

# Group Width

MICHAEL H. FREEDMAN

## Abstract

There are many “minimax” complexity functions in mathematics: width of a tree or a link, Heegaard genus of a 3-manifold, the Cheeger constant of a Riemannian manifold. We define such a function  $w$ , “width”, on countable (or finite) groups and show  $w(\mathbb{Z}^k) = k - 1$ .

Let  $K$  be a countable (or finite) simplicial complex and give the real line  $\mathbb{R}$  the cell structure with the integers  $\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{R}$  the vertices. Abusing the usual terminology, we call any simplicial map  $f : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  “morse.”

**Definition 1.** *Connected width rank,  $cwr(K) := \min_{f, \text{morse}} \max_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{rank}(inc_{\#}(\pi_1 C))$* , where  $C$  is some connected component of  $f^{-1}[i, i + 1]$ , and rank means the smallest number of generators of a given group. The inclusion is  $C \subset K$ , and  $inc_{\#}(\pi_1 C)$  is a subgroup of  $\pi_1 K$ .

**Definition 2.** Given a countable group  $G$ , its *width,  $w(G)$* , is the minimum of  $cwr(K)$  over all  $K$  with  $\pi_1(K) \cong G$ .

Clearly free groups and only free groups have width zero and surface groups width one. Let’s work out  $w(\mathbb{Z}^k)$ , the width of the free abelian group. Consider  $f : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with  $\pi_1(K) \cong \mathbb{Z}^k$ . Define the quotient (bipartite) graph  $Q_f$  by taking a blue vertex for each connected component of  $f^{-1}(i)$  and a red vertex for each component of  $f^{-1}[i, i + 1]$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and drawing edges to indicate including the former into the latter.

There is an induced map  $\theta : K \rightarrow Q_f$  and an epimorphism of groups:

$$\pi_1 K \twoheadrightarrow \pi_1 Q_f,$$

so in our case:  $\pi_1 K \cong \mathbb{Z}^k$ , and  $Q_f$  is either contractible (a tree) or  $Q_f \simeq S^1$ , the circle.

First consider the case  $Q_f \simeq pt$ . Take a minimal connected subgraph  $p \subset Q_f$  so that  $H_1(\theta^{-1}(p); Q) \rightarrow H_1(K, Q)$  is onto, where  $Q$  denotes the rationals. To define “minimal” we order subgraphs by inclusion. We show that any such  $p$  is a single vertex. Suppose that  $p$  is minimal but larger than a single vertex. Cut  $p$  at the midpoint of some edge  $e$  to obtain the complementary subtrees  $p_1, p_2 \subset p$ . Let the inverse images under  $\alpha$  be  $P_1, P_2 \subset P$ . Applying the  $Q$ -homology Mayer-Vietoris sequence to the inclusions (and using connectivity of  $P_1 \cap P_2$ ), we find that there are classes  $b_1 \in H_1(P_1; Q)$  and  $b_2 \in H_1(P_2; Q)$  so that  $\text{image}(b_1)$  and  $\text{image}(H_1(P_2; Q))$  are rationally independent in  $H_1(K; Q)$  and  $\text{image}(b_2)$  and  $\text{image}(H_1(P_1; Q))$  are also independent in  $H_1(K; Q)$ . Let  $\beta_1(\beta_2) \subset P_1(P_2)$  be corresponding loops carrying  $b_1(b_2)$ . The commutation of  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$  in  $\pi_1(K)$  conflicts usefully with the following lemma. Let  $T^+$  be the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -torus  $S^1 \times S^1$  with “flanges” glued to the factor circles:

$$T^+ = S^1 \times S^1 \quad \bigcup_{x \times * \equiv x \times * \times 0} S^1 \times * \times [0, 1] \quad \bigcup_{* \times x \equiv * \times x \times 0} * \times S^1 \times [0, 1],$$

Denote  $S^1 \times * \times 1$  by  $\alpha_1$  and  $* \times S^1 \times 1$  by  $\alpha_2$ .

**Lemma 3.** It is not possible to cover  $T^+$  by open sets  $\mathcal{U}_1$  and  $\mathcal{U}_2$  with  $\alpha_1 \in \mathcal{U}_1$  and  $\alpha_2 \in \mathcal{U}_2$  with  $\text{image}(H_1(\mathcal{U}_1, Q)) \subset H_1(T^+, Q)$  and  $\text{image}(H_1(\mathcal{U}_2, Q)) \subset H_1(T^+, Q)$ , each rank one.

*Proof.* This is an exercise in Lusternick-Shirleman category. Consider the cup-product diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
H^1(T^+, U_1; Q) & \times & H^1(T^+, U_2; Q) & \longrightarrow & H^2(T^+, T^+; Q) \cong 0 \\
\downarrow i_1 & & \downarrow i_2 & & \downarrow \\
H^1(T^+; Q) & \times & H^1(T^+; Q) & \longrightarrow & H^2(T^+; Q) \\
\psi & & \psi & & \psi \\
[a_2]^* & \times & [a_1]^* & \longrightarrow & 1
\end{array}$$

Let  $[\alpha_i]^*([\alpha_j]) = \delta_{ij}$ ,  $i, j = 1$  or  $2$ .  $\text{Image}(i_1) = \text{Span}([a_2]^*)$  and  $\text{Image}(i_2) = \text{Span}([a_1]^*)$ . The factoring of the cup product through zero is a contradiction.  $\square$

Since  $\pi_1(K)$  is abelian, there is a map  $g : T^+ \rightarrow K$  carrying  $\alpha_1$  to  $\beta_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  to  $\beta_2$ . Taking  $\theta^{-1}$ , cutting the edge  $e$  divides  $K$  into  $K_1$  and  $K_2$ , containing  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  (resp.). Let  $K_1^+$  and  $K_2^+$  be homotopy equivalent open sets containing  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  (resp.),  $K_1^+ \simeq K_1$  and  $K_2^+ \simeq K_2$ . Setting  $\mathcal{U}_i = g^{-1}(K_i^+)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , contradicts the lemma, showing  $P$  consists of a single vertex  $v$ . Thus if  $Q_f$  is a tree, a finite index sublattice  $L$  of  $\pi_1(K) \cong \mathbb{Z}^k$  must be generated by  $\theta^{-1}(v)$ , and  $\text{rank}(L) = k - 1$ .

Next consider the case  $Q_f \simeq S^1$ . Again take a minimal  $p \subset Q_f$ .  $p$  must contain the essential loop  $\gamma \subset Q_f$ , otherwise the epimorphism  $H_1(K, Q) \xrightarrow{\theta_*} H_1(Q_f; Q)$  would factor through a trivial  $H_1(p; Q)$ . By the preceding argument,  $p = \gamma$ ; we may trim off leaves of  $Q_f$  by arguing they cannot increase the image in the rationalized fundamental group,  $H_1(K; Q)$ .

Let  $v_n = v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}$  be the vertices on  $p$  and  $V_n = V_0, V_1, \dots, V_{n-1}$  the  $\theta$ -preimages. We claim that for  $0 \leq i, j \leq n - 1$ ,  $\text{Image}(H_1(V_i; \mathbb{Z})) = \text{image}(H_1(V_j; \mathbb{Z})) \subset H_1(K)$ . To see this, note that for any loop  $\delta \subset V_i$ , there is a map of a torus  $h : S^1 \times S^1 \rightarrow K$  with  $\theta h(S^1 \times *)$  parameterizing  $\gamma$  and  $h(* \times S^1)$  parameterizing  $\delta$ . Using transversality, we may arrange that corresponding to the center point of each edge  $\hat{e}_1, \dots, \hat{e}_n$  in  $p$ ,  $h^{-1}(\hat{e}_k)$  is a 1-manifold in  $S^1 \times S^1$  meeting  $S^1 \times *$  transversely in a single point. These 1-manifolds all (up to sign) represent the same class  $\text{inc}_*[\delta] \in H_1(K; \mathbb{Z})$  since they are homologous on  $S^1 \times S^1$ .

Using the connectivity of  $\theta^{-1}(v_k)$ ,  $k = 0, \dots, n - 1$ , and again, the Mayer-Vietoris sequence, we see that  $\text{inc}_*H_1(V_0 \cup \tilde{\gamma}; Q) = H_1(K; Q)$  where  $\tilde{\gamma}$  is some lift of  $\gamma$ ,  $\alpha(\tilde{\gamma}) = \gamma$ . Similarly, for all  $V_k$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$ . It is also clear that  $\text{inc}_*[\tilde{\gamma}]$  and  $\text{inc}_*H_1(V_0; Q)$  must be independent in  $H_1(K; Q)$ , otherwise a homotopy, in  $K$ , of a multiple of  $\tilde{\gamma}$  into  $V_0$ , would, under  $\theta$ , constitute a null homotopy in  $Q_f$  of a multiple of the essential cycle  $\gamma$ . Thus  $\text{inc}_*H_1(V_0; Q)$  has  $\text{rank} = k - 1$  in  $H_1(K; Q)$ .

We have shown that if  $Q_f \simeq *$ , then some component  $C$  carries all of  $\pi_1(K) \otimes Q \cong H_1(K; Q)$  and if  $Q_f \simeq S^1$  then some component  $C$  carries a rank  $k - 1$  subgroup. Since the obvious Morse function on the  $k$ -torus  $T^k$  exhibits levels carrying a rank  $k - 1$  subspace of  $H_1(T^k, \mathbb{Z})$  (and has  $Q_f \cong S^1$ ), we conclude that  $w(\mathbb{Z}^k) = k - 1$ .

## Extensions

For a finite abelian group  $A$ ,  $w(A) = \text{rank}(A)$ . The proof is similar to the computation of  $w(\mathbb{Z}^k)$  except for two modifications. First,  $Q_f$  is now certainly a tree so only that case requires generalization. Second, in all computations, the rationals  $Q$  should be replaced with the field  $\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$ , where  $q$  is a prime contained in the factorization of  $\text{order}(A)$  at least as often as any other prime.

Combining the arguments for both free and torsion cases, one finds that for a finitely generated, but infinite, abelian group  $B$ , that  $w(B) = \text{rank}(B) - 1$ .

It is easy to say a little more about finite groups: a finite group  $F$  of width one is cyclic. To prove this, assume  $\pi_1(K) \cong F$  and  $f : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  exhibits the width of  $F$  to be one. Choose a maximal subtree  $p \subset Q_f$  with respect to the property that  $\pi_1(p)$  has cyclic image  $X$  in  $\pi_1(K)$ , where  $P = \theta^{-1}(p)$ . Let  $p^+$  be  $p$

union an adjacent 1-simplex  $e$  of  $Q_f$  and let  $P^+ = \theta^{-1}(p^+)$ . Write  $P^+ = P \cup C$ , where  $C = \theta^{-1}(e)$ . Note  $\text{image}(\pi_1(P^+)) = H \subset \pi_1(K)$  is not cyclic, but  $\text{image}(\pi_1(C)) =: Y \subset F$  is cyclic. Let  $Z \subset F$  be the cyclic group  $Z = X \cap Y \subset F$  and let  $G := X *_Z Y$  be the abstract free product with amalgamation. There is an epimorphism  $\gamma : G \rightarrow H$ . Since  $G$  is infinite and  $H$  is finite, there must be a nontrivial relation  $R \in \ker \gamma$ . Since  $Z \cap \ker \gamma = \{id.\}$ ,  $R$  can be written as a cyclically reduced word alternating “letters” from  $X \setminus Z$  and  $Y \setminus Z$ . Think of  $R$  as a map  $R : D^2 \rightarrow K$ , which on the boundary maps to a wedge of circles  $S^1 \vee S^1$ , the first summand lying in  $P$  and the second summand in  $C$ . Make  $R$  transverse to  $P \cap C$  and consider an innermost arc  $\omega \subset D^2$ ,  $\omega \subset R^{-1}(P \cap C)$ . The subdisk  $\Delta \subset D^2$  between  $\omega$  and  $\partial D^2$  determines a (pointed) homotopy of some “letter” of  $R$  into  $P \cap C$ . Since  $\text{image}(\pi_1(P \cap C)) \subset Z \subset F$ , this contradicts the form of  $R$ , i.e. that its “letters” lie in  $(X \setminus Z) \amalg (Y \setminus Z)$ . It follows that  $p = Q_f$  and  $F = \pi_1(K)$  is cyclic.

Formal width properties include:

$$w(G_1 \times G_2) \leq w(G_1) \times \text{rank}(G_2)$$

and

$$w(G_1 * G_2) = \max\{w(G_1), w(G_2)\}.$$

To prove the latter, given  $f : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with  $\pi_1(K) \cong G_1 * G_2$ , one may precompose with the covering  $\delta_j : K_j \rightarrow K$ ,  $\pi_1(K_j) \cong G_j$ , to obtain  $f_j = f \circ \delta_j$ ,  $j = 1$  or  $2$ . By Grushko’s decomposition theorem, for any connected component  $C_j$  of  $f_j^{-1}[i, i+1]$ , the image  $H_j$  of  $\pi_1(C_j)$  in  $\pi_1(K)$  is a free summand of the corresponding image  $H$  of  $\pi_1(C)$  in  $\pi_1(K)$ , where  $C = \delta_j(C_j)$ . Consequently,  $\text{rank}(H_j) \leq \text{rank}(H)$ , establishing  $w(G_1 * G_2) \geq \max\{w(G_1), w(G_2)\}$ . The opposite inequality is immediate.

## Applications

The computation  $w(\mathbb{Z}^k) = k - 1$  immediately gives negative answers to two MathOverflow questions: [mathoverflow.net/questions/30567/](https://mathoverflow.net/questions/30567/) and [mathoverflow.net/questions/42629/](https://mathoverflow.net/questions/42629/). More specifically, for dimension  $d \geq$  consider a smooth closed  $d$ -manifold  $M$  with  $\pi_1(M) = \mathbb{Z}^k$ . Any Morse function on  $M$  must have some connected component  $C$  of some level with first Betti number  $b_1(C) \geq k - 1$ . If one is interested in generic levels, notice that any non-generic level is homotopy equivalent to a generic level union a single cell, implying  $b_1(C) \geq k - 2$  for some connected component of some generic level.

Secondly, if  $M$  is divided up into connected “blocks” along codimension= 1 manifold faces, at least one block must have  $b_1(\text{block}) \geq k - 1$  (blocks will map to red vertices, their faces to blue in our notation). Product collars can be added along faces to build a simplicial Morse function  $M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  as in Definition 1, with all blocks corresponding to components  $C$ . Thus general  $d$  manifolds cannot be cut into simple pieces, comprising only a finite number  $n_d$  of diffeomorphism types, with purely  $(d - 1)$ -manifold cuts, as was asked. If the (second) question, instead, permitted gluing along codimension one *and* two faces, it would not be touched by this group theoretic method and appears open. Also restricting the question to simply connected manifolds would require some new method.

## Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Ian Agol for discussions and the references to MathOverflow.

MICROSOFT STATION Q, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SANTA BARBARA, CA 93106  
*Email address:* [michaelf@microsoft.com](mailto:michaelf@microsoft.com)