. From the proof of Lemma 3.2 we know that $(i+2 \rightarrow i+1)$ is injective, so both $(i+2 \rightarrow i+1)$ and $(i+1 \rightarrow i+2)$ are isomorphisms. Hence $(i+1 \rightarrow i+2) \circ(i+2 \rightarrow i+1)$ is an isomorphism. Continuing in this fashion, we find that all $(j \rightarrow j+1)$ are isomorphisms for $j \geq i$ and so we see that $v_{i+1}=\cdots=v_{n}=0$. This contradicts $a_{i}>i+1$.

By a similar argument, there exist $w_{i+2, i}, \ldots, w_{a_{i}-1, i}$ such that

$$
w_{i, i} \stackrel{i+1 \rightarrow i}{\longleftarrow} w_{i+1, i} \stackrel{i+2 \rightarrow i+1}{\longleftarrow} \ldots \stackrel{a_{i}-1 \rightarrow a_{i}-2}{\longleftarrow} w_{a_{i}-1, i} .
$$

Since $(i \rightarrow i-1)\left(w_{i, i}\right)=0$, from the preprojective relations we find that $(k \rightarrow k+1)\left(w_{k, i}\right)=0$ for all $k$. Thus $w_{i, i}, \ldots, w_{a_{i}-1, i}$ spans a submodule which we denote by $N$. Note that $N \cong$ $N\left(\left\{1, \ldots, i-1, a_{i}\right\}\right)$.

If $M=N$, then we are done. Suppose that $N \neq 0$ and consider the quotient module $M / N$. Since $\operatorname{dim} M / N=\operatorname{dim} M-\operatorname{dim} N$, we see that if $v^{\prime}=\operatorname{dim} M / N$, then

$$
\alpha_{v^{\prime}}=\{1, \ldots, i-1\}-\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{i-1}\right\} .
$$

We claim that $\operatorname{soc}(M / N)=S_{i-1}$. As above, there exists $w \in M_{i-1}$ such that $(i-1 \rightarrow i)(w)=w_{i, i}$ and as above $(i-1 \rightarrow i-2)(w)=0$. Hence $[w] \in \operatorname{soc}(M / N)$.

To see that there is no other socle, note that if $[u] \in \operatorname{soc}(M / N)_{j}$, then $(j \rightarrow j-1)(u) \in N$ and $(j \rightarrow j+1)(u) \in N$. Suppose that $j<i-1$, then $(j \rightarrow j+1)(u) \in N$ implies that $(j \rightarrow j+1)(u)=0$ which implies that $u=0$ since $(j \rightarrow j+1)$ is injective (as in the proof of Lemma 3.2). Suppose that $j=i-1$, then the injectivity of $(j \rightarrow j+1)$ forces $u=w$. If $j=i$, then the $[u]=0$, since the kernel of $(i \rightarrow i-1)$ is spanned by $w_{i, i}$. Similarly if $j>i$, then $[u]=0$, since $(j \rightarrow j-1)$ is injective (as in the proof of Lemma 3.2), so $u$ must be a multiple of $w_{j, i}$.

Thus, we have shown that $\operatorname{soc}(M / N)=S_{i-1}$. Thus by the induction hypothesis, we see that $M / N \cong N\left(\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{i-1}\right\}\right)$ and we obtain a short exact sequence of $\Lambda$-modules

$$
0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow M \rightarrow N\left(\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{i-1}\right\}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

Let us pick a vector space splitting. Thus combining the standard basis of $N\left(\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{i-1}\right\}\right)$ with the above basis of $N$, we obtain a basis $w_{k, l}$ for $M$ with $l=1, \ldots, i$ and $k=l, \ldots, a_{l}-1$. This module structure with respect to this basis does not match (1), since extra terms involving the basis for $N$ may enter into the result of applying quiver arrows to the basis elements of $N\left(\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{i-1}\right\}\right)$. Hence we will now adjust our basis.

In particular, for each $l=1, \ldots, i-1$ and $k=i+1, \ldots a_{l}-1$, we see that there is a scalar $c_{k, l}$ such that

$$
(k \rightarrow k-1)\left(w_{k, l}\right)=w_{k-1, l}+c_{k, l} w_{k-1, i}
$$

We may eliminate this scalar by setting $w_{k, l}^{\prime}=w_{k, l}-c_{k, l} w_{k, i}$ for these $(k, l)$ and $w_{k, l}^{\prime}=w_{k, l}$ otherwise.

Next, note that $(i-1 \rightarrow i)\left(w_{i-1, i-1}\right)=0$ in $N\left(\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{i-1}\right\}\right)$ and thus $(i-1 \rightarrow i)\left(w_{i-1, i-1}^{\prime}\right)=$ $c w_{i, i}^{\prime}$ in $M$ for some scalar $c$. Since $M$ has no $i-1$ socle, $c$ is non-zero. Scaling all $w_{k, l}^{\prime}$ by $1 / c$ (for $l<i$ ), we may assume that $c=1$. It then follows from the preprojective relations that

$$
(k \rightarrow k+1)\left(w_{k, i-1}^{\prime}\right)=w_{k+1, i}^{\prime} \text { for all } k=i, \ldots, a_{i-1}-1
$$

Now consider some $w_{k, l}^{\prime}$ for $l<i-1$ and $k \geq i-1$. Then

$$
(k \rightarrow k+1)\left(w_{k, l}^{\prime}\right)=w_{k+1, l+1}^{\prime}+c_{l} w_{k+1, i}^{\prime}
$$

for some scalar $c_{l}$. By the preprojective relations $c_{l}$ depends only on $l$. Then we make the adjustment $w_{k, l}^{\prime \prime}=w_{k, l}^{\prime}-c_{l} w_{k, i-1}^{\prime}$ for all $k=i-1, \ldots, a_{l-1}-1$ and $w_{k, l}^{\prime \prime}=w_{k, l}^{\prime}$ for all other ( $k, l$ ).

After all these adjustments, we see that $w_{k, l}^{\prime \prime}$ satisfy the Maya module structure (1). Thus $M \cong N(A)$ as desired .
3.3. Computation of hom spaces. Now we compute the space of homomorphisms between Maya modules.

Theorem 3.5. Let $A, B$ be $i$, $j$ element subsets respectively. Then we have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Hom}(N(A), N(B))=\# \text { of } r \in\{1, \ldots, i\}, \text { such that } r \leq j<a_{r} \\
\text { and } a_{r-l} \leq b_{j-l} \text { for } l=0, \ldots, r-1
\end{array}
$$

where $A=\left\{a_{1}<\cdots<a_{i}\right\}$ and $B=\left\{b_{1}<\cdots<b_{j}\right\}$.
Proof. Let

$$
R:=\left\{r \in\{1, \ldots, i\}: r \leq j<a_{r}, \text { and } a_{r-l} \leq b_{j-l} \text { for } l=0, \ldots, r-1\right\}
$$

We construct a map $\varphi: R \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(N(A), N(B))$, and then show that it gives a bijection between $R$ and a basis for $\operatorname{Hom}(N(A), N(B))$. This will yield the desired result.

For simplicity of notation, we will use $w_{k, l}$ for the basis for $N(A)$ and $w_{k, l}^{\prime}$ for the basis for $N(B)$.

For each $r \in R$, let us define $\varphi(r)=\phi_{r}$ to be the homomorphism which takes the $r$ th row of $N(A)$ to the bottom row of $N(B)$ and then extended to higher rows in the obvious way. More explicitly, we define $\phi_{r}$ by

$$
\phi_{r}\left(w_{k, r-l}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
w_{k, j-l}^{\prime}, \quad \text { if } l \geq 0, \text { and } k \geq j-l \\
0, \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Such a $w_{k, j-l}^{\prime}$ will always exist since $j-l \leq k<a_{r-l} \leq b_{j-l}$. A simple check using the structure of Maya modules (1) shows that $\phi_{r}$ is a homomorphism.

Now, suppose that $\psi$ in any element of $\operatorname{Hom}(N(A), N(B))$. Since we have explicit bases for $N(A)$ and $N(B)$ we may consider the matrix coeffients involving $w_{j, j}^{\prime}$, the generator of the socle of $N(B)$. $\psi$ takes $N(A)_{j}$ to $N(B)_{j}$ so for each $r \in\{1, \ldots, i\}$ such that $r \leq j<a_{r}$, we get a matrix coefficient $s_{r}$, such that

$$
\psi\left(w_{j, r}\right)=s_{r} w_{j, j}^{\prime}+\cdots
$$

Note that if all the $s_{r}$ are zero, then $\psi=0$. This is because every submodule of $N(B)$ must contain $w_{j, j}^{\prime}$ (since $w_{j, j}^{\prime}$ spans the socle of $\left.N(B)\right)$ and so any non-zero homomorphism from $N(A)$ to $N(B)$ must hit $w_{j, j}^{\prime}$. Thus, the collection $s_{r}$ completely determines $\psi$.

Also note that if $r \notin R$, then $a_{r-l}>b_{j-l}$ for some $l$. This means that we can find some non-zero $w \in N(A)$ and $p \in \Lambda$ such that $p w=w_{j, r}$ but $\psi(w)=0$ (in fact we can choose $\left.w=w_{a_{r-l}-1, r-l}\right)$. Hence for $r \notin R$, we see that $s_{r}=0$.

Combining these observations, we see that $\psi=\sum_{r \in R} s_{r} \phi_{r}$. Thus the $\phi_{r}$ span $\operatorname{Hom}(N(A), N(B))$. These $\phi_{r}$ are linearly independent since $\phi_{r}$ vanishes on $a_{j, r^{\prime}}$ for $r>r^{\prime}$. Thus the $\phi_{r}$ form a basis for $\operatorname{Hom}(N(A), N(B))$ as desired.
3.4. Connection with MV polytopes. We now make the connection between Theorem 3.5 and MV polytopes.

For each subset $B$ of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ of size $i$, we may consider the truncated permutahedron $P(B)$ which is defined as

$$
P(B):=\operatorname{conv}\left(\left\{1_{C}-1_{\{1, \ldots, j\}}: C \text { is a subset of }\{1, \ldots, n\} \text { of size } j \text { and } C \leq B\right\}\right)
$$

These polytopes $P(B)$ are relevant since Naito-Sagaki NS have shown that these are the MV polytopes associated to the vertices of the crystal corresponding to the minuscule representation $\Lambda^{i} \mathbb{C}^{n}$. These vertices are precisely labelled by subsets $B$ of size $i$.

Corollary 3.6. For each subset $B$ of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$, the max value of $\left\langle 1_{A},\right\rangle$ on the polytope $P(B)$ is given by $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Hom}(N(A), N(B))$.

Proof. Assume for simiplicity that $i \leq j$. A similar proof holds in the $i>j$ case.
By Theorem 3.5, $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Hom}(N(A), N(B))=r-s$ where $r$ is the maximal element of $\{1, \ldots, i\}$ such that $a_{r-l} \leq b_{j-l}$ for $j=0, \ldots, r-1$ and $s=|\{1, \ldots, j\} \cap A|$.

Now, we claim that $r=\max _{C \leq B}|C \cap A|$. First note that if we choose $C$ to be the smallest possible $j$ element subset of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that $\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}\right\} \subset C$, then $C \leq B$ and $|C \cap A| \geq r$. On the other hand, for any $C \leq B$, we claim that $|C \cap A| \leq r$. To see why this is the case, note that by the definition of $r$, not all the inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{r+1} \leq b_{j}, a_{r} \leq b_{j-1}, \ldots, a_{1} \leq b_{j-r} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

can hold. So now suppose that $C \leq B$ and $C \cap A$ contains at least $r+1$ elements. Let us choose $r+1$ of these elements and order them $a_{i_{1}}<\cdots<a_{i_{r+1}}$. Then since $C \leq B$, we find that

$$
a_{i_{r+1}} \leq b_{j}, \ldots, a_{i_{1}} \leq b_{j-r}
$$

But since $a_{i_{l}} \geq a_{l}$ for all $l$, this implies that all the inequalities (4) hold - a contradiction. Hence we conclude that $r=\max _{C \leq B}|C \cap A|$.

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Hom}(N(A), N(B))=r-s & =\max _{C \leq B}|C \cap A|-|\{1, \ldots, j\} \cap A| \\
& =\max _{C \leq B}\left\langle 1_{A}, 1_{C}-1_{\{1, \ldots, j\}}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

as desired.

## 4. Description of irreducible components

4.1. Savage's description of the components. Alistair Savage has given a description of the components of $\operatorname{Rep}(w)_{v}$ in terms of tableaux. We would like to reformulate his description in terms of Hom spaces.

Let $\operatorname{Tab}_{\mu}(\lambda)$ denote the set of semistandard Young tableaux (SSYT) of shape $\lambda$ and content $\mu$. If $X$ is a box in a SSYT $T$, then we will write $r(X)$ for the row of $X$ and $c(X)$ for the content of $X$.

For each $T \in \operatorname{Tab}(\lambda)_{\mu}$, Savage has identified a component $C_{T}$ of $\operatorname{Rep}(w)_{v}$.
Let $T \in \operatorname{Tab}(\lambda)_{\mu}$. A $\Lambda$-module is said to be of type $T$ if there exists a basis for $M$ with the following properties. For each box $X$ in $T$, there are vectors

$$
w_{r(X)}^{X}, \ldots, w_{c(X)-1}^{X} \in M
$$

and the collection of all these vectors (over all boxes $X$ ) forms a basis for $M$. Moreover, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
(j \rightarrow j-1)\left(w_{j}^{X}\right)=w_{j-1}^{X}, \quad(j \rightarrow j+1)\left(w_{j}^{X}\right)=\sum_{Y} d_{Y}^{X} w_{j+1}^{Y} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some scalars $d_{Y}^{X}$, where the sum varies over all those boxes $Y$ such that $r(Y)<r(X) \leq$ $c(Y)<c(X)$.

Let $C_{T}=\overline{\left\{x \in \operatorname{Rep}(w)_{v}: M_{x} \text { is of type } T\right\}}$ denote the closure of the locus of those modules of type $T$.
Theorem 4.1 ([Sav, Section 5]). $C_{T}$ is a component of Rep $(w)_{v}$ and this provides a bijection between the components of $\operatorname{Rep}(w)_{v}$ and $\operatorname{Tab}(\lambda)_{\mu}$.
4.2. Description of components by Hom spaces. We would like to reformulate Savage's description. The key will be the following generalization of Theorem 3.5] A connnected subset of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ is one of the form $\{t-i+1, t-i+2, \ldots, t\}$.
Theorem 4.2. Let $M$ be a module of type $T$ and let $A=\{t-i+1, \ldots, t\}$ be a connected subset of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$. Then

$$
\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Hom}(M, N(A))=\# \text { of boxes } X \text { in } T, \text { such that } r(X) \leq i<c(X) \leq t
$$

Proof. The idea is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.5.
To each box $X$ of $T$ in the above set, we can define a homomorphism $\phi_{X}: M \rightarrow N(A)$ by taking the row indexed by $X$ to the bottom row of $N(A)$. We then extend to all of $M$.

More explicitly, we define

$$
\phi_{X}\left(w_{k}^{X}\right)=w_{k, i}^{\prime}
$$

for $k \geq i$ (note that such $w_{k, i}^{\prime}$ exists since $\left.i \leq k<c(X) \leq t=a_{i}\right)$. We define $\phi_{X}\left(w_{k}^{X}\right)=0$ for $k<i$. We also define $\phi_{X}\left(w_{k}^{Y}\right)=0$ for all $Y \neq X$ with $r(Y) \geq r(X)$ or $c(Y) \geq c(X)$.

Now we proceed to define $\phi_{X}\left(w_{k}^{Y}\right)$ for those boxes $Y$ with $r(Y)<r(X)$ and $c(Y)<c(X)$. We do so by an inductive procedure on $r(Y)$. Suppose that $Y$ is a box with $r(Y)=r(X)-1$ and $c(Y)<c(X)$. Then we define

$$
\phi_{X}\left(w_{k}^{Y}\right)=d_{Y}^{X} w_{k, i-1}^{\prime}
$$

Note that such $w_{k, i-1}^{\prime}$ exists since $k<c(Y)<c(X) \leq t$ and so $k<t-1=a_{i-1}$.
Next, suppose that $Y$ is a box with $r(Y)=r(X)-2$ and $c(Y)<c(X)$. Then we define

$$
\phi_{X}\left(w_{k}^{Y}\right)=d_{Y}^{X} w_{k, i-1}^{\prime}+\sum_{Z} d_{Y}^{Z} d_{Z}^{X} w_{k, i-2}^{\prime}
$$

where the sum ranges over all those boxes $Z$ such that $r(Z)=r(X)-1$ and $c(Y)<c(Z)<c(X)$.
Continuing in this fashion, we define $\phi_{X}$ on all of $M$. The structure of the module as given in (5) ensures that $\phi_{X}$ is a $\Lambda$-module homomorphism.

The fact that these $\phi_{X}$ form a basis for $\operatorname{Hom}(M, N(A))$ follows along the same lines as in the proof of Theorem 3.5.

We now combine this result and Savage's theorem. For each $A=\{t-i+1, \ldots, t\}$, we define a constructible function

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{A}: \operatorname{Rep}(w)_{v} & \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \\
x & \mapsto \operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Hom}\left(M_{x}, N(A)\right)\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Since this is a constructible function it takes a constant value on a constructible dense subset of each component of $\operatorname{Rep}(w)_{v}$. For each component $Z \subset \operatorname{Rep}(w)_{v}$, let $f_{A}(Z)$ denote this constant value.

Also, for each $T \in \operatorname{Tab}(\lambda)_{\mu}$, let $g_{A}(T)$ denote the number of boxes $X$ in $T$ such that $r(X) \leq$ $i<c(X) \leq t$. Note that the collection $\left\{g_{A}(T)\right\}$ (where $A$ ranges over all connected subsets) determines $T$.

Theorem 4.3. For each component $Z \subset \operatorname{Rep}(w)_{v}$, there exists a tableau $T \in \operatorname{Tab}(\lambda)_{\mu}$ such that $f_{A}(Z)=g_{A}(T)$ for all connected subsets $A \subset\{1, \ldots, n\}$. This provides a bijection between the components of $\operatorname{Rep}(w)_{v}$ and the SSYT of shape $\lambda$ and filling $\mu$.
Proof. Theorem4.2 shows that if $M$ is of type $T$, then $f_{A}(M)=g_{A}(T)$. Theorem4.1 shows that for each component $Z$, there exists a unique tableau $T$ such that there is a dense subset of $Z$ consisting of modules of type $T$. Combining these two results, we obtain the desired result.
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