SMIJ - VOL. 2, Number 1 - 2, 2006

Marilou Ioakimidis¹, Apostolos Stergioulas², Alexandra Tripolitsioti³

- ¹ Department of Economics, University of Athens, Greece
- ² Department of Sport Management, University of Peloponnese, Greece
- ³ Youth & Sport Organization, Municipality of Athens, Greece

Abstract

Environmental responsibility (ER), as a major aspect of corporate social responsibility, is an important issue for organizations in the sport industry as it is for all other organizations. This paper presents three reasons why sport industry firms should embrace environmental responsibility as a management competency. The first is the ethical reason, which consists of two main considerations and applies not just to firms in the sport industry but to all organizations. The second reason pertains specifically to sport organizations and invokes their unique relationship to their customers. The third reason is that embracing ER can lead to economic benefits for the organization. Two main aspects of this advantage —cost savings due to more efficient resource usage and enhanced image— are discussed.

Key Words: Social Corporate Responsibility, Sport Industry, Management competency.

sport industry Φ posibility 0 S S Φ **(D)** S nvironmental S Φ

In recent years, the view that Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) is an appropriate competency for business organizations has strengthened (e.g., Hopkins, 2003; Maignan & Ralston, 2002; Medhurst & Richards, 2003; Whitehouse, 2006). However, despite the increasing concern with CSR among both businesses and academicians, relatively little in the literature has focused specifically on CSR within the area of Sport Management. Notable exceptions include Bradish (2006), Chernushenko (2001), and Hums, Barr, and Guillion (1999).

Certainly, business organizations in the sport industry cannot be excepted from the conversation about CSR. Like all other types of enterprise, those with sport as their main focus are open systems. As such, they have relations with numerous stakeholder groups inside and outside the organization, operate within particular localities, and make use of a variety of resources. Hums et al. (1999) recognized this relevance by identifying issues related to social responsibility that confront managers in each of five major areas of the sport industry — professional sport, intercollegiate sport, health and fitness, recreational sport, and facility management.

One of the most important aspects of CSR is the idea that business organizations have responsibilities to the natural environment (Werhane and Freeman, 1999; Wood, 1991). Such environmental responsibilities are often discussed under the heading of Corporate Sustainability (CS). However, as evidenced by presentations at the 2002 Corporate Sustainability Conference in Rotterdam, CS is evolving into a broader concept which, like CSR, embraces social issues (Van Marrewijk, 2003a). Thus, for the sake of clarity, in this paper the notion of environmental responsibility will be termed simply as that (or ER for short).

The fact that ER has become an important consideration for businesses is reflected in findings by Maignan and Ralston (2002), who examined the Web sites of large companies in four countries, the Netherlands, France, the United Kingdom, and the United States. The researchers found that on the sites examined, 78.8% of the UK firms, 70.8% of the Dutch firms, 62.1% of the French firms, and 47.1% of the U.S. firms mentioned the environment as a concern of the firm. Though the researchers did not investigate how adequately the companies' actions matched

sport industry the posibility **(1)** S S Φ **(D)** S nvironmental S 0

their communications, the findings showed that many large companies, especially in Europe, claim to take ER seriously.

Like the broader notion of CSR, environmental responsibility is also an important issue for organizations in the sport industry. Hums et al. (1999) recognized this by pointing out that environmental issues are proper concerns for managers in the area of Recreational Sports Management. This is especially obvious for organizations whose main business revolves around one or another outdoor sport which makes essential use of the natural environment. Whether the recreational sport enterprise is relatively large, such as a ski resort or a golf course, or small, such as a scuba diving firm, it is incumbent on sport businesses that depend on the natural environment to be good stewards of that environment. Recognition of the importance of sound environmental stewardship in recreational sport is reflected in initiatives such as Course Management Best Practices Guidelines (R&A, 2006), the result of a collaboration between the Royal & Ancient Golf Club of the U.K. and the European Golf Association, and Sustainable Slopes (NSAA, 2006) produced by the National Ski Areas Association headquartered in the U.S.

But the importance of environmental issues for the sport industry goes beyond recreational sport. Every organization in every sector of the industry is necessarily embedded within a natural and a human-made environment from which the organization derives inputs and creates outputs. The nature and volume of these inputs, and perhaps especially the outputs, cannot help but have an effect on the natural environment. Moreover, if the organization does not embrace its environmental responsibilities, this effect may have a decidedly detrimental effect on that environment. Thus, ER can be seen as a fundamental aspect of social responsibility that is relevant not only to recreational sport organizations, but throughout the sport industry.

This relevance raises a number of critical questions for owners and managers of sport industry firms. They are the same questions being asked by countless other business organizations. What exactly are our environmental responsibilities? Just what measures should the organization take to lighten our environmental footprint? And –very important– why? Why should our organization do more in the way of supporting environmental sustainability

sport industry Φ posibility **(1)** S S Φ **(D)** 3 S nvironmenta Ф

than it is legally mandated? How much the fulfillment of our environmental responsibilities, whatever they may be, is supposed to relate to our primary purpose of creating value for our owners?

These are difficult questions for any organization to answer, and it is as difficult if its main business is oriented toward sport. In the end, they are questions that can be fully answered only through the leadership of owners and managers who have intimate knowledge of their business and are informed by detailed knowledge of the environmental options available to them. However, several important considerations can be offered to lay a partial groundwork for developing those answers. In particular, three fundamental replies to that all-important question of why a sport industry firm should take significant measures toward environmental sustainability can be offered:

- First, as it is becoming increasingly evident, it is in everyone's interest for all businesses, in every industry, to make environmental sustainability a management competency and an aspect of organizational excellence.
- Second, by taking their environmental responsibilities seriously, organizations in the sport industry are uniquely positioned to communicate the value of environmental sustainability to large numbers of people.
- Third, fulfilling its environmental responsibilities can help the firm gain a competitive edge and create greater value for its owners.

The following three sections of the paper will elaborate on these basic answers to the question of why sport industry firms should embrace ER as a management competency.

Reason 1: The ethical reason

The ethical argument for the claim that sport management firms should become environmental champions is one which most of us are familiar with. The argument stands on two legs. The first leg is simply this: the mental model which views profit and growth as the only legitimate objectives of business has, as its logical conclusion, environmental disaster. If every business organization were

sport industry the posibility **(1)** S S Φ **(D)** S Environmental S Φ

to continue to act according to that model, the disturbingly rapid deterioration of the physical environment that we have witnessed over the past several decades would continue at breakneck speed. Forest degradation, the near elimination of a number of fisheries, air pollution, ocean and beach pollution, mercury poisoning, and global warming are only among the most well known of a long, sad litany of environmental problems. The result of failing to become good stewards of the environment would be an increasingly polluted, unhealthy, dangerous, ugly, and unpredictable environment - for us, our neighbors, our children, and their children. And this is unacceptable. Moreover, the mental model is self-contradictory. If profit and growth at all costs really were the only legitimate objectives of business, then the mental model would eventually defeat itself. As a number of writers have pointed out recently (e.g., Adolphson, 2004; Hawken, Lovins, & Lovins, 1999; Odum, 1996; Prugh, 1999), to not take Nature into account in our business plans and processes is economically disastrous. As these and other writers have clearly recognized, Nature is the foundation of all economic wealth. Those who do not take care of that foundation will eventually find it unable to support them.

The second arm of the ethical argument is the following. Given that we know, as we certainly do, that if no business firm takes ER seriously, then we -and our descendants- will pay a terrible price, then for an individual firm not to do its part in the effort is unconscionable. Certainly a firm's directors might surmise that by letting other businesses do all the work of maintaining proper environmental accounts, the firm would gain a competitive advantage. But even if this were true -and an argument will be given later in the paper that it need not be trueopting out of what other businesses embrace as their environmental responsibility is fundamentally unjust. Fortunately, the majority of business owners and managers are able to reflect carefully on the manifold justifications for taking ER seriously, and they have the foresight and imagination to see what must be done by all together. Not to do what needs to be done is not a failure of ethical reasoning or moral imagination according Al Gini (2006), co-founder of the Journal of Business Ethics, but a failure of will.

sport industry Ф posibility **(1)** S S Ф **(D)** 3 S Ф

Reason 2: Sport organizations have a unique opportunity to be leaders in ER

The argument just presented for taking ER seriously was not formulated especially for organizations in the sport industry. The ethical reasons are sound for any business, large or small, in any industry. But the second reason why sport-centered organizations should embrace ER is targeted specifically to them, and it rests on their unique nature.

That unique nature is a common thread that runs through the many varieties of business in the sport industry - the fact that sport firms are distinguished from other business entities by the kind of services they provide and their relationships to their customers. Many businesses, perhaps especially manufacturing firms, have a large customer base but little direct contact with customers. Other businesses may have direct contact, but their customer base is relatively small and unchanging. But sport enterprises generally have both - direct contact with their customers, and a continuous, often sizeable inflow of customers that purchase the firm's services. Whether the organization's business consists of a professional sport team, a health and fitness club, a recreational sport business such as a skating rink or a mountain biking venue, or a large sports facility, in most cases the firm deals directly with a more or less steady stream -and often quite a large one- of customers.

This provides sport organizations with an opportunity which is unavailable to many other kinds of business. By making ER a management competency and taking a strong stand in favor of environmental sustainability, the organization has the opportunity to multiply its environmental efforts by transmitting ER as a value to its customers. The firm need not do this in a heavy-handed way. Simply by letting its customers know that it is committed to the goal of environmental sustainability and it is undertaking substantial efforts to attain that objective, the organization will tend to strengthen the ideal of caring for the environment in customers' minds. This is important because environmental responsibility is not just an issue for organizations; it is also a job for individuals and families. Whatever makes it more likely for those fundamental social units to increase their environmentally responsible behavior

sport industry the posibility **(1)** S S Ф **(D)** S nvironmental S Ф

is a plus, and by modeling responsible environmental behavior, the organization helps to make that behavior more likely.

It might be overly optimistic to suppose that by modeling sound environmental stewardship a sport organization can foster a significant difference in most of their customers' environmental behavior. Indeed, the degree of difference that it would make would be an excellent project for future research. However, if even a few customers are impressed by the organization's commitment to environmental sustainability and embrace the ideal more strongly than before, then the organization's efforts have been multiplied by some factor. Most sport organizations are, by their nature, uniquely positioned to have such a positive effect.

Reason 3: Environmental sense makes economic sense

The third reason for sport management firms to strongly embrace ER as a management competency is one that should be dear to the heart of any owner or sport manager: it can increase the firm's profitability. This claim is based on two main considerations. First, many of the actions which the firm can take to better fulfill its environmental responsibilities are actually less expensive than doing things the «old» way. Second, by strongly embracing the notion of ER and clearly communicating its stance to actual and potential customers, the firm can increase the value of its image and its brand, while making its services more attractive. Together, the two considerations can provide a distinct competitive advantage for the sport management firm. Let us take these advantages in order.

Environmental Initiatives Can Be Savings Opportunities

A growing literature champions the view that what makes sense environmentally for companies can also make good economic sense (e.g., Adolphson, 2004; Hawken, Lovins, & Lovins, 1999). A major way in which environmentally friendly measures can add to the company's

sport industry Φ posibility **(1)** S S Φ **(D)** S Environmental S Ф

bottom line is through decreasing waste. Lovins, Lovins, & Hawken (1999) furnish a plethora of relevant examples. For instance, over a six-week period, Dow Chemical Europe reduced paper usage by 30% in its Swiss headquarters by discouraging the proliferation of unneeded information. At the same time, labor productivity increased because people were reading less unnecessary information. Other cost- and resource-saving examples reported by Lovins et al. include copying only on both sides of a paper, more efficient use of wood fiber, and recycling. Indeed, measures as simple as improving insulation and managing thermostat settings can save significant energy – and thus money.

In the very short term, each specific Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER) measure may lead to only small savings for the environment and the company; however, a comprehensive CER program that addresses a variety of environmental issues can, over time, result in substantial savings for the company while significantly lessening the cost to the environment of doing business. Lovins et al. (1999) reported that Johnson & Johnson Company saved \$2.8 million during a 30-month campaign of reducing paper and packaging waste, while saving the equivalent of 330 annual acres of trees.

A first step the sport organization can take to determine where energy and other resources can be used more efficiently and waste reduced is to determine inputs and outputs of all business processes. Green & Gold (1999), in an environmental management and monitoring report for large sporting events and facilities, which was prepared for Sport Canada, list areas of environmental concern that are relevant to many kinds of sport organization. Are there improvements that can be made in the company's practices insofar as they affect nearby air, water, and land? How can current energy and waste management strategies be improved? Are facilities and transportation being managed in the most environmentally responsible ways? Each of these areas can be evaluated with an eye toward both environmental sustainability and how to more efficiently use resources and decrease waste.

Not every environmental initiative will lead to immediate savings. And of course economic payoff should not be the sole factor in deciding whether to implement environmental initiatives. However, many environmentally friendly endeavors

sport industry the posibility **(1)** S S Φ **(D)** S nvironmental S Ф

can also be justified on the basis of economic value to the business either in the short or the long term. To determine such win-win initiatives, what is needed is knowledge. There is a burgeoning wealth of information about the intersection of business and environmental sustainability in books, on the Internet, and in journals such as *Organization* and Environment, the Journal of Environment and Development, and the Journal of Environmental Planning and Management. In the light of this information, the traditional notion that it is always too costly to embrace ER beyond government mandates is losing ground. In relation to major sport events, Green & Gold (1999) maintained that the managerial view that environmental initiatives for large sporting events are always expensive is false. «Scores of organisations are proving that good environmental management is either revenue neutral or ultimately a source of savings or new opportunities» (p. 15). Much the same can be said for the sport industry overall.

Practicing ER Can Enhance Reputation

A second economic benefit that can accrue to sport organizations by making ER a management competency is an improved reputation in the eyes of customers. According to Maignan and Ralston (2002), recent research suggests that embracing CSR may be an effective way for firms to enhance their image among stakeholders. Argenti, Druckenmiller, & Novelli (2003) agree, holding that CSR can enhance corporate brand image. Since environmental responsibility is one main aspect of social responsibility, it follows that much the same can be said for firms that embrace ER. By acting in an environmentally responsible way, the organization can be seen as being, in a sense, a sponsor of the natural environment. Given the view that practicing CSR -and thus ER- is a form of cause-related marketing (Irwin, Lachowetz, Cornwell, & Clark, 2003), making ER an organizational cause can be a useful marketing tool for sport organizations.

In particular, several of the benefits cited by Brown (2000) as being sought by Olympic sponsors can be seen as benefits that can accrue to firms that "sponsor" environmental sustainability. These include:

sport industry Φ posibility **(1)** S S Φ **(D)** S S nvironmenta **(1)**

- Image enhancement through association with an important cause
- Enhanced awareness of the firm and its services
- Differentiation from competitors
- Connecting to a market niche (presumably quite large) concerned with environmental issues
- Enhancement of the firm's reputation for being socially responsible.

A condition for this tool to be effective is for management to communicate its environmental efforts to customers. It is important that such promotion not be seen as self-aggrandizing, for there is evidence that information communicated by organizations about their environmental performance and other CSR initiatives is sometimes discounted by the public (Dando & Swift, 2002, as reported by Van Marrewijk, 2003b). While noting the value of a media strategy for communicating environmental efforts, Green & Gold (1999) emphasized the importance of being open and honest about those efforts. Communicating to the media and customers the specific actions that the organization is taking to fulfill its environmental responsibilities, without exaggeration, is perhaps the best strategy.

Trust is the key. Siltaoja (2006) found that the most significant factor affecting company reputation is trust. One aspect of this is goodwill trust, which occurs when the company does more than it is formally required. By going beyond what is legally mandated, the sport organization can build significant goodwill by publicizing its efforts and the reasons behind them in a straightforward manner.

Conclusion

In sum, environmental responsibility is as important for sport-industry enterprises, as it is for all organizations. This paper has highlighted three excellent reasons why sport firms should seriously consider embracing ER. First, and perhaps foremost, we are at a moment in history when protecting a natural environment that faces severe human-caused problems is every individual's and every organization's job. Furthermore, sport firms are in a position to be leaders in promulgating ER by modeling the ideal of environmental

sustainability for their customers. Finally, and very important, by fulfilling their environmental responsibilities, sport organizations can, in many instances, create opportunities for savings while enhancing their image and their brand.

References

- Adolphson, D. L. (2004). A new perspective on ethics, ecology, and economics. Journal of Business Ethics 54: 203-216.
- Argenti, P. A., Druckenmiller, B., & Novelli, P. (2003).
 Reputation and the corporate brand. Tuck School of
 Business at Dartmouth University Working Paper No.
 03-13. Available online from the Social Science Research
 Network Electronic Paper Collection:
 http://ssrn.com/abstract=387860
- Bradish, C. L. (2006). Good sports make good business: Examining corporate social responsibility in sport. Paper presented at the Twenty-first Annual Conference of the North American Society of Sport Management, Kansas City, Missouri, USA, May 30-June 3, 2006.
- Chernushenko, D., van der Kamp, A., & Stubbs, D. (2001). Sustainable sport management: Running an environmentally, socially and economically responsible organization. United Nations Environment Programme.
- Dando, N., & Swift, T. (2002). Assuring accountability management. Paper presented at the Corporate Sustainability Conference, Erasmus University, Rotterdam, June 6 and 7, 2002.
- Gini, A. (2006). Why it's hard to be good. New York: Routledge.
- Green & Gold, Inc. (1999). Environmental management and monitoring for sport events and facilities: A practical toolkit for managers. Report prepared for Department of Canadian Heritage, Sport Canada. Available online at: http://www.greengold.on.ca/resources/pdf/Sport_Canada_Toolkit.pdf
- Hawken, P., Lovins, A., & Lovins, L. H. (1999). Natural capitalism. London: Little, Brown.
- Hopkins, M. (2003). The business case for CSR: Where are we? International Journal of Business Performance Measurement, 5 (2-3): 125-140.

Hums, M. A., Barr, C. A., & Gullion, L. (1999). The ethical issues confronting managers in the sport industry. Journal of Business Ethics, 20: 51-66.

- Irwin, R. L., Lachowetz, T., Cornwell, T. B., & Clark, J. S. (2003). Cause-related sport sponsorship: An assessment of spectator beliefs, attitudes, and behavioral intentions. Sport Marketing Quarterly, 13(3): 131-139.
- Lovins, A.B., Lovins, H.L., & Hawken, P. (1999). A roadmap for natural capitalism. Harvard Business Review (May-June 1999). Reprint 99309.
- Maignan, I., & Ralston, D.A. (2002). Corporate social responsibility in Europe and the U.S.: Insights from businesses' self-presentations. Journal of International Business Studies, 33(3): 497-514.
- Medhurst, D., & Richards, D. (2003). The fundamental concepts of organizational excellence: A blueprint for success. Available online at: http://excellenceone.efqm.org/Portals/1/PDF/D&D_Fundamental_Concepts_Excellence.pdf
- NSAA (National Ski Areas Association). (2005). Sustainable slopes. Available online at: http://www.nsaa.org/nsaa/environment/sustainable_slopes/Charter.pdf
- Odum, H.T. (1996). Environmental accounting: Emergy and environmental decision making. New York: John Wiley & Sons.
- Pinkston, T.S., & Carroll, A.B. (1996). A retrospective examination of CSR orientations: Have they changed? Journal of Business Ethics 15: 199-206, 1996.
- Prugh, T. (with Costanza, R., Cumberland, J.H., Daly, H.E, Goodland, R., & Norgaard, R.B.) (1999). Natural capital and human economic survival, 2nd ed. New York: Lewis Publishers.
- R & A. (2006). Course Management Best Practices Guidelines. Available online at: https://www.bestcourseforgolf.org/
- Siltaoja, M.E. (2006). Value priorities as combining core factors between CSR and reputation: A qualitative study. Journal of Business Ethics, 68: 91-111
- Van Marrewijk, M. (2003a). Concepts and definitions of CSR and corporate sustainability: Between agency and communion. Journal of Business Ethics 44: 95-105.
- Van Marrewijk, M. (2003b). Corporate Sustainability Conference 2002: The impact of CSR on management disciplines. Journal of Business Ethics 44: 89-93.

sport industry the posibility Ф S es Ф S Environmental S Φ

Whitehouse, L. (2006). Corporate social responsibility: Views from the frontline. Journal of Business Ethics, 63: 279-296

Wood, D. (1991). Corporate social performance revisited. Academy of Management Review, 16(4): 691-718.

Address for correspondence:

Marilou loakimidis University of Athens Department of Economic 5 Thissiou Str., 17455 Athens, Greece e-mail: marilou ioakimidis@yahoo.com