

Microbial Degradation of Endosulfan in Carbon Free Media and Selective Media

¹Dr. Osama El Gialani Elsaid, ²Dr. Azhari Omer Abdelbagi, ³Elsiddig Ahmed Elmustafa Elsheikh

¹Faculty of Agricultural Technology and Fish Sciences, Al-Neelain University, Khartoum, Sudan

^{2,3}Faculty of Agriculture, University of Khartoum, Khartoum, Sudan

Abstract: The effects of soil microorganisms isolated from highly polluted soil (pesticide stores soil and cotton field), on half-lives of α and β - endosulfan under condition of selective and carbon free media were studied. The results showed significant decrease in half-lives ranging between 58.4 – 81.9% in α -endosulfan compared to 35.5 – 71.6% in β -isomer.

Key words: Endosulfan, Microbial degradation, Sudan, Bio-remediation

INTRODUCTION

Endosulfan is the common name of the insecticidal compounds 6,7,8,9,10,10-hexachloro-1,5,5a,6,9,9a-hexahydro-6,9-methano-2,4,3-benzodioxathiepin-3-oxide. Endosulfan was first developed in 1956 by Farwerke Hoeschst Ag in Germany. The synthesis of this compound results in production of the two stereo isomers α and β -endosulfan. Endosulfan is often classified as cyclodiene and has the same primary action and target site as cyclodienes. However, it has chemical and physical properties significantly different from other cyclodiene insecticides, that affect both its environment and biological fates [6]. In particular, endosulfan has a relative cyclic sulfate diester group, and as a consequence, its environmental persistence is lower than that of other cyclodienes [18].

In Sudan it was tested for the first time in small scale experiment against cotton pests in season 1966/67 [9]. It is recommended for use against white fly *bemisia tabaci* and *Aphis gossypii* [8].

The period from the early sixties to the late seventies witnessed progressive intensification and expansion in the cropped areas with subsequent increase in pest complexity and damage. This necessitated increase in chemical treatment with negative impact on human health and the environment. Organochlorines were the major group of pesticides, which flourished during this period favored by their high potency against wide range of agricultural and public health pests, cheapness and environmental persistence.

The improper storage of pesticides in Sudan has created many problems. As in many of the developing countries, stores were sub-standard in construction and facilities improperly located (near or within residential areas, water bodies or farming activities) and their

staffs were less trained in store management. The poor storage facilities and management practices in Sudan has led huge amounts of the stored pesticides to become obsolete. The total amounts of the stored pesticides in Sudan was estimated at 666 tones, 77.5% in liquid state and 22.5% as solids with about 6459 cubic meters of contaminated storage soil scattered over 43 major and minor sites in the country. The previous and current effort in Sudan was directed towards estimation of quantities and how to get rid of them. Nothing was done towards treatment of affected sites. It is obvious soil is heavy and difficult to transport abroad for decamination. Further, horizontal and vertical movement of contaminants complicated the problem [1]. Therefore, in situ treatments of affected sites appeared more attractive, suitable and could be feasible. Preliminary reports [2] argued the potential use of endogenous soil microorganisms in cleaning highly polluted soil and dump sites.

The biodegradation of persistent compounds is an important mechanism for their dissemination in the environment [3]. In predicting the persistence of synthetic chemicals in soil, sediment and natural water, it is necessary to determine the role of endogenous microorganisms in the overall degradation process.

Microorganisms play an important role in the conversion of cyclodiene insecticides in soil to nontoxic products. In the natural environment microorganisms may provide some protection against toxicity of endosulfan. Pure culture of a range of soil microorganisms have been reported to transform endosulfan to a nontoxic diol metabolite in unsealed liquid cultures [7,12]. Endosulfan can be completely degraded in about two weeks to nontoxic metabolite under anaerobic conditions [10]. Microbial degradation of endosulfan was also reported by Shivaramaiah and Kennedy [14]. They also, identified endodiol as the

major degradation product in an undefined mixture of microorganisms obtained from soil suspension. Siddique *et al.* [13] reported that degradation of endosulfan occurred in contaminant with bacterial growth when endosulfan was used as only source of sulfur in the culture, while no growth occurred in the absence of endosulfan.

[12]. investigated the ability of 28 soil fungi, 14 soil bacteria, and 10 soil actinomycetes to degrade insecticide endosulfan. He found that the major metabolites detected were endosulfan sulfate.

Endosulfan was selected for the present study because of its extensive use in Sudan where it comprises 20-40% of the annual spray in irrigated cotton and it has many health and environmental problems. Endosulfan is highly to moderately toxic to mammals (LD 50- 76 mg/k for α and 240 mg/k for β isomers). Non-target organisms specially fishes, birds and beneficial arthropods such as natural enemies. Previous studies in Sudan [1] have indicated that its toxicity to the aphid predator. Endosulfan is greatly misused in Sudan and responsible for over 90% of the documented poison incidences reported by the National chemical laboratory [2]. Endosulfan also was reported to constitute a major fraction of obsolete pesticides stocks in Sudan.

The specified objectives of the study are:-

Isolation of natural microorganism that is capable of degrading endosulfan α & β isomers and Evaluation of their degradative capability under conditions of selective media and carbon free media.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Soil Samples:

Areas of Samples: Top soil surface (0-10 cm) samples were collected from five sites and used in this study for isolation of endosulfan degrading microorganisms. The soil was collected from different locations described in Table (I).

Sample Collection Methods: A soil auger of 10cm length and 5cm diameter was used to randomly collect the soil samples to depth of 10cm. In each site five augers samples were taken from different location and mixed thoroughly to make composite sample. The collected samples were placed in paper bags, labeled and immediately transported to the microbiology laboratory ElNeleen University, Khartoum.

Preparation of Samples: The samples were left over night to dry in open air at room temperature. Each sample was then mixed thoroughly. The clods and big particles were broken by hand to reach a.

Preparation of Media:

Preparation of Carbon Free Media: One liter of liquid media was prepared according to the method described by Tepper, *et al.* [17], to one liter conical flasks. One g K_2HPO_4 , 0.5 g $MgSO_4 \cdot 7 H_2O$, 0.5 g NaCl, 0.001 g $FeSO_4 \cdot 7 H_2O$, 0.01 g $MnSO_4 \cdot 4 H_2O$, 0.05 g $CaCO_3$ were added. The volume was completed to one liter distilled water. The flasks containing these media were autoclaved for 20 minutes at 121° C, allowed to cool at room temperature and kept in the refrigerator as stock media at 5° C.

Microbial Degradation of Endosulfan in Carbon

Free Media: A total of 36 conical flasks (100 ml) and culture media (carbon free media) were autoclaved separately for 20 min. at 121° C. Two hundred and fifty micro liters of acetone containing 100 mg endosulfan were added to each flask in laminar flow hood. The acetone was allowed to evaporate using gentle air flame. Fifty ml of culture media was added to each flask. All flasks were grouped in four sets as follows:

- One ml of organic nitrogen bacteria isolated from Manag11, Rahad1and Rahad2 soil (isolated by selective media)
- One ml of inorganic nitrogen bacteria and actinomycetes isolated from Gezira4, Rahad1and Rahad2 soil (isolated by selective media)
- One ml of bacteria and actinomycetes which live in poor media isolated from Gezira1, Manag11and Gezira4 soil (isolated by selective media)
- One ml of fungi isolated from Gezira4, Rahad1and Rahad2 soil (isolated by selective media)

All flasks were incubated at 30° C for 45 days. Flasks were arranged in a completely randomized design with three replicates.

Preparation of Media Selective: Four types of selective media were prepared in four conical flasks (1500 ml) following the method of Tepper, *et al.*, (1994) these include:

(a) Starch agar (SAA): This medium was used for inorganic nitrogen bacteria and actinomycetes. The medium was prepared by adding 10 g starch, 2 g $(NH_4)_2SO_4$, 1 g K_2HPO_4 , 1 g $MgSO_4$, 1 g NaCl, 1 g $FeSO_4$ and 1g $CaCO_3$ to one liter distilled water .

(b) Nitrate agar (NA): This medium was used for bacteria and actinomycetes which live in poor media such as, *Mycobacterium*, *Arthrobacterium*, *Micromonospora*, and *Nocardia*. The media was prepared by adding 0.2 g $NaNO_2$, 1 g $NaNO_3$, 0.2 g $FeSO_4$, 1 g Na_2CO_3 , 0.5 g K_2HPO_4 and 0.3 g NaCl to one liter distilled water.

(c) Meat Peptone Agar (MPA): This medium was used for organic nitrogen bacteria. The medium was prepared by adding 7.5 g of peptone and 5 g NaCl to one liter meat extract.

(d) Chabecks media (CHA): This media was used for fungi. The media was prepared by adding 0.5 g KCl, 0.5 g MgSO₄, 1 g K₂HPO₄, 0.01 g FeSO₄, 2 g NaNO₃ and 20 g glucose to one liter distilled water then 4 ml of lactic acid were added. The flasks containing these media were autoclaved for 20 minutes at 121° C, allowed to cool at room temperature and kept in the refrigerator as stock media at 5° C.

Degradation of α and β -endosulfan by Selected Microorganism in Selective Media: This experiment was done to investigate the potential role of selected microorganisms in degrading endosulfan.

A total of 36 conical flasks (100 ml) and culture media (NB, MPB, SAB and CHB) were autoclaved separately for 20 min. at 121° C. Two hundred and fifty microliters of acetone containing 100 mg endosulfan were added to each flask in laminar flow hood. Acetone allowed to evaporate using gentle air flame. Fifty ml of culture media was added to each flask (nine flasks for each media). All flasks were grouped in four sets and inoculated as follows:

- (a) One ml of organic nitrogen bacteria isolated from Manag11, Rahad1 and Rahad2 soil (isolated by selective media)
- (b) One ml of inorganic nitrogen bacteria and actinomycetes isolated from Gezira4, Rahad1 and Rahad2 soil (isolated by selective media)
- (c) One ml of bacteria and actinomycetes which live in poor media isolated from Gezira1, Manag1 and Gezira4 soil (isolated by selective media)
- (d) One ml of fungi isolated from Gezira4, Rahad1 and Rahad2 soil (isolated by selective media)

All flasks were incubated at 30° C for 45 days. Flasks were arranged in a completely randomized design with three replicates.

Extraction and Analysis: About 10 ml were taken from each flask every 15 days extracted and analyzed used GLC

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Microbial Degradation of Endosulfan in Carbon Free Media: Tables 10, 11 showed the half-lives of endosulfan α and β incubated with selected isolates of microorganisms for a period of 45 days in carbon free media. Based on the percentage reduction in half lives it is clear that the α endosulfan degrade faster compared to β - endosulfan (60.1 to 79.2% in α VS 49.4 to 72.7% in β).

The results generally indicated that all microorganisms used in this experiment caused relatively similar effects on α endosulfan isomers (Table 2). while different groups of microorganism showed variable effects on β , actinomycetes and bacteria which live in poor media are more efficient in degrading β - endosulfan than others (Table 3).

The best strain of organic nitrogen bacteria capable of degradation of α -endosulfan was that isolated from El Faw cotton field soil (Rahad 2).

Degradation of α and β -endosulfan by Selected Microorganisms in Selective Media: Tables 4 and 5 show the half lives of endosulfan α and β incubated with selected isolates of microorganisms in selective media. Based on the percentage reduction in half lives, it is clear that the α isomer degrade faster compared to β isomer (47.5 -81.9 % in α VS 35.5 - 71.6 % in β isomer).

The results generally indicated that actinomycetes and bacteria are more efficient in degrading both α and β - endosulfan compared to the fungi, actinomycetes and bacteria which lives in poor media which cause more degradation in α -endosulfan than in β - isomer. Fungi causes relatively similar effects on both endosulfan isomers (58.4-47.5% in α VS 68.03-47.6% in β).

The best strains of actinomycetes capable of degradation α endosulfan was that isolated from Kapelgidal pesticides store soil (Gezira I). The best isolated of organic nitrogen bacteria was the isolate from Elfaw cotton field (Rahad 2).

Microorganisms Isolates from highly polluted sites (pesticide stores, cotton field) were selected for further studies. The selected Isolates were incubated with endosulfan under conditions of both selective or carbon – free media for longer period of time. The results showed a Significant decrease in half lives. The role of soil microorganism in shorting the half lives of endosulfan was reported by many authors [11,10,16,13,4].

Reduction in half lives was greater in α -endosulfan compared to β -endosulfan this results is in conformity with the results of Sutherland, *et al.*, [15] who mentioned that bacteria degraded α -endosulfan more than β isomer. Generally inoculums from soil of longer history of exposure to pesticide showed greater capability in degrading endosulfan compared to relatively recent or less exposed soils. This agree with Alexander [3] who reported that longer usage of pesticides in soil increases the microbial tolerance which is expected to have greater capability in degrading pesticides contaminants.

Different results were obtained when endosulfan was used as a source of carbon (in media free from other carbon sources). Results from this trail indicated

Table 1: Soil samples for enrichment and microbial enumeration studies.

| Sample code | Sample description |
|-------------|---|
| Gezira 1 | Inside of Kapelgedad pesticides store in Gezira scheme |
| Gezira 4 | Gorashi Pesticides Store |
| Managil 1 | Inside of Raselfeel pesticides store in Managil extension |
| Elrahad 1 | Inside of Elfaow pesticides store in Elrahad scheme |
| Elrahad 2 | Cotton field in Elrahad scheme |

Table 2: Half lives, percentage reduction in half lives and percentage degradation after 45 days incubation α - endosulfan with selected soil microorganisms in carbon free media.

| Microorganism | Soil code | Slope | R ² | $\tau_{1/2}$ (days) | Reduction in $\tau_{1/2}$ | Degradation % after 45 days |
|--|-----------|-------|----------------|---------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Actinomycetes and Bacteria which lives in poor media | Gezira1 | 1.87 | 0.8722 | 15.0 | 61.3 | 97.2 |
| | Managill | 1.94 | 0.828 | 13.0 | 66.6 | 99.3 |
| | Gezira 4 | 1.96 | 0.7951 | 11.9 | 69.2 | 99.7 |
| Inorganic Nitrogen Bacteria and Actinomycetes | Gezira 4 | 1.78 | 0.8502 | 15.5 | 60.1 | 95.3 |
| | Rahad 1 | 1.81 | 0.6104 | 08.1 | 79.2 | 98.9 |
| | Rahad 2 | 1.82 | 0.7286 | 11.5 | 70.6 | 99.2 |
| Organic Nitrogen Bacteria | Managill | 1.90 | 0.8031 | 12.6 | 67.7 | 99.2 |
| | Rahad 1 | 1.87 | 0.7198 | 10.5 | 72.9 | 99.7 |
| | Rahad 2 | 1.87 | 0.6768 | 09.5 | 75.5 | 99.0 |
| Fungi | Gezira 4 | 1.85 | 0.8402 | 14.3 | 67.3 | 96.7 |
| | Rahad 1 | 1.76 | 0.7384 | 12.7 | 67.4 | 91.7 |
| | Rahad 2 | 1.96 | 0.7888 | 11.8 | 69.6 | 99.5 |
| CONTROL | Control | 0.96 | 0.9534 | 38.9 | 00.0 | 54.4 |

R² = Determination coefficient
 $\tau_{1/2}$ = Half lives
 Gezira1 = Kapelgedad Pesticides Store
 Gezira 4 = Gorashi pesticides store
 Managill = Raselfeel Pesticides Store
 Rahad 1 = El Faw Pesticides Store
 Rahad 2 = El Faw Cotton field

Table 3: Half lives, percentage reduction in half lives and percentage degradation after 45 days incubating β - endosulfan with selected soil microorganisms in carbon free media.

| Microorganism | Soil code | Slope | R ² | $\tau_{1/2}$ (days) | Reduction in $\tau_{1/2}$ | Degradation % after 45 days |
|--|-----------|-------|----------------|---------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Actinomycetes and Bacteria which lives in poor media | Gezira1 | 1.94 | 0.7738 | 12.6 | 72.7 | 100 |
| | Managill | 1.6 | 0.6486 | 13.3 | 71.0 | 86.7 |
| | Gezira 4 | 1.91 | 0.8384 | 15.3 | 66.8 | 93.2 |
| Inorganic Nitrogen Bacteria and Actinomycetes | Gezira 4 | 1.93 | 0.946 | 18.5 | 59.8 | 93.7 |
| | Rahad 1 | 1.85 | 0.8912 | 17.7 | 61.7 | 90.0 |
| | Rahad 2 | 1.77 | 0.7833 | 15.1 | 67.2 | 80.0 |
| Organic Nitrogen Bacteria | Managill | 1.61 | 0.9311 | 21.1 | 54.1 | 81.5 |
| | Rahad 1 | 1.62 | 0.8005 | 18.2 | 60.6 | 87.1 |
| | Rahad 2 | 1.61 | 0.9609 | 23.3 | 49.4 | 81.5 |
| Fungi | Gezira 4 | 2.05 | 0.9285 | 17.2 | 62.6 | 96.7 |
| | Rahad 1 | 1.82 | 0.8116 | 15.1 | 67.2 | 94.7 |
| | Rahad 2 | 1.77 | 0.7833 | 15.1 | 67.2 | 91.4 |
| CONTROL | Control | 1.03 | 0.9275 | 46.0 | 00.0 | 54.6 |

R² = Determination coefficient
 τ_{1/2} = Half lives
 Gezira1 = Kapelgedad Pesticides Store
 Gezira 4 = Gorashi pesticides store
 Managill = Raselfeel Pesticides Store
 Rahad 1 = El Faw Pesticides Store
 Rahad 2 = El Faw Cotton field

Table 4: Half lives, percentage reduction in half lives and percentage degradation after 45 days incubation α- endosulfan with selected soil microorganisms in selective media.

| Microorganism | Soil code | Slope | R ² | τ _{1/2} (days) | Reduction in τ _{1/2} % | Degradation % after 45 days |
|--|-----------|-------|----------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Actinomycetes and Bacteria which lives in poor media | Gezira1 | 2.10 | 0.8285 | 13.5 | 81.3 | 100 |
| | Managill | 2.06 | 0.992 | 20.9 | 71.1 | 100 |
| | Gezira 4 | 2.22 | 0.8898 | 16.0 | 77.8 | 100 |
| | Control | 0.64 | 0.9618 | 72.3 | 00.0 | 31.9 |
| Inorganic Nitrogen Bacteria and Actinomycetes | Gezira 4 | 1.87 | 0.6000 | 08.3 | 81.9 | 100 |
| | Rahad 1 | 2.33 | 0.8872 | 18.5 | 59.9 | 100 |
| | Rahad 2 | 2.22 | 0.8985 | 16.4 | 64.3 | 100 |
| | Control | 1.03 | 0.9551 | 46.1 | 00.0 | 52.6 |
| Organic Nitrogen Bacteria | Managill | 2.19 | 0.8494 | 14.8 | 74.9 | 100 |
| | Rahad 1 | 2.02 | 0.9095 | 17.8 | 69.3 | 100 |
| | Rahad 2 | 2.11 | 0.793 | 13.4 | 77.5 | 100 |
| | Control | 0.89 | 0.8887 | 59.3 | 00.0 | 42.6 |
| Fungi | Gezira 4 | 2.02 | 0.9761 | 19.3 | 47.5 | 100 |
| | Rahad 1 | 1.92 | 0.8271 | 15.3 | 58.4 | 100 |
| | Rahad 2 | 2.10 | 0.8895 | 15.4 | 58.1 | 100 |
| | Control | 1055 | 0.7847 | 36.5 | 00.0 | 78.8 |

R² = Determination coefficient
 τ_{1/2} = Half lives
 Gezira1 = Kapelgedad Pesticides Store
 Gezira 4 = Gorashi pesticides store
 Rahad 1 = El Faw Pesticides Store
 Rahad 2 = El Faw Cotton field

Table 5: Half lives, percentage reduction in half lives and percentage degradation after 45 days incubation β- endosulfan with selected soil microorganisms in selective media.

| Microorganism | Soil code | Slope | R ² | τ _{1/2} (days) | Reduction in τ _{1/2} % | Degradation % after 45 days |
|--|-----------|-------|----------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Actinomycetes and Bacteria which lives in poor media | Gezira1 | 2.22 | 0.8996 | 16.7 | 67.0 | 100 |
| | Managill | 1.94 | 0.999 | 22.7 | 55.0 | 93.2 |
| | Gezira 4 | 2.04 | 0.986 | 19.1 | 62.3 | 100 |
| | Control | 0.92 | 0.903 | 50.5 | 00.0 | 43.6 |
| Inorganic Nitrogen Bacteria and Actinomycetes | Gezira 4 | 2.09 | 0.983 | 20.3 | 43.8 | 100 |
| | Rahad 1 | 2.29 | 0.871 | 18.3 | 49.3 | 100 |
| | Rahad 2 | 1.95 | 0.953 | 23.3 | 35.5 | 100 |
| | Control | 1.21 | 0.931 | 36.1 | 00.0 | 59.3 |
| Organic Nitrogen Bacteria | Managill | 2.30 | 0.955 | 21.2 | 62.3 | 100 |
| | Rahad 1 | 2.33 | 0.928 | 24.2 | 57.7 | 100 |
| | Rahad 2 | 2.06 | 0.886 | 15.9 | 71.6 | 100 |
| | Control | 0.85 | 0.925 | 56.3 | 00.0 | 38.1 |

Table5: Continue

| | | | | | | |
|-------|----------|------|-------|------|------|------|
| Fungi | Gezira 4 | 1.73 | 0.862 | 27.2 | 47.6 | 93.3 |
| | Rahad 1 | 1.52 | 0.728 | 15.1 | 68.0 | 83.6 |
| | Rahad 2 | 2.19 | 0.936 | 20.1 | 57.5 | 100 |
| | Control | 0.95 | 0.922 | 47.3 | 00.0 | 50.2 |

R^2 = Determination coefficient

$t_{1/2}$ = Half lives

Gezira1 = Kapelgedad Pesticides Store

Gezira 4 = Gorashi pesticides store

Managill = Raselfeel Pesticides Store

Rahad 1 = El Faw Pesticides Store

Rahad 2 = El Faw Cotton field

a relatively slower rate of degradation compared to selective media. α -endosulfan is again more subject to faster degradation rate. This could be explained by the report of Awasthi^[5] who described endosulfan as a poor biological energy source.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Thanks are extended to those who contributed in one way or another to this work. They include Prof. Osman Ibrahim Gameel, Prof. Gafar Zorgani, Dr. Awad Galal, Dr. Imad Ali, Ismael Siddeg, Tarig Elsir and Triza My strong appreciation is extended to Lift Engineering Company for financing this work

REFERENCES

- Abdelbagi, A.O., M.A. Elmahi and D.G. Osman, 2000. Chlorinated hydrocarbon insecticide residues in the Sudanese soils of limited or no pesticide use. *Arab Journal of plant Protection.*, 18: 35-39.
- Abdelbagi, A.O., M.A. Elmahi and Osman, 2003. Organochlorine insecticides residues in Sudanese soil of intensive pesticide use and in surface soil of Qurashi pesticide store. *U. of K.J, of Agric, Sci.*, 11: 59-6.
- Alexander, M., 1977. Introduction to soil microbiology. 2nd Ed. John Wiley & Sons Inc. New York. ISBN 0-471-02179-2.
- Al-Hassan, R.M., I.I. Bashour and N.S. Kawar., 2004. Biodegradation of alpha and beta endosulfan in soil as influenced by application of different organic materials. *J. Environ Sci. Health*, B. 39: 757-764 .
- Awasthi, N.N., Manickam and A. Kumar, 1997. Biodegradation of endosulfan by a bacteria co culture. *Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.*, 59: 928-934.
- Casida, J.E., 1993. Insecticide action at the GABA-gated chloride channel: recognition, progress, and prospects. *Arch. Insect Biochem. Physiol.*, 22: 13.
- El Zorgani, G.A. and M.E.H. Omer, 1974. metabolism of Endosulran Isomers by *Aspergillus niger*-bull. *Environ, Contam, Toxicol.*
- El Zorgani, 1976. Residues of DDT in cotton seed after spraying with DDT. *Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicology*, 16: 15.
- El Zorgani, G.A., M.E. Abdalla and E.T. Ali , 1979. Residues of organochlorine insecticide in fishes in lake Nubia, *Bullet. Environ. Toxicol.*, 22: 44-48.
- Guerin, T.F. and Kennedy, 1999. The Anaerobic Degradation of Endosulfan by indigenous microorganisms from Low oxygen soils and Sediments. *Environ Pollution.*, 63: 689-697.
- Lee, N., J.H. Skerritt and D.P. McAdam, 1995. Hapten synthesis and development of ELISAs for the detection of endosulfan in water and soil, *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 43: 1730-1739.
- Marten, R., 1976. Degradation of (8.9-14) Endosulfan by soil microorganisms. *Applied Environmental microbiology*, 31: 853-859.
- Shetty, P.K., J. Mitra, N.B.K. Murthy, K.K. Namitha, K.N. Sovitha, and K. Raghu. 2000. Biodegradation of cyclodiene insecticide endosulfan by *Mucor thermo - hyalospora* MTCC 1384. *Curr. Sci.*, 79: 1381-1383.
- Shivaramaiah, H.M. and I.R. Kennedy, 2006. Biodegradation of Endosulfan by soil bacteria. *J. Environ. Sci. Health*, B. 41: 895-905.
- Sutherland, T.D., I. Horne, M.J. Lacey, R.L. Harcourt, R.J. Russel, and J.G. Oakeshott., 2000. Enrichment of an endosulfan-degrading mixed bacterial culture. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 66: 2822-2828.
- Siddique, T., B.C. Okeke, M. Arshad and W.T. Frankenberger, 2003. Enrichment and Isolation of Endosulfan-Degrading Microorganisms. *J E.Q.*, 32: 47-54.
- Tepper, E.Z., U.K. shilinkova, perver, G.E. Zeva, 1994. Manual of microbiology, Mosco, kolas, 4th Edition.
- Van Woerden, H.F., 1963. Organic sulfites. *Chem. Rev.*, 63: 557-571.