Motivic Milnor fibers over complete intersection varieties and their virtual Betti numbers *

Alexander ESTEROV [†]and Kiyoshi TAKEUCHI [‡]

Abstract

We study the Jordan normal forms of the local and global monodromies over complete intersection subvarieties of \mathbb{C}^n by using the theory of motivic Milnor fibers. The results will be explicitly described by the mixed volumes of the faces of Newton polyhedrons.

1 Introduction

In this paper, we study the Jordan normal forms of the local and global monodromies over complete intersection subvarieties of \mathbb{C}^n with the help of the theory of motivic Milnor fibers and their Hodge realizations developed by Denef-Loeser [5], [6], Guibert-Loeser-Merle [15] and [29] etc. For $2 \leq k \leq n$ let

$$W = \{f_1 = \dots = f_{k-1} = 0\} \supset V = \{f_1 = \dots = f_{k-1} = f_k = 0\}$$
(1.1)

be complete intersection subvarieties of \mathbb{C}^n such that $0 \in V$. Assume that W and V have isolated singularities at the origin $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Then by a fundamental result of Hamm [16] the Milnor fiber F_0 of $g := f_k|_W : W \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ at the origin 0 has the homotopy type of the bouquet of (n - k)-spheres. In particular, we have $H^j(F_0; \mathbb{C}) \simeq 0$ $(j \neq 0, n - k)$ and the monodromy operator $\Phi_{n-k,0} : H^{n-k}(F_0; \mathbb{C}) \simeq H^{n-k}(F_0; \mathbb{C})$ is called the k-th principal monodromy of $f := (f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_k)$. Under some weak additional conditions, a beautiful formula for the eigenvalues of the k-th principal monodromy $\Phi_{n-k,0}$ was obtained by Oka [32], [33] and Kirillov [20] etc. For related results, see also [11], [26] and [27] etc. Moreover the mixed Hodge structures of the Milnor fiber F_0 were precisely studied by Ebeling-Steenbrink [9] and Tanabe [44] etc. However, to the best of our knowledge, almost nothing is known for the Jordan normal form of $\Phi_{n-k,0}$. For a special but important case, see Dimca [7]. In this paper, we propose a method to describe the Jordan normal form of $\Phi_{n-k,0}$ in terms of the Newton polyhedrons of f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_k . Let $\mathcal{M}^{\hat{\mu}}_{\mathbb{C}}$ be the (localized) Grothendieck ring of varieties over \mathbb{C} with (good) action introduced by Denef-Loeser [6].

^{*2000} Mathematics Subject Classification: 14M25, 32S40, 32S60, 33C70, 35A27

[†]Department of Algebra, Faculty of Mathematics, Complutense University, Plaza de las Ciencias, 3, Madrid, 28040, Spain. E-mail: esterov@mccme.ru (this author is partially supported by the grant RFBR-10-01-00678)

[‡]Institute of Mathematics, University of Tsukuba, 1-1-1, Tennodai, Tsukuba, Ibaraki, 305-8571, Japan. E-mail: takemicro@nifty.com

Then, just as in Denef-Loeser [5], [6], and Guibert-Loeser-Merle [15] we can introduce an element $S_{g,0}$ of $\mathcal{M}^{\hat{\mu}}_{\mathbb{C}}$ whose mixed Hodge numbers carry the information of $\Phi_{n-k,0}$. We call $S_{g,0}$ the motivic Milnor fiber of $g: W \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ at the origin $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$. We will show that their mixed Hodge numbers can be easily calculated and hence give an algorithm to compute the Jordan normal form of $\Phi_{n-k,0}$. In order to describe our results more explicitly, assume also that f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_k are convenient and the complete intersection varieties W and V are non-degenerate at the origin $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$ (see Definition 4.6 and [33] etc.). Set

$$\Gamma_{+}(f) := \Gamma_{+}(f_{1}) + \Gamma_{+}(f_{2}) + \dots + \Gamma_{+}(f_{k})$$
(1.2)

and let Γ_f be the union of compact faces of $\Gamma_+(f)$, where $\Gamma_+(f_j) \subset \mathbb{R}^n_+$ is the Newton polyhedron of f_j at the origin $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Then for each face $\Theta \prec \Gamma_+(f)$ such that $\Theta \subset \Gamma_f$ we can naturally define faces γ_j^{Θ} of $\Gamma_+(f_j)$ $(1 \leq j \leq k)$ such that



$$\Theta = \gamma_1^{\Theta} + \gamma_2^{\Theta} + \dots + \gamma_k^{\Theta}. \tag{1.3}$$

(The case where k = 2. For K_{Θ} and κ_1^{Θ} in the figure, see Section 4). By using γ_j^{Θ} , we define a non-degenerate complete intersection subvariety $Z_{\Delta_{\Theta}}^* \subset (\mathbb{C}^*)^{\dim\Theta+1}$ and an element $[Z_{\Delta_{\Theta}}^*] \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\hat{\mu}}$ (see Section 4 for the details). Moreover for such $\Theta \prec \Gamma_+(f)$ let s_{Θ} be the dimension of the minimal coordinate subspace of \mathbb{R}^n containing Θ and set $m_{\Theta} = s_{\Theta} - \dim\Theta - 1 \geq 0$. Recall that the element $[\mathbb{L}] \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\hat{\mu}}$ called the Lefschetz motive is defined to be the affine line $\mathbb{L} \simeq \mathbb{C}$ with the trivial action. Following the notations in Denef-Loeser [6, Section 3.1.2 and 3.1.3], we denote by HS^{mon} the abelian category of Hodge structures with a quasi-unipotent endomorphism. Its Grothendieck group, whose elements are formal differences of those of HS^{mon}, is denoted by $K_0(\text{HS}^{\text{mon}})$. Let $\chi_h : \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\hat{\mu}} \longrightarrow K_0(\text{HS}^{\text{mon}})$ be the Hodge characteristic map in [6] (see also Section 4). For an element $[H] \in K_0(\text{HS}^{\text{mon}})$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$ we denote by $[H]_{\lambda} \in K_0(\text{HS})$ the λ -eigenspace of the quasi-unipotent endomorphism on [H].

Theorem 1.1. Assume that $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^* \setminus \{1\}$. Then

(i) In the Grothendieck group $K_0(HS)$, we have

$$\chi_h(\mathcal{S}_{g,0})_{\lambda} = \sum_{\Theta \subset \Gamma_f, \dim \Theta \ge k-1} \chi_h \big((1 - \mathbb{L})^{m_\Theta} \cdot [Z^*_{\Delta_\Theta}] \big)_{\lambda}.$$
(1.4)

(ii) For $i \geq 1$, the number of the Jordan blocks for the eigenvalue λ with sizes $\geq i$ in $\Phi_{n-k,0}$: $H^{n-k}(F_0; \mathbb{C}) \simeq H^{n-k}(F_0; \mathbb{C})$ is equal to

$$(-1)^{n-k} \left\{ \beta_{n-k-1+i}(\mathcal{S}_{g,0})_{\lambda} + \beta_{n-k+i}(\mathcal{S}_{g,0})_{\lambda} \right\}, \qquad (1.5)$$

where $\beta_j(\mathcal{S}_{g,0})_{\lambda}$ is the *j*-th virtual Betti number of $\mathcal{S}_{g,0}$ (with respect to the eigenvalue λ) defined by the weight *j*-part of the Hodge structure $\chi_h(\mathcal{S}_{g,0})$ (see Section 3).

By this theorem, for the determination of the Jordan normal form of $\Phi_{n-k,0}$ concerning the eigenvalues $\lambda \neq 1$, it suffices to calculate the weight multiplicities of $\chi_h([Z^*_{\Delta_{\Theta}}]) \in$ $K_0(HS^{mon})$. In Section 4, by using the Cayley trick in [4, Section 6] we reduce these calculations to those for non-degenerate hypersurfaces in algebraic tori with action. Since we can always calculate the mixed Hodge numbers of such hypersurfaces by our previous results in [29, Section 2], we thus obtain an algorithm to compute the Jordan normal form of $\Phi_{n-k,0}$. Moreover, in Section 5 we give some closed formulas for the numbers of the Jordan blocks for the eigenvalues $\lambda \neq 1$ with large sizes in $\Phi_{n-k,0}$. The results will be explicitly described by the mixed volumes of the faces γ_j^{Θ} of $\Gamma_+(f_j)$ $(1 \le j \le k)$. See Theorems 5.4 and 5.11 for the details. In the course of the proof of these results, Proposition 3.2, which generalizes a result of Khovanskii [19], will play a central role. This proposition expresses an alternating sum of the numbers of certain lattice points defined by a polytope by its volume. We believe that it will be very useful in the study of lattice points in non-integral polytopes. Indeed, by Proposition 3.2 we can rewrite the main results of [29] much more simply in terms of the volumes of polytopes. Unfortunately, for some technical reason, by our methods we cannot obtain similar results for the eigenvalue 1 (see Remark 4.2 below). In Section 6, we will show that our methods are useful also in the study of the monodromies at infinity over complete intersection subvarieties of \mathbb{C}^n . Even in this global case, we obtain results completely parallel to the ones in the local case. We thus find a beautiful symmetry between local and global as in [29].

Acknowledgement: The authors would like to express their hearty gratitude to Professors Y. Matsui, A. Melle-Hernández and C. Sabbah for their very fruitful discussions.

2 Preliminary notions and results

In this section, we introduce basic notions and results which will be used in this paper. In this paper, we essentially follow the terminology of [8], [17] and [18] etc. For example, for a topological space X we denote by $\mathbf{D}^{b}(X)$ the derived category whose objects are bounded complexes of sheaves of \mathbb{C}_{X} -modules on X. Moreover if X is an algebraic variety over \mathbb{C} we denote by $\mathbf{D}_{c}^{b}(X)$ the full subcategory of $\mathbf{D}^{b}(X)$ consisting of constructible complexes of sheaves. Let $f: X \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a non-constant regular function on an algebraic variety X over \mathbb{C} and set $X_{0} = \{x \in X \mid f(x) = 0\} \subset X$. Then we have the nearby cycle functor

$$\psi_f: \mathbf{D}^b(X) \longrightarrow \mathbf{D}^b(X_0) \tag{2.1}$$

which preserves the constructibility (see [8] and [18] etc.). As we see in Proposition 2.3 below, the nearby cycle functor ψ_f generalizes the classical notion of Milnor fibers. First, let us recall the definition of Milnor fibers over singular varieties (see for example [43] for a review on this subject). Let X be a subvariety of \mathbb{C}^m and $f: X \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ a non-constant regular function on X. Namely we assume that there exists a polynomial function $\tilde{f}: \mathbb{C}^m \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ on \mathbb{C}^m such that $\tilde{f}|_X = f$. For simplicity, assume also that the origin $0 \in \mathbb{C}^m$ is contained in $X_0 = \{x \in X \mid f(x) = 0\}$. Then the following lemma is well-known.

Lemma 2.1. ([23, Theorem 1.1]) For sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\eta_0 > 0$ with $0 < \eta_0 << \varepsilon$ such that for $0 < \forall \eta < \eta_0$ the restriction of f:

$$X \cap B(0;\varepsilon) \cap \widetilde{f}^{-1}(D(0;\eta) \setminus \{0\}) \longrightarrow D(0;\eta) \setminus \{0\}$$

$$(2.2)$$

is a topological fiber bundle over the punctured disk $D(0;\eta) \setminus \{0\} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid 0 < |z| < \eta\}$, where $B(0;\varepsilon)$ is the open ball in \mathbb{C}^m with radius ε centered at the origin.

Definition 2.2. A fiber of the above fibration is called the Milnor fiber of the function $f: X \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ at $0 \in X_0$ and we denote it by F_0 .

Proposition 2.3. ([8, Proposition 4.2.2]) There exists a natural isomorphism

$$H^{j}(F_{0};\mathbb{C}) \simeq H^{j}(\psi_{f}(\mathbb{C}_{X}))_{0}$$

$$(2.3)$$

for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Recall also that in the above situation, as in the case of polynomial functions over \mathbb{C}^n (see Milnor [30]), we can define the Milnor monodromy operators

$$\Phi_{j,0} \colon H^j(F_0; \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^j(F_0; \mathbb{C}) \qquad (j = 0, 1, \ldots).$$
(2.4)

Similarly, also for any $y \in X_0 = \{x \in X \mid f(x) = 0\}$ we can define the Milnor fiber F_y and its monodromies $\Phi_{j,y}$. The notion of Milnor monodromies can be also generalized as follows. Let $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{D}_c^b(X)$. Then there exists a monodromy automorphism

$$\Phi(\mathcal{F}) \colon \psi_f(\mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \psi_f(\mathcal{F}) \tag{2.5}$$

of $\psi_f(\mathcal{F})$ in $\mathbf{D}_c^b(X_0)$ (see [8] and [18] etc.).

Next we recall Bernstein-Khovanskii-Kushnirenko's theorem [19].

Definition 2.4. Let $g(x) = \sum_{v \in \mathbb{Z}^n} a_v x^v$ be a Laurent polynomial on $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ $(a_v \in \mathbb{C})$.

- (i) We call the convex hull of $\operatorname{supp}(g) := \{v \in \mathbb{Z}^n \mid a_v \neq 0\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^n \subset \mathbb{R}^n \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n \text{ the Newton polytope of } g \text{ and denote it by } NP(g).$
- (ii) For a vector $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we set

$$\Gamma(g;u) := \left\{ v \in NP(g) \ \left| \ \langle u, v \rangle = \min_{w \in NP(g)} \langle u, w \rangle \right\},$$
(2.6)

where for $u = (u_1, \ldots, u_n)$ and $v = (v_1, \ldots, v_n)$ we set $\langle u, v \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n u_i v_i$. We call $\Gamma(g; u)$ the supporting face of u in NP(g).

(iii) For a vector $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we define the u-part of g by

$$g^{u}(x) := \sum_{v \in \Gamma(g;u) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{n}} a_{v} x^{v}.$$
(2.7)

Definition 2.5. Let g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_p be Laurent polynomials on $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$. Then we say that the subvariety $Z^* = \{x \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^n \mid g_1(x) = g_2(x) = \cdots = g_p(x) = 0\}$ of $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ is non-degenerate complete intersection if for any vector $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$ the p-form $dg_1^u \wedge dg_2^u \wedge \cdots \wedge dg_p^u$ does not vanish on $\{x \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^n \mid g_1^u(x) = \cdots = g_p^u(x) = 0\}$.

Theorem 2.6 (Bernstein-Khovanskii-Kushnirenko's theorem, see [19] etc.). Let g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_p be Laurent polynomials on $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$. Assume that the subvariety $Z^* = \{x \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^n \mid g_1(x) = g_2(x) = \cdots = g_p(x) = 0\}$ of $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ is non-degenerate complete intersection. Set $\Delta_i := NP(g_i)$ for $i = 1, \ldots, p$. Then the Euler characteristic $\chi(Z^*)$ of Z^* is given by

$$\chi(Z^*) = (-1)^{n-p} \sum_{\substack{a_1, \dots, a_p \ge 1\\a_1 + \dots + a_p = n}} MV(\underbrace{\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_1}_{a_1 \text{-times}}, \dots, \underbrace{\Delta_p, \dots, \Delta_p}_{a_p \text{-times}}),$$
(2.8)

where $MV(\underbrace{\Delta_1,\ldots,\Delta_1}_{a_1\text{-times}},\ldots,\underbrace{\Delta_p,\ldots,\Delta_p}_{a_p\text{-times}}) \in \mathbb{Z}$ is the normalized n-dimensional mixed volume with respect to the lattice $\mathbb{Z}^n \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.

Remark 2.7. Let Q_1, Q_2, \ldots, Q_n be polytopes in \mathbb{R}^n . Then their normalized ndimensional mixed volume $MV(Q_1, Q_2, \ldots, Q_n) \in \mathbb{R}_+$ is given by the formula

$$MV(Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_n) = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{n-k} \left\{ \sum_{\substack{I \subset \{1, \dots, n\} \\ \sharp I = k}} \operatorname{Vol}_{\mathbb{Z}} \left(\sum_{i \in I} Q_i \right) \right\},$$
(2.9)

where $\operatorname{Vol}_{\mathbb{Z}}(*) \in \mathbb{Z}$ is the normalized n-dimensional volume (i.e. the n! times the usual volume). Note that if Q_1, \ldots, Q_n are integral polytopes $MV(Q_1, Q_2, \ldots, Q_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

Finally we shall introduce our recent results in [29, Section 2]. From now on, let us fix an element $\tau = (\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_n) \in T := (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ and let g be a Laurent polynomial on $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ such that $Z^* = \{x \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^n \mid g(x) = 0\}$ is non-degenerate and invariant by the automorphism $l_{\tau} : (\mathbb{C}^*)^n \xrightarrow{\sim}_{\tau \times} (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ induced by the multiplication by τ . Set $\Delta = NP(g)$ and for simplicity assume that $\dim \Delta = n$. Then there exists $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $l_{\tau}^*g = g \circ l_{\tau} = \beta g$. This implies that for any vertex v of $\Delta = NP(g)$ we have $\tau^v = \tau_1^{v_1} \cdots \tau_n^{v_n} = \beta$. Moreover by the condition $\dim \Delta = n$ we see that $\tau_1, \tau_2, \ldots, \tau_n$ are roots of unity. For $p, q \ge 0$ and $k \ge 0$, let $h^{p,q}(H_c^k(Z^*; \mathbb{C}))$ be the mixed Hodge number of $H_c^k(Z^*; \mathbb{C})$ and set

$$e^{p,q}(Z^*) = \sum_k (-1)^k h^{p,q}(H^k_c(Z^*;\mathbb{C}))$$
(2.10)

as in [4]. The above automorphism of $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ induces a morphism of mixed Hodge structures $l^*_{\tau} : H^k_c(Z^*;\mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^k_c(Z^*;\mathbb{C})$ and hence \mathbb{C} -linear transformations on the (p,q)-parts $H^k_c(Z^*;\mathbb{C})^{p,q}$ of $H^k_c(Z^*;\mathbb{C})$. For $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, let $h^{p,q}(H^k_c(Z^*;\mathbb{C}))_{\alpha}$ be the dimension of the α -eigenspace $H^k_c(Z^*;\mathbb{C})^{p,q}_{\alpha}$ of this automorphism of $H^k_c(Z^*;\mathbb{C})^{p,q}$ and set

$$e^{p,q}(Z^*)_{\alpha} = \sum_{k} (-1)^k h^{p,q}(H^k_c(Z^*;\mathbb{C}))_{\alpha}.$$
(2.11)

Since we have $l_{\tau}^r = \mathrm{id}_{Z^*}$ for $r \gg 0$, these numbers are zero unless α is a root of unity. Moreover we have

$$e^{p,q}(Z^*) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{C}} e^{p,q}(Z^*)_{\alpha}, \qquad e^{p,q}(Z^*)_{\alpha} = e^{q,p}(Z^*)_{\overline{\alpha}}.$$
 (2.12)

In this situation, along the lines of Danilov-Khovanskii [4] we can give an algorithm for computing these numbers $e^{p,q}(Z^*)_{\alpha}$ as follows. First of all, as in [4, Section 3] we obtain the following Lefschetz type theorem.

Proposition 2.8. ([29, Proposition 2.6]) For $p, q \ge 0$ such that p+q > n-1, we have

$$e^{p,q}(Z^*)_{\alpha} = \begin{cases} (-1)^{n+p+1} \binom{n}{p+1} & (\alpha = 1 \text{ and } p = q), \\ 0 & (otherwise). \end{cases}$$
(2.13)

For a vertex w of Δ , consider the translated polytope $\Delta^w := \Delta - w$ such that $0 \prec \Delta^w$ and $\tau^v = 1$ for any vertex v of Δ^w . Then for $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ and $k \ge 0$ set

$$l^*(k\Delta)_{\alpha} = \sharp\{v \in \operatorname{Int}(k\Delta^w) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n \mid \tau^v = \alpha\} \in \mathbb{Z}_+ := \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$$

$$(2.14)$$

We can easily see that these numbers $l^*(k\Delta)_{\alpha}$ do not depend on the choice of the vertex w of Δ . Next, define a formal power series $P_{\alpha}(\Delta; t) = \sum_{i>0} \varphi_{\alpha,i}(\Delta) t^i$ by

$$P_{\alpha}(\Delta;t) = (1-t)^{n+1} \left\{ \sum_{k \ge 0} l^* (k\Delta)_{\alpha} t^k \right\}.$$
 (2.15)

Then we can easily show that $P_{\alpha}(\Delta; t)$ is actually a polynomial as in [4, Section 4.4].

Theorem 2.9. ([29, Theorem 2.7]) In the situation as above, we have

$$\sum_{q} e^{p,q} (Z^*)_{\alpha} = \begin{cases} (-1)^{p+n+1} {n \choose p+1} + (-1)^{n+1} \varphi_{\alpha,n-p}(\Delta) & (\alpha = 1), \\ (-1)^{n+1} \varphi_{\alpha,n-p}(\Delta) & (\alpha \neq 1) \end{cases}$$
(2.16)

(we used the convention $\binom{a}{b} = 0$ ($0 \le a < b$) for binomial coefficients).

By Proposition 2.8 and Theorem 2.9, we obtain an algorithm to calculate the numbers $e^{p,q}(Z^*)_{\alpha}$ of the non-degenerate hypersurface $Z^* \subset (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ as in [4, Section 5.2]. Indeed for a projective toric compactification X of $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ such that the closure $\overline{Z^*}$ of Z^* in X is smooth, the variety $\overline{Z^*}$ is smooth projective and hence there exists a perfect pairing

$$H^{p,q}(\overline{Z^*};\mathbb{C})_{\alpha} \times H^{n-1-p,n-1-q}(\overline{Z^*};\mathbb{C})_{\alpha^{-1}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

$$(2.17)$$

for any $p,q \ge 0$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^*$ (see for example [48, Section 5.3.2]). Therefore, we obtain equalities $e^{p,q}(\overline{Z^*})_{\alpha} = e^{n-1-p,n-1-q}(\overline{Z^*})_{\alpha^{-1}}$ which are necessary to proceed the algorithm in [4, Section 5.2]. The following notion is very useful to construct such compactifications of $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$.

Definition 2.10. (/4)

- (i) Let Δ be an n-dimensional integral polytope in $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{Z}^n)$. For a vertex w of Δ , we define a closed convex cone $\operatorname{Con}(\Delta, w)$ by $\operatorname{Con}(\Delta, w) = \{r \cdot (v w) \mid r \in \mathbb{R}_+, v \in \Delta\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.
- (ii) Let Δ and Δ' be two n-dimensional integral polytopes in $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{Z}^n)$. We denote by $\operatorname{som}(\Delta)$ (resp. $\operatorname{som}(\Delta')$) the set of vertices of Δ (resp. Δ'). Then we say that Δ' majorizes Δ if there exists a map $\Psi \colon \operatorname{som}(\Delta') \longrightarrow \operatorname{som}(\Delta)$ such that $\operatorname{Con}(\Delta, \Psi(w)) \subset \operatorname{Con}(\Delta', w)$ for any $w \in \operatorname{som}(\Delta')$.

Note that if Δ' majorizes Δ the map Ψ : som $(\Delta') \longrightarrow$ som (Δ) is unique (see [4]). For an *n*-dimensional integral polytope Δ in $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{Z}^n)$, we denote by X_Δ the (projective) toric variety associated with the dual fan of Δ . Recall also that if Δ' majorizes Δ then there exists a natural morphism $X_{\Delta'} \longrightarrow X_{\Delta}$. Now we return to the original situation. For $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ we define the α -Euler characteristic $\chi(Z^*)_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{Z}$ of $Z^* \subset (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ by

$$\chi(Z^*)_{\alpha} = \sum_{p,q} e^{p,q} (Z^*)_{\alpha}.$$
(2.18)

Then we have the following result.

Proposition 2.11. For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ we have

$$\chi(Z^*)_{\alpha} = \begin{cases} (-1)^{n-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{n} (-1)^{k+1} {n \choose k} l^* (k\Delta)_{\alpha} & (\alpha = 1), \\ \sum_{k=1}^{n} (-1)^{k+1} {n \choose k} l^* (k\Delta)_{\alpha} & (\alpha \neq 1). \end{cases}$$
(2.19)

Proof. By Theorem 2.9 we have

$$\chi(Z^*)_{\alpha} = \begin{cases} (-1)^{n+1} \{ 1 + \varphi_{1,0}(\Delta) + \dots + \varphi_{1,n}(\Delta) \} & (\alpha = 1), \\ (-1)^{n+1} \{ \varphi_{\alpha,0}(\Delta) + \dots + \varphi_{\alpha,n}(\Delta) \} & (\alpha \neq 1). \end{cases}$$
(2.20)

Then the result follows from

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n} \varphi_{\alpha,j}(\Delta) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \sum_{i=0}^{j} (-1)^{i} \binom{n+1}{i} l^{*} ((j-i)\Delta)_{\alpha}$$
(2.21)

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^{n-k} \binom{n}{k} l^* (k\Delta)_{\alpha}.$$
 (2.22)

From now on, assume also that for any vertex v of Δ we have $\tau^v = 1$. Let $L_{\tau} \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ be the sublattice of \mathbb{Z}^n defined by $L_{\tau} = \{v \in \mathbb{Z}^n \mid \tau^v = 1\}$. For an integral polytope \Box in \mathbb{R}^n we set $\natural(\Box) = (-1)^{\dim \Box} \sharp\{\text{rel.int}(\Box) \cap L_{\tau}\}$, where $\text{rel.int}(\Box)$ is the relative interior of \Box . Note that if $\dim \Box = 0$ then we have $\natural(\Box) = 1$ or 0 depending on whether \Box is a point in L_{τ} or not. Then for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\{v \in \mathbb{Z}^n \mid \tau^v = \alpha\} \neq \emptyset$, by taking a point $w(\alpha) \in \{v \in \mathbb{Z}^n \mid \tau^v = \alpha\}$, Proposition 2.11 can be rewritten as follows.

Proposition 2.12. For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ we have

$$(-1)^{n-1}\chi(Z^*)_{\alpha} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^k \binom{n}{k} \cdot \natural(k\Delta - w(\alpha)).$$
(2.23)

More generally, for any subvariety $Y^* \subset (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ which is invariant by $l_{\tau}: (\mathbb{C}^*)^n \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$, $p, q \geq 0$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ we can define $e^{p,q}(Y^*)_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying similar properties. For example, fix integral polytopes $\Delta_1, \ldots, \Delta_k$ in \mathbb{R}^n whose all vertices lie in L_{τ} and set $N = \sum_{j=1}^k \sharp(\Delta_j \cap L_{\tau})$. Let $S \simeq \mathbb{C}^N$ be the set of k-tuples (g_1, \ldots, g_k) of Laurent polynomials on $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ such that $\operatorname{supp} g_j \subset \Delta_j \cap L_{\tau}$. Here we regard S as the affine space \mathbb{C}^N consisting of the coefficients of (g_1, \ldots, g_k) . Let S_{gen} be the subset of S consisting of k-tuples (g_1, \ldots, g_k) such that $NP(g_j) = \Delta_j$ and $Z(g_1, \ldots, g_k)^* := \{x \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^n \mid g_1(x) = \cdots = g_k(x) = 0\}$ is a non-degenerate C.I. Then S_{gen} is open dense in $S \simeq \mathbb{C}^N$ and for any $(g_1, \ldots, g_k) \in S_{\text{gen}}$ the C.I. subvariety $Z(g_1, \ldots, g_k)^* \subset (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ is invariant by l_{τ} . Hence the numbers $e^{p,q}(Z(g_1, \ldots, g_k)^*)_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{Z}$ are defined.

Lemma 2.13. For any $p, q \ge 0$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ the integer $e^{p,q}(Z(g_1, \ldots, g_k)^*)_{\alpha}$ does not depend on $(g_1, \ldots, g_k) \in S_{\text{gen}}$.

Proof. Set $m = \dim(\Delta_1 + \dots + \Delta_k)$. Then for any $(g_1, \dots, g_k) \in S_{\text{gen}}$ we have $Z(g_1, \dots, g_k)^* \simeq (\mathbb{C}^*)^{n-m} \times Z'(g_1, \dots, g_k)^*$ for a non-degenerate complete intersection $Z'(g_1, \dots, g_k)^* \subset (\mathbb{C}^*)^m$ and $l_\tau : Z(g_1, \dots, g_k)^* \xrightarrow{\sim} Z(g_1, \dots, g_k)^*$ is homotopic to $\mathrm{id}_{(\mathbb{C}^*)^{n-m}} \times l_{\tau'}$ for some $\tau' \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^m$. So we may assume that $\mathrm{dim}(\Delta_1 + \dots + \Delta_k) = n$ from the first. Let Δ be an integral polytope in \mathbb{R}^n which majorizes $\Delta_1 + \dots + \Delta_k$. Then by subdividing the dual fan Σ_1 of Δ in \mathbb{R}^n we obtain a complete fan Σ such that the toric variety X_{Σ} associated to it is a smooth compactification of $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$. By construction, the closure $\overline{Z}(g_1, \dots, g_k)^*$ of $Z(g_1, \dots, g_k)^*$ in X_{Σ} is smooth for any $(g_1, \dots, g_k) \in S_{\text{gen}}$, and hence we obtain a family $\pi : \overline{Z^*} \longrightarrow S_{\text{gen}}$ of $\pi : \overline{Z^*} \longrightarrow S_{\text{gen}}$, for each $i \geq 0$ we obtain a holomorphic variation $\mathcal{H}^i = \mathbb{R}^i \pi_*(\Omega_{\overline{Z^*}/S_{\text{gen}}})$ of (pure) Hodge structures on S_{gen} . Its Hodge filtration $F^p\mathcal{H}^i \subset \mathcal{H}^i$ $(p \geq 0)$ is defined by $F^p\mathcal{H}^i = \mathbb{R}^i \pi_*(\Omega_{\overline{Z^*}/S_{\text{gen}}})$ (see [48, Section 10.2.1] etc.). For $p \geq 0$ let

$$\Phi(p): F^p \mathcal{H}^i \longrightarrow F^p \mathcal{H}^i \tag{2.24}$$

be the $\mathcal{O}_{S_{\text{gen}}}$ -linear endomorphism of the locally free $\mathcal{O}_{S_{\text{gen}}}$ -module $F^p\mathcal{H}^i$ induced by the pull-back of $\Omega^{\geq p}_{\overline{Z^*}/S_{\text{gen}}}$ by $l_{\tau} \times \text{id}_{S_{\text{gen}}}$. Then by $(\Phi(p))^N = \text{id} (N >> 0)$ we obtain a decomposition

$$F^{p}\mathcal{H}^{i} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^{*}} (F^{p}\mathcal{H}^{i})_{\alpha}$$
(2.25)

of $F^p \mathcal{H}^i$ into the eigenspaces of $\Phi(p)$. Hence $(F^p \mathcal{H}^i)_{\alpha}$ are also locally free over $\mathcal{O}_{S_{\text{gen}}}$. Then by [48, Theorem 10.10] the functions $e^{p,q}(\overline{Z(g_1,\ldots,g_k)^*})_{\alpha}$ on S_{gen} are constant. Moreover we can easily prove a similar statement also for $e^{p,q}(Z(g_1,\ldots,g_k)^*)_{\alpha}$ by induction on n. \Box

3 Combinatorial results and their applications

In this section, we shall describe the α -Euler characteristic $\chi(Z^*)_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{Z}$ of the nondegenerate hypersurface $Z^* \subset (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ introduced in Section 2 in terms of the volume of its Newton polytope Δ . For this purpose, we first consider the following more general situation. Let $L \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ be a sublattice of rank n. For a bounded subset A of \mathbb{R}^n (resp. a polytope \Box in \mathbb{R}^n) we set $\sharp A = \sharp(A \cap L) \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ (resp. $\natural(\Box) = (-1)^{\dim \Box} \sharp\{\operatorname{rel.int}(\Box) \cap L\} \in \mathbb{Z}$) for short. Let $\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \ldots, \Delta_n$ be integral polytopes in \mathbb{R}^n whose all vertices lie in L. For a subset $J \subset \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ we set $\Delta_J = \sum_{j \in J} \Delta_j$. In particular, for $J = \emptyset$ we set $\Delta_J = \{0\}$. Then the following result is well-known.

Theorem 3.1. (Khovanskii [19]) In the situation as above, we have

$$\sum_{J \subset \{1,2,\dots,n\}} (-1)^{\sharp J} \natural(\Delta_J) = MV(\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \dots, \Delta_n),$$
(3.1)

where $MV(\Delta_1, \ldots, \Delta_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ is the normalized n-dimensional mixed volume of $\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \ldots, \Delta_n$ with respect to the lattice L.

From now on, we will generalize this theorem as follows. Let $\Delta_1, \ldots, \Delta_n$ be as above and pick another polytope Δ_0 in \mathbb{R}^n (which is not assumed to be integral). Also for a subset $I \subset \{0, 1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ we set $\Delta_I = \sum_{j \in I} \Delta_j$.

Proposition 3.2. In the situation as above, we have

$$MV(\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \dots, \Delta_n) = \sum_{J \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\}} (-1)^{\sharp J} \natural(\Delta_{\{0\} \sqcup J})$$
(3.2)

$$= \sum_{J \subset \{1,2,\dots,n\}} (-1)^{n-\sharp J} \sharp (\Delta_{\{0\} \sqcup J}).$$
(3.3)

Proof. The proof proceeds in three steps.

(A) Assume that there exists $1 \leq j \leq n$ such that $\dim \Delta_j = 0$. In this case, the mixed volume $MV(\Delta_1, \ldots, \Delta_n)$ is zero and the other two terms in (3.2) also vanish, because for each $J \subset \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ such that $j \notin J$ we have the cancelling

$$(-1)^{\sharp(J \sqcup \{j\})} \natural(\Delta_{\{0\} \sqcup J \sqcup \{j\}}) + (-1)^{\sharp J} \natural(\Delta_{\{0\} \sqcup J}) = 0$$
(3.4)

etc.

(B) Assume that $\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \ldots, \Delta_n$ are linearly independent segments and Δ_0 consists of one point $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$. In this case, for each $1 \leq j \leq n$ by taking a vertex q_j of the segment Δ_j we set $\hat{\Delta}_j = \Delta_j \setminus \{q_j\}$. Then we have

$$\sum_{J \subset \{1,2,\dots,n\}} (-1)^{\sharp J} \natural (\Delta_{\{0\} \sqcup J}) = \sum_{J \subset \{1,2,\dots,n\}} (-1)^{n-\sharp J} \natural (\Delta_{\{0\} \sqcup J})$$
(3.5)

$$= \sharp(\hat{\Delta}_1 + \dots + \hat{\Delta}_n + p). \tag{3.6}$$

Moreover we can easily see that the last term is equal to $MV(\Delta_1, \ldots, \Delta_n)$.

(C) Now we consider the general case. For a polytope \Box in \mathbb{R}^n let $\mathbf{1}_{\Box} : \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \{0, 1\}$ (resp. $\rho_{\Box} : \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \{0, \pm 1\}$) be the characteristic function of \Box (resp. the function defined by $\rho_{\Box} = (-1)^{\dim \Box} \mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{rel.int}(\Box)}$). In particular, for any point $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we have $\rho_{\{p\}} = \mathbf{1}_{\{p\}}$. If \Box and \Box' are polytopes in \mathbb{R}^n and \Box majorizes \Box' , then for a face $\Gamma \prec \Box$ of \Box we denote by Γ' the corresponding face of \Box' . The proof of the following lemma is very easy and left to the reader.

Lemma 3.3. In the situation as above, we have

$$\sum_{\Gamma \prec \Box} (-1)^{\dim \Gamma} \rho_{\Gamma'} = \mathbf{1}_{\Box'}, \qquad \sum_{\Gamma \prec \Box} (-1)^{\dim \Gamma} \mathbf{1}_{\Gamma'} = \rho_{\Box'}. \tag{3.7}$$

Actually, we need this lemma in the following special setting.

Lemma 3.4. Let \Box and \Box' be as above and $l \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ a closed ray (i.e. a closed half segment $\simeq [0, \infty)$) in \mathbb{R}^n whose extremal point is the origin $0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then we have

$$\sum_{\Gamma} (-1)^{\dim \Gamma + 1} \rho_{\Gamma' + l} = \mathbf{1}_{\Box' + l}, \qquad \sum_{\Gamma} (-1)^{\dim \Gamma + 1} \mathbf{1}_{\Gamma' + l} = \rho_{\Box' + l}, \qquad (3.8)$$

where Γ ranges through the bounded faces of $\Box + l$ (they are also faces of \Box) and Γ' is the face of \Box' which corresponds to $\Gamma \prec \Box$.

Now we return to the proof of Proposition 3.2. Let $f_0, f_1, \ldots, f_n : \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be polynomials of order ≤ 1 such that $f_j|_{\Delta_j} > 0$. For $0 \leq j \leq n$ let $\widetilde{\Delta}_j \subset \mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathbb{R}^1$ be the graph of $f_j|_{\Delta_j}$. For a subset $I \subset \{0, 1, \ldots, n\}$ set $\widetilde{\Delta}_I = \sum_{j \in I} \widetilde{\Delta}_j$ and let l be the closed ray $\{0\} \times \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid x \leq 0\}$ in $\mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathbb{R}^1$. Then for any $I \subset \{0, 1, \ldots, n\}$ the Minkowski sum $\widetilde{\Delta}_{\{0,1,\ldots,n\}}$ majorizes the one $\widetilde{\Delta}_I$. For a face $\widetilde{\Gamma} \prec \widetilde{\Delta}_{\{0,1,\ldots,n\}}$ we denote by $\widetilde{\Gamma}_I$ the corresponding face of $\widetilde{\Delta}_I$ and by $\Gamma_I \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ the projection of $\widetilde{\Gamma}_I + l \subset \mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathbb{R}^1$ to \mathbb{R}^n . Then we have dim $(\widetilde{\Gamma}_I + l) = \dim \Gamma_I + 1$. Note that for $0 \leq j \leq n$ the projection $\Gamma_{\{j\}}$ is a face of Δ_j , and we have $\Gamma_I = \sum_{j \in I} \Gamma_{\{j\}}$ for any $I \subset \{0, 1, \ldots, n\}$. Moreover we have the following lemma. For $0 \leq j \leq n$ let $\mathbb{L}(\Delta_j)$ be the linear subspace of \mathbb{R}^n which is parallel to the affine span of Δ_j . Denote by $f_j^L : \mathbb{L}(\Delta_j) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the restriction of the linear part of f_j to $\mathbb{L}(\Delta_j)$. Let $S = \bigoplus_{j=0}^n \mathbb{L}(\Delta_j)^*$ be the set of (n + 1)-tuples (g_0, g_1, \ldots, g_n) of such linear functions.

Lemma 3.5. There exists an open dense subset S_{gen} of S such that for any $(g_0, g_1, \ldots, g_n) \in S_{\text{gen}}$ we have: If the polynomials $f_0, f_1, \ldots, f_n : \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfy $f_j^L = g_j$ $(0 \leq j \leq n)$ then for any bounded face $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ of $\widetilde{\Delta}_{\{0,1,\ldots,n\}} + l$, which is also a face of $\widetilde{\Delta}_{\{0,1,\ldots,n\}}$, the corresponding faces $\Gamma_{\{0\}}, \Gamma_{\{1\}}, \ldots, \Gamma_{\{n\}}$ are transversal: $\dim(\sum_{j=0}^n \Gamma_{\{j\}}) = \sum_{j=0}^n \dim\Gamma_{\{j\}}.$

Proof. If some faces G_0, G_1, \ldots, G_0 of $\Delta_0, \Delta_1, \ldots, \Delta_n$ correspond to the same bounded face $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ of $\widetilde{\Delta}_{\{0,1,\ldots,n\}} + l$ then there exists a linear function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f|_{\mathbb{L}(G_j)} = f_j^L|_{\mathbb{L}(G_j)}$ for any $0 \leq j \leq n$. For each such (n + 1)-tuple (G_0, \ldots, G_n) of faces, this last condition gives a restriction to (f_0^L, \ldots, f_n^L) and hence defines a linear subspace $S(G_0, \ldots, G_n)$ of S. Note that if G_0, \ldots, G_n are not transversal the codimension of $S(G_0, \ldots, G_n)$ is positive. So it suffices to set S_{gen} to be the complement of the union of such $S(G_0, \ldots, G_n)$'s.

By this lemma, after changing the linear parts of f_0, f_1, \ldots, f_n slightly, we may assume that for any bounded face $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ of $\widetilde{\Delta}_{\{0,1,\ldots,n\}} + l$ the corresponding faces $\Gamma_{\{0\}}, \Gamma_{\{1\}}, \ldots, \Gamma_{\{n\}}$ are transversal. Then by applying Lemma 3.4 to the case $\Box = \widetilde{\Delta}_{\{0,1,\ldots,n\}}, \ \Box' = \widetilde{\Delta}_{\{0\}\sqcup J}$ $(J \subset \{1, 2, \ldots, n\})$ and the closed ray $l = \{0\} \times \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid x \leq 0\}$ we obtain

$$\sum_{J \subset \{1,2,\dots,n\}} (-1)^{\sharp J} \natural (\Delta_{\{0\} \sqcup J}) = \sum_{\widetilde{\Gamma}} (-1)^{\dim \widetilde{\Gamma}} \sum_{J \subset \{1,2,\dots,n\}} (-1)^{\sharp J} \natural (\Gamma_{\{0\} \sqcup J}),$$
(3.9)

where $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ ranges through the bounded faces of $\widetilde{\Delta}_{\{0,1,\dots,n\}} + l$. By the transversality of $\Gamma_{\{0\}}, \Gamma_{\{1\}}, \dots, \Gamma_{\{n\}}$ for $\widetilde{\Gamma} \prec \widetilde{\Delta}_{\{0,1,\dots,n\}}$ there are only the following two cases: (a) There exists $1 \leq j \leq n$ such that $\dim\Gamma_{\{j\}} = 0$. (b) $\Gamma_{\{1\}}, \ldots, \Gamma_{\{n\}}$ are linearly independent segments and $\dim \Gamma_{\{0\}} = 0$. In the case (a), by applying Step (A) to $\Gamma_{\{0\}}, \Gamma_{\{1\}}, \ldots, \Gamma_{\{n\}}$ (the vertices of $\Gamma_{\{1\}}, \ldots, \Gamma_{\{n\}}$ are those of $\Delta_1, \ldots, \Delta_n$ and hence in L) we have

$$\sum_{J \subset \{1,2,\dots,n\}} (-1)^{n - \sharp J} \sharp (\Gamma_{\{0\} \sqcup J}) = 0.$$
(3.10)

In particular, this is the case whenever $\dim \widetilde{\Gamma} < n$. Moreover, in the case (b), by Step (B) we have

$$\sum_{J \subset \{1,2,\dots,n\}} (-1)^{n-\sharp J} \sharp (\Gamma_{\{0\} \sqcup J}) = MV(\Gamma_{\{1\}},\dots,\Gamma_{\{n\}}).$$
(3.11)

Hence we get

$$\sum_{\widetilde{\Gamma}} (-1)^{\dim \widetilde{\Gamma}} \sum_{J \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\}} (-1)^{\sharp J} \sharp (\Gamma_{\{0\} \sqcup J})$$
(3.12)

$$= \sum_{\widetilde{\Gamma}, \ \dim\widetilde{\Gamma}=n} (-1)^n \sum_{J \subset \{1,2,\dots,n\}} (-1)^{\sharp J} \sharp (\Gamma_{\{0\} \sqcup J})$$
(3.13)

$$= \sum_{\widetilde{\Gamma}, \ \dim \widetilde{\Gamma}=n} MV(\Gamma_{\{1\}}, \dots, \Gamma_{\{n\}}).$$
(3.14)

By reversing the arguments used to obtain (3.9) and (3.12) in the absense of the 0-th polytopes Δ_0 , $\Gamma_{\{0\}}$ etc., we find that the last term of (3.12) is equal to

$$\sum_{J \subset \{1,2,\dots,n\}} (-1)^{\sharp J} \natural(\Delta_J) = MV(\Delta_1,\dots,\Delta_n).$$
(3.15)

Similarly we have

$$\sum_{J \subset \{1,2,\dots,n\}} (-1)^{n-\sharp J} \sharp(\Delta_{\{0\}\sqcup J})$$
(3.16)

$$= \sum_{\widetilde{\Gamma}} (-1)^{\dim \widetilde{\Gamma}} \sum_{J \subset \{1,2,\dots,n\}} (-1)^{n-\sharp J} \natural (\Gamma_{\{0\} \sqcup J})$$
(3.17)

$$= \sum_{\widetilde{\Gamma}, \ \dim\widetilde{\Gamma}=n} \sum_{J \subset \{1,2,\dots,n\}} (-1)^{\sharp J} \natural (\Gamma_{\{0\} \sqcup J})$$
(3.18)

$$= \sum_{\widetilde{\Gamma}, \dim \widetilde{\Gamma}=n} MV(\Gamma_{\{1\}}, \dots, \Gamma_{\{n\}}) = MV(\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n).$$
(3.19)

This completes the proof.

Now let us return to the situation in Proposition 2.12 and use the notations there. Then by applying Proposition 3.2 to the case $\Delta_1 = \cdots = \Delta_n = \Delta$, $\Delta_0 = \{-w(\alpha)\}$ and $L = L_{\tau}$ we obtain the following very simple result. We define a finite subset $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{C}$ by $\Lambda = \{\tau^v \mid v \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}$.

Theorem 3.6. In the situation as above, we have

$$\chi(Z^*)_{\alpha} = \sum_{p,q} e^{p,q} (Z^*)_{\alpha} = \begin{cases} (-1)^{n-1} \frac{1}{\sharp \Lambda} \operatorname{Vol}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\Delta) & (\alpha \in \Lambda), \\ 0 & (\alpha \notin \Lambda), \end{cases}$$
(3.20)

where $\operatorname{Vol}_{\mathbb{Z}}(*) \in \mathbb{Z}$ is the normalized n-dimensional volume with respect to the lattice \mathbb{Z}^n .

The following definition will be frequently used throughout this paper.

Definition 3.7. For a subvariety $Y^* \subset (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ which is invariant by $l_{\tau} : (\mathbb{C}^*)^n \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$, $p, q \geq 0$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ we define the virtual Betti polynomial $\beta(Y^*)_{\alpha} = \sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} \beta_i(Y^*)_{\alpha} \cdot t^i \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ by $\beta_i(Y^*)_{\alpha} = \sum_{p+q=i} e^{p,q}(Y^*)_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Definition 3.8. Let Δ be an n-dimensional integral polytope in $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{Z}^n)$.

- (i) (see [4, Section 2.3]) We say that Δ is prime if for any vertex w of Δ the cone $\operatorname{Con}(\Delta, w)$ is generated by a basis of \mathbb{R}^n .
- (ii) We say that Δ is pseudo-prime if for any 1-dimensional face $\gamma \prec \Delta$ the number of the 2-dimensional faces $\gamma' \prec \Delta$ such that $\gamma \prec \gamma'$ is n-1.

By definition, prime polytopes are pseudo-prime. Moreover, for a pseudo-prime polytope Δ the projective toric variety X_{Δ} associated to the dual fan of Δ is an orbifold outside finitely many points. This implies that the closure of a non-degenerate hypersurface $Z^* \subset (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ in X_{Δ} is quasi-smooth in the sense of [4] and has the Poincaré duality. By [29, Corollary 2.15] and the proof of Theorem 3.6 we obtain the following proposition, which enables us to rewrite the main results of [29] much more simply in terms of the volumes of polytopes (see [29, Theorem 5.9] etc.).

Proposition 3.9. In the situation of Proposition 2.12, assume moreover that the ndimensional polytope $\Delta = NP(g)$ is pseudo-prime. Then for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{1\}$ and $r \geq 0$ we have

$$\beta_r(Z^*)_{\alpha} = (-1)^{n+r} \sum_{\substack{\Gamma \prec \Delta \\ \dim \Gamma = r+1}} \left\{ \sum_{\gamma \prec \Gamma} (-1)^{\dim \gamma} \frac{1}{\sharp \Lambda(\gamma)} \operatorname{Vol}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\gamma)_{\alpha} \right\},$$
(3.21)

where $\Lambda(\gamma) \subset \Lambda$ is defined similarly to Λ by using the intersection of \mathbb{Z}^n and the affine span of γ , and we define the integer $\operatorname{Vol}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\gamma)_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ by

$$\operatorname{Vol}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\gamma)_{\alpha} = \begin{cases} \operatorname{Vol}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\gamma) & (\alpha \in \Lambda(\gamma)), \\ 0 & (\alpha \notin \Lambda(\gamma)). \end{cases}$$
(3.22)

Now let $\Box \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an (n-1)-dimensional integral polytope whose affine span $K \simeq \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ in \mathbb{R}^n does not contain the origin $0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Denote by Δ the pyramid over \Box with apex $0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and let $d_{\Box} > 0$ be the lattice distance of \Box from $0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Let $Z^* \subset (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ be a non-degenerate hypersurface whose Newton polytope is Δ . Assume also that the support of the defining Laurent polynomial of Z^* is contained in $\{0\} \sqcup \Box$. Then we can define an automorphism of Z^* of order d_{\Box} as follows. Let $\operatorname{ht}(*, K) : \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the linear map such that $\operatorname{ht}(v, K) = d_{\Box} > 0$ for any $v \in K$. Then to the group homomorphism $\mathbb{Z}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ defined by

$$v \mapsto \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1} \cdot \operatorname{ht}(v, K)/d_{\Box})$$
 (3.23)

we can naturally associate an element $\tau_{\Box} \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^n = \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}^n])$ such that $(\tau_{\Box})^{d_{\Box}} = 1$. By construction $Z^* \subset (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ is invariant by the multiplication by τ_{\Box} . Now fix a complex number $\alpha \neq 1$. Then by Theorem 3.6, the virtual Betti polynomial

$$\beta(\Box)_{\alpha} := \beta(Z^*)_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$$
(3.24)

(of degree $\leq \dim \Box = n - 1$) of the hypersurface $Z^* \subset (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ defined by the (n - 1)dimensional polytope \Box can be calculated as follows. First, for each face Γ of \Box we define a polynomial $\beta(\Gamma)_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ of degree $\leq \dim \Gamma$ similarly. By induction on dim \Box , we may assume that for any proper face Γ of \Box the polynomial $\beta(\Gamma)_{\alpha}$ is already determined. Let \Box' be an (n-1)-dimensional prime integral polytope which majorizes \Box in the affine span K of \Box . For a face Γ' of \Box' we denote by Γ the corresponding face of \Box . Then we can (uniquely) determine $\beta(\Box)_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ by the following three conditions:

(i) The degree of $\beta(\Box)_{\alpha}$ is $\leq \dim \Box$.

(ii) The coefficients c_i of the polynomial

$$\sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} c_i t^i = \sum_{\Gamma' \prec \Box'} (t^2 - 1)^{\dim \Gamma' - \dim \Gamma} \beta(\Gamma)_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$$
(3.25)

are symmetric with respect to the degree dim \Box : $c_{\dim\Box+k} = c_{\dim\Box-k}$ for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. (iii) $\beta(\Box)_{\alpha}(1) = (-1)^{\dim\Box} \operatorname{Vol}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\Box)_{\alpha}$, where we set

$$\operatorname{Vol}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\Box)_{\alpha} = \begin{cases} \operatorname{Vol}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\Box) \in \mathbb{Z}_{+} & (\alpha^{d_{\Box}} = 1), \\ 0 & (\text{otherwise}). \end{cases}$$
(3.26)

Indeed, let Δ' be the pyramid over \Box' with apex $0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $X_{\Delta'}$ the projective toric variety associated to its dual fan. Note that Δ' is pseudo-prime and majorizes Δ . Then the closure of $Z^* \subset (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ in $X_{\Delta'}$ has the Poincaré duality, and (as in [4, Section 5.2]) by using Theorem 3.6 we obtain the above algorithm for the computation of $\beta(\Box)_{\alpha}$. The following definition will play a crucial role in the proof of our main results.

Definition 3.10. For a complex number $\alpha \neq 1$ let $\beta(\Box)_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ be the polynomial of degree $\leq \dim \Box$ as above. Then for $m \geq \dim \Box$ we set

$$\beta(\Box, m)_{\alpha} = (t^2 - 1)^{m - \dim \Box} \beta(\Box)_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{Z}[t].$$
(3.27)

4 Motivic Milnor fibers over C.I. and their virtual Betti polynomials

For $2 \leq k \leq n$ let

$$W = \{f_1 = \dots = f_{k-1} = 0\} \supset V = \{f_1 = \dots = f_{k-1} = f_k = 0\}$$
(4.1)

be complete intersection subvarieties of \mathbb{C}^n such that $0 \in V$. Assume that W and V have isolated singularities at the origin $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Then by a fundamental result of Hamm [16] the Milnor fiber F_0 of $g := f_k|_W \colon W \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ at the origin 0 satisfies the condition $H^j(F_0; \mathbb{C}) \simeq 0$ $(j \neq 0, n-k)$. Recall that the semisimple part of the monodromy operator $\Phi_{n-k,0} \colon H^{n-k}(F_0; \mathbb{C}) \simeq H^{n-k}(F_0; \mathbb{C})$ was determined by Oka [32], [33] and Kirillov [20] (see also [27] for some generalizations). Our objective here is to describe the Jordan normal form of $\Phi_{n-k,0} \colon H^{n-k}(F_0; \mathbb{C}) \simeq H^{n-k}(F_0; \mathbb{C})$ in terms of the Newton polyhedrons of f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_k . For this purpose, we shall use the theory of mixed Hodge modules due to Saito [37] and [38]. Let $\psi_{f_k}^p := \psi_{f_k}[-1] : \mathbf{D}_c^b(\mathbb{C}^n) \longrightarrow \mathbf{D}_c^b(f_k^{-1}(0))$ be the shifted nearby

cycle functor which preserves the perversity. Let $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{D}_{c}^{b}(\mathbb{C}^{n})$ be the minimal extension of the perverse sheaf $\mathbb{C}_{W\setminus\{0\}}[n-k+1] \in \mathbf{D}_{c}^{b}(\mathbb{C}^{n}\setminus\{0\})$ to \mathbb{C}^{n} . Then the perverse sheaf $\psi_{f_{k}}^{p}(\mathcal{F}) \in \mathbf{D}_{c}^{b}(f_{k}^{-1}(0))$ on $f_{k}^{-1}(0)$ has the following decomposition with respect to the eigenvalues $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$ of its monodromy automorphism:

$$\psi_{f_k}^p(\mathcal{F}) = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*} \psi_{f_k,\lambda}^p(\mathcal{F})$$
(4.2)

(see [8] etc.). By Proposition 2.3 for any $\lambda \neq 1$ the support of the perverse sheaf $\psi_{f_k,\lambda}^p(\mathcal{F})$ is contained in the origin $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$. So we may regard $\psi_{f_k,\lambda}^p(\mathcal{F})$ ($\lambda \neq 1$) simply as complex vector spaces endowed with monodromy automorphisms. Now by using the mixed Hodge module over the perverse sheaf $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{D}_c^b(\mathbb{C}^n)$, to $\psi_{f_k}^p(\mathcal{F})_0 \in \mathbf{D}_c^b(\{0\})$ and the semisimple part of its monodromy automorphism, we associate naturally an element

$$[H_g] \in \mathcal{K}_0(\mathcal{HS}^{\mathrm{mon}}) \tag{4.3}$$

(see Saito [37] and [38] for the details). Then by construction, for any $\lambda \neq 1$ the λ eigenspace part $[H_g]_{\lambda} \in K_0(HS)$ of $[H_g] \in K_0(HS^{mon})$ is identified with the complex vector space $\psi_{f_k,\lambda}^p(\mathcal{F})$ endowed with a Hodge decomposition whose weights are defined by its "absolute" monodromy filtration (see Saito [37] and [38]). Here we essentially used the purity of the mixed Hodge module over the perverse sheaf $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{D}_c^b(\mathbb{C}^n)$. For an element $[H] \in K_0(HS^{mon}), H \in HS^{mon}$ with a quasi-unipotent endomorphism $\Psi : H \xrightarrow{\sim} H$, $p, q \geq 0$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ denote by $e^{p,q}([H])_{\lambda}$ the dimension of the λ -eigenspace of the morphism $H^{p,q} \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{p,q}$ induced by Ψ on the (p,q)-part $H^{p,q}$ of H. Then the following results are immediate consequences of the above construction and Saito's very deep theory in [37] and [38]. Indeed, we can check the assertion (i) below by explicitly calculating the mixed Hodge numbers of our motivic Milnor fiber $S_{q,0}$.

Proposition 4.1. Assume that $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^* \setminus \{1\}$. Then

(i) We have $e^{p,q}([H_g])_{\lambda} = 0$ for $(p,q) \notin [0, n-k] \times [0, n-k]$. Moreover for $(p,q) \in [0, n-k] \times [0, n-k]$ we have

$$e^{p,q}([H_g])_{\lambda} = e^{n-k-q,n-k-p}([H_g])_{\lambda}.$$
 (4.4)

(ii) For $i \geq 1$, the number of the Jordan blocks for the eigenvalue λ with sizes $\geq i$ in $\Phi_{n-k,0}: H^{n-k}(F_0; \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{n-k}(F_0; \mathbb{C})$ is equal to

$$\sum_{p+q=n-k-1+i,n-k+i} e^{p,q} ([H_g])_{\lambda}.$$
(4.5)

Remark 4.2. By Proposition 2.3, for $\lambda = 1$ the dimension of the support of $\psi_{f_{k,\lambda}}^{p}(\mathcal{F})$ is not zero in general. Therefore for $\lambda = 1$ we cannot prove the symmetry of weights of $[H_g]_{\lambda} \in K_0(HS)$ as in Proposition 4.1 (i) (indeed we can easily find counterexamples). This fact explains the reason why the results on the Jordan blocks for the eigenvalue 1 in $\Phi_{n-k,0}$ cannot be obtained by our methods. For related problems, see also for example Ebeling-Steenbrink [9].

By Proposition 4.1, for $\lambda \neq 1$ the calculation of the eigenvalue λ part of the Jordan normal form of $\Phi_{n-k,0}$ is reduced to that of $e^{p,q}([H_g])_{\lambda}$. Moreover, as in Denef-Loeser [5], [6] and Guibert-Loeser-Merle [15], by using a resolution of singularities of W and $g: W \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ we can construct a motivic Milnor fiber $S_{g,0}$ of g at $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$ which enables us to calculate $e^{p,q}([H_g])_{\lambda}$ as follows. Let $\pi: X \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ be a proper morphism from a smooth algebraic variety X such that $\pi|_{X\setminus\pi^{-1}(0)}: X\setminus\pi^{-1}(0)\longrightarrow\mathbb{C}^n\setminus\{0\}$ is an isomorphism and $\pi^{-1}(0) = D_1 \cup \cdots \cup D_m$ is a normal crossing divisor $(D_1, \ldots, D_m$ are smooth) in X. Then via the isomorphism $X\setminus\pi^{-1}(0)\simeq\mathbb{C}^n\setminus\{0\}$ we regard $W\setminus\{0\}$ as a subset of Xand denote by W' its closure in X. We call W' the proper transform of W in X. By Hironaka's theorem we can take $\pi: X \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ such that W' is smooth and intersects $D_I := \bigcap_{i\in I} D_i$ transversally for any subset $I \subset \{1, 2, \ldots, m\}$. We may assume also that the hypersurface $S := \overline{f_k^{-1}(0)\setminus\{0\}} \subset X$ is smooth in a neighborhood of W' and intersects $D_I \cap W'$ transversally for any $I \subset \{1, 2, \ldots, m\}$. For $1 \le i \le m$ let $d_i > 0$ be the order of the zero of $f_k \circ \pi$ along D_i . For a non-empty subset $I \subset \{1, 2, \ldots, m\}$ set $D_I^\circ = D_I \setminus (\bigcup_{i\notin I} D_i)$,

$$E_I^\circ = (D_I^\circ \cap W') \setminus S, \qquad F_I^\circ = (D_I^\circ \cap W') \cap S \tag{4.6}$$

and $d_I = \gcd(d_i)_{i \in I} > 0$. Then, as in [6, Section 3.3], we can construct an unramified Galois covering $\widetilde{E}_I^{\circ} \longrightarrow E_I^{\circ}$ of E_I° as follows. First, let $U \subset X \setminus S$ be an affine open subset such that $f_k \circ \pi = h_{1,W} \cdot (h_{2,W})^{d_I}$ on U, where $h_{1,W}$ is a unit on U and $h_{2,W} : U \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a regular function. It is easy to see that E_I° is covered by such open subsets U. Then by gluing the varieties

$$\{(t,x) \in \mathbb{C}^* \times (E_I^{\circ} \cap U) \mid h_{1,W}(x) \cdot t^{d_I} - 1 = 0\}$$
(4.7)

together in an obviously way we obtain the d_I -fold covering \widetilde{E}_I° of E_I° . Now for $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, let $\mu_d \simeq \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}d$ be the multiplicative group consisting of the d roots of unity $\{1, \zeta_d, \zeta_d^2, \ldots, \zeta_d^{d-1}\}$, where we set $\zeta_d := \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}/d) \in \mathbb{C}$. Then the unramified Galois covering \widetilde{E}_I° of E_I° admits a natural action of μ_{d_I} defined by assigning the automorphism $(t, x) \longmapsto (\zeta_{d_I} t, x)$ of \widetilde{E}_I° to the generator $\zeta_{d_I} \in \mu_{d_I}$. Moreover, let $\hat{\mu}$ be the projective limit $\varprojlim_d \mu_d$ of the projective system $\{\mu_i\}_{i\geq 1}$ with morphisms $\mu_{id} \longrightarrow \mu_i$ given by $t \longmapsto t^d$.

Then the variety $\widetilde{E_I^{\circ}}$ is endowed with a good $\hat{\mu}$ -action in the sense of [6, Section 2.4]. Following the notations in [6], denote by $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\hat{\mu}}$ the ring obtained from the Grothendieck ring $\mathrm{K}_0^{\hat{\mu}}(\mathrm{Var}_{\mathbb{C}})$ of varieties over \mathbb{C} with good $\hat{\mu}$ -actions by inverting the Lefschetz motive $\mathbb{L} \simeq \mathbb{C} \in \mathrm{K}_0^{\hat{\mu}}(\mathrm{Var}_{\mathbb{C}})$. Recall that $\mathbb{L} \in \mathrm{K}_0^{\hat{\mu}}(\mathrm{Var}_{\mathbb{C}})$ is endowed with the trivial action of $\hat{\mu}$. We denote by $[\widetilde{E}_I^{\circ}]$ (resp. $[F_I^{\circ}]$) the class of the variety \widetilde{E}_I° (resp. F_I°) endowed with the above $\hat{\mu}$ -action (resp. the trivial $\hat{\mu}$ -action) in $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\hat{\mu}}$.

Definition 4.3. ([5], [6] and [15]) We define the motivic Milnor fiber $\mathcal{S}_{g,0} \in \mathcal{M}^{\hat{\mu}}_{\mathbb{C}}$ of $g: W \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ at the origin $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$ by

$$\mathcal{S}_{g,0} = \sum_{I \neq \emptyset} \left\{ (1 - \mathbb{L})^{\sharp I - 1} [\widetilde{E}_I^{\circ}] + (1 - \mathbb{L})^{\sharp I} [F_I^{\circ}] \right\} \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\hat{\mu}}.$$
(4.8)

For the description of the element $[H_g] \in K_0(HS^{mon})$ in terms of $\mathcal{S}_{g,0} \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\hat{\mu}}$, let

$$\chi_h \colon \mathcal{M}^{\hat{\mu}}_{\mathbb{C}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{K}_0(\mathrm{HS}^{\mathrm{mon}}) \tag{4.9}$$

be the Hodge characteristic map defined in [6]. To a variety Z with a good μ_d -action it associates the Hodge structure

$$\chi_h([Z]) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^j [H^j_c(Z; \mathbb{Q})] \in \mathcal{K}_0(\mathcal{HS}^{\mathrm{mon}})$$
(4.10)

with the actions induced by the one $z \mapsto \zeta_d \cdot z$ ($z \in Z$) on Z. Then by the proof of Denef-Loeser [5, Theorem 4.2.1] we obtain the following result.

Theorem 4.4. In the Grothendieck group $K_0(HS^{mon})$, we have

$$[H_g] = (-1)^{n-k} \chi_h(\mathcal{S}_{g,0}).$$
(4.11)

Thus our problem was reduced to the calculation of $\chi_h(\mathcal{S}_{g,0}) \in \mathrm{K}_0(\mathrm{HS}^{\mathrm{mon}})$.

Definition 4.5. Let $f(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be a polynomial on \mathbb{C}^n .

- (i) We call the convex hull of $\bigcup_{v \in \text{supp}f} \{v + \mathbb{R}^n_+\}$ in \mathbb{R}^n_+ the Newton polyhedron of f at the origin $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and denote it by $\Gamma_+(f)$.
- (ii) We say that f is convenient if $\Gamma_+(f)$ intersects each coordinate axis of \mathbb{R}^n outside the origin.

From now on, in order to describe our results explicitly, assume also that f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_k are convenient. Set $f := (f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_k)$ and

$$\Gamma_{+}(f) := \Gamma_{+}(f_{1}) + \Gamma_{+}(f_{2}) + \dots + \Gamma_{+}(f_{k}).$$
(4.12)

We denote the union of compact faces of $\Gamma_+(f)$ by Γ_f . Recall that on \mathbb{R}^n_+ we can define an equivalence relation by $u \sim u' \iff$ the supporting faces of u and u' in $\Gamma_+(f)$ are the same. Then we obtain a decomposition $\mathbb{R}^n_+ = \bigsqcup_{\Theta \prec \Gamma_+(f)} \sigma_\Theta$ of \mathbb{R}^n_+ into locally closed cones σ_Θ . Since for a face $\Theta \prec \Gamma_+(f)$ such that $\Theta \subset \Gamma_f$ (i.e. a compact face Θ of $\Gamma_+(f)$) the supporting face of $u \in \sigma_\Theta$ in $\Gamma_+(f_j)$ does not depend on the choice of $u \in \sigma_\Theta$, we denote it simply by γ_j^Θ . Then we have

$$\Theta = \gamma_1^{\Theta} + \gamma_2^{\Theta} + \dots + \gamma_k^{\Theta}.$$
(4.13)

For $1 \leq j \leq k$ and a compact face Θ of $\Gamma_+(f)$ we set

$$f_j^{\Theta}(x) = \sum_{v \in \gamma_j^{\Theta} \cap \mathbb{Z}_+^n} a_v x^v \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n],$$
(4.14)

where $f_j(x) = \sum_{v \in \mathbb{Z}^n_+} a_v x^v \ (a_v \in \mathbb{C}).$

Definition 4.6 (see [33] etc.). We say that $f = (f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_k)$ is non-degenerate at the origin $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$ if for any compact face Θ of $\Gamma_+(f)$ the two subvarieties $\{f_1^{\Theta}(x) = \cdots = f_{k-1}^{\Theta}(x) = 0\}$ and $\{f_1^{\Theta}(x) = \cdots = f_{k-1}^{\Theta}(x) = f_k^{\Theta}(x) = 0\}$ in $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ are non-degenerate complete intersections.

From now on, let us assume also that f is non-degenerate at the origin $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Then we can construct the morphism $\pi: X \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ explicitly as follows. Let $\Sigma_1 = \{\overline{\sigma_{\Theta}}\}_{\Theta \prec \Gamma_+(f)}$ be the dual fan of $\Gamma_+(f)$. Take a smooth subdivision Σ of Σ_1 and denote by X_{Σ} the smooth toric variety associated to the (smooth) fan Σ . We thus obtain a proper morphism $\pi: X_{\Sigma} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ which induces an isomorphism $X_{\Sigma} \setminus \pi^{-1}(0) \simeq \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}$. Let $\rho_1, \rho_2, \ldots, \rho_m$ be the 1-dimensional cones in Σ such that $\rho_i \setminus \{0\} \subset \operatorname{Int}(\mathbb{R}^n_+)$ and for each $1 \leq i \leq m$ denote by D_i the (smooth) toric divisor in X_{Σ} which corresponds to ρ_i . Then we have $\pi^{-1}(0) = D_1 \cup \cdots \cup D_m$ and it is a normal crossing divisor in X_{Σ} . Moreover, by the nondegeneracy of f, the proper transforms $W', S = \overline{f_k^{-1}(0) \setminus \{0\}}$ and D_i 's satisfy the required smoothness and transversality. By using this explicit construction of $\pi: X_{\Sigma} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ we can express the Hodge realizations $\chi_h(\mathcal{S}_{q,0})_{\lambda} \in \mathrm{K}_0(\mathrm{HS})$ $(\lambda \neq 1)$ of our motivic Milnor fiber $\mathcal{S}_{q,0}$ very concretely as follows. For a face $\Theta \prec \Gamma_+(f)$ such that $\Theta \subset \Gamma_f$ let $\mathbb{L}_{\Theta} \simeq \mathbb{R}^{\dim \Theta}$ be the linear subspace of \mathbb{R}^n which is parallel to the affine span of Θ . We denote by $K_{\Theta} \simeq \mathbb{R}^{\dim \Theta}$ the affine linear subspace of \mathbb{R}^n which is parallel to \mathbb{L}_{Θ} and contains γ_k^{Θ} . Let $\widetilde{\mathbb{L}}_{\Theta} \simeq \mathbb{R}^{\dim \Theta + 1}$ be the linear subspace of \mathbb{R}^n generated by $\{0\} \sqcup K_{\Theta}$. Then \mathbb{L}_{Θ} is a hyperplane of $\widetilde{\mathbb{L}}_{\Theta}$, and to the lattice $\widetilde{M}_{\Theta} = \mathbb{Z}^n \cap \widetilde{\mathbb{L}}_{\Theta}$ we can naturally associate the algebraic torus

$$\widetilde{T}_{\Theta} = \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[\widetilde{M}_{\Theta}]) \simeq (\mathbb{C}^*)^{\dim\Theta+1}.$$
 (4.15)

Denote the convex hull of $\{0\} \sqcup \gamma_k^{\Theta}$ in $\widetilde{\mathbb{L}}_{\Theta}$ by $\Delta_{\gamma_k^{\Theta}}$ and for $1 \leq j \leq k-1$ let κ_j^{Θ} be an integral translation of γ_j^{Θ} in $\widetilde{\mathbb{L}}_{\Theta}$ such that $\kappa_j^{\Theta} \subset K_{\Theta}$. For simplicity, we denote the k-tuple $(\kappa_1^{\Theta}, \ldots, \kappa_{k-1}^{\Theta}, \Delta_{\gamma_k^{\Theta}})$ of integral polytopes in $(\widetilde{\mathbb{L}}_{\Theta}, \widetilde{M}_{\Theta})$ by Δ_{Θ} . Let $d_{\Theta} > 0$ be the lattice distance of the hyperplane $K_{\Theta} \subset \widetilde{\mathbb{L}}_{\Theta}$ from the origin $0 \in \widetilde{\mathbb{L}}_{\Theta}$. Note that d_{Θ} can be an integral multiple of the lattice distance $d(\gamma_k^{\Theta}) > 0$ of γ_k^{Θ} from $0 \in \widetilde{\mathbb{L}}_{\Theta}$ if $\dim \gamma_k^{\Theta} < \dim \Theta$. Then to Δ_{Θ} we can naturally associate a non-degenerate complete intersection subvariety $Z_{\Delta_{\Theta}}^*$ of $\widetilde{T}_{\Theta} \simeq (\mathbb{C}^*)^{\dim \Theta + 1}$ and an action of the cyclic group $\mu_{d_{\Theta}} = \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}d_{\Theta}$ on it as follows. Let g_j^{Θ} $(j = 1, 2, \ldots, k-1)$ and \widetilde{g}_k^{Θ} be Laurent polynomials on \widetilde{T}_{Θ} such that $NP(g_j^{\Theta}) = \kappa_j^{\Theta}$ and $NP(\widetilde{g}_k^{\Theta}) = \Delta_{\gamma_k^{\Theta}}$. Assume also that the support $\operatorname{supp} \widetilde{g}_k^{\Theta}$ of \widetilde{g}_k^{Θ} is contained in $\{0\} \sqcup \gamma_k^{\Theta}$ and the subvariety

$$Z^*_{\Delta_{\Theta}} = \{g_1^{\Theta}(x) = \dots = g_{k-1}^{\Theta}(x) = \widetilde{g}_k^{\Theta}(x) = 0\} \subset \widetilde{T}_{\Theta}$$
(4.16)

of \widetilde{T}_{Θ} is a non-degenerate complete intersection. Let $\operatorname{ht}(*, K_{\Theta}) : \widetilde{\mathbb{L}}_{\Theta} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the linear map such that $\operatorname{ht}(v, K_{\Theta}) = d_{\Theta} > 0$ for any $v \in K_{\Theta}$. Then to the group homomorphism $\widetilde{M}_{\Theta} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ defined by

$$v \mapsto \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1} \cdot \operatorname{ht}(v, K_{\Theta})/d_{\Theta})$$
(4.17)

we can naturally associate an element $\tau_{\Theta} \in \widetilde{T}_{\Theta} = \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[\widetilde{M}_{\Theta}])$ such that $(\tau_{\Theta})^{d_{\Theta}} = 1$. Since $Z^*_{\Delta_{\Theta}} \subset \widetilde{T}_{\Theta}$ is invariant by the multiplication $l_{\tau_{\Theta}} \colon \widetilde{T}_{\Theta} \xrightarrow{\sim} \widetilde{T}_{\Theta}$ by τ_{Θ} , the variety $Z^*_{\Delta_{\Theta}}$ admits an action of $\mu_{d_{\Theta}}$. We thus obtain an element $[Z^*_{\Delta_{\Theta}}] \in \mathcal{M}^{\hat{\mu}}_{\mathbb{C}}$. Finally, for the compact face $\Theta \prec \Gamma_+(f)$, let s_{Θ} be the dimension of the minimal coordinate subspace of \mathbb{R}^n containing Θ and set $m_{\Theta} = s_{\Theta} - \dim \Theta - 1 \ge 0$.

Theorem 4.7. Assume that $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^* \setminus \{1\}$. Then

(i) In the Grothendieck group $K_0(HS)$, we have

$$\chi_h(\mathcal{S}_{g,0})_{\lambda} = \sum_{\Theta \subset \Gamma_f, \dim \Theta \ge k-1} \chi_h \big((1 - \mathbb{L})^{m_\Theta} \cdot [Z^*_{\Delta_\Theta}] \big)_{\lambda}.$$
(4.18)

In particular, the virtual Betti polynomial $\beta(\mathcal{S}_{g,0})_{\lambda} \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ is given by

$$\beta(\mathcal{S}_{g,0})_{\lambda} = \sum_{\Theta \subset \Gamma_f, \dim \Theta \ge k-1} (1 - t^2)^{m_\Theta} \cdot \beta(Z^*_{\Delta_\Theta})_{\lambda}.$$
(4.19)

(ii) For $i \geq 1$, the number of the Jordan blocks for the eigenvalue λ with sizes $\geq i$ in $\Phi_{n-k,0}$: $H^{n-k}(F_0; \mathbb{C}) \simeq H^{n-k}(F_0; \mathbb{C})$ is equal to

$$(-1)^{n-k} \{ \beta_{n-k-1+i}(\mathcal{S}_{g,0})_{\lambda} + \beta_{n-k+i}(\mathcal{S}_{g,0})_{\lambda} \}.$$
(4.20)

Proof. By using the above explicit construction of $\pi : X_{\Sigma} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ from $\Gamma_+(f)$ the proof of (i) is obtained completely similarly to that of [29, Theorems 5.3 and 7.3]. Then the assertion (ii) follows immediately from Proposition 4.1 (ii).

By the Cayley trick in [4, Section 6] we can rewrite the formula for $\beta(\mathcal{S}_{g,0})_{\lambda} \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ $(\lambda \neq 1)$ in Theorem 4.7 (i) as follows. For a face $\Theta \prec \Gamma_+(f)$ such that $\Theta \subset \Gamma_f$ we define an open subset Ω_{Θ} of $\widetilde{T}_{\Theta} \times \mathbb{P}^{k-1}$ by

$$\Omega_{\Theta} = \{ (x; (\alpha_1 : \dots : \alpha_k)) \in \widetilde{T}_{\Theta} \times \mathbb{P}^{k-1} \mid \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \alpha_j g_j^{\Theta}(x) + \alpha_k \widetilde{g}_k^{\Theta}(x) \neq 0 \}.$$
(4.21)

By the standard decomposition $\mathbb{C}^k = \bigsqcup_{I \subset \{1,2,\dots,k\}} T_I$, $T_I \simeq (\mathbb{C}^*)^{\sharp I}$ of \mathbb{C}^k , we obtain a stratification $\mathbb{P}^{k-1} = \bigsqcup_{I \neq \emptyset} \mathbb{P}(T_I)$ of \mathbb{P}^{k-1} , where we set

$$\mathbb{P}(T_I) = \{ (\alpha_1 : \dots : \alpha_k) \in \mathbb{P}^{k-1} \mid \alpha_j = 0 \ (j \notin I), \ \alpha_j \neq 0 \ (j \in I) \} \simeq (\mathbb{C}^*)^{\sharp I - 1}.$$
(4.22)

For each subset $J \subset \{1, 2, \dots, k-1\}$ (J can be an empty set \emptyset), set

$$\Omega_{\Theta,J} = \left\{ \widetilde{T}_{\Theta} \times \mathbb{P}(T_{J \sqcup \{k\}}) \right\} \cap \Omega_{\Theta}.$$
(4.23)

Note that $\Omega_{\Theta,J}$ is the complement of the hypersurface

$$Z_{\Theta,J}^* = \{ (x; \alpha_j (j \in J)) \in \widetilde{T}_\Theta \times (\mathbb{C}^*)^{\sharp J} \mid \sum_{j \in J} \alpha_j g_j^\Theta(x) + \widetilde{g}_k^\Theta(x) = 0 \}$$
(4.24)

of the algebraic torus $\widetilde{T}_{\Theta} \times (\mathbb{C}^*)^{\sharp J}$. Since this hypersurface $Z_{\Theta,J}^*$ is invariant by the multiplication of $(\tau_{\Theta}, 1) \in \widetilde{T}_{\Theta} \times (\mathbb{C}^*)^{\sharp J}$, we obtain an action of $\mu_{d_{\Theta}}$ on $Z_{\Theta,J}^*$ and an element $[Z_{\Theta,J}^*] \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\hat{\mu}}$.

Lemma 4.8. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^* \setminus \{1\}$ and a face $\Theta \prec \Gamma_+(f)$ such that $\Theta \subset \Gamma_f$ we have

$$\beta(Z_{\Delta_{\Theta}}^{*})_{\lambda} = \frac{1}{t^{2k-2}} \sum_{J \subset \{1,2,\dots,k-1\}} \beta(Z_{\Theta,J}^{*})_{\lambda}.$$
(4.25)

Proof. By the definition of $Z^*_{\Delta_{\Theta}}$ the natural projection

$$\pi: \Omega_{\Theta} \longrightarrow \widetilde{T}_{\Theta} \setminus Z^*_{\Delta_{\Theta}}$$
(4.26)

is an algebraic fiber bundle whose fiber is isomorphic to \mathbb{C}^{k-1} . Therefore by the condition $\lambda \neq 1$ we obtain

$$\beta(\widetilde{T}_{\Theta} \setminus Z^*_{\Delta_{\Theta}})_{\lambda} = \frac{1}{t^{2k-2}} \sum_{J \subset \{1,2,\dots,k-1\}} \beta(\Omega_{\Theta,J})_{\lambda}.$$
(4.27)

Since the multiplication of τ_{Θ} on \widetilde{T}_{Θ} (resp. $(\tau_{\Theta}, 1)$ on $\widetilde{T}_{\Theta} \times (\mathbb{C}^*)^{\sharp J}$) is homotopic to the identity, by $\lambda \neq 1$ we obtain the desired formula. This completes the proof.

First, by replacing the defining equations of $Z^*_{\Delta_{\Theta}}$ with the help of Lemma 2.13, we may assume that the hypersurfaces $Z^*_{\Theta,J} \subset \widetilde{T}_{\Theta} \times (\mathbb{C}^*)^{\sharp J}$ are non-degenerate. For a face $\Theta \prec \Gamma_+(f)$ such that $\Theta \subset \Gamma_f$ and $J \subset \{1, 2, \ldots, k-1\}$ we denote by Θ_J the convex hull of

$$\left(\gamma_k^{\Theta} \times \{0\}\right) \sqcup \left\{\bigsqcup_{j \in J} (\kappa_j^{\Theta} \times \{e_j\})\right\}$$

$$(4.28)$$

in $\widetilde{\mathbb{L}}_{\Theta} \times \mathbb{R}^{\sharp J}$, where $e_j = (0, \ldots, 0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)$ is the *j*-th standard unit vector in $\mathbb{R}^{\sharp J}$. This integral polytope Θ_J is called the join of κ_j^{Θ} $(j \in J)$ and γ_k^{Θ} . Let $\widetilde{\Theta}_J$ be the convex hull of $\{(0,0)\} \sqcup \Theta_J$ in $\widetilde{\mathbb{L}}_{\Theta} \times \mathbb{R}^{\sharp J}$. Then by Definition 3.10 we have

$$\beta(Z^*_{\Theta,J})_{\lambda} = \beta(\Theta_J, \dim\Theta + \sharp J)_{\lambda} = (t^2 - 1)^{\dim\Theta + \sharp J - \dim\Theta_J} \beta(\Theta_J)_{\lambda}$$
(4.29)

for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^* \setminus \{1\}$. Recall that if $\lambda \neq 1$ the degree of the polynomial $\beta(\Theta_J)_{\lambda} \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ is $\leq \dim \Theta_J = \dim(\gamma_k^{\Theta} + \sum_{j \in J} \kappa_j^{\Theta}) + \sharp J$. Then for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^* \setminus \{1\}$ we obtain

$$(1-t^{2})^{m_{\Theta}}\beta(Z_{\Delta_{\Theta}}^{*})_{\lambda} = \frac{(-1)^{m_{\Theta}}}{t^{2k-2}} \sum_{J \subset \{1,2,\dots,k-1\}} (t^{2}-1)^{s_{\Theta}+\sharp J-1-\dim\Theta_{J}}\beta(\Theta_{J})_{\lambda} \quad (4.30)$$

$$= \frac{(-1)^{m_{\Theta}}}{t^{2k-2}} \sum_{J \subset \{1,2,\dots,k-1\}} \beta(\Theta_J, s_{\Theta} + \sharp J - 1)_{\lambda}.$$
(4.31)

Moreover for a non-degenerate hypersurface $S^*_{\Theta,J} \subset (\mathbb{C}^*)^{s_{\Theta}+\sharp J}$ having the thin Newton polytope $\widetilde{\Theta}_J \subset \mathbb{R}^{s_{\Theta}+\sharp J}$ (recall that $\widetilde{\mathbb{L}}_{\Theta} \subset \mathbb{R}^{s_{\Theta}}$) and a natural action of $\mu_{d_{\Theta}}$ on it, we have

$$\beta(\Theta_J, s_\Theta + \sharp J - 1)_\lambda = \beta(S^*_{\Theta, J})_\lambda \tag{4.32}$$

for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^* \setminus \{1\}$. We thus obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 4.9. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^* \setminus \{1\}$ we have

$$\beta(\mathcal{S}_{g,0})_{\lambda} = \sum_{\Theta \subset \Gamma_f, \dim \Theta \ge k-1} \frac{(-1)^{m_{\Theta}}}{t^{2k-2}} \sum_{J \subset \{1,2,\dots,k-1\}} \beta(\Theta_J, s_{\Theta} + \sharp J - 1)_{\lambda}$$
(4.33)

$$= \sum_{\Theta \subset \Gamma_f, \dim \Theta \ge k-1} \frac{(-1)^{m_\Theta}}{t^{2k-2}} \sum_{J \subset \{1, 2, \dots, k-1\}} \beta(S^*_{\Theta, J})_{\lambda}.$$
(4.34)

Remark 4.10. Although we formulated Theorem 4.9 for complete intersection singularities, it can be readily extended to the case of isolated determinantal singularities studied in [11] by constructing their toric resolutions. See [11] for the construction of toric resolutions of determinantal singularities. By Theorems 4.7, 4.9 and the results in Sections 2, 3, we can calculate the numbers of the Jordan blocks for the eigenvalues $\lambda \neq 1$ in $\Phi_{n-k,0} : H^{n-k}(F_0; \mathbb{C}) \simeq H^{n-k}(F_0; \mathbb{C})$ as follows. First, for a compact face $\Theta \prec \Gamma_+(f)$ such that $\dim \Theta \ge k - 1$ and a subset $J \subset$ $\{1, 2, \ldots, k-1\}$ we define an integer $c(\Theta, J) \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ by $c(\Theta, J) = \dim \Theta - \dim(\gamma_k^{\Theta} + \sum_{j \in J} \kappa_j^{\Theta})$. Then we have $\dim \Theta_J = \dim \Theta - c(\Theta, J) + \sharp J$. Moreover for $l \ge 1$ we define a finite subset $R(\Theta_J, l) \subset [0, \dim \Theta_J] \cap \mathbb{Z}$ by

$$R(\Theta_J, l) = \{0 \le r \le \dim \Theta_J \mid n+k-3+l \equiv r \mod 2\}.$$

$$(4.35)$$

For each $r \in R(\Theta_J, l)$, we set

$$e(\Theta_J, l)_r := \frac{n+k-3+l-r}{2} \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$
(4.36)

Now in the situation as above, let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^* \setminus \{1\}$ and $i \geq 1$. Then by Theorems 4.7 and 4.9, the number of the Jordan blocks for the eigenvalue λ with sizes $\geq i$ in $\Phi_{n-k,0}$ is

$$\sum_{\substack{\Theta \subset \Gamma_f, \\ \dim \Theta \ge k-1}} \sum_{J \subset \{1, \dots, k-1\}} (-1)^{n-k+c(\Theta, J)} \left\{ \sum_{l=i, i+1} \left(\sum_{r \in R(\Theta_J, l)} (-1)^{e(\Theta_J, l)_r} \binom{m_\Theta + c(\Theta, J)}{e(\Theta_J, l)_r} \beta_r(\Theta_J)_\lambda \right) \right\}.$$

$$(4.37)$$

Note that we can always calculate the above virtual Betti numbers $\beta_r(\Theta_J)_{\lambda} \in \mathbb{Z}$ by our algorithm at the end of Section 3. We can construct polytopes which majorize the join Θ_J much easier than that for arbitrary polytopes of the same dimension. From now on, assume moreover that for any compact face $\Theta \prec \Gamma_+(f)$ such that $\dim \Theta \geq k - 1$ the corresponding faces γ_j^{Θ} $(1 \leq j \leq k)$ are simplicial and transversal: $\dim(\sum_{j=1}^k \gamma_j^{\Theta}) = \sum_{j=1}^k \dim \gamma_j^{\Theta}$. Note that this condition was used in [10] to describe the difference of the Euler characteristics of two "real" Milnor fibers over real complete intersections. Under this condition, the join Θ_J is prime and hence $\widetilde{\Theta}_J$ is pseudo-prime. Therefore, by Proposition 3.9 we have

$$\beta_r(\Theta_J)_{\lambda} = (-1)^{\dim\Theta_J + r} \sum_{\substack{\Gamma \prec \Theta_J, \\ \dim\Gamma = r}} \left\{ \sum_{\gamma \prec \Gamma} (-1)^{\dim\gamma} \operatorname{Vol}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\gamma)_{\lambda} \right\}$$
(4.38)

$$= (-1)^{\dim\Theta_J+r} \sum_{l=0}^r \left\{ \sum_{\substack{\gamma \prec \Theta_J, \\ \dim\gamma = l}} (-1)^l \binom{\dim\Theta_J - l}{r - l} \operatorname{Vol}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\gamma)_{\lambda} \right\}, \quad (4.39)$$

where by using the lattice distance $d(\gamma) > 0$ of the face $\gamma \prec \Theta_J$ from the origin $(0,0) \in \widetilde{\mathbb{L}}_{\Theta} \times \mathbb{R}^{\sharp J}$ we define the integer $\operatorname{Vol}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\gamma)_{\lambda} \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ by

$$\operatorname{Vol}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\gamma)_{\lambda} = \begin{cases} \operatorname{Vol}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\gamma) & (\lambda^{d(\gamma)} = 1), \\ 0 & (\text{otherwise}). \end{cases}$$
(4.40)

Finally to end this section, we shall introduce an analogue of the Steenbrink conjecture proved by Varchenko-Khovanskii [47] and Saito [39].

Definition 4.11. (Ebeling-Steenbrink [9]) As a Puiseux series, we define the non-integral part $\operatorname{sp}_q(t)$ of the spectrum of $g: W \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ at the origin $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$ by

$$\operatorname{sp}_{g}(t) = \sum_{b \in (0,1) \cap \mathbb{Q}} \left[\sum_{i=0}^{n-k} \left\{ \sum_{q \ge 0} e^{i,q} ([H_{g}])_{\exp(-2\pi\sqrt{-1}b)} \right\} t^{i+b} \right].$$
(4.41)

By Proposition 4.1 (i) the support of $\text{sp}_g(t)$ is contained in the open interval (0, n-k+1) and has the symmetry

$$\operatorname{sp}_g(t) = t^{n-k+1} \operatorname{sp}_g(\frac{1}{t}) \tag{4.42}$$

with center at $\frac{n-k+1}{2}$. Moreover by the above arguments (the Cayley trick) and the proof of [29, Theorem 5.10], we immediately obtain the following explicit description of $\operatorname{sp}_g(t)$. For each $\Theta \prec \Gamma_+(f)$ such that $\Theta \subset \Gamma_f$ and $J \subset \{1, 2, \ldots, k-1\}$ let $\operatorname{Cone}(\Theta_J) = \mathbb{R}_+\Theta_J \subset \widetilde{\mathbb{L}}_{\Theta} \times \mathbb{R}^{\sharp J}$ be the cone generated by Θ_J and $h_{\Theta,J}$: $\operatorname{Cone}(\Theta_J) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the linear function such that $h_{\Theta,J}|_{\Theta_J} \equiv 1$. Then we define the Puiseux series $P_{\Theta,J}(t)$ by

$$P_{\Theta,J}(t) = \sum_{b \in \mathbb{Q}_+ \setminus \mathbb{Z}_+} \sharp\{v \in \operatorname{Cone}(\Theta_J) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{n+\sharp J} \mid h_{\Theta,J}(v) = b\}t^b.$$
(4.43)

Theorem 4.12. In the situation as above, we have

$$\operatorname{sp}_{g}(t) = (-1)^{n-k} \sum_{\Theta \subset \Gamma_{f}, \dim \Theta \ge k-1} \left\{ \sum_{J \subset \{1, \dots, k-1\}} (-1)^{\dim \Theta + \sharp J} (1-t)^{s_{\Theta} + \sharp J} P_{\Theta, J}(t) \right\} \cdot t^{-k+1}.$$
(4.44)

5 The numbers of Jordan blocks in the monodromies over C.I.

In this section, by using the results in the previous sections, we prove some combinatorial formulas for the Jordan normal forms of the (local) monodromies over complete intersection subvarieties of \mathbb{C}^n . We inherit the situation and the notations in Section 4. Then our primary interest here is to describe the numbers of the maximal (and the second maximal) Jordan blocks for the eigenvalues $\lambda \neq 1$ in the monodromy $\Phi_{n-k,0}: H^{n-k}(F_0; \mathbb{C}) \simeq H^{n-k}(F_0; \mathbb{C})$ in terms of the Newton polyhedrons $\Gamma_+(f_1), \Gamma_+(f_2), \ldots, \Gamma_+(f_k)$. We fix $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^* \setminus \{1\}$ and a face $\Theta \prec \Gamma_+(f)$ such that $\Theta \subset \Gamma_f$. First, for $J \subset \{1, 2, \ldots, k-1\}$ let $K_{\Theta,J}$ be the affine linear subspace of $\widetilde{\mathbb{L}}_{\Theta} \simeq \mathbb{R}^{\dim\Theta+1}$ which is parallel to the affine span of the Minkowski sum $\gamma_k^{\Theta} + \sum_{j \in J} \kappa_j^{\Theta}$ and contains γ_k^{Θ} . Then we define an integer $d_{\Theta,J} > 0$ to be the lattice distance of $K_{\Theta,J}$ from the origin $0 \in \widetilde{\mathbb{L}}_{\Theta}$. Note that if $J = \{1, 2, \ldots, k-1\}$ (resp. $J = \emptyset$) $d_{\Theta,J}$ is equal to d_{Θ} (resp. is the lattice distance $d(\gamma_k^{\Theta})$ of γ_k^{Θ} from the origin $0 \in \widetilde{\mathbb{L}}_{\Theta}$). Moreover, for any $J \subset \{1, 2, \ldots, k-1\}$ we see that $d_{\Theta,J}$ divides d_{Θ} .

Definition 5.1. For $J \subset \{1, 2, ..., k-1\}$ we denote the difference $\dim(\gamma_k^{\Theta} + \sum_{j \in J} \kappa_j^{\Theta}) - \sharp J$ by $\delta(\Theta, J)$.

The following lemma is essentially due to Sturmfels [42].

Lemma 5.2. If $\delta(\Theta, J) \ge 0$ for any $J \subset \{1, 2, ..., k-1\}$, then the set $\{J \mid \delta(\Theta, J) = 0\}$ is closed by unions \cup and intersections \cap . In particular, if moreover $\{J \mid \delta(\Theta, J) = 0\} \ne \emptyset$ (including the case where $\{J \mid \delta(\Theta, J) = 0\} = \{\emptyset\}$), it has the (unique) maximal element J_0 .

Proof. Let $I, J \subset \{1, 2, ..., k-1\}$. Then we can easily prove that

$$\dim(\gamma_k^{\Theta} + \sum_{j \in I} \kappa_j^{\Theta}) + \dim(\gamma_k^{\Theta} + \sum_{j \in J} \kappa_j^{\Theta})$$
(5.1)

$$\geq \dim(\gamma_k^{\Theta} + \sum_{j \in I \cap J} \kappa_j^{\Theta}) + \dim(\gamma_k^{\Theta} + \sum_{j \in I \cup J} \kappa_j^{\Theta}).$$
(5.2)

Combining this inequality with the one $\sharp I + \sharp J = \sharp (I \cap J) + \sharp (I \cup J)$ we obtain

$$\delta(\Theta, I) + \delta(\Theta, J) \ge \delta(\Theta, I \cap J) + \delta(\Theta, I \cup J) \ge 0, \tag{5.3}$$

from which the assertion immediately follows.

Definition 5.3. We define an integer $E(\Theta)_{\lambda}$ to be 0 if $\min_{J \subset \{1,2,\dots,k-1\}} \delta(\Theta, J) \neq 0$, and otherwise by using the maximal element J_0 of $\{J \mid \delta(\Theta, J) = 0\}$ we set

$$E(\Theta)_{\lambda} = \begin{cases} MV(\kappa_{j_1}^{\Theta}, \kappa_{j_2}^{\Theta}, \dots, \kappa_{j_m}^{\Theta}) & (\lambda^{d_{\Theta, J_0}} = 1), \\ 0 & (otherwise), \end{cases}$$
(5.4)

where $J_0 = \{j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_m\}$, $\sharp J_0 = m$ and $MV(\kappa_{j_1}^{\Theta}, \ldots, \kappa_{j_m}^{\Theta}) \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ is the normalized mdimensional mixed volume of $\kappa_{j_1}^{\Theta}, \ldots, \kappa_{j_m}^{\Theta}$. Note that by $\delta(\Theta, J_0) = 0$ we have $\dim(\kappa_{j_1}^{\Theta} + \cdots + \kappa_{j_m}^{\Theta}) \leq \sharp J_0 = m$ and the m-dimensional mixed volume $MV(\kappa_{j_1}^{\Theta}, \ldots, \kappa_{j_m}^{\Theta})$ makes sense.

In particular, if $\min_{J \subset \{1,2,\dots,k-1\}} \delta(\Theta, J) = 0$ and $\{J \mid \delta(\Theta, J) = 0\} = \{\emptyset\}$ we set $E(\Theta)_{\lambda} = 1$ or 0 depending on whether $\lambda^{d(\gamma_k^{\Theta})} = 1$ or not.

- **Theorem 5.4.** (i) The degree of the virtual Betti polynomial $\beta(S_{g,0})_{\lambda} \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ ($\lambda \neq 1$) is bounded by 2n - 2k. In particular, the sizes of the Jordan blocks for the eigenvalues $\lambda \neq 1$ in $\Phi_{n-k,0}$ are bounded by n - k + 1.
 - (ii) The number of the Jordan blocks for the eigenvalue $\lambda \neq 1$ with the maximal possible size n k + 1 in $\Phi_{n-k,0}$ is equal to

$$\sum_{\substack{\Theta \subset \Gamma_f, s_\Theta = n, \\ \dim \Theta \ge k-1}} (-1)^{\dim \Theta - (k-1)} E(\Theta)_{\lambda}.$$
(5.5)

Proof. (A) Assume that there exists $J \subset \{1, 2, ..., k-1\}$ such that $\delta(\Theta, J) < 0$. Then we have

$$\delta(\Theta, J) = \dim(\Delta_{\gamma_k^{\Theta}} + \sum_{j \in J} \kappa_j^{\Theta}) - (\sharp J + 1) < 0.$$
(5.6)

By the dimensional reason, as a non-degenerate C.I. in \widetilde{T}_{Θ} we have

$$\{g_j^{\Theta}(x) = 0 \ (j \in J), \quad \widetilde{g}_k^{\Theta}(x) = 0\} = \emptyset.$$
(5.7)

Since $Z^*_{\Delta_{\Theta}} \subset \widetilde{T}_{\Theta}$ is contained in this set, we obtain $Z^*_{\Delta_{\Theta}} = \emptyset$ and hence

$$\frac{(-1)^{m_{\Theta}}}{t^{2k-2}} \sum_{J \subset \{1,2,\dots,k-1\}} \beta(\Theta_J, s_{\Theta} + \sharp J - 1)_{\lambda} = 0.$$
(5.8)

(B) Next assume that $\delta(\Theta, J) \ge 0$ for any $J \subset \{1, 2, \dots, k-1\}$. Then for any $J \subset \{1, 2, \dots, k-1\}$ we have

$$\deg\left\{\frac{(-1)^{m_{\Theta}}}{t^{2k-2}}\beta(\Theta_J, s_{\Theta} + \sharp J - 1)_{\lambda}\right\}$$
(5.9)

$$\leq 2(s_{\Theta} + \sharp J - 1 - \dim \Theta_J) + \dim \Theta_J - 2k + 2 \tag{5.10}$$

$$= 2s_{\Theta} - 2k + \sharp J - \dim(\gamma_k^{\Theta} + \sum_{j \in J} \kappa_j^{\Theta})$$
(5.11)

$$\leq 2s_{\Theta} - 2k \leq 2n - 2k. \tag{5.12}$$

So the assertion (i) was proved. By the above calculations, if $s_{\Theta} < n$ or $\delta(\Theta, J) > 0$ for any $J \subset \{1, 2, \ldots, k-1\}$ there is no contribution to the leading coefficient $\beta_{2n-2k}(\mathcal{S}_{g,0})_{\lambda}$ from the face $\Theta \prec \Gamma_+(f)$. Therefore, to prove the assertion (ii), we have only to consider the compact faces $\Theta \prec \Gamma_+(f)$ such that $s_{\Theta} = n$ and $\min_{J \subset \{1,2,\ldots,k-1\}} \delta(\Theta, J) = 0$. In this case, for the maximal element J_0 of the set $\{J \mid \delta(\Theta, J) = 0\}$ we have

$$J \not\subset J_0 \implies \deg\left\{\frac{(-1)^{m_{\Theta}}}{t^{2k-2}}\beta(\Theta_J, s_{\Theta} + \sharp J - 1)_\lambda\right\} < 2n - 2k.$$
(5.13)

This implies that

$$\sum_{J \subset \{1,2,\dots,k-1\}} \frac{(-1)^{m_{\Theta}}}{t^{2k-2}} \beta(\Theta_J, s_{\Theta} + \sharp J - 1)_{\lambda} \equiv \sum_{J \subset J_0} \frac{(-1)^{m_{\Theta}}}{t^{2k-2}} \beta(\Theta_J, s_{\Theta} + \sharp J - 1)_{\lambda}$$
(5.14)

modulo polynomials of degree less than 2n - 2k. Set $J_0 = \{j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_m\}, \ \sharp J_0 = m$ and let

$$Z^*_{\Delta^{\Theta}_{\Theta}} = \{g^{\Theta}_{j_1}(x) = \dots = g^{\Theta}_{j_m}(x) = \widetilde{g}^{\Theta}_k(x) = 0\} \subset (\mathbb{C}^*)^{s_{\Theta}} = (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$$
(5.15)

be the non-degenerate complete intersection subvariety of codimension m + 1 in $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ with a natural action of $\mu_{d_{\Theta}}$. Then by the arguments in Section 4 we have

$$\sum_{J \subset J_0} \frac{1}{t^{2m}} \beta(\Theta_J, n + \sharp J - 1)_{\lambda} = \beta(Z^*_{\Delta_{\Theta}^0})_{\lambda}.$$
(5.16)

Moreover, since by $\delta(\Theta, J_0) = 0$ we have $\dim(\Delta_{\gamma_k^{\Theta}} + \sum_{j \in J_0} \kappa_j^{\Theta}) = \sharp J_0 + 1 = m + 1$, we can take another 0-dimensional non-degenerate complete intersection subvariety

$$D^*_{\Delta^0_{\Theta}} = \{ g^{\Theta}_{j_1}(x) = \dots = g^{\Theta}_{j_m}(x) = \widetilde{g}^{\Theta}_k(x) = 0 \} \subset (\mathbb{C}^*)^{m+1}$$
(5.17)

in $(\mathbb{C}^*)^{m+1}$ such that $Z^*_{\Delta_{\Theta}^0} \simeq (\mathbb{C}^*)^{n-m-1} \times D^*_{\Delta_{\Theta}^0}$. This implies that the right hand side of (5.14) is equal to

$$\frac{(-1)^{m_{\Theta}}}{t^{2k-2m-2}}(t^2-1)^{n-m-1}\beta(D^*_{\Delta^0_{\Theta}})_{\lambda}$$
(5.18)

whose leading coefficient (of degree 2n - 2k) is $(-1)^{m_{\Theta}}\beta(D^*_{\Delta^0_{\Theta}})_{\lambda} = (-1)^{n-\dim\Theta-1}$ $\beta_0(D^*_{\Delta^0_{\Theta}})_{\lambda} \in \mathbb{Z}$. By Theorem 2.6, the subset

$$\{g_{j_1}^{\Theta}(x) = \dots = g_{j_m}^{\Theta}(x) = 0\} \subset (\mathbb{C}^*)^{m+1}$$
 (5.19)

of $(\mathbb{C}^*)^{m+1}$ is a disjoint union of $MV(\kappa_{j_1}^{\Theta}, \ldots, \kappa_{j_m}^{\Theta})$ copies of the complex line \mathbb{C} and the restriction of \widetilde{g}_k^{Θ} to each line vanishes at exactly d_{Θ,J_0} distinct points. Moreover we can easily see that the action of the generator of $\mu_{d_{\Theta}}$ on these d_{Θ,J_0} points corresponds to that of their automorphism group $\mu_{d_{\Theta,J_0}}$. Then the assertion (ii) follows. This completes the proof.

Recall that we say polyhedrons $\Delta_1, \ldots, \Delta_k$ in \mathbb{R}^n majorize each other if their dual fans are the same. For example, if $\Delta_1, \ldots, \Delta_k$ are similar, they majorize each other.

Corollary 5.5. (i) If k = 2, then the number of the Jordan blocks for the eigenvalue $\lambda \neq 1$ with the maximal possible size n - k + 1 = n - 1 in $\Phi_{n-k,0}$ is equal to

$$\sum_{\substack{\Theta \subset \Gamma_f, s_\Theta = n, \\ \dim \Theta = 1, \lambda^{d_\Theta} = 1}} \operatorname{length}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\gamma_1^{\Theta}) + \sum_{d=2}^{n-1} (-1)^{d-1} \sharp \left\{ \Theta \subset \Gamma_f \mid \underset{\dim \gamma_2^{\Theta} = 0 \text{ and } \lambda^{d(\gamma_2^{\Theta})} = 1}{\overset{s_\Theta = n, \dim \Theta = d, \\ \dim \gamma_2^{\Theta} = 0 \text{ and } \lambda^{d(\gamma_2^{\Theta})} = 1} \right\}, \quad (5.20)$$

where $\operatorname{length}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\gamma_1^{\Theta}) \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ is the lattice length of the segment γ_1^{Θ} .

d

(ii) If $\Gamma_+(f_1), \ldots, \Gamma_+(f_k)$ majorize each other, then the number of the Jordan blocks for the eigenvalue $\lambda \neq 1$ with the maximal possible size n - k + 1 in $\Phi_{n-k,0}$ is equal to

$$\sum_{\substack{\Theta \subset \Gamma_f, s_\Theta = n, \\ \dim \Theta = k-1, \lambda^{d_\Theta} = 1}} MV(\kappa_1^\Theta, \dots, \kappa_{k-1}^\Theta),$$
(5.21)

where $MV(\kappa_1^{\Theta}, \ldots, \kappa_{k-1}^{\Theta}) \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ is the normalized (k-1)-dimensional mixed volume of $\kappa_1^{\Theta}, \ldots, \kappa_{k-1}^{\Theta}$.

From now on, we shall describe the numbers of the second maximal Jordan blocks for the eigenvalues $\lambda \neq 1$ in the monodromy $\Phi_{n-k,0} \colon H^{n-k}(F_0; \mathbb{C}) \simeq H^{n-k}(F_0; \mathbb{C})$. We fix $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^* \setminus \{1\}$ and a face $\Theta \prec \Gamma_+(f)$ such that $\Theta \subset \Gamma_f$. Recall that for any $J \subset \{1, 2, \ldots, k-1\}$ the Minkowski sum $\gamma_k^{\Theta} + \sum_{j \in J} \kappa_j^{\Theta}$ majorizes κ_j^{Θ} $(j \in J)$ and γ_k^{Θ} . For a face Γ of $\gamma_k^{\Theta} + \sum_{j \in J} \kappa_j^{\Theta}$ denote by Γ_j^{Θ} $(j \in J \sqcup \{k\})$ the corresponding faces of κ_j^{Θ} $(j \in J)$ and γ_k^{Θ} . Moreover for such J and Γ let $K_{\Theta,J}^{\Gamma}$ be the affine linear subspace of $\widetilde{\mathbb{L}}_{\Theta} \simeq \mathbb{R}^{\dim \Theta + 1}$ which is parallel to the affine span of Γ and contains Γ_k^{Θ} . Then we define an integer $d_{\Theta,J}^{\Gamma} > 0$ to be the lattice distance of $K_{\Theta,J}^{\Gamma}$ from the origin $0 \in \widetilde{\mathbb{L}}_{\Theta}$.

Lemma 5.6. (i) Assume that $\min_{J \subset \{1,2,\dots,k-1\}} \delta(\Theta, J) = 1$. Then the set $\{J \mid \delta(\Theta, J) = 1\} \neq \emptyset$ has the unique maximal element.

(ii) Assume that $\min_{J \subset \{1,2,\dots,k-1\}} \delta(\Theta, J) = 0$ and let J_0 be the (unique) maximal element of $\{J \mid \delta(\Theta, J) = 0\}$. Assume also that the set $\{J \mid \delta(\Theta, J) = 1, J_0 \subset J\}$ is not empty and let I and J be its maximal elements. Then we have I = J or $I \cap J = J_0$.

Proof. (i) Let I and J be maximal elements of $\{J \mid \delta(\Theta, J) = 1\} \neq \emptyset$. Then by the proof of Lemma 5.2 we have

$$2 = \delta(\Theta, I) + \delta(\Theta, J) \ge \delta(\Theta, I \cap J) + \delta(\Theta, I \cup J) \ge 2.$$
(5.22)

Since $\delta(\Theta, I \cap J), \delta(\Theta, I \cup J) \ge 1$ we obtain $\delta(\Theta, I \cup J) = 1$. Then by the maximality of I and J we have I = J.

(ii) Assume that $I \neq J$. Then by the proof of Lemma 5.2 we have

$$2 = \delta(\Theta, I) + \delta(\Theta, J) \ge \delta(\Theta, I \cap J) + \delta(\Theta, I \cup J) \ge 0.$$
(5.23)

Since $\delta(\Theta, I \cup J) \ge 2$ by the maximality of I and J, we obtain $\delta(\Theta, I \cap J) = 0$ and hence $I \cap J = J_0$.

- **Definition 5.7.** (i) Assume that $\min_{J \subset \{1,2,\dots,k-1\}} \delta(\Theta, J) = 1$. Then we denote by J_1 the (unique) maximal element of $\{J \mid \delta(\Theta, J) = 1\} \neq \emptyset$.
 - (ii) Assume that $\min_{J \subset \{1,2,\dots,k-1\}} \delta(\Theta, J) = 0$. Then we define $n_{\Theta} \ge 0$ to be the number of the maximal elements of the set $\{J \mid \delta(\Theta, J) = 1, J_0 \subset J\}$. If $n_{\Theta} > 0$ we denote by $J_1, J_2, \dots, J_{n_{\Theta}}$ the maximal elements.

Lemma 5.8. Assume that $\min_{J \subset \{1,2,\dots,k-1\}} \delta(\Theta, J) = 0$. Then for any $J \subset \{1,2,\dots,k-1\}$ such that $\delta(\Theta, J) = 1$ we have $J \subset J_0$ or $(n_{\Theta} > 0 \text{ and}) J \subset J_i$ for a unique $1 \le i \le n_{\Theta}$.

Proof. Assume that $\delta(\Theta, J) = 1$ and $J \not\subset J_0$. Then by the proof of Lemma 5.2 we have

$$1 = \delta(\Theta, J_0) + \delta(\Theta, J) \ge \delta(\Theta, J_0 \cap J) + \delta(\Theta, J_0 \cup J) \ge 0.$$
(5.24)

Since $\delta(\Theta, J_0 \cup J) = 1$ by the maximality of J_0 , we see that $n_{\Theta} > 0$ and $J \subset J_0 \cup J \subset J_i$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n_{\Theta}$. The uniqueness of J_i such that $J \subset J_i$ follows from Lemma 5.6 (ii).

Definition 5.9. (i) For $J \subset \{1, 2, ..., k-1\}$ such that $\delta(\Theta, J) = 0$ we set

$$MV(\kappa_{j}^{\Theta}(j \in J))_{\lambda} = \begin{cases} MV(\kappa_{j_{1}}^{\Theta}, \dots, \kappa_{j_{m}}^{\Theta}) & (\lambda^{d_{\Theta,J}} = 1), \\ 0 & (otherwise), \end{cases}$$
(5.25)

where $J = \{j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_m\}, \ \sharp J = m$ and $MV(\kappa_{j_1}^{\Theta}, \ldots, \kappa_{j_m}^{\Theta}) \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ is the normalized *m*-dimensional mixed volume.

(ii) For $J \subset \{1, 2, \dots, k-1\}$ such that $\delta(\Theta, J) = 1$ we set

$$MV(\kappa_{j}^{\Theta}(j \in J), \gamma_{k}^{\Theta} + \sum_{j \in J} \kappa_{j}^{\Theta})_{\lambda} = \begin{cases} MV(\kappa_{j_{1}}^{\Theta}, \dots, \kappa_{j_{m}}^{\Theta}, \gamma_{k}^{\Theta} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \kappa_{j_{i}}^{\Theta}) & (\lambda^{d_{\Theta,J}} = 1), \\ 0 & (otherwise), \end{cases}$$

$$(5.26)$$

(iii) For $J \subset \{1, 2, ..., k - 1\}$ such that $\delta(\Theta, J) = 1$ and a facet Γ of the $(\sharp J + 1)$ dimensional Minkowski sum $\gamma_k^{\Theta} + \sum_{j \in J} \kappa_j^{\Theta}$ we set

$$MV(\Gamma_{j}^{\Theta}(j \in J))_{\lambda} = \begin{cases} MV(\Gamma_{j_{1}}^{\Theta}, \dots, \Gamma_{j_{m}}^{\Theta}) & (\lambda^{d_{\Theta,J}^{\Gamma}} = 1), \\ 0 & (otherwise), \end{cases}$$
(5.27)

where $J = \{j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_m\}$, $\sharp J = m$ and $MV(\Gamma_{j_1}^{\Theta}, \ldots, \Gamma_{j_m}^{\Theta}) \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ is the normalized *m*-dimensional mixed volume.

Definition 5.10. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^* \setminus \{1\}$ and a face $\Theta \prec \Gamma_+(f)$ such that $\Theta \subset \Gamma_f$ we define an integer $F(\Theta)_{\lambda} \in \mathbb{Z}$ as follows.

- (i) If $\min_{J \subset \{1,2,\dots,k-1\}} \delta(\Theta, J) < 0 \text{ or } > 1 \text{ we set } F(\Theta)_{\lambda} = 0.$
- (ii) If $\min_{J \subset \{1,2,\dots,k-1\}} \delta(\Theta, J) = 1$, then by using the maximal element J_1 of $\{J \mid \delta(\Theta, J) = 1\}$ we set

$$F(\Theta)_{\lambda} = MV(\kappa_{j}^{\Theta}(j \in J_{1}), \gamma_{k}^{\Theta} + \sum_{j \in J_{1}} \kappa_{j}^{\Theta})_{\lambda} - \sum_{\Gamma} MV(\Gamma_{j}^{\Theta}(j \in J_{1}))_{\lambda}, \qquad (5.28)$$

where in the sum \sum_{Γ} the face Γ ranges through the facets of $\gamma_k^{\Theta} + \sum_{j \in J_1} \kappa_j^{\Theta}$.

- (iii) If $\min_{J \subset \{1,2,\dots,k-1\}} \delta(\Theta, J) = 0$ and $n_{\Theta} = 0$ ($\iff \{J \mid \delta(\Theta, J) = 1, J \not\subset J_0\} = \emptyset$ by Lemma 5.8), then we set $F(\Theta)_{\lambda} = 0$.
- (iv) If $\min_{J \subset \{1,2,\dots,k-1\}} \delta(\Theta, J) = 0$ and $n_{\Theta} > 0$ we set

$$F(\Theta)_{\lambda} = \sum_{i=1}^{n_{\Theta}} \left\{ 2MV(\kappa_{j}^{\Theta}(j \in J_{0}))_{\lambda} + MV(\kappa_{j}^{\Theta}(j \in J_{i}), \gamma_{k}^{\Theta} + \sum_{j \in J_{i}} \kappa_{j}^{\Theta})_{\lambda} - \sum_{\Gamma} MV(\Gamma_{j}^{\Theta}(j \in J_{i}))_{\lambda} \right\}$$

where in the sum \sum_{Γ} the face Γ ranges through the facets of $\gamma_k^{\Theta} + \sum_{j \in J_i} \kappa_j^{\Theta}$.

Theorem 5.11. The number of the Jordan blocks for the eigenvalue $\lambda \neq 1$ with the second maximal possible size n - k in $\Phi_{n-k,0}$ is equal to

$$\sum_{\substack{\Theta \subset \Gamma_f, s_\Theta = n, \\ \dim \Theta \ge k}} (-1)^{\dim \Theta - k} F(\Theta)_{\lambda}.$$
(5.29)

Proof. It suffices to calculate the coefficient of $t^{2n-2k-1}$ of

$$(1-t^2)^{m_{\Theta}}\beta(Z^*_{\Delta_{\Theta}})_{\lambda} = \frac{(-1)^{m_{\Theta}}}{t^{2k-2}} \sum_{J \subset \{1,2,\dots,k-1\}} \beta(\Theta_J, s_{\Theta} + \sharp J - 1)_{\lambda}$$
(5.30)

for each face $\Theta \prec \Gamma_+(f)$ such that $\Theta \subset \Gamma_f$. By the proof of Theorem 5.4 this coefficient is zero unless $s_{\Theta} = n$ and there exists $J \subset \{1, 2, \ldots, k-1\}$ such that $\delta(\Theta, J) = 0, 1$. Here we calculate only the contribution to the coefficient of $t^{2n-2k-1}$ from Θ such that $\Theta \subset \Gamma_f$, $s_{\Theta} = n$, $\min_{J \subset \{1,2,\dots,k-1\}} \delta(\Theta, J) = 0$ and $n_{\Theta} > 0$ (other cases can be treated similarly by using the proof of Theorem 5.4). In this case, by Lemma 5.8 we have

$$\begin{array}{ll} \frac{(-1)^{m_{\Theta}}}{t^{2k-2}} & \sum_{J \subset \{1,2,\dots,k-1\}} \beta(\Theta_J, s_{\Theta} + \sharp J - 1)_{\lambda} \\ \\ \equiv & \frac{(-1)^{m_{\Theta}}}{t^{2k-2}} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n_{\Theta}} \left\{ \sum_{J \subset J_i} \beta(\Theta_J, n + \sharp J - 1)_{\lambda} \right\} - (n_{\Theta} - 1) \sum_{J \subset J_0} \beta(\Theta_J, n + \sharp J - 1)_{\lambda} \right] \end{array}$$

modulo polynomials of degree less than 2n - 2k - 1. By the proof of Theorem 5.4 the contribution to the coefficient of $t^{2n-2k-1}$ from the second term is zero. Moreover for each $1 \le i \le n_{\Theta}$ the coefficient of $t^{2n-2k-1}$ of

$$\frac{(-1)^{m_{\Theta}}}{t^{2k-2}} \sum_{J \subset J_i} \beta(\Theta_J, n + \sharp J - 1)_{\lambda}$$

$$(5.31)$$

is calculated as follows. Set $J_i = \{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_m\}, \ \sharp J_i = m$ and let

$$Z^*_{\Delta^i_{\Theta}} = \{g^{\Theta}_{j_1}(x) = \dots = g^{\Theta}_{j_m}(x) = \widetilde{g}^{\Theta}_k(x) = 0\} \subset (\mathbb{C}^*)^{s_{\Theta}} = (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$$
(5.32)

be the non-degenerate complete intersection subvariety of codimension m + 1 in $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ with a natural action of $\mu_{d_{\Theta}}$. Then by the proof of Theorem 5.4 we have

$$\beta(Z^*_{\Delta_{\Theta}^i})_{\lambda} = \frac{1}{t^{2m}} \sum_{J \subset J_i} \beta(\Theta_J, n + \sharp J - 1)_{\lambda}.$$
(5.33)

Since by $\delta(\Theta, J_i) = 1$ we have $\dim(\Delta_{\gamma_k^{\Theta}} + \sum_{j \in J_i} \kappa_j^{\Theta}) = \sharp J_i + 1 + 1 = m + 2$, we can take a non-degenerate C.I. curve

$$C^*_{\Delta^i_{\Theta}} = \{g^{\Theta}_{j_1}(x) = \dots = g^{\Theta}_{j_m}(x) = \widetilde{g}^{\Theta}_k(x) = 0\} \subset (\mathbb{C}^*)^{m+2}$$
(5.34)

in $(\mathbb{C}^*)^{m+2}$ such that $Z^*_{\Delta^i_{\Theta}} \simeq (\mathbb{C}^*)^{n-m-2} \times C^*_{\Delta^i_{\Theta}}$. Hence we obtain

$$\frac{(-1)^{m_{\Theta}}}{t^{2k-2}} \sum_{J \subset J_i} \beta(\Theta_J, n + \sharp J - 1)_{\lambda} = \frac{(-1)^{n-\dim\Theta-1}}{t^{2k-2m-2}} (t^2 - 1)^{n-m-2} \beta(C^*_{\Delta_{\Theta}^i})_{\lambda}.$$
 (5.35)

Since the coefficient of $t^{2n-2k-1}$ of the last term is $(-1)^{n-\dim\Theta-1}\beta_1(C^*_{\Delta_{\Theta}^i})_{\lambda}$, the assertion follows from the following proposition.

Proposition 5.12. In the situation as above, we have

$$\beta_1(C^*_{\Delta^i_{\Theta}})_{\lambda} = -2MV(\kappa^{\Theta}_j(j \in J_0))_{\lambda} - MV(\kappa^{\Theta}_j(j \in J_i), \gamma^{\Theta}_k + \sum_{j \in J_i} \kappa^{\Theta}_j)_{\lambda} + \sum_{\Gamma} MV(\Gamma^{\Theta}_j(j \in J_i))_{\lambda}.$$
(5.36)

Proof. By the Cayley trick we have

$$\beta(C^*_{\Delta^i_{\Theta}})_{\lambda} = \frac{1}{t^{2m}} \sum_{J \subset J_i} \beta(Z^*_J)_{\lambda}, \qquad (5.37)$$

where Z_J^* is the non-degenerate hypersurface of $(\mathbb{C}^*)^{m+2+\sharp J}$ defined by

$$Z_J^* = \{ (x; \alpha_j (j \in J)) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^{m+2} \times (\mathbb{C}^*)^{\sharp J} \mid \sum_{j \in J} \alpha_j g_j^{\Theta}(x) + \widetilde{g}_k^{\Theta}(x) = 0 \} \subset (\mathbb{C}^*)^{m+2+\sharp J}.$$
(5.38)

By a simple calculation, for any $J \subset J_i$ we see that $\deg \beta(Z_J^*)_{\lambda} \leq 2m+2-\delta(\Theta, J) \leq 2m+2$. Hence the leading coefficient of the polynomial $\beta(C_{\Delta_{\Theta}^i}^*)_{\lambda} \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ is $\beta_2(C_{\Delta_{\Theta}^i}^*)_{\lambda}$ and equal to that of

$$\frac{1}{t^{2m}} \sum_{J \subset J_0} \beta(Z_J^*)_{\lambda} = \frac{1}{t^{2(m-\sharp J_0)}} (t^2 - 1)^{m-\sharp J_0 + 1} \beta(D^*_{\Delta_{\Theta}^0})_{\lambda},$$
(5.39)

where $D^*_{\Delta^0_{\Theta}} \subset (\mathbb{C}^*)^{\sharp J_0+1}$ is the 0-dimensional non-degenerate C.I. in $(\mathbb{C}^*)^{\sharp J_0+1}$ in the proof of Theorem 5.4. Consequently we obtain

$$\beta_2(C^*_{\Delta^i_\Theta})_{\lambda} = \beta_0(D^*_{\Delta^0_\Theta})_{\lambda} = MV(\kappa^{\Theta}_j(j \in J_0))_{\lambda}.$$
(5.40)

From now on, let us calculate the λ -Euler characteristic

$$\chi(C^*_{\Delta^i_{\Theta}})_{\lambda} = \beta_0(C^*_{\Delta^i_{\Theta}})_{\lambda} + \beta_1(C^*_{\Delta^i_{\Theta}})_{\lambda} + \beta_2(C^*_{\Delta^i_{\Theta}})_{\lambda}$$
(5.41)

of the C.I. curve $C^*_{\Delta_{\Theta}^i} \subset (\mathbb{C}^*)^{m+2}$. First, note that if J satisfies the condition $\dim(\Delta_{\gamma_k^{\Theta}} + \sum_{j \in J} \kappa_j^{\Theta}) < m+2$ we have $\chi(Z_J^*)_{\lambda} = \chi(Z_J^*) = 0$. So in the sum

$$\chi(C^*_{\Delta^i_{\Theta}})_{\lambda} = \sum_{J \subset J_i} \chi(Z^*_J)_{\lambda}$$
(5.42)

only the terms $\chi(Z_J^*)_{\lambda}$ for J such that $\dim(\gamma_k^{\Theta} + \sum_{j \in J} \kappa_j^{\Theta}) = m + 1$ can be non-trivial. By Theorem 3.6 this implies that we have

$$\chi(C^*_{\Delta^i_{\Theta}})_{\lambda} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{d_{\Theta,J_i}} \chi(C^*_{\Delta^i_{\Theta}}) & (\lambda^{d_{\Theta,J_i}} = 1), \\ 0 & (\text{otherwise}). \end{cases}$$
(5.43)

Since $C^*_{\Delta_{\Theta}^i} \subset (\mathbb{C}^*)^{m+2}$ is a d_{Θ,J_i} -fold covering of the C.I. curve

$$\{g_{j_1}^{\Theta}(x) = \dots = g_{j_m}^{\Theta}(x) = 0\} \setminus \{g_{j_1}^{\Theta}(x) = \dots = g_{j_m}^{\Theta}(x) = g_k^{\Theta}(x) = 0\}$$
(5.44)

in $(\mathbb{C}^*)^{m+1}$, its usual Euler characteristic $\chi(C^*_{\Delta_{\Theta}^i})$ is calculated by Theorem 2.6 as

$$\chi(C^*_{\Delta^i_{\Theta}}) = -d_{\Theta,J_i} \times MV(\kappa^{\Theta}_{j_1}, \dots, \kappa^{\Theta}_{j_m}, \gamma^{\Theta}_k + \sum_{i=1}^m \kappa^{\Theta}_{j_i}).$$
(5.45)

Hence we get

$$\chi(C^*_{\Delta^i_{\Theta}})_{\lambda} = -MV(\kappa^{\Theta}_j(j \in J_i), \gamma^{\Theta}_k + \sum_{j \in J_i} \kappa^{\Theta}_j)_{\lambda}.$$
(5.46)

Now denote by \Box the Minkowski sum $\Delta_{\gamma_k^{\Theta}} + \sum_{j \in J_i} \kappa_j^{\Theta}$ in \mathbb{R}^{m+2} . Then \Box is an (m+2)dimensional polytope and majorizes κ_j^{Θ} $(j \in J_i)$ and $\Delta_{\gamma_k^{\Theta}}$. Let X_{\Box} be the toric variety associated to the dual fan of \Box . Then X_{\Box} is a compactification of the complex torus $T = (\mathbb{C}^*)^{m+2}$ and smooth outside the union of *T*-orbits of codimension ≥ 2 . Therefore, by the non-degeneracy of $C^*_{\Delta^i_\Theta} \subset (\mathbb{C}^*)^{m+2}$ its closure $\overline{C^*_{\Delta^i_\Theta}}$ in X_{\Box} is a smooth projective curve. By the Poincaré duality of $\overline{C^*_{\Delta^i_\Theta}}$ we have

$$\beta_0(\overline{C^*_{\Delta_\Theta^i}})_{\lambda} = \beta_2(\overline{C^*_{\Delta_\Theta^i}})_{\lambda^{-1}} = \beta_2(C^*_{\Delta_\Theta^i})_{\lambda^{-1}} = MV(\kappa_j^{\Theta}(j \in J_0))_{\lambda}$$
(5.47)

and hence

$$\beta_0(C^*_{\Delta_\Theta^i})_{\lambda} = MV(\kappa_j^{\Theta}(j \in J_0))_{\lambda} - \sum_{\Gamma} MV(\Gamma_j^{\Theta}(j \in J_i))_{\lambda}.$$
(5.48)

Then we obtain the desired formula for the first virtual Betti number $\beta_1(C^*_{\Delta_{\Theta}^i})_{\lambda}$. This completes the proof.

Corollary 5.13. (i) If k = 2, then the number of the Jordan blocks for the eigenvalue $\lambda \neq 1$ with the second maximal possible size n - k in $\Phi_{n-k,0}$ is equal to

$$\sum_{\substack{\Theta \subset \Gamma_f, s_\Theta = n, \\ \dim \Theta = 2}} F(\Theta)_{\lambda} + \sum_{d=3}^{n-1} (-1)^d \left\{ \sum_{\substack{s_\Theta = n, \dim \Theta = d, \\ \dim \gamma_2^\Theta = 1, \lambda^{d(\gamma_2^\Theta)} = 1}} \left(\operatorname{length}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\gamma_2^\Theta) - \sharp\{v \prec \gamma_2^\Theta \mid \dim v = 0, \lambda^{d(v)} = 1\} \right) \right\}.$$
(5.49)

(ii) If $\Gamma_+(f_1), \ldots, \Gamma_+(f_k)$ majorize each other, then the number of the Jordan blocks for the eigenvalue $\lambda \neq 1$ with the second maximal possible size n - k in $\Phi_{n-k,0}$ is equal to

$$\sum_{\substack{\Theta \subset \Gamma_f, s_\Theta = n, \\ \dim \Theta = k}} F(\Theta)_{\lambda}.$$
(5.50)

6 Monodromies at infinity over C.I.

In this section, we study the monodromies at infinity over complete intersection subvarieties in \mathbb{C}^n . For $2 \leq k \leq n$ let

$$W = \{f_1 = \dots = f_{k-1} = 0\} \supset V = \{f_1 = \dots = f_{k-1} = f_k = 0\}$$
(6.1)

be complete intersection subvarieties of \mathbb{C}^n . Then for the polynomial map $f = (f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_k) : \mathbb{C}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^k$, there exists a complex hypersurface $D \subset \mathbb{C}^k$ such that the restriction $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus f^{-1}(D) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^k \setminus D$ of f is a locally trivial fibration. We assume that the k-th coordinate axis

$$A_k = \{ y \in \mathbb{C}^k \mid y_1 = y_2 = \dots = y_{k-1} = 0 \} \simeq \mathbb{C}$$
(6.2)

satisfies the condition $\sharp(A_k \cap D) < +\infty$. Let C_R be a circle in $A_k \simeq \mathbb{C}$ centered at the origin $0 \in A_k$ with a sufficiently large radius R >> 0. Here we take R large enough so that the open disk whose boundary is C_R contains the finite set $A_k \cap D$. Let $g = f|_W = f_k|_W : W = f^{-1}(A_k) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \simeq A_k$ be the restriction of f to W. Then by restricting the

locally trivial fibration $W \setminus g^{-1}(A_k \cap D) \longrightarrow A_k \setminus (A_k \cap D)$ to $C_R \subset \mathbb{C} \simeq A_k$ we obtain a geometric monodromy automorphism $\Phi^{\infty} : g^{-1}(R) \xrightarrow{\sim} g^{-1}(R)$ and the linear maps

$$\Phi_j^{\infty}: H^j(g^{-1}(R); \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^j(g^{-1}(R); \mathbb{C}) \qquad (j = 0, 1, \ldots)$$
(6.3)

associated to it. We call Φ_j^{∞} the (cohomological) k-th principal monodromies at infinity of f. The semisimple parts of Φ_j^{∞} were studied in [28, Section 5]. From now on, we shall determine their Jordan normal forms for the eigenvalues $\lambda \neq 1$. Note that if the generic fiber $g^{-1}(R)$ $(R \gg 0)$ of g satisfies the condition $H^j(g^{-1}(R); \mathbb{C}) \simeq 0$ $(j \neq 0, n-k)$ (see e.g. Tibăr [45, Theorem 6.2]) then it suffices to determine the Jordan normal form of $\Phi_{n-k}^{\infty} : H^{n-k}(g^{-1}(R); \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{n-k}(g^{-1}(R); \mathbb{C})$ $(R \gg 0)$. Let $j : \mathbb{C} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be the inclusion and h a local coordinate on a neighborhood of $\infty \in \mathbb{P}^1$ such that $\{\infty\} = h^{-1}(0)$. Then to the object $\psi_h(j_!Rg_!(\mathbb{C}_W)) \in \mathbf{D}_c^b(\{\infty\})$ and the semisimple part of the monodromy automorphism acting on it, we associate naturally an element

$$[H_q^{\infty}] \in \mathcal{K}_0(\mathcal{HS}^{\mathrm{mon}}). \tag{6.4}$$

Proposition 6.1. Assume that $W \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ has only isolated singular points, $g: W \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is cohomologically tame in the sense of Sabbah [36] and the generic fiber $g^{-1}(R)$ (R >> 0) of g satisfies the condition $H^j(g^{-1}(R); \mathbb{C}) \simeq 0$ $(j \neq 0, n-k)$. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^* \setminus \{1\}$. Then

(i) We have $e^{p,q}([H_g^{\infty}])_{\lambda} = 0$ for $(p,q) \notin [0, n-k] \times [0, n-k]$. Moreover for $(p,q) \in [0, n-k] \times [0, n-k]$ we have

$$e^{p,q}([H_g^{\infty}])_{\lambda} = e^{n-k-q,n-k-p}([H_g^{\infty}])_{\lambda}.$$
(6.5)

(ii) For $i \geq 1$, the number of the Jordan blocks for the eigenvalue λ with sizes $\geq i$ in $\Phi_{n-k}^{\infty} : H^{n-k}(g^{-1}(R); \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{n-k}(g^{-1}(R); \mathbb{C})$ (R >> 0) is equal to

$$(-1)^{n-k} \sum_{p+q=n-k-1+i, n-k+i} e^{p,q} ([H_g^{\infty}])_{\lambda}.$$
(6.6)

Proof. Let $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{D}_{c}^{b}(W)$ be the intersection cohomology complex of W. Then by using a nice compactification of $g: W \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ we can prove an analogue of [36, Theorem 8.1 (ii)] for the natural morphism $Rg_{!}\mathcal{F} \longrightarrow Rg_{*}\mathcal{F}$. Hence for $\lambda \neq 1$ the λ -part $\psi_{h,\lambda}(j_{!}Rg_{!}(\mathbb{C}_{W})) \in \mathbf{D}_{c}^{b}(\{\infty\})$ is isomorphic to

$$\psi_{h,\lambda}(j_!Rg_!(\mathcal{F}[-n+k-1])) \simeq \psi_{h,\lambda}(Rj_*Rg_*(\mathcal{F}[-n+k-1])).$$
(6.7)

Then by the proof of Sabbah [36, Theorem 13.1] its relative monodromy filtration is the absolute one (up to a shift), and the assertions follow. \Box

In order to rewrite this result explicitly, assume moreover that the polynomials f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_k are convenient.

Definition 6.2 ([24], [28] etc.). (i) For $1 \leq j \leq k$ we call the convex hull of $\{0\} \cup NP(f_j)$ in \mathbb{R}^n the Newton polyhedron at infinity of f_j and denote it by $\Gamma_{\infty}(f_j)$. Moreover we set

$$\Gamma_{\infty}(f) := \Gamma_{\infty}(f_1) + \Gamma_{\infty}(f_2) + \dots + \Gamma_{\infty}(f_k).$$
(6.8)

(ii) We say that $\Theta \prec \Gamma_{\infty}(f)$ (resp. $\gamma \prec \Gamma_{\infty}(f_j)$) is a face at infinity if $0 \notin \Theta$ (resp. $0 \notin \gamma$).

As in Section 4, for each face at infinity Θ of $\Gamma_{\infty}(f)$ we define those γ_j^{Θ} of $\Gamma_{\infty}(f_j)$ so that we have

$$\Theta = \gamma_1^{\Theta} + \gamma_2^{\Theta} + \dots + \gamma_k^{\Theta}.$$
(6.9)

For $1 \leq j \leq k$ and a face at infinity Θ of $\Gamma_{\infty}(f)$ we define $f_j^{\Theta} \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ as in Section 4.

Definition 6.3. ([28]) We say that $f = (f_1, \ldots, f_k)$ is non-degenerate at infinity if for any face at infinity Θ of $\Gamma_{\infty}(f)$ the two subvarieties $\{f_1^{\Theta}(x) = \cdots = f_{k-1}^{\Theta}(x) = 0\}$ and $\{f_1^{\Theta}(x) = \cdots = f_{k-1}^{\Theta}(x) = f_k^{\Theta}(x) = 0\}$ in $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ are non-degenerate complete intersections.

From now on, let us assume also that f is non-degenerate at infinity. Let Σ_1 be the dual fan of $\Gamma_{\infty}(f)$ and Σ_0 the fan formed by the faces of the first quadrant \mathbb{R}^n_+ . By the convenience of f_1, \ldots, f_k , Σ_0 is a subfan of Σ_1 and hence we can construct a smooth subdivision Σ of Σ_1 without subdividing the cones in Σ_0 . Then the toric variety X_{Σ} associated to Σ is a smooth compactification of \mathbb{C}^n . By the non-degeneracy of f at infinity, it follows from the construction of X_{Σ} that $W \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ has only isolated singular points. By constructing a blow-up of X_{Σ} (to eliminate the points of indeterminacy of the meromorphic extension of f_k to X_{Σ}) as in [29], we can check also that $g: W \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is cohomologically tame. Moreover by [45, Theorem 6.2] the generic fiber $g^{-1}(R)$ (R >> 0)of g satisfies $H^j(g^{-1}(R);\mathbb{C}) \simeq 0$ $(j \neq 0, n-k)$. Hence all the assumptions of Proposition 6.1 are satisfied. Now, as in [29, Section 4] and [34] (see also [15]), by using the blow-up of X_{Σ} we can construct an element $\mathcal{S}_{g}^{\infty} \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\hat{\mu}}$ such that $\chi_{h}(\mathcal{S}_{g}^{\infty}) = [H_{g}^{\infty}]$. We call \mathcal{S}_{g}^{∞} the motivic Milnor fiber at infinity of $g: W \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$. For each face at infinity Θ of $\Gamma_{\infty}(f)$ we define an element $[Z^*_{\Delta_{\Theta}}] \in \mathcal{M}^{\mu}_{\mathbb{C}}$ and an integer $m_{\Theta} \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ etc. as in Section 4. Then the following result can be obtained in the same way as Theorem 4.7 (see the proof of [29, Theorems 5.3 and 7.3).

Theorem 6.4. Assume that $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^* \setminus \{1\}$. Then

(i) In the Grothendieck group $K_0(HS)$, we have

$$\chi_h(\mathcal{S}_g^\infty)_\lambda = \sum_{0 \notin \Theta, \dim \Theta \ge k-1} \chi_h \big((1 - \mathbb{L})^{m_\Theta} \cdot [Z_{\Delta_\Theta}^*] \big)_\lambda.$$
(6.10)

In particular, we have

$$\beta(\mathcal{S}_g^{\infty})_{\lambda} = \sum_{0 \notin \Theta, \dim \Theta \ge k-1} (1 - t^2)^{m_{\Theta}} \cdot \beta(Z_{\Delta_{\Theta}}^*)_{\lambda}.$$
 (6.11)

(ii) For $i \geq 1$, the number of the Jordan blocks for the eigenvalue λ with sizes $\geq i$ in $\Phi_{n-k}^{\infty} : H^{n-k}(g^{-1}(R); \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{n-k}(g^{-1}(R); \mathbb{C})$ (R >> 0) is equal to

$$(-1)^{n-k} \left\{ \beta_{n-k-1+i}(\mathcal{S}_g^{\infty})_{\lambda} + \beta_{n-k+i}(\mathcal{S}_g^{\infty})_{\lambda} \right\}.$$
(6.12)

Moreover, also for $\beta(\mathcal{S}_g^{\infty})_{\lambda} \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ $(\lambda \neq 1)$ we can obtain a formula completely similar to Theorem 4.9. Therefore, we can always calculate the numbers of the Jordan blocks for the eigenvalues $\lambda \neq 1$ in Φ_{n-k}^{∞} by the results in Sections 2 and 3. It is also clear that the analogues of the results in Sections 4 and 5 hold for Φ_{n-k}^{∞} . We thus find a striking symmetry between local and global.

References

- A'Campo, N., La fonction zêta d'une monodromie, Comment. Math. Helv., 50 (1975), 233-248.
- Broughton, S. A., Milnor numbers and the topology of polynomial hypersurfaces, Invent. Math., 92 (1988), 217-241.
- [3] Danilov, V. I., Newton polyhedra and vanishing cohomology, *Functional Anal. Appl.*, 13 (1979), 103-115.
- [4] Danilov, V. I. and Khovanskii, A. G., Newton polyhedra and an algorithm for computing Hodge-Deligne numbers, *Math. Ussr Izvestiya*, 29 (1987), 279-298.
- [5] Denef, J. and Loeser, F., Motivic Igusa zeta functions, J. Alg. Geom., 7 (1998), 505-537.
- [6] Denef, J. and Loeser, F., Geometry on arc spaces of algebraic varieties, *Progr. Math.*, 201 (2001), 327-348.
- [7] Dimca, A., Monodromy and Betti numbers of weighted complete intersections, *Topology*, 24 (1985), no. 3, 369-374.
- [8] Dimca, A., *Sheaves in topology*, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004.
- [9] Ebeling, W. and Steenbrink, J. H. M., Spectral pairs for isolated compete intersection singularities, J. Alg. Geom. 7 (1998), 55-76.
- [10] Esterov A., The index of a real singular point and its Newton diagram, Moscow Univ. Math. Bull., 58 (2003), no.1, 7-11.
- [11] Esterov A., Determinantal singularities and Newton polyhedra, Proceedings of the Steklov Institute of Mathematics, 259 (2007), no.1, 16-34, arXiv:0906.5097.
- [12] Esterov A., Newton polyhedra of discriminants of projections, *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 44 (2010), no.1, 96-148, arXiv:0810.4996.
- [13] Fulton, W., Introduction to toric varieties, Princeton University Press, 1993.
- [14] García López, R. and Némethi, A., Hodge numbers attached to a polynomial map, Ann. Inst. Fourier, 49 (1999), 1547-1579.
- [15] Guibert, G., Loeser, F. and Merle, M., Iterated vanishing cycles, convolution, and a motivic analogue of a conjecture of Steenbrink, *Duke Math. J.*, **132** (2006), 409-457.
- [16] Hamm, H., Lokale topologische Eigenschaften komplexer Räume, Math. Ann., 191 (1971), 235-252.
- [17] Hotta, R., Takeuchi, K. and Tanisaki, T., *D-modules, perverse sheaves, and repre*sentation theory, Birkhäuser Boston, 2008.
- [18] Kashiwara, M. and Schapira, P., *Sheaves on manifolds*, Springer-Verlag, 1990.

- [19] Khovanskii, A. G., Newton polyhedra and the genus of complete intersections, *Funct. Anal. Appl.*, **12** (1978), 38-46.
- [20] Kirillov, A. N., Zeta function of the monodromy for complete intersection singularities, Journal of Mathematical Sciences 25, 1051-1057 (1984).
- [21] Kouchnirenko, A. G., Polyédres de Newton et nombres de Milnor, Invent. Math., 32 (1976), 1-31.
- [22] Kulikov, V. S., Mixed Hodge structures and singularities, Cambridge University Press, 1998.
- [23] Lê, D. T., Some remarks on relative monodromy, Real and complex singularities (Proc. Ninth Nordic Summer School/NAVF Sympos. Math., Oslo, 1976), 397-403 (1977).
- [24] Libgober, A. and Sperber, S., On the zeta function of monodromy of a polynomial map, *Compositio Math.*, 95 (1995), 287-307.
- [25] Macdonald, I. G., Polynomials associated with finite cell-complexes, J. London Math. Soc., 4 (1971), 181-192.
- [26] Matsui, Y. and Takeuchi, K., A geometric degree formula for A-discriminants and Euler obstructions of toric varieties, to appear in Adv. in Math.
- [27] Matsui, Y. and Takeuchi, K., Milnor fibers over singular toric varieties and nearby cycle sheaves, to appear in Tohoku Mathematical Journal.
- [28] Matsui, Y. and Takeuchi, K., Monodromy zeta functions at infinity, Newton polyhedra and constructible sheaves, to appear in Mathematische Zeitschrift.
- [29] Matsui, Y. and Takeuchi, K., Monodromy at infinity of polynomial maps and mixed Hodge modules, with Appendix by C. Sabbah, arXiv:0912.5144v10, submitted.
- [30] Milnor, J., Singular points of complex hypersurfaces, Princeton University Press, 1968.
- [31] Oda, T., Convex bodies and algebraic geometry. An introduction to the theory of toric varieties, Springer-Verlag, 1988.
- [32] Oka, M., Principal zeta-function of non-degenerate complete intersection singularity, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, 37 (1990), 11-32.
- [33] Oka, M., Non-degenerate complete intersection singularity, Hermann, Paris, 1997.
- [34] Raibaut, M., Fibre de Milnor motivique à l'infini, to appear in C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.
- [35] Sabbah, C., Monodromy at infinity and Fourier transform, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci., 33 (1997), 643-685.

- [36] Sabbah, C., Hypergeometric periods for a tame polynomial, *Port. Math.*, **63** (2006), 173-226.
- [37] Saito, M., Modules de Hodge polarisables, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci., 24 (1988), 849-995.
- [38] Saito, M., Mixed Hodge modules, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci., 26 (1990), 221-333.
- [39] Saito, M., Exponents and Newton polyhedra of isolated hypersurface singularities, Math. Ann., 281 (1988), 411-417.
- [40] Siersma, D. and Tibăr, M., Singularities at infinity and their vanishing cycles, Duke Math. J., 80 (1995), 771-783.
- [41] Steenbrink, J. and Zucker, S., Variation of mixed Hodge structure I, *Invent. Math.*, 80 (1985), 489-542.
- [42] Sturmfels, B., On the Newton polytope of the resultant, J. Algebraic Combin., 3, no. 2 (1994), 207-236.
- [43] Takeuchi, K., Perverse sheaves and Milnor fibers over singular varieties, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., 46 (2007), 211-222.
- [44] Tanabé, S., Combinatorial aspects of the mixed Hodge structure, RIMS Kôkyûroku, 1374 (2004), 15-39.
- [45] Tibăr, M., Topology at infinity of polynomial mappings and Thom regularity condition, *Compositio Math.*, **111**, no.1 (1998), 89-109.
- [46] Varchenko, A. N., Zeta-function of monodromy and Newton's diagram, Invent. Math., 37 (1976), 253-262.
- [47] Varchenko, A. N. and Khovanskii, A. G., Asymptotic behavior of integrals over vanishing cycles and the Newton polyhedron, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 283 (1985), 521-525.
- [48] Voisin, C., Hodge theory and complex algebraic geometry, I, Cambridge University Press, 2007.