# Analytic continuation of functions along parallel algebraic curves.

 $S.A.Imomkulov^1$  and  $J.U.Khujamov^2$ 

## Introduction

Classical lemma of Hartogs (see [1]), about continuation along fixed direction, conform, that if holomorphic in a domain

$$D \times V = D \times \{ w \in C : |w| < r \} \subset C_z^n \times C_w$$

function f(z, w), in each fixed  $z \in D$  holomorphic in a ball  $\{w \in C, |w| < r\}$ , then it holomorphic on a collection of variables in  $D \times V$ . The Hartogs' lemma has a plenty generalizations which different on characterizations and direct verges to themes, connected with holomorphic continuation on fixed direction. A further results in this field are given in a works of Rothstein [2], M.V. Kazaryan [3], A.S.Sadullaev and E.M.Chirka [4], T.T.Tuychiev [5], S.A.Imomkulov and J.U.Khujamov [6], S.A.Imomkulov [7] and etc.

In this work we study a problem about analytic continuation along parallel algebraic curves.

Algebraic curve in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  will be determining as a set of zero of some of polynomials:

$$A = \{ (\xi, \eta) \in \mathbf{C}^2 : P(\xi, \eta) = 0 \}.$$

A set of regular points  $A^0$  of algebraic curve A, open on A, and the set of critical points  $A^c = A \setminus A^0$  is discrete set (see [1], [8],[9]).

The algebraic set call irreducible, if it is impossible to present in the view of joined algebraic sets, which differ from it.

**Theorem.** Let D is a domain from  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and V is a domain from some of irreducible algebraic curve A. If a function f(z, w) holomorphic in a domain  $D \times V \subset C_z^n \times A$  and in each fixed  $\xi$  from some of nonpluripolar set  $E \subset D$ , a function  $f(\xi, w)$  variable of w continuous till the function, holomorphic on a whole A, excepting finite sets of singularities (from  $A^0$ ), then f(z, w) holomorphic extended in  $(D \times A) \setminus S$ , where S- some of analytic subset  $D \times A$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Navoi State Pedagogical Institute (Uzbekistan). E-mail: <u>sevdi@rambler.ru</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Urgench State University (Uzbekistan). E-mail: jumanazar-1971@mail.ru

### §1. Holomorphic functions on algebraic set.

Any algebraic curve  $A \subset \mathbb{C}^2$  in sufficiently small neighborhood U (each its point  $a \in A$ ) is ramified covering over neighborhood of projection point a in some plane  $C \subset \mathbb{C}^2$  (see. [1], chapter 2. §8. Page 175).

Observe, that on algebraic curves defining correctly not only holomorphic functions, its derivatives also. Holomorphic function and its derivatives in a point defining with the help following equality:

$$D^{k}f|_{a} = \frac{\partial^{k}}{\partial z^{k}}f(\pi^{-1}(z))|_{U}, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots,$$

where  $\pi : A \to \mathbb{C}$  locally biholomorphic mapping, called projection and U – neighborhood of point a, in which a restriction  $\pi|_U$  - biholoorphic,  $\pi^{-1}|_U$  inverse mapping and in second member is a derivative in a point  $z = \pi(a)$ .

# §2. The Jacoby – Hartogs series

We consider the function f(z, w) holomorphic in a domain  $D \times V$ ,  $D \subset C^n$ ,  $V \subset A$ . Assume, that  $\pi^{-1}(0) \in V$ . Let  $g(\zeta)$  - rational function on  $\zeta \in C$  such that g(0) = 0. Then at small  $\rho$  there exist connected component  $\Lambda_{\rho}$  of set  $\{\zeta : |g(\zeta) < \rho|\}$  such that  $O \in \Lambda_{\rho}, \pi^{-1}(\Lambda_{\rho}) \subset V$ . Since f(z, w) holomorphic in the domain  $D \times \pi^{-1}(\Lambda_{\rho})$  then, at every fixed point  $z \in D$  it can be expanded in a series Jacoby - Hartogs (see [4])

$$f(z,w) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k(z,\pi(w)) g^k(\pi(w)), \qquad (1)$$

where an coefficients of series defining as follows

$$c_k(z,w) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial(\pi^{-1}(\Lambda_{\rho}))} f(z,\,\pi(\eta)) \frac{g(\pi(\eta)) - g(\pi(w))}{g^{k+1}(\pi(\eta))(\eta-w)} d\eta$$

,  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ 

It follows, that  $c_k(z, w)$  holomorphic functions in  $D \times A$ . At a fixed point  $z \in D$  the series (1) converge in a lemniscates  $\{|g(\pi(w))| < R^{(g)}(z)\}$ , where  $R^{(g)}(z)$  defining as follows

$$R^{(g)}(z) = \frac{1}{\lim_{k \to \infty} \sqrt[k]{\|c_k(z,w)\|_{\pi^{-1}(K)}}},$$

Here  $K \subset C$ - arbitrary nonpolar compact, which does not hold poles g, and the limit in right-hand member of equality does not depend on choice of such compact. Notice, that the value  $R^{(g)}(z)$  is a maximal radius, for which the function f is holomorphic inside of lemniscates  $\{|g(w)| < R^{(g)}(z)\}$ .

**Lemma 1.** The Jacoby – Hartogs series (1) converge uniformly inside of open set

$$G_g = \left\{ (z, w) \in D \times A : |g(\pi(w))| < R_*^{(g)}(z) \right\}, \ z \in D,$$

where  $R_*^{(g)}(z) = \underline{\lim}_{\xi \to z} R^{(g)}(\xi)$  - normalization from below. The function  $-\ln R_*^{(g)}(z)$  is plurisubharmonic in  $D, R_*^{(g)}(z) \le R^{(g)}(z), z \in D$  and the set  $\{z \in D : R_*^{(g)}(z) < R^{(g)}(z)\}$  is pluripolar.

We denote by  $\Re = \{g(\zeta)\}$  - countable family of all rational functions with coefficients from the set Q + iQ (Q - the set of rational number) such that, each function  $\Re = \{g(\zeta)\}$  has a zero only in a point w = 0. In order to study convergence domain, corresponding Jacoby – Hartogs series will be useful following lemma about approximation of flat sets by rational lemniscates.

**Lemma 2.** ([4],[6],[7]). Let  $\Sigma$ - close polar set from  $C \setminus \{0\}$  and Kcompact in  $C \setminus \{\Sigma\}$ . Then, there exist rational function  $g \in \Re$  such that the lemniscates  $\{\zeta : |g(z)| < 1\}$  is connected, belong to  $C \setminus \{\Sigma\}$  and holding K.

### $\S3$ . Some properties of pseudoconcave sets.

The properties of pseudoconcave sets has been studied in works [10-13]. Let S- pseudoconcave subset of domain  $D \times V$ . Assume, that S does not cross  $D \times \partial V$  and

$$S_a = S \cap \{z = a\}.$$

Then:

1) the function  $\ln(capS_z)$ , where cap – capacity ( îáîçîà÷àåò åìêîñòü (transfinite diameter) of flat set, is plurisubharmonic in D(see [13]).

2) if  $S_z$  is finite for all z from some pluripolar set  $E \subset D$ , then S is analytic set (see[12]).

3) if  $S_z$  is polar for all z from some nonpluripolar set  $E \subset D$ , then S is pluripolar set (see [10], [11]).

**Defenition.** The close set  $S \subset D \times A$  called pseudoconcave set in the domain  $D \times A$  if for any point  $a \in S$  there exist some neighborhood  $U \subset D \times A$  and holomorphic in  $U \setminus S$  function f such that it does not converge holomorphically to the point a.

**Lemma 3.** Let D - a domain from  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and V - a domain from irreducible algebraic curve A, such that  $0 \in \pi(V)$ . Let S - pseudoconcave subset of the domain  $D \times V$ . Assume, that S does not cross  $D \times \partial V$ . Then, if  $S_z$  - finite for all  $z \in D$ , then S- analytic subset of the domain  $D \times V$ .

## §4. The proof of theorem.

We expand of function f(z, w) in Jacoby – Hartogs series by degrees of function  $g(\pi(w)), (g(\zeta) \in \Re, \zeta = \pi(w), 0 \in \pi(V)),$ 

$$f(z,w) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k(z,w) g^k(\pi(w)),$$
 (2)

where  $c_k(z, w) \in O(D \times V)$ . It is possible, because f(z, w) - holomorphic in  $D \times V$  and at sufficiently small  $\rho > 0$  the lemniscates  $\{w : |g(w)| < \rho\}$ belong to V. According to lemma 1 the series (2) uniformly converge inside of set

$$G_g = \left\{ (z, w) : |g(\pi(w))| < R^{(g)}_*(z) \right\}, \ z \in D$$

and consequently, its sum holomorphic in it. According to definition of family of rational functions  $\Re$  the set  $G_g$  is a domain, which contain  $D \times {\pi^{-1}(0)}$ . The sum of constructed series (2) is coincident with f(z, w) in neighborhood  $D \times {\pi^{-1}(0)}$  and, thus, (2) is holomorphic continuation of f(z, w) in  $G_g$ .

2. Let  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  an arbitrary rational functions from family  $\Re$  and let  $f_1(z, w)$  and  $f_2(z, w)$  are analytic continuation of function f(z, w) in a domains  $G_{g_1}$  and  $G_{g_2}$  correspondingly. Since, for any point  $z^0 \in D$  the function  $f_1(z^0, w)$  single-valued by w and  $f_1(z^0, w) = f_2(z^0, w) = f(z^0, w)$  for any  $(z^0, w) \in G_{g_1} \cap G_{g_2}$ , then f(z, w) holomorphic in  $(G_{g_1} \cup G_{g_2}) \cap \{z = z^0\}$  so, f(z, w) uniquely converge in  $G_{g_1} \cup G_{g_2}$ , and it follows that the function

f(z, w) uniquely converge in domain  $G = \bigcup G_g$ , where union is taken by all rational functions family  $\Re$ .

3. Since, at each fixed point  $z^0 \in D$  the function  $f(z^0, w)$  single-valued in A, then it follows that analytic continuation of function f(z, w) (in  $D \times A$ ) is uniquely. Let  $\tilde{G} \subset D \times A$  an original domain for existence of function f(z, w) regarding to  $D \times A$ . So,  $\tilde{G}$  nonexpendable holomorphic in every point  $(z^0, w^0) \in S = (D \times A) \setminus \tilde{G}$ . From here we receive, that S - pseudoconcave subset of the domain  $D \times A$ .

4. Now, using lemma 2, we show, that for any point  $z^0 \in D$ , a set of singular point of function  $f(z^0, w)$  of variable  $w \in A$  coincide with layer  $S_{z^0}$  of the set S. In fact, by the terms of theorem singular set  $\Lambda$  of function  $f(z^0, w)$  consist finite number of points, then according to lemma 2, for any compact  $K \subset A \setminus \Lambda$ , there exist rational function  $g \in \Re$  such that, the lemniscates  $\{|g(\pi(w))| < 1\}$  contains K. Consequently, the lemniscates  $\{|g(\pi(w))| < R^{(g)}(z^0)\}$ , so and  $\{|g(\pi(w))| < R^{(g)}_*(z^0)\}$  contains (since,  $R^{(g)}_*(z^0) \ge$  $R^{(g)}(z^0)$ ).

5. Let  $\Omega$  - an image of domain  $\tilde{G} = (D \times A) \setminus S$  in maping  $(z, \pi^{-1}(\zeta)) \to (z, \pi^{-1}(\frac{1}{\zeta}))$ . The set  $(D \times A') \setminus \Omega = L$  is also pseudoconcave. Since, S does not cross the set  $D \times \{\pi^{-1}(0)\}$ , then L is bounded and intersection  $L \cap A'$  for any point  $z^0 \in D$ , consist from finite number of points, i.e. the set L is satisfying all conditions of lemma 3. Consequently, L - analytic set from here it is easy to see, that S- analytic. The proof of theorem is complete.

#### REFERENCES

Shabat B.V. Introduction to complex analysis. Part 2. Moscow, "Nauka", 1985.

Rothstein W. Ein neuer Beweis des hartogsshen hauptsatzes und sline ausdehnung auf meromorphe functionen // Math. Z. -1950.-V.53.-P.84

Kazaryan M.V. On holomorpgic extension of functions with special singularities in  $C^n$ . Doc. Acad. Nauk Arm.SSR. 1983. v. 76. p. 13-17.

Sadullaev A.S. and Chirka E.M. On extension of functions with polar singularities. Math. Sb. 1987. v. 132(174) <sup>1</sup>3. p. 383-390.

Tuychiev T.T. and Imomkulov S.A. Holomorphic extension of functions, having singularities on parallel multidimensional sections. Doc. Acad. Nauk of Uzbekistan. 2004. <sup>1</sup>2. p.12-15.

Imomkulov S.A., Khujamov J. U. On holomorphic continuation of functions along boundary sections. Mathematica Bohemica. (Czech Republic) – 2005. V. 130(3) P. 309-322.

Imomkulov S.A. On holomorphic continuation of functions, given on boundary beam of complex line// Izvestiya Russian Academy of Science. Series of math -2005. - V. 69,  $^{1}2$ . - p.125 -144.

Stoilov S. The theory of functions of complex variables. Volume 1. Moscow-1962.

Chirka E.M. Complex analytic sets. Moscow-1985.