American Options Pricing under Stochastic Volatility: Approximation of the Early Exercise Surface and Monte Carlo Simulations

Kuperin Yu.A., Poloskov P.A.

Department of Physics, Saint Petersburg State University, Saint Petersburg, Russia

Abstract

The aim of this study was to develop methods for evaluating the American-style option prices when the volatility of the underlying asset is described by a stochastic process. As part of this problem were developed techniques for modeling the early exercise surface of the American option. These methods of present work are compared to the complexity of modeling and computation speed. The paper presents the semi-analytic expression for the price of American options with stochastic volatility. The results of numerical computations and their calibration are also presented. The obtained results were compared with results excluding the effect of volatility smile.

PACS numbers: 89.65.Gh, 02.50.Ey, 05.10.Ln E-mail: <u>yuri.kuperin@gmail.com</u>, <u>pavel.poloskov@gmail.com</u> Corresponding author: Kuperin Yu.A <u>yuri.kuperin@gmail.com</u>

Introduction

The main difference between the American option and the European option is that the American option can be exercised at any time before the expiration. This considerably increases the difficulty of the problem, because now it is not known boundary condition for the time variable. In this situation, one needs to calculate the surface of the early exercise surface. To do this we shall use the method proposed in [11]. Then one can use an analytical expression for the price of the American type option, based on the results obtained in [5].

The basic model

As in the case of European options, we shall use the geometric Brownian motion as a model of underlying asset dynamics. And to describe the dynamics of the underlying asset volatility we shall choose Heston square root process:

$$dS = \mu S dt + \sigma S dz_1$$

$$dV = k(Q - V)dt + \xi V^{1/2} dz_2$$

Stochastic processes z_1 and z_2 are correlated with correlation coefficient ρ , namely $E[dz_1dz_2] = \rho dt$. If *r* is the risk-free interest rate and *q* is the continuously compounded dividend rate, then applying the Ito Lemma and acting standard methods one can obtain a partial differential equation for the price of American Call option:

$$\frac{\partial C_{A}}{\partial \tau} = \frac{VS^{2}}{2} \frac{\partial^{2}C_{A}}{\partial S^{2}} + \rho\sigma VS \frac{\partial^{2}C_{A}}{\partial S \partial V} + \frac{\sigma^{2}V}{2} \frac{\partial^{2}C_{A}}{\partial V^{2}} + (r-q)S \frac{\partial C_{A}}{\partial S} + (k(Q-V)-V\lambda)\frac{\partial C_{A}}{\partial V} - rC_{A}$$

$$(1.1)$$

$$0 \le \tau \le T$$

$$0 < S < b(V,\tau)$$

$$0 \le V < \infty$$

Here $b(V, \tau)$ denotes the early exercise boundary at time τ and volatility level V. The initial and boundary conditions for (1.1) in the case of an American call option are

$$C_{A}(S,V,0) = \max(S-K,0)$$

$$C_{A}(b(V,\tau),V,\tau) = b(V,\tau) - K$$

$$\lim_{S \to b(V,\tau)} \frac{\partial C_{A}}{\partial S} = 1$$

$$\lim_{S \to b(V,\tau)} \frac{\partial C_{A}}{\partial V} = 0$$
(1.2)

The right hand side in the first equation (1.2) is a payoff function and may take another form, but in our case, we confine ourselves to plain vanilla payoff function. The first step to solve the problem (1.1) with free boundary conditions (1.2) will be the change of variables. $S = e^x$, $U_A(x, V, \tau) = e^{r\tau}C_A(S, V, \tau)$. After change of variables equation (1.1) is rewritten as:

$$\frac{\partial U_A}{\partial \tau} = \frac{V}{2} \frac{\partial^2 U_A}{\partial x^2} + \rho \sigma V \frac{\partial^2 U_A}{\partial x \partial V} + \frac{\sigma^2 V}{2} \frac{\partial^2 U_A}{\partial V^2} + (r - q - \frac{V}{2}) S \frac{\partial U_A}{\partial x} + (\alpha - \beta V) \frac{\partial U_A}{\partial V}$$
(1.3)

In this equation we introduced, for the convinience, the following variables: $\alpha = kQ$, $\beta = k + \lambda$. The boundary conditions after the change of variables take the form:

$$U_{A}(x,V,0) = \max(e^{x} - K,0)$$

$$U_{A}(\ln(b(V,\tau)),V,\tau) = (b(V,\tau) - K)e^{r\tau}$$

$$\lim_{x \to \ln b(V,\tau)} \frac{\partial U_{A}}{\partial x} = b(V,\tau)e^{r\tau}$$

$$\lim_{x \to \ln b(V,\tau)} \frac{\partial C_{A}}{\partial V} = 0$$
(1.4)

In the article Chiarella, Zuiogaz [9] was proposed a method which allows obtaining the inhomogeneous differential equation for an unbounded domain $-\infty < x < \infty$, which would be equivalent to equation (1.3) for a bounded domain $-\infty < x < b(V, \tau)$ According to [9] the problem (1.3) - (1.4) can be written as

$$\frac{\partial U_A}{\partial \tau} = \frac{V}{2} \frac{\partial^2 U_A}{\partial x^2} + \rho \sigma V \frac{\partial^2 U_A}{\partial x \partial V} + \frac{\sigma^2 V}{2} \frac{\partial^2 U_A}{\partial V^2} + (r - q - \frac{V}{2}) \frac{\partial U_A}{\partial x} + (\alpha - \beta V) \frac{\partial U_A}{\partial V} + (1.5) + H(x - \ln b(V, \tau)) \{e^{r\tau} (q e^x - rK)\}$$

where H(x) is the Heaviside function.

This equation should be solved in the unbounded domain $-\infty < x < \infty$, $0 < V < \infty$, $0 \le \tau \le T$. Note that the boundary and initial conditions (1.4) also hold for this equation.

Approximate solution by means of Fourier transform

Inhomogeneous equation (1.5) differs from the homogeneous equation, which solved Heston (1993) for European options with stochastic volatility only by term, giving the inhomogeneity. Then, solution of (1.5) can be written as the sum of two terms:

$$U_{A}(x,V,\tau) = U(x,V,\tau) + W(x,V,\tau)$$
 (1.6)

The first term in (1.6) is a solution of the inhomogeneous equation for the European option, and the second one is called early exercise premium [9]. In this case, the second term we will find in the form

$$W(x,V,\tau) = \int_0^\tau \int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty H(\zeta - \ln b(w,\xi)) \{ e^{r\xi} (qe^u - rK) \} F(x,V,\tau - \xi, u, w) dudw d\xi$$
(1.7)

The value $W(x,V,\tau)$ represents the expectation of the discounted to zero - time cash flow $(qe^u - rK)$. The expectation is calculated at the asset price x, at the volatility Vand at the time τ remaining until the option is exercised. Function $F(x,V,\tau-\xi,u,w)$ is a transitional probability. The function $U(x,V,\tau)$ has already been found in the work of Heston (1993). It means that we have to calculate $W(x,V,\tau)$ only, which in turn requires the computation of the function $F(x,V,\tau-\xi,u,w)$.

Since it is impossible to obtain an analytical solution for $F(x,V,\tau-\xi,u,w)$ at an arbitrary function $b(V,\tau)$, we use linear approximation to the early exercise surface, which was proposed in Tzavalis & Wang (2003). These authors showed that the logarithm of the function $b(V,\tau)$ can be accurately approximated by a linear function of V:

$$\ln b(V,\tau) \approx b_0(\tau) + V b_1(\tau)$$
. (1.8)

Following [9] we use Fourier transform to obtain an semianalytical expression for $W(x,V,\tau)$.

First, apply the Fourier transform of the function $F(x,V,\tau-\xi,u,w)$ with respect to the variable *u* :

$$\hat{f}_2(x,V,\tau-\xi,\phi,w) \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\phi u} F(x,v,\tau-\xi,u,w) du.$$

Then equation (1.7) can be rewritten as

$$W(x,V,\tau) = \int_0^\tau \int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty H(u - \ln b(w,\xi)) e^{r\xi} (qe^u - rK) \times \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{-iu\phi} \hat{f}_2(x,v,\tau-\xi,\phi,w) d\phi \right\} dudwd\xi$$

Next, we make the change of variables $y = u - \ln b(V, \tau)$ and obtain

$$W(x,V,\tau) = \int_0^\tau \int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty H(y) e^{r\xi} (q e^{y+\ln b(w,\xi)} - rK) \times \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{-i\phi(y+\ln b(w,\xi))} \hat{f}_2(x,v,\tau-\xi,\phi,w) d\phi \right\} dy dw d\xi.$$

Now substitute $\ln b(V, \tau)$ from equation (1.8) and obtain an expression for $W(x, V, \tau)$:

$$W(x,V,\tau) = \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} H(y) e^{r\xi} (q e^{y+b_{0}(\tau)+Vb_{1}(\tau)} - rK) \times \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i\phi(y+b_{0}(\tau)+Vb_{1}(\tau))} \hat{f}_{2}(x,v,\tau-\xi,\phi,w) d\phi \right\} dy dw d\xi.$$
(1.9)

To bring this expression for $W(x,V,\tau)$ to the semi-analytic form we used a proof from [9]. Then we obtain the final expression:

$$W(x,V,\tau) = e^{x}e^{r\tau} \int_{0}^{\tau} q e^{-q(\tau-\xi)} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{e^{-i\phi b_{0}(\tau)}}{i\phi} f_{1}(x,v,\tau-\xi,\phi,-b_{1}(\xi)\phi)\right) d\phi\right) d\xi - \int_{0}^{\tau} rK e^{-r(\tau-\xi)} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{e^{-i\phi b_{0}(\tau)}}{i\phi} f_{2}(x,v,\tau-\xi,\phi,-b_{1}(\xi)\phi)\right) d\phi\right) d\xi - \int_{0}^{\tau} rK e^{-r(\tau-\xi)} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{e^{-i\phi b_{0}(\tau)}}{i\phi} f_{2}(x,v,\tau-\xi,\phi,-b_{1}(\xi)\phi)\right) d\phi\right) d\xi - \int_{0}^{\tau} rK e^{-r(\tau-\xi)} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{e^{-i\phi b_{0}(\tau)}}{i\phi} f_{2}(x,v,\tau-\xi,\phi,-b_{1}(\xi)\phi)\right) d\phi\right) d\xi - \int_{0}^{\tau} rK e^{-r(\tau-\xi)} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{e^{-i\phi b_{0}(\tau)}}{i\phi} f_{2}(x,v,\tau-\xi,\phi,-b_{1}(\xi)\phi)\right) d\phi\right) d\xi - \int_{0}^{\tau} rK e^{-r(\tau-\xi)} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{e^{-i\phi b_{0}(\tau)}}{i\phi} f_{2}(x,v,\tau-\xi,\phi,-b_{1}(\xi)\phi)\right) d\phi\right) d\xi - \int_{0}^{\tau} rK e^{-r(\tau-\xi)} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{e^{-i\phi b_{0}(\tau)}}{i\phi} f_{2}(x,v,\tau-\xi,\phi,-b_{1}(\xi)\phi)\right) d\phi\right) d\xi - \int_{0}^{\tau} rK e^{-r(\tau-\xi)} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{e^{-i\phi b_{0}(\tau)}}{i\phi} f_{2}(x,v,\tau-\xi,\phi,-b_{1}(\xi)\phi)\right) d\phi\right) d\xi - \int_{0}^{\tau} rK e^{-r(\tau-\xi)} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{e^{-i\phi b_{0}(\tau)}}{i\phi} f_{2}(x,v,\tau-\xi,\phi,-b_{1}(\xi)\phi)\right) d\phi d\xi - \int_{0}^{\tau} rK e^{-r(\tau-\xi)} d\xi + \int_{0}^{\tau} rK e^{-r(\tau$$

Returning to equation (1.6), all that is left to determine is the value $U(x,V,\tau)$ of a European option with stochastic volatility. As mentioned above, the solution of this problem can be found in Heston (1993). Here is an expression without proof:

$$U(x,v,\tau) = e^{x} e^{(r-q)\tau} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{e^{-i\phi \ln K}}{i\phi} f_{1}(x,v,\tau,\phi,0) \right) d\phi \right) - K \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{e^{-i\phi \ln K}}{i\phi} f_{2}(x,v,\tau,\phi,0) \right) d\phi \right)$$

Let us combine the results for the value $U(x,V,\tau)$ of a European option and the early exercise premium $W(x,V,\tau)$ of an American option, as well as take into account the change of variables made above $S = e^x$, $C_A(S,V,\tau) = e^{-r\tau}U(x,V,\tau)$. As a result, we obtain the final expression for the price of American Call option with vanilla payoff function:

$$C_{A}(S,V,\tau) = Se^{-q\tau}P_{1}(S,V,\tau,K,0) - Ke^{-r\tau}P_{2}(S,V,\tau,K,0) + + \int_{0}^{\tau} qSe^{-q(\tau-\xi)}P_{1}(S,V,\tau-\xi,e^{b_{0}(\xi)},-b_{1}(\xi))d\xi - (1.10) - \int_{0}^{\tau} rKe^{-r(\tau-\xi)}P_{2}(S,V,\tau-\xi,e^{b_{0}(\xi)},-b_{1}(\xi))d\xi$$

where

$$P_{j}(S,V,\tau,K,\psi) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{e^{-i\phi \ln K}}{i\phi} f_{j}(\ln S,V,\tau,\phi,\psi)\right) d\phi$$
(1.11)

All that is left now is to get the function surface early performance and present it in the form of a linear approximation $\ln b(V,\tau) \approx b_0(\tau) + Vb_1(\tau)$. The idea of constructing this surface is described in the next section.

Costruction of the early exercise surface

The idea of constructing the early exercise surface was proposed in the article Longstaff & Schwartz (2001) [11]. To implement this idea, we introduce a probability space (Ω, F, P) and finite time horizon [0, T]. Here the space of elementary events Ω is a set of all possible realizations of a stochastic process (S_t, V_t) on the interval [0, T]. The elementary events we denote as ω , which is a sample of a trajectory. The quantities F, P are, respectively, the probability filtering and probability measure. Assume the existence of equivalent martingale measure for this stochastic process. A consequence of the existence of a martingale measure is the lack of arbitrage opportunities in this economy. We restrict our attention to options, for which payoffs are elements of the space $L^2(\Omega, F, P)$. This gives us reason to decompose the option payoff in the functional basis in the space $L^2(\Omega, F, P)$. In our case we choose a basis of the Laguerre polynomials.

The obvious way to assess the price of American option is to take it equal to the maximal expected discounted cash flow, where the maximum is taken over all possible exercise times of option. The expectation is calculated by the martingale (risk-neutral) measure. Thus, we are interested in obtaining the optimal option exercise strategy that maximizes the expected cash flow. Since the option cash flow at any time depends on the price of the underlying asset, which is a random variable, then cash flow is also a random variable. Assume that the option can be exercised only in a finite set of time $0 = t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < ... < t_N = T$ located in the interval [0, T]. Then it is necessary to generate a set of *M* different trajectories of basic stochastic processes (S_{t_i}, V_t) containing *N* time steps. At time *T* the expected cash flow is simply equal to the payoff function. Consequently, the optimal strategy for the option holder is to exercise option, if the payoffs function greater than zero. If the option holder decides not to exercise the option at time $t_k < T$ than the expected discounted (to time t_k) cash flow will be equal

$$H(\omega_j, t_k) = E\left(\sum_{i=t_{k+1}}^T e^{r(t_i - t_k)} C(\omega_j, t_i)\right),$$

where $C(\omega_i, t_i)$ is a cash flow, which will occur at time t_i .

To calculate $H(\omega_j, t_k)$ for all trajectories, we approximate the function $H(\omega_j, t_k)$ with the help of polynomials. The choice of these polynomials can be debated, but here we use the Laguerre polynomials. The expansion coefficients will be determined by the method of least squares:

$$H(S_k, V_k, t_k) \approx C_0^k + C_1^k L_0\left(\frac{S}{K}\right) + C_2^k L_1\left(\frac{S}{K}\right) + C_3^k L_0\left(\frac{S}{K}\frac{V}{Q}\right),$$

were L_0, L_1 are the Laguerre polynomials of degree 0 and 1 respectively. Polynomial expansion is possible due to the fact that we have assumed that the payoff functions belong to $L^2(\Omega, F, P)$. Now, if the option holder follows the optimal strategy, it is necessary to compare the instantaneous cash flow $C(\omega_j, t_k)$, which will happen if the option to exercise at the time t_k and the expected future discounted cash flow $H(\omega_j, t_k)$. Thus, if $C(\omega_j, t_k) > H(\omega_j, t_k)$, the option has to exersice, otherwise, one need to decide to keep the option until the next discrete point in time.

The decision to exercise or not exercise the option needs to be done for each time t_k . As a result, we obtain the unique optimal time when the option is exercised. If one executes an option at this time, he will receive maximum discounted cash flow. All that remains is to average over many different trajectories of the stochastic process describing the dynamics of the underlying asset. So the easiest way to evaluate American options is generating trajectories by means of the Monte Carlo method. Here we want to obtain an analytical expression for the price of American option and all that we need to do is to evaluate the early exercise surface. To do this, it is necessary for every time t_k and for each possible value of V_k determine the value of the underlying asset S_k , which satisfied the boundary condition for the Call option:

$$C_A(b(V,\tau),V,\tau) = b(V,\tau) - K.$$

Once we get the price of the underlying asset, in which for different values of volatility exercise of the option is optimal, we can define functions $b_0(\tau), b_1(\tau)$ using the method of least squares, on the basis of the expression

 $\ln b(V,\tau) \approx b_0(\tau) + V b_1(\tau).$

Now we have prepared everything necessary in order to calculate the price of an American call option, using equation (1.10).

Numerical calculations and results

To generate the trajectories of the underlying asset and its volatility we shall use the Euler scheme:

$$S_{t+1} = S_t + \mu S_t \Delta t + \sqrt{V_t} S_t \Delta W_1$$
$$V_{t+1} = V_t + k(Q - V_t) \Delta t + \sigma \sqrt{V_t} \left(\rho \Delta W_1 + \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} \Delta W_2\right)$$

where $\Delta W_1, \Delta W_2$ are independent random variables distributed with the parameters $(0, \sqrt{\Delta t})$

To calculate the integrals in equation (1.10) and equation (1.11), we used the quadrature formulas, namely, the trapezoidal formula.

Table 1 compares the results obtained by the method described in this paper and the the results obtained by the method derived in the article AitSahlia and Lai (1999). The column T represents time until the option is exercised, the column S represents the price of underlying asset, the column "Test" represents the results for the American option prices with which we compared our results. Columns Mkn represent our results. The abbreviation MkN denotes prices obtained using $M \times 1,000$ sample paths and N time steps.

Т	S	Test	10k*10	50k10	100k5	100k10	100k25
0.02	80	20.002	19.98	19.98	19.96	19.98	19.992
	90	10	9.937	9.958	9.952	9.941	9.927
	100	2.138	2.114	2.112	2.121	2.112	2.106
	120	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001
0.08	80	20	19.943	19.961	19.895	19.947	19.969
	90	10.354	10.408	10.487	10.501	10.428	10.356
	100	3.942	3.971	3.974	4.007	3.964	3.937
	120	0.19	0.223	0.223	0.227	0.223	0.221
0.15	80	20.002	20.007	20.052	19.98	20.017	20.006
	90	10.842	11.007	11.072	11.15	11.012	10.893
	100	4.979	5.049	5.043	5.116	5.038	4.979
	120	0.651	0.66	0.659	0.671	0.659	0.65

Table1. Comparison of results obtained in present paper and the results of the article AitSahlia and Lai (1999)

Table 1 show that the method of modeling the early exercise surface by the Monte Carlo

method gives fair results, and may be useful in practice. To implement the method described in this paper it has been written the software in the language of Matlab package.

Conclusion

In this paper, a method for evaluating American options under stochastic volatility models [9,10,11] has been developed. In particular, there was developed an algorithm that simulates the early excersise surface of American options and used the idea [9] of obtaining analytical expressions for the value of options of American type with vanilla payoff function. The results showed that the method described in the paper allows to accurately calculating the price of American options. As a logical continuation of this study it may be interesting to develop a method for assessing American options for the complex payoff functions, using the jump diffusion process for describing the dynamics of the underlying asset.

References

[1] F. Black and M. Scholes. "The Pricing of Options and Corporate Liabilities."// Journal of Political Economy 81 (May 1973)

[2] R. C. Merton. "The Theory of Rational Option Pricing." // Bell Journal of Economics and Management Science **4** (Spring 1973)

[3] J. Hull, A. White The Pricing of Options on Assets with Stochastic Volatilities // The Journal of Finance, Vol. 42, No. 2. (Jun., 1987)

[4] Paul Wilmott, Sam Howison, Jeff Dewynne, The mathematics of financial derivatives ,Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996

[5] S. Heston, "A Closed Form Solution for Options with Stochastic Volatility with Applications to Bond and Currency Options." // The Review of Financial Studies (1993)
[6] E. Cisana, L. Fermi, A Comparative Study of Stochastic Volatility Models. // arXiv:0709.0810v1 6 Sep 2007

[7] B. E. Baaquie A Path Integral Approach to Option Pricing with Stochastic Volatility: Some Exact Results // arXiv:cond-mat/9708178 v1 22 Aug 1997

[8] B. E. Baaquie, L.C. Kwek and M. Srikant Simulation of Stochastic Volatility using Path Integration: Smiles and Frowns // arXiv:cond-mat/0008327 v1 23 Aug 2000

[9] Carl Chiarella, Andrew Ziogas, Pricing American options under stochastic volatility. Proceedings of the School of Finance and Economics, University of Technology, Sydney. May 31, 2005. [10] Farid AitSahlia, Manisha Goswami, American option pricing under stochastic volatility: an efficient numerical approach, Springer-Verlag 2008

[11] Longstaff, Francis A, Schwartz, Valuing American Options by Simulation: A Simple Least-Squares Approach. Anderson Graduate School of Management 2001. http://escholarship.org/uc/item/43n1k4jb

[12] Feynman R P and Hibbs A R Quantum Mechanics and Path Integral, New York: McGraw-Hill, 1965

[13] Marco Rosa-Clot and Stefano Taddei Path Integral Approach to Derivative Security Pricing: Formalism and Analytical Results. //arXiv:cond-mat/9901277 v1 26 Jan 1999

[14] G. Bormetti, G. Montagna Pricing Exotic Options in a Path Integral Approach // arXiv:cond-mat/0407321 v2 2006

[15] Guido Montagna and Oreste Nicrosini 2002 A Path Integral Way to Option Pricing// arXiv:cond-mat/0202143 v1

[16] Marco Rosa-Clot and Stefano Taddei, A Path Integral Approach to Derivative Security Pricing: II. Numerical Methods // arXiv:cond-mat/9901279 v1 26 Jan 1999

[17] P. Goldin, Analytical results for the European options pricing with stochastic volatility// Intership Project, Educational programe "Information Systems, Econophysics and Management of complex systems", 2005 (in Russian)

[18] A. Shiryaev, Fundamental of stochastic financial mathematics, Vol1: Facts and Models, Fasis presss, 1998 (in Russian)

[19] Peter Jeckel, Monte Carlo Method in finance, John Wiley & Sons, 2001