Hardy inequality and heat semigroup estimates for Riemannian manifolds with singular data

M. van den Berg, P. Gilkey^{*}, A. Grigor'yan[†], K. Kirsten [‡]

School of Mathematics, University of Bristol University Walk, Bristol BS8 1TW, UK M.vandenBerg@bris.ac.uk

Mathematics Department, University of Oregon Eugene, OR 97403, USA gilkey@uoregon.edu

Fakultät für Mathematik, Universität Bielefeld Postfach 100131, D-33501 Bielefeld, Germany grigor@math.uni-bielefeld.de

Department of Mathematics, Baylor University Waco, Texas, TX 76798, USA Klaus_Kirsten@baylor.edu

5 November 2010

Abstract

Upper bounds are obtained for the heat content of an open set D in a geodesically complete Riemannian manifold M with Dirichlet boundary condition on ∂D , and non-negative initial condition. We show that these upper bounds are close to being sharp if (i) the Dirichlet-Laplace-Beltrami operator acting in $L^2(D)$ satisfies a strong Hardy inequality with weight δ^2 , (ii) the initial temperature distribution, and the specific heat of D are given by $\delta^{-\alpha}$ and $\delta^{-\beta}$ respectively, where δ is the distance to ∂D , and $1 < \alpha < 2, 1 < \beta < 2$.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 58J32; 58J35; 35K20.

Keywords: Hardy inequality, heat content, singular data.

^{*}Partially supported by Project MTM2009-07756 (Spain)

[†]Partially supported by SFB701 (Germany)

[‡]Supported by National Science Foundation Grant PHY-0757791

1 Introduction

Let D be a smooth, connected, m- dimensional Riemannian manifold and let Δ be the Laplace-Beltrami operator on D. It is well known (see [11], [14]) that the heat equation

$$\Delta u = \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}, \quad x \in D, \quad t > 0, \tag{1}$$

has a unique minimal positive fundamental solution p(x, y; t) where $x \in D$, $y \in D$, t > 0. This solution, the Dirichlet heat kernel for D, is symmetric in x, y, strictly positive, jointly smooth in $x, y \in D$ and t > 0, and it satisfies the semigroup property

$$p(x,y;s+t) = \int_D p(x,z;s)p(z,y;t)dz,$$
(2)

for all $x, y \in D$ and t, s > 0, where dz is the Riemannian measure on D. Equation (1) with the initial condition

$$u(x; 0^+) = \psi(x), \quad x \in D,$$
 (3)

has a solution

$$u_{\psi}(x;t) = \int_{D} p(x,y;t)\psi(y)dy, \qquad (4)$$

for any function ψ on D from a variety of function spaces like $C_b(D)$ or $L^p(D)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Note that $u_{\psi} \in C_b(D)$ if $\psi \in C_b(D)$ or that $u_{\psi} \in L^p(D)$ if $\psi \in L^p(D)$. Initial condition (3) is understood in the sense that $u_{\psi}(\cdot; t) \to \psi(\cdot)$ as $t \to 0^+$ where the convergence is appropriate for the function space of initial conditions. For example, if $\psi \in C_b(D)$ then the convergence is locally uniform, or if $\psi \in L^p(D)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ then the convergence is in the norm of $L^p(D)$. In general, (4) is not the unique solution of (1)-(3). However, it has the following distinguished property: if $\psi \geq 0$ then u_{ψ} is the minimal non-negative solution of that problem (and if ψ is signed then $u_{\psi} = u_{\psi_+} - u_{\psi_-}$). If D is an open subset of another Riemannian manifold M and if the boundary ∂D of D in Mis smooth then the minimality property of u_{ψ} implies that, for any t > 0,

$$\lim_{x \to \partial D} u_{\psi}(x;t) = 0.$$
(5)

If ∂D is non-smooth then (5) can still be understood in a weak sense. Expression (4) makes sense for any non-negative measurable function ψ on D, provided the value $+\infty$ is allowed for u_{ψ} . It is known that if $u_{\psi} \in L^{1}_{loc}(D \times \mathbb{R}_{+})$ then u_{ψ} is a smooth function in $D \times \mathbb{R}_{+}$ and it solves (1) (see p. 201 in [14]. For any two non-negative measurable functions ψ_{1}, ψ_{2} on D, we define for t > 0

$$Q_{\psi_1,\psi_2}(t) = \iint_{D \times D} dx dy p(x,y;t) \psi_1(x) \psi_2(y).$$
(6)

Using the properties of the Dirichlet heat kernel we have for 0 < s < t

$$Q_{\psi_1,\psi_2}(t) = \int_D u_{\psi_1}(x;s)u_{\psi_2}(x;t-s)dx.$$
(7)

Assuming that D is an open subset of a complete Riemannian manifold M, $Q_{\psi_1,\psi_2}(t)$ has the following physical interpretation: it is the amount of heat in

D at time t if D has initial temperature distribution ψ_1 , and a specific heat ψ_2 , while the ∂D is kept at fixed temperature 0.

This function has been subject of a thorough investigation. Its asymptotic behavior for small t is well understood if D has compact closure with C^{∞} boundary, and both ψ_1 and ψ_2 are C^{∞} on the closure \overline{D} of D. In that case $Q_{\psi_1,\psi_2}(t)$ has an asymptotic series in $t^{1/2}$, and its coefficients are computable in terms of local geometric invariants [2, 12]. No such series are known if D is unbounded, or if either the initial data or ∂D are non-smooth.

In this paper we will obtain upper bounds for the heat content $Q_{\psi_1,\psi_2}(t)$ under quite general assumptions on D and on ψ_1 and ψ_2 .

We are particularly interested in the situation where D is a open subset of another manifold M, and where $\psi_1(x)$ and $\psi_2(x)$ blow up as $x \to \partial D$. In order to guarantee finite heat content for t > 0, sufficient cooling at ∂D needs to take place. This will be guaranteed by a condition on D, that is formulated in terms of a Hardy inequality. Note that in this setting $Q_{\psi_1,\psi_2}(t)$ may be unbounded as $t \to 0^+$, and one of the interesting points of this study is to obtain the rate of convergence of $Q_{\psi_1,\psi_2}(t)$ to $+\infty$ as $t \to 0^+$.

Given a positive measurable function h on a manifold D, we say that the Dirichlet Laplacian acting in $L^2(D)$ satisfies a strong Hardy inequality with weight h if, for all $w \in C_c^{\infty}(D)$,

$$\int_{D} |\nabla w|^2 \ge \int_{D} \frac{w^2}{h} . \tag{8}$$

Here, and in what follows, we put $\int_D f = \int_D f(x)dx$ if this does not cause confusion. We also put $|D| = \int_D 1$, and $||f||_p = (\int_D |f|^p)^{1/p}$. A typical example of a Hardy inequality is when D is an open subset of another manifold M, and

$$h(x) = c^2 \delta(x)^2, \tag{9}$$

where $c \geq 2$ is a constant, δ is the distance to the boundary,

$$\delta(x) = \min\{d(x, y) : y \in \partial D\},\$$

and d(x, y) is the geodesic distance from x to y on M. Both the validity and applications of Hardy inequalities with weight (9) have been investigated extensively [1], [7], [9], [10], [11], [4]. For example, inequality (8) holds with weight (9) with c = 4 if D is simply connected with non-empty boundary in \mathbb{R}^2 , with c = 2 if D is convex in \mathbb{R}^m , and for some $c \ge 2$ if D is bounded with smooth boundary in \mathbb{R}^m .

In [3] it was shown that if D has finite measure and satisfies the Hardy inequality with weight h, and if ψ is a non-negative measurable function on D, such that, for some q > 1,

$$\|\psi h^{1/q}\|_{q/(q-1)} < \infty, \tag{10}$$

then, for all t > 0,

$$Q_{\psi,1}(t) \le \left(\frac{q^2}{4(q-1)}\right)^{1/q} \|\psi h^{1/q}\|_{q/(q-1)} (|D| - Q_{1,1}(t))^{1/q} t^{-1/q},$$

where $Q_{1,1}$ is defined by (6) for $\psi_1 = \psi_2 = 1$, that is,

$$Q_{1,1}(t) = \int_D u_1(x;t) \, dx = \iint_{D \times D} dx dy p(x,y;t).$$

A similar estimate holds for arbitrary open sets $D \subset \mathbb{R}^m$, satisfying the Hardy inequality with weight h. If ψ is a non-negative measurable function on D such that, for some q > 1,

$$\left\| \max\{\psi, 1\} h^{1/q} \right\|_{q/(q-1)} < \infty,$$
 (11)

then, for all t > 0,

$$Q_{\psi,1}(t) \le a(q) \|\psi h^{1/q}\|_{q/(q-1)} \|h^{1/(q(q-1))}\|_q t^{-1/(q-1)},$$
(12)

where

$$a(q) = 4^{-1/q} \left(\frac{q}{q-1}\right)^{(2q-1)/(q(q-1))}.$$
(13)

Below we give a sufficient condition for the finiteness of $Q_{\psi_1,\psi_2}(t)$ for all t > 0, and reduce the problem of finding upper bounds for $Q_{\psi_1,\psi_2}(t)$ to the case $\psi_1 = \psi_2$.

Theorem 1. Let ψ_1 and ψ_2 be non-negative and Borel measurable on a manifold D.

(i) If $Q_{\psi_i,\psi_i}(t) < \infty, i = 1, 2$, for all t > 0, then $Q_{\psi_1,\psi_2}(t) < \infty$ for all t > 0, and

$$Q_{\psi_1,\psi_2}(t) \le \left(Q_{\psi_1,\psi_1}(t)Q_{\psi_2,\psi_2}(t)\right)^{1/2}, \ t > 0.$$
(14)

(ii) If $Q_{\psi_{i},1}(t) < \infty, i = 1, 2$, for all t > 0, and if

$$c_t := \sup_{x \in D} p(x, x; t) < \infty, \quad t > 0,$$

then

$$Q_{\psi_1,\psi_2}(t) \le c_{t/3}Q_{\psi_1,1}(t/3)Q_{\psi_2,1}(t/3) < \infty, \ t > 0.$$

Our main results are the following three theorems, in which we assume that D is a Riemannian manifold that satisfies the Hardy inequality with some weight h, and ψ is a non-negative measurable function on D.

Theorem 2. If $|D| < \infty$, and if there exists $1 < q \le 2$ such that

$$\|\psi h^{1/q}\|_{q/(q-1)} < \infty, \tag{15}$$

then, for all t > 0,

$$Q_{\psi,\psi}(t) \le \frac{q^{(4-q)/q}}{(2(q-1))^{2/q}} \|\psi h^{1/q}\|_{q/(q-1)}^2 \|1 - u_1(\cdot;t)\|_1^{(2-q)/q} t^{-2/q}.$$
 (16)

Theorem 3. Suppose there exists $1 < q \leq 2$ such that (15) holds and that

$$\|h^{1/q}\|_{q/(q-1)} < \infty$$

Then, for all t > 0,

$$Q_{\psi,\psi}(t) \le b(q) \|\psi h^{1/q}\|_{q/(q-1)}^2 \|h^{1/q}\|_{q/(q-1)}^{(2-q)/(q-1)} t^{-1/(q-1)},$$
(17)

where

$$b(q) = 2^{(4-3q)/(q(q-1))} \left(\frac{q}{q-1}\right)^{(q^2-4q+2)/(q(1-q))}.$$
(18)

Theorem 4. Suppose there exist $0 \le r \le 2$, and $1 < q \le 2$ such that

$$||\psi^r||_q < \infty$$

and

$$\|\psi^{2-r}h^{1/q}\|_{(q-1)/q} < \infty.$$

Then, for all t > 0,

$$Q_{\psi,\psi}(t) \le \left(\frac{q}{4(q-1)}\right)^{1/q} \|\psi^r\|_q \|\psi^{2-r}h^{1/q}\|_{q/(q-1)} t^{-1/q}.$$
 (19)

In Theorem 5 in Section 3 we use the bounds of Theorems 2 and 4 together with (14) to obtain an upper bound for the heat content of D, when D satisfies a Hardy inequality with weight (9), and $\psi_1(x) = \delta(x)^{-\alpha}$ and $\psi_2(x) = \delta(x)^{-\beta}$, where $\alpha, \beta \in (1, 2)$. Even though the bounds in e.g. 2 and 4 look very different, both of them are needed to cover the maximal range of α and β in Theorem 5.

Theorem 2 has a curious consequence. We claim that if a manifold D has finite measure |D|, and is stochastically complete then no Hardy inequality holds on D (which confirms the philosophy that the Hardy inequality corresponds to cooling that comes from the boundary). Indeed, stochastic completeness means that $u_1 \equiv 1$. In this case, $||1 - u_1(\cdot;t)||_1 = 0$ so that we obtain from (16) that $Q_{\psi,\psi}(t) = 0$ whenever function ψ satisfies the condition (15) for some $q \in (1, 2)$. However, if h is finite then it is easy to construct a non-trivial function ψ that satisfies (15): choose any measurable set S with finite positive measure such that h is bounded on S, and let $\psi = 1_S$. Then (15) holds with any q > 1 while $Q_{\psi,\psi}(t) > 0$ so that we obtain contradiction. Of course, without the finiteness of |D|, the Hardy inequality may hold on stochastically complete manifolds like $\mathbb{R}^m \setminus \{0\}$.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we will prove Theorems 1, 2, 3 and 4. In Section 3 we will state and prove Theorem 5. Finally in Section 4 we obtain very refined asymptotics in the special case of the ball in \mathbb{R}^3 with $\psi_1(x) = \delta(x)^{-\alpha}$, $\alpha < 2$, $\psi_2(x) = \delta(x)^{-\beta}$, $\beta < 2$, and $\alpha + \beta > 3$ (Theorem 7). This special case shows that the bound obtained in Theorem 5 is close to being sharp. Moreover it suggests formulae for the first few terms in the asymptotic series of a compact Riemannian manifold D with the singular data above.

2 Proofs of Theorems 1, 2, 3 and 4

Proof of Theorem 1. In both parts, it suffices to prove the claims for nonnegative functions ψ_1, ψ_2 from $L^2(D)$. Arbitrary non-negative measurable functions ψ_1, ψ_2 can be approximated by monotone increasing sequences of nonnegative functions from $L^2(D)$, whence the both claims follow by the monotone convergence theorem.

To prove part (i) we use symmetry and the semigroup property, and obtain by (7) for s = t/2 that

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{\psi_1,\psi_2}(t) &= \int_D u_{\psi_1}(x;t/2)u_{\psi_2}(x;t/2)dx \\ &\leq \left(\int_D u_{\psi_1}^2(x;t/2)dx\right)^{1/2} \left(\int_D u_{\psi_2}^2(x;t/2)dx\right)^{1/2} \\ &= \left(Q_{\psi_1,\psi_1}(t)Q_{\psi_2,\psi_2}(t)\right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (2) that

$$p(x, y; t) \le (p(x, x; t)p(y, y; t))^{1/2} \le c_t.$$
 (20)

To prove part (ii) we have by (20) that

$$p(x,y;t) = \iint_{D \times D} dz_1 dz_2 p(x,z_1;t/3) p(z_1,z_2;t/3) p(z_2,y;t/3)$$

$$\leq c_{t/3} u_1(x;t/3) u_1(y;t/3).$$
(21)

This together with definition (6) completes the proof.

For the proofs of Theorems 2, 3, 4, choose a sequence $\{D_n\}$ that consists of precompact open subsets of D with smooth boundaries such that $\overline{D}_n \subset D_{n+1}$ and $\bigcup_n D_n = D$. Obviously, Hardy inequality (8) remains true in any D_n with the same weight h, because $C_c^{\infty}(D_n) \subset C_c^{\infty}(D)$. Moreover, we claim that (8) holds for any function $w \in C(\overline{D}_n) \cap C^1(D_n)$ that satisfies the boundary condition $w|_{\partial D_n} = 0$. Indeed, if $\int_{D_n} |\nabla w|^2 = \infty$ then (8) is trivially satisfied. If $\int_{D_n} |\nabla w|^2 < \infty$ then w belongs to the Sobolev space $W^{1,2}(D_n)$. Extend function w to D_{n+1} by setting w = 0 in $D_{n+1} \setminus \overline{D}_n$. Due to the boundary condition $w|_{\partial D_n} = 0$, we obtain that $w_n \in W^{1,2}(D_{n+1})$. Since w is compactly supported in D_{n+1} , it follows that $w \in W_0^{1,2}(D_{n+1})$ where $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ is the closure $C_c^{\infty}(\Omega)$ in $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$. Since the Hardy inequality (8) holds for functions from $C_c^{\infty}(D_{n+1})$, passing to the limit in $W^{1,2}(D_{n+1})$ and using Fatou's lemma, we obtain that w also satisfies (8).

Assume for a moment that the statements of the theorems have been proved in each domain D_n . Then one can take the limit in (16), (17), (19) as $n \to \infty$, and obtain the statements for D. Indeed, the left hand side of these inequalities is $Q_{\psi,\psi}^{D_n}(t) = \iint_{D_n \times D_n} dx dy p_{D_n}(x, y; t) \psi(x) \psi(y)$, where p_{D_n} is the Dirichlet heat kernel for D_n . This converges to $Q_{\psi,\psi}^D(t)$ as $n \to \infty$. The right hand sides of (16), (17), (19) contain various $L^p(D_n)$ -norms that can be estimated from above by the $L^p(D)$ -norms. The only exception is the term $\left\| 1 - \int_{D_n} dy p_{D_n}(\cdot, y; t) \right\|_1$ in (16) that is decreasing as $n \to \infty$. If $|D| < \infty$ then $1 \in L^1(D)$ so that the passage to the limit is justified by the dominated convergence theorem. Hence, it suffices to prove each of the statements for D_n instead of D. Renaming D_n back to D, we assume in all three proofs that D is a precompact open domain with smooth boundary in another manifold.

Another observation is that all inequalities (16), (17), (19) survive the increasing monotone limits in ψ . So it suffices to prove them when ψ is bounded and has a compact support in D, which will be assumed below. Furthermore, since all the statements of Theorems 2, 3, 4 are homogeneous with respect to ψ , we can assume that $0 \leq \psi \leq 1$. If $\psi \equiv 0$ then there is nothing to prove; hence, we assume that ψ is non-trivial. Then $u_{\psi}(x;t)$ is smooth and bounded in $\overline{D} \times (0, +\infty)$ and positive in $D \times (0, +\infty)$.

Proof of Theorem 2. Let ν be the outwards normal vector field on ∂D . Using the Green's formula, we obtain

$$-\frac{d}{dt}\int_{D}u_{\psi}^{q} = -q\int_{D}u_{\psi}^{q-1}\frac{\partial u_{\psi}}{\partial t}$$

$$= -q\int_{D}u_{\psi}^{q-1}\Delta u_{\psi}$$

$$= -q\int_{\partial D}u_{\psi}^{q-1}\frac{\partial u_{\psi}}{\partial \nu} + q\int_{D}\left(\nabla u_{\psi}^{q-1},\nabla u_{\psi}\right)$$

$$= q\left(q-1\right)\int_{D}u_{\psi}^{q-2}\left|\nabla u_{\psi}\right|^{2},$$
(22)

where we have used that q > 1 and, hence $u_{\psi}^{q-1} = 0$ on ∂D . Observing that $u_{\psi}^{q/2} \in C(\overline{D}) \cap C^1(D)$,

$$\left|\nabla u_{\psi}^{q/2}\right|^{2} = \frac{q^{2}}{4}u_{\psi}^{q-2}\left|\nabla u_{\psi}\right|^{2},$$

and applying the Hardy inequality (8) to $u^{q/2}$, we obtain that

$$-\frac{d}{dt}\int_{D}u_{\psi}^{q} = \frac{4(q-1)}{q}\int_{D}|\nabla(u_{\psi}^{q/2})|^{2} \ge \frac{4(q-1)}{q}\int_{D}\frac{u_{\psi}^{q}}{h}.$$
 (23)

By Hölder's inequality we have that

$$Q_{\psi,\psi}(t) = \int_{D} u_{\psi}\psi$$

$$\leq \left(\int_{D} \left(\frac{u_{\psi}}{h^{1/q}}\right)^{q}\right)^{1/q} \left(\int \left(\psi h^{1/q}\right)^{\frac{q}{q-1}}\right)^{\frac{q-1}{q}}$$

$$= \left(\int_{D} \frac{u_{\psi}^{q}}{h}\right)^{1/q} \|\psi h^{1/q}\|_{q/(q-1)}.$$
(24)

By (23) and (24) we conclude that

$$-\frac{d}{dt} \int_{D} u_{\psi}^{q} \ge \frac{4(q-1)}{q} \|\psi h^{1/q}\|_{q/(q-1)}^{-q} \left(Q_{\psi,\psi}(t)\right)^{q}.$$
 (25)

Note that the function $t \mapsto Q_{\psi,\psi}(t) = \|u_{\psi}(\cdot;t/2)\|_2^2$ is decreasing in t, which, for example, follows from (22) with q = 2. Integrating differential inequality

(25) with respect to t over the interval [t, 2t] gives that

$$\int_{D} u_{\psi}^{q} \ge \frac{4(q-1)}{q} \|\psi h^{1/q}\|_{q/(q-1)}^{-q} \left(Q_{\psi,\psi}(2t)\right)^{q} t.$$
(26)

On the other hand, using $1 < q \leq 2$ and the Hölder inequality, we obtain

$$\int_{D} u_{\psi}^{q} = \int_{D} u_{\psi}^{2-q} u_{\psi}^{2q-2} \le \left(\int_{D} u_{\psi}\right)^{2-q} \left(\int_{D} u_{\psi}^{2}\right)^{q-1}$$

that is,

$$\int_{D} u_{\psi}^{q} \le \left(Q_{\psi,1}\left(t\right)\right)^{2-q} \left(Q_{\psi,\psi}(2t)\right)^{q-1}.$$
(27)

Combining (26) and (27) yields

$$Q_{\psi,\psi}(2t) \le \frac{q}{4(q-1)} ||\psi h^{1/q}||_{q/(q-1)}^q \left(Q_{\psi,1}(t)\right)^{2-q} t^{-1}.$$
 (28)

Estimating $Q_{\psi,1}$ by (1), we obtain

$$Q_{\psi,\psi}(2t) \le \frac{q^{(4-q)/q}}{(4(q-1))^{2/q}} \|\psi h^{1/q}\|_{q/(q-1)}^2 \|1 - u_1(\cdot;t)\|_1^{(2-q)/q} t^{-2/q},$$

which completes the proof.

Proof of Theorem 3. Since $\psi \leq 1$, the condition (11) is satisfied, and we obtain by (12) and (28) that

$$Q_{\psi,\psi}(2t) \le \frac{q}{4(q-1)} a(q)^{2-q} \|\psi h^{1/q}\|_{q/(q-1)}^2 \|h^{1/q}\|_{q/(q-1)}^{(2-q)/(q-1)} t^{-1/(q-1)}.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3 since, by (13) and (18),

$$2^{1/(q-1)}\frac{q}{4(q-1)}a(q)^{2-q} = b(q).$$

Proof of Theorem 4. By the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality, we have

$$\psi(x)\psi(y) \le \frac{1}{2} \left(\psi(x)^r \psi(y)^{2-r} + \psi(x)^{2-r} \psi(y)^r\right).$$

By non-negativity and symmetry of the Dirichlet heat kernel

$$Q_{\psi,\psi}\left(t\right) \le \int_{D} u_{\psi^{r}} \psi^{2-r}.$$
(29)

Next, Hölder's inequality yields

$$\int_{D} u_{\psi^{r}} \psi^{2-r} \le \left(\int_{D} u_{\psi^{r}}^{q} \frac{1}{h} \right)^{1/q} \|\psi^{2-r} h^{1/q}\|_{q/(q-1)}.$$
(30)

By (23) we have

$$-\frac{d}{dt} \int_{D} u_{\psi^{r}}^{q} \ge \frac{4(q-1)}{q} \int_{D} u_{\psi^{r}}^{q} \frac{1}{h}.$$
 (31)

Combining (29), (30), (31) we obtain that

$$(Q_{\psi,\psi}(t))^{q} \leq -\frac{q}{4(q-1)} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\int_{D} u_{\psi^{r}}^{q} \right) \|\psi^{2-r} h^{1/q}\|_{q/(q-1)}^{q}.$$

Since the function $t \mapsto Q_{\psi,\psi}(t)$ is decreasing in t, we obtain by integrating the differential inequality (31) with respect to t over the interval [0, t] that

$$t\left(Q_{\psi,\psi}\left(t\right)\right)^{q} \leq \frac{q}{4(q-1)} \left(\int_{D} \psi^{rq}\right) \|\psi^{2-r} h^{1/q}\|_{q/(q-1)}^{q},$$

and (19) follows.

3 Singular initial temperature and singular specific heat

Below we make some further hypothesis on the geometry of D, and obtain an upper bound for the heat content for a wide class of geometries using Theorems 2 and 4, and (14), if the initial temperature distribution and specific heat are given by $\delta^{-\alpha}$, $1 < \alpha < 2$, and $\delta^{-\beta}$, $1 < \beta < 2$ respectively.

Theorem 5. Let D be an open set in a smooth complete m-dimensional Riemannian manifold M, and suppose that

- i. The Ricci curvature on M is non-negative.
- ii. For $x \in D$,

$$\psi_{\alpha}(x) = \delta(x)^{-\alpha}.$$

iii. There exist constants $\kappa_D < \infty$ and $d \in [m-1,m)$ such that

$$\int_{\{x \in D: \delta(x) < \epsilon\}} 1 \le \kappa_D \epsilon^{m-d}, \ 0 < \epsilon \le \rho_D,$$
(32)

where $\rho_D = \sup\{\delta(x) : x \in D\}$ is the inradius of D.

iv. The strong Hardy inequality (8) holds with (9) for some $c \geq 2$.

If $1 < \alpha < 2, 1 < \beta < 2$, and if $\epsilon > 0$ is sufficiently small then

$$Q_{\psi_{\alpha},\psi_{\beta}}(t) = O(t^{-4\epsilon + (m-d-\alpha-\beta)/2}), \ t \to 0.$$
(33)

Proof. By (14) it suffices to prove (33) in the special case $\alpha = \beta$ with $1 < \alpha < 2$. In order to estimate $||1 - u_1(\cdot; t)||_1$ in Theorem 2 we rely on the following lower bound for u_1 (Lemma 5 in [5]).

Lemma 6. Let M be a smooth, geodesically complete Riemannian manifold with non-negative Ricci curvature, and let D be an open subset of M with boundary ∂D . Then for $x \in D, t > 0$

$$u_1(x;t) \ge 1 - 2^{(2+m)/2} e^{-\delta(x)^2/(8t)}$$

To prove (33) we first consider the case

$$(2+m-d)/2 < \alpha < 2. (34)$$

This set of α 's is non-empty since $d \in [m-1, m)$. By (9) we have that

$$\|\psi_{\alpha}h^{1/q}\|_{q/(q-1)} = c^{2/q} \left(\int_{D} \delta^{(2-q\alpha)/(q-1)}\right)^{(q-1)/q}.$$
 (35)

Denote the left hand side of (32) by $\omega_D(\epsilon)$. Then we can write the right hand side of (35) as

$$c^{2/q} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \omega_D(d\epsilon) \epsilon^{(2-q\alpha)/(q-1)} \right)^{(q-1)/q}.$$
(36)

An integration by parts, using (34) shows that (36) is finite for

$$q < \frac{2-m+d}{\alpha - m + d}.\tag{37}$$

Because of (34) the right hand side of (37) is in (1,2]. We now choose $\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$q = \frac{2-m+d}{\alpha - m + d} - \epsilon \in (1,2].$$

$$(38)$$

By Lemma 6 and (32) we have that for $t \to 0$

$$\|1 - u_1(\cdot; t)\|_1 = O(t^{(m-d)/2}).$$
(39)

By Theorem 2 and (35)-(39) we find that for all α satisfying (34) and all $\epsilon>0$ satisfying (38)

$$Q_{\psi_{\alpha},\psi_{\alpha}}(t) = O(t^{-4\epsilon + (m-d-2\alpha)/2}), \ t \to 0.$$

$$\tag{40}$$

Next consider the case

$$1 < \alpha < (2 + m - d)/2.$$
(41)

This set of α 's is again non-empty since $d \in [m-1, m)$. By (32) we have that

$$\|\psi^r\|_q = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \omega_D(d\epsilon)\epsilon^{-\alpha rq}\right)^{1/q} < \infty$$
(42)

for

$$\alpha rq < m - d, \tag{43}$$

and

$$\|\psi^{2-r}h^{1/q}\|_{q/(q-1)} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \omega_D(d\epsilon)\delta^{(2-\alpha(2-r)q)/(q-1)}\right)^{(q-1)/q} < \infty$$
(44)

for

$$\frac{\alpha q(2-r) - 2}{q - 1} < m - d.$$
(45)

The optimal choice for r is henceforth given by

$$r = 2(\alpha q - 1)\alpha^{-1}q^{-2}.$$
 (46)

By (41) we also have that $\alpha > 1$. Hence $r \in (0, 2)$. The requirements under (43) and (45) become with this choice of r that

$$q < 2(2\alpha + d - m)^{-1}.$$
(47)

Because of (41) the right hand side of (47) is in (1,2). We now choose $\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$q = 2(2\alpha + d - m)^{-1} - \epsilon > 1.$$
(48)

By Theorem 4 and (42)-(47) we find that for all α satisfying (41) and all $\epsilon > 0$ satisfying (48)

$$Q_{\psi_{\alpha},\psi_{\alpha}}(t) = O(t^{-2\epsilon + (m-d-2\alpha)/2}), \ t \to 0.$$

To prove (33) for the limiting case $\alpha = \beta = (2 + m - d)/2 := \alpha_c$ we note that $Q_{\psi,\phi}(t)$ is bilinear and monotone on the positive cone of non-negative and measurable ψ and ϕ . Moreover for any $\eta \in (0, 1/2)$ we have that $\alpha_c + \eta < 2$, and

$$\psi_{\alpha_c} \le \psi_{\alpha_c + \eta} + 1.$$

Hence for any $\eta \in (0, 1/2)$

$$Q_{\psi_{\alpha_{c}},\psi_{\alpha_{c}}}(t) \leq Q_{\psi_{\alpha_{c}+\eta}+1,\psi_{\alpha_{c}+\eta}+1}(t) \\ \leq Q_{\psi_{\alpha_{c}+\eta},\psi_{\alpha_{c}+\eta}}(t) + 2Q_{\psi_{\alpha_{c}+\eta},1}(t) + Q_{1,1}(t).$$
(49)

The last term in the right hand side of (49) is bounded by the measure of D, and hence O(1). Furthermore by (14)

$$Q_{\psi_{\alpha_c+\eta},1}(t) \le \left(Q_{\psi_{\alpha_c+\eta},\psi_{\alpha_c+\eta}}(t)Q_{1,1}(t)\right)^{1/2}.$$
(50)

For the first term in the right hand side of (49) we use (40) to obtain that for all $\epsilon \in (0, 1/2)$ satisfying

$$q = 2(2\alpha_c + 2\eta + d - m)^{-1} - \epsilon > 1,$$

and all $\eta \in (0, 1/2)$

$$Q_{\psi_{\alpha_c+\eta},\psi_{\alpha_c+\eta}}(t) = O(t^{-4\epsilon + (m-d-2\alpha_c-2\eta)/2}) = O(t^{-4\epsilon-\eta-1}).$$

By (50), $Q_{\psi_{\alpha_c+\eta},1}(t) = o(Q_{\psi_{\alpha_c+\eta},\psi_{\alpha_c+\eta}}(t))$ as $t \to 0$. This completes the proof of (40) in the critical case $\alpha = \alpha_c$, since both η and ϵ were positive, small, and arbitrary.

4 The special case calculation for a ball in \mathbb{R}^3

In this section we show by means of an example that the upper bound obtained in Theorem 5 is close to being sharp for $\alpha < 2, \beta < 2, \alpha + \beta > 3$.

Theorem 7. Let $B_a = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : |x| < a\}$. If $\alpha < 2, \beta < 2, \alpha + \beta > 3, J \in \mathbb{N}$ then there exist coefficients b_0, b_1, \cdots depending on α and β only such that for $t \to 0$

$$Q_{\psi_{\alpha},\psi_{\beta}}(t) = 4\pi c_{\alpha,\beta} a^{2} t^{(1-\alpha-\beta)/2} - 4\pi (c_{\alpha-1,\beta} + c_{\alpha,\beta-1}) a t^{(2-\alpha-\beta)/2} + 4\pi c_{\alpha-1,\beta-1} t^{(3-\alpha-\beta)/2} + \sum_{j=0}^{J} b_{j} a^{3-j-\alpha-\beta} t^{j/2} + O(t^{(J+1)/2}), \quad (51)$$

where

$$c_{\alpha,\beta} = 2^{-\alpha-\beta} \pi^{-1/2} \Gamma((2-\alpha-\beta)/2) \\ \times \int_0^1 d\rho (\rho^{-\alpha} + \rho^{-\beta}) ((1-\rho)^{\alpha+\beta-2} - (1+\rho)^{\alpha+\beta-2}),$$
(52)

and

$$b_{0} = -8\pi((\alpha + \beta - 1)(\alpha + \beta - 2)(\alpha + \beta - 3))^{-1},$$

$$b_{1} = 0,$$

$$b_{2} = 8\pi\alpha\beta((\alpha + \beta + 1)(\alpha + \beta)(\alpha + \beta - 1))^{-1},$$

$$b_{3} = 0.$$
(53)

We see that the leading term in (51) jibes with (33) since (9) holds for some $c \ge 2$ and (32) holds with d = m - 1.

We conjecture that for any precompact D with smooth ∂D in M, and for $\alpha<2,\beta<2,\alpha+\beta>3$

$$Q_{\psi_{\alpha},\psi_{\beta}}(t) = c_{\alpha,\beta} \int_{\partial D} t^{(1-\alpha-\beta)/2} - 2^{-1} (c_{\alpha-1,\beta} + c_{\alpha,\beta-1}) \int_{\partial D} L_{gg} t^{(2-\alpha-\beta)/2} + \int_{\partial D} (c_1 L_{gg} L_{hh} + c_2 L_{gh} L_{gh}) t^{(3-\alpha-\beta)/2} + O(1),$$
(54)

where c_1 and c_2 are constants depending on α and β only, and which satisfy

$$4c_1 + 2c_2 = c_{\alpha - 1, \beta - 1},$$

and where L_{gg} be the trace of the second fundamental form on the boundary of ∂D oriented by an inward unit vector field. Since $\int_{\partial B_a} 1 = 4\pi a^2$, $\int_{\partial B_a} L_{gg} = 8\pi a$ and $\int_{\partial B_a} (c_1 L_{gg} L_{hh} + c_2 L_{gh} L_{gh}) = 16\pi c_1 + 8\pi c_2$ we see that (54) holds for the ball in \mathbb{R}^3 .

The proof of Theorem 7 rests on the following result (pp.237, 367-368 in [8]).

Lemma 8. Let B_a as in Theorem 7, and let the initial datum be radially symmetric i.e. $\psi_1(x) = f(r)$, where r = |x|. Then the solution of (1), (3), (5) is given by

$$u(x;t) = (4\pi tr^2)^{-1/2} \int_0^a dr' r' f(r') \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (e^{-(2na-r+r')^2/(4t)} - e^{-(2na+r+r')^2/(4t)}).$$

To prove Theorem 7 we have by Lemma 8 that

$$Q_{\psi_{\alpha},\psi_{\beta}}(t) = (4\pi/t)^{1/2} \int_{0}^{a} \int_{0}^{a} dr dr' rr' (a-r)^{-\alpha} (a-r')^{-\beta} \\ \times \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (e^{-(2na-r+r')^{2}/(4t)} - e^{-(2na+r+r')^{2}/(4t)}).$$
(55)

Substitution of a - r = p and a - r' = q in (55) gives that

$$Q_{\psi_{\alpha},\psi_{\beta}}(t) = A_0 + A_1 + A_2 + B_2,$$

where

$$A_{0} = (4\pi/t)^{1/2} a^{2} \int_{0}^{a} \int_{0}^{a} dp dq p^{-\alpha} q^{-\beta} (e^{-(p-q)^{2}/(4t)} - e^{-(p+q)^{2}/(4t)}),$$

$$A_{1} = -(4\pi/t)^{1/2} a \int_{0}^{a} \int_{0}^{a} dp dq (p+q) p^{-\alpha} q^{-\beta} (e^{-(p-q)^{2}/(4t)} - e^{-(p+q)^{2}/(4t)}),$$

$$A_{2} = (4\pi/t)^{1/2} \int_{0}^{a} \int_{0}^{a} dp dq p^{1-\alpha} q^{1-\beta} (e^{-(p-q)^{2}/(4t)} - e^{-(p+q)^{2}/(4t)}),$$
and

and

$$B = (4\pi/t)^{1/2} \int_0^a \int_0^a dp dq (a-p)(a-q) p^{-\alpha} q^{-\beta} \sum_{n \ge 1} (e^{-(2na+p-q)^2/(4t)} + e^{-(2na+q-p)^2/(4t)} - e^{-(2na+q+p)^2/(4t)} - e^{-(2na-q-p)^2/(4t)}).$$
(56)

We have the following.

Lemma 9. If $1 < \alpha < 2, 1 < \beta < 2$ then for $t \to 0$

$$B = -8\pi^{1/2} 3^{-1} a^{-\alpha-\beta} t^{3/2} + O(t^2).$$
(57)

Proof. The integrand in (56) can be rewritten as

$$(a-p)(a-q)p^{-\alpha}q^{-\beta}\sum_{n\geq 1}e^{-(2na-p-q)^2/(4t)} \times ((e^{(p-2na)q/t} + e^{(q-2na)p/t})(1-e^{-pq/t}) - (1-e^{-2pna/t})(1-e^{-2qna/t})).$$
(58)

The contribution from the terms with $n \ge 2$ in (58) is bounded in absolute value by

$$2a^2p^{1-\alpha}q^{1-\beta}t^{-1}\sum_{n\geq 2}e^{-a^2(n-1)^2/t}(1+2n^2a^2t^{-1}).$$

After integrating with respect to p and q we see that this term contributes at most $O(e^{-a^2/(2t)})$ to B. Next we will show that the main contribution from the term with n = 1 in (58) comes from a neighbourhood of the point (p, q) = (a, a). Let

$$C_1(a) = \{ (p,q) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : a/3$$

and

$$C_2(a) = ((0,a) \times (0,a)) \setminus C_1(a).$$

On $C_2(a)$ we have that $2a - p - q \ge 2a/3$. Hence the term with n = 1 in (58) is bounded on $C_2(a)$ in absolute value by

$$2(a-p)(a-q)p^{1-\alpha}q^{1-\beta}t^{-1}e^{-a^2/(9t)}(1+2a^2t^{-1}).$$
(59)

Integrating (59) over $C_2(a)$ gives a contribution which is bounded by

 $O(e^{-a^2/(18t)})$. In order to calculate the contribution from the term with n = 1 on $C_1(a)$ we use the expression under (56) instead. First we note that $2a+p-q \ge 2a/3, 2a+q-p \ge 2a/3, 2a+p+q \ge 8a/3$. Hence the first three terms in the

summand of (56) with n = 1 give after integration over $C_1(a)$ a contribution $O(e^{-a^2/(18t)})$. Putting all this together gives that

$$B = -(4\pi/t)^{1/2} \iint_{C_1(a)} dp dq (a-p)(a-q)p^{-\alpha}q^{-\beta}$$
$$\times e^{-(2a-q-p)^2/(4t)} + O(e^{-a^2/(18t)}).$$

Noting that

$$p^{-\alpha}q^{-\beta} = a^{-\alpha-\beta} + O(a-p) + O(a-q)$$
(60)

uniformly in p and q yields after a change of variables that

$$B = - (4\pi/t)^{1/2} a^{-\alpha-\beta} \iint_{(0,a/3)\times(0,a/3)} dp dq p q e^{-(p+q)^2/(4t)} \times (1 + O(p) + O(q)) + O(e^{-a^2/(18t)}),$$

which agrees with the right hand side of (57).

By taking higher order terms of the form $(a-p)^{n_1}(a-q)^{n_2}$ in (60) into account one can determine the coefficient $t^{(j+3)/2}$, $j = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$ in the expansion of B.

To complete the proof of Theorem 7 we rewrite A_0, A_1 and A_2 respectively as follows.

$$A_{0} = (4\pi/t)^{1/2} a^{2} \left(\int_{0}^{a} dp \int_{0}^{p} dq + \int_{0}^{a} dq \int_{0}^{q} dp \right) \\ \times p^{-\alpha} q^{-\beta} (e^{-(p-q)^{2}/(4t)} - e^{-(p+q)^{2}/(4t)}) \\ = (4\pi/t)^{1/2} a^{2} \int_{0}^{a} dp p^{1-\alpha-\beta} \int_{0}^{1} d\rho (\rho^{-\alpha} + \rho^{-\beta}) \\ \times (e^{-p^{2}(1-\rho)^{2}/(4t)} - e^{-p^{2}(1+\rho)^{2}/(4t)}) \\ = 4\pi a^{2} c_{\alpha,\beta} t^{(1-\alpha-\beta)/2} \\ - (4\pi/t)^{1/2} a^{2} \int_{a}^{\infty} dp p^{1-\alpha-\beta} \int_{0}^{1} d\rho (\rho^{-\alpha} + \rho^{-\beta}) \\ \times (e^{-p^{2}(1-\rho)^{2}/(4t)} - e^{-p^{2}(1+\rho)^{2}/(4t)}),$$
(61)

$$A_{1} = -4\pi a (c_{\alpha-1,\beta} + c_{\alpha,\beta-1}) t^{(2-\alpha-\beta)/2} + (4\pi/t)^{1/2} a \int_{a}^{\infty} dp p^{2-\alpha-\beta} \\ \times \int_{0}^{1} d\rho (\rho^{1-\alpha} + \rho^{-\alpha} + \rho^{1-\beta} + \rho^{-\beta}) (e^{-p^{2}(1-\rho)^{2}/(4t)} - e^{-p^{2}(1+\rho)^{2}/(4t)}),$$
(62)

and

$$A_{2} = 4\pi c_{\alpha-1,\beta-1} t^{(3-\alpha-\beta)/2} - (4\pi/t)^{1/2} \int_{a}^{\infty} dp p^{3-\alpha-\beta} \\ \times \int_{0}^{1} d\rho (\rho^{1-\alpha} + \rho^{1-\beta}) (e^{-p^{2}(1-\rho)^{2}/(4t)} - e^{-p^{2}(1+\rho)^{2}/(4t)}).$$
(63)

The terms to be evaluated in (61), (62) and (63) are all of the form

$$(4\pi/t)^{1/2}a^{2-j}\int_{a}^{\infty}dpp^{1+j-\alpha-\beta}\int_{0}^{1}d\rho\rho^{-\gamma}(e^{-p^{2}(1-\rho)^{2}/(4t)}-e^{-p^{2}(1+\rho)^{2}/(4t)}),$$
(64)

where j = 0, 1, 2 respectively. Following arguments similar to the proof of Lemma 9 we see that the contribution of the integral with respect to $\rho \in [0, 1/2)$ in (64) is at most $O(e^{-a^2/(18t)})$. Furthermore

$$(4\pi/t)^{1/2}a^{2-j}\int_{a}^{\infty}dpp^{1+j-\alpha-\beta}\int_{1/2}^{1}d\rho\rho^{-\gamma}e^{-p^{2}(1+\rho)^{2}/(4t)}) = O(e^{-a^{2}/(18t)}).$$
(65)

Hence the expression under (64) equals

$$(4\pi/t)^{1/2}a^{2-j}\int_{a}^{\infty}dpp^{1+j-\alpha-\beta}\int_{1/2}^{1}d\rho\rho^{-\gamma}e^{-p^{2}(1-\rho)^{2}/(4t)}+O(e^{-a^{2}/(18t)}).$$
 (66)

Expanding $\rho^{-\gamma}$ about $\rho = 1$ we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} |\rho^{-\gamma} - 1 - \gamma(1-\rho) - 2^{-1}\gamma(\gamma+1)(1-\rho)^2 \\ - 6^{-1}\gamma(\gamma+1)(\gamma+2)(1-\rho)^3| &\leq C(1-\rho)^4, \ 0 \leq \rho \leq 1/2, \end{aligned}$$
(67)

where C depends on γ only. By (67) and (66) we obtain that (64) is equal to

$$2\pi(\alpha+\beta-j-1)^{-1}a^{3-\alpha-\beta}+4\pi^{1/2}\gamma(\alpha+\beta-j)^{-1}a^{2-\alpha-\beta}t^{1/2} +2\pi\gamma(\gamma+1)(\alpha+\beta-j+1)^{-1}a^{1-\alpha-\beta}t +8\pi^{1/2}3^{-1}\gamma(\gamma+1)(\gamma+2)(\alpha+\beta-j+2)^{-1}a^{-\alpha-\beta}t^{3/2}+O(t^2).$$
(68)

It remains to compute the coefficients b_0, b_1 and b_2 in Theorem 7. Altogether there are eight terms which contribute to the terms in (68):

$$\begin{array}{ll} j=0, & \gamma=\alpha, & \gamma=\beta\\ j=1, & \gamma=\alpha-1, & \gamma=\beta-1, & \gamma=\alpha, & \gamma=\beta\\ j=2, & \gamma=\alpha-1, & \gamma=\beta-1\,. \end{array}$$

Summing these eight terms yield the expressions for b_0, b_1 and b_2 under (53). To calculate b_3 we have that the above eight $\gamma(\gamma+1)(\gamma+2)$ terms in (68) cancel the contribution from (57). This completes the proof of Theorem 7.

References

- A. Ancona, On strong barriers and an inequality of Hardy for domains in
 \mathbb{R}^n, J. London Math. Soc. 34, 274–290 (1986).
- [2] M. van den Berg, P. Gilkey Heat content asymptotics of a Riemannian manifold with boundary, J. Funct. Anal. 120, 48–71 (1994).
- [3] M. van den Berg, Heat flow and Hardy inequality in complete Riemannian manifolds with singular initial conditions, J. Funct. Anal. 250, 114–131 (2007).

- [4] M. van den Berg, P. Gilkey, Heat content and a Hardy inequality for complete Riemannian manifolds, Bull. London Math. Soc. 36, 577–586 (2004).
- [5] M. van den Berg, Heat content and Hardy inequality for complete Riemannian manifolds, J. Funct. Anal. 233, 478–493 (2006).
- [6] M. van den Berg, P. Gilkey, R. Seeley, *Heat content asymptotics with singular initial temperature distributions*, J. Funct. Anal. 254, 3093–3122 (2008).
- [7] V. Burenkov, E. B. Davies, Spectral stability of the Neumann Laplacian, Journal of Differential Equations 186, 485–508 (2002).
- [8] H. S. Carslaw, J. C. Jaeger, Conduction of Heat in Solids, Oxford University Press, Oxford (1992).
- [9] E. B. Davies, A review of Hardy inequalities, Operator Theory Adv. Appl. 110, 55–67 (1999).
- [10] E. B. Davies, Sharp boundary estimates for elliptic operators, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 129, 165–178 (2000).
- [11] E. B. Davies, *Heat kernels and spectral theory*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1989).
- [12] P. Gilkey, Asymptotic Formulae in Spectral Geometry, Stud. Adv. Math., Chapman& Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL (2004).
- [13] A. Grigor'yan, Estimates of heat kernels on Riemannian manifolds, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series 273, 140–225 Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1999).
- [14] A. Grigor'yan, *Heat kernel and Analysis on manifolds*, AMS-IP Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 47, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI; International Press, Boston, MA (2009).