Pointwise Pseudo-Orbit Tracing Property and Chaos

WANG Qun¹, YANG Run Sheng²

 Department of Information Technology, Communication University of China's Nanjing, Jiangsu 211172, China;
College of Mathematics and Computer Science, Nanjing Normal University,

Jiangsu 210097, China)

(E-mail: adawangqun@163.com; rshynj@sina.com)

Abstract In this article, we discuss the relationship between pointwise pseudo-orbit tracing property and chaotic properties such as topological mixing. When f has pointwise pseudo-orbit tracing property, we give some equal conditions of uniform positive entropy and completely positive entropy.

Keywords tracing property; chaos; mixing; uniform positive entropy; completely positive entropy.

Document code A MR(2000) Subject Classification 54H20 Chinese Library Classification 0189.3

1. Introduction

Pseudo-orbit and its tracing skills are powerful tools in discussing dynamic systems. Pseudoorbit tracing property has close relations with chaotic properties of system. T.Shirnomura^[1] discussed the relationship between pseudo-orbit tracing property and chain transitivity and $\operatorname{Yang}^{[2-6]}$ discussed the relationship between pseudo-orbit tracing property and chaotic properties. In [7], the pointwise pseudo-orbit tracing property (PPOTP for short) was defined, and it is a generalization of pseudo-orbit tracing property. As applications, the following results were proved:

Theorem A If f has PPOTP, and for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, f^k is chain transitive, then f^k is topological transitive.

Theorem B If f has PPOTP, and for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, f^n is chain transitive, then f has sensitive dependence on initial conditions.

Theorem C If f is topological mixing, and f has PPOTP, then f has property P.

Theorem D Let $f : (X, d) \to (X, d)$ be a homeomorphism. Then f has PPOTP if and only if σ_f has PPOTP.

Received date: 2006-01-09; Accepted date: 2006-07-06

Foundation item: the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 10571086).

In this paper, for a continuous map f on a metric space X having more than one point, we go a step further in discussing the relationship between PPOTP and chaotic properties such as topological mixing. As applications, we prove the following results:

Theorem 1 If f has PPOTP, and for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, f^k is chain mixing, then f^k is topological mixing.

Theorem 2 If X is a locally connected compact metric space, and f is a minimal map with PPOTP, then f is chaotic in the sense of Ruelle-Takens.

Theorem 3 If X is a compact metric space, $f : X \to X$ has PPOTP and $\Lambda(f)$, the limit set of f, is connected, then f is chaotic in the sense of Ruelle-Takens.

Theorem 4 If X is a connected compact metric space, $f : X \to X$ is a chain transitive map with PPOTP, then we have the following results: (1) f is chaotic in the sense of Ruelle-Takens; (2) f is topological mixing; (3) f has property P.

Theorem 5 If X is a connected compact metric space, $f : X \to X$ has PPOTP and the periodic points of f is dense in X, then (1) f is chaotic in the sense of Ruelle-Takens; (2) f is topological mixing; (3) f has property P.

Theorem 6 Let X be a compact metric space, and f be a continuous surjective map on X. If f has PPOTP, then the following conditions are equivalent: (1) f has completely positive entropy (c.p.e.); (2) f has uniform positive entropy (u.p.e.); (3) f is chaotic in the sense of Ruelle-Takens-Kato; (4) f is chain transitive and accessible; (5) f is chain mixing; (6) f is topological weakly mixing; (7) f is topological mixing; (8) f has property P; (9) f is chain transitive and for any $\delta > 0$, there are two periodic δ -pseudo-orbits whose periods are co-prime.

Corollary If X is a connected compact metric space, $f : X \to X$ has PPOTP and f is positively expansive, then f is topological mixing if and only if f is topological transitive and there are two periodic points whose periods are co-prime.

Theorem 7 Let X be a chain connected metric space and f be a surjective map on X. If f has PPOTP, then the following conditions are equivalent: (1) f is topological mixing; (2) f is weakly mixing; (3) f is chain mixing; (4) f has property P; (5) f is chaotic in the sense of Ruelle-Takens-Kato; (6) f is chaotic in the sense of Ruelle-Takens; (7) f is chain transitive and accessible; (8) f is topological transitive; (9) f is chain transitive; (10) CR(f) = X; (11) f is chain transitive and for any $\delta > 0$, there are two periodic δ -pseudo-orbits whose periods are co-prime.

Corollary Let X be a chain connected compact metric space, and f be a continuous surjective map on X. If f has PPOTP, then the condition that f has completely positive entropy is equivalent to the conditions listed in Theorem 7 and the following: (1) f has uniform positive entropy; (2) there is an invariant probability measure μ of f, such that $supp\mu = X$; (3) f has

full measure center, that is, M(f) = X.

2. The Preliminaries

If $\delta > 0$, and for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 \le n_1 < i < n_2 \le +\infty$, $d(f(x_{i-1}), x_i) < \delta$, then the sequence $\{x_{n_1}, \ldots, x_{n_2}\}$ is called a δ pseudo-orbit of f (or δ -chain). If for any $x, y \in X, \varepsilon > 0$, there is a finite ε -pseudo-orbit $\{x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ of X, such that $x_0 = x, x_n = y$, then $\{x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ is called a ε -chain from x to y, and n+1 is called the length of the ε -chain. If for any $\varepsilon > 0, x, y \in X$, there is a ε -chain from x to y, then f is called chain transitive. If for any $\varepsilon > 0, x, y \in X$, there is a positive integer N, such that when $n \ge N$, there is a ε -chain with length n from x to y, then f is called chain mixing.

For any nonempty open sets U and V, if there is n > 0, such that $f^n(U) \cap V \neq \emptyset$, then f is called topological transitive. If for any nonempty open sets U and V, there is N > 0, such that for any n > N, $f^n(U) \cap V \neq \emptyset$, then f is called topological mixing. Obviously, topological transitive (mixing) map is chain transitive (mixing).

Denote by P(f) the set of all periodic points of f, by W(f) the set of all weakly almost periodic points of $f^{[8]}$, by AP(f) the set of all almost periodic points of f, by CR(f) the set of all chain recurrent points of f and by $\Omega(f)$ the set of all non-wandering points of f. A subset of X is called the measure center of f, if it is the minimal compact absolute measure 1 set invariant of f, we denote it by M(f), and we have $M(f) = \overline{W(f)}^{[8]}$. Obviously: $P(f) \subset W(f) \subset M(f) \subset$ CR(f).

Let $x \in X$. If for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $\delta > 0$, such that when $d(x, y) < \delta$, $d(f^n(x), f^n(y)) < \varepsilon$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then x is called an equicontinuous point of f. If any point in X is equicontinuous point, then f is called equicontinuous. If every point in X is not equicontinuous, then we say f has sensitive dependence on initial conditions (We call f sensitive for short). If $f: X \to X$ is topological transitive and sensitive, then f is called chaotic in the sense of Ruelle-Takens. We call f accessible, if for any nonempty open sets U, V of X and any $\varepsilon > 0$, there are $x \in U, y \in V$ and $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, such that $d(f^n(x), f^n(y)) \leq \varepsilon$. f is called chaotic everywhere, if f is sensitive and accessible. If f is chaotic in the sense of Ruelle-Takens and chaotic everywhere, then f is called chaotic in the sense of Ruelle-Takens-Kato.

We say that f has property P, if for any nonempty open sets U_0, U_1 of X, there is a number N, such that for any number $k \ge 2$ and any $S = \{s(1), s(2), \ldots, s(k)\} \in \{0, 1\}^k$, there is $x \in X$, such that $x \in U_{s(1)}, f^N(x) \in U_{s(2)}, \ldots, f^{(k-1)N}(x) \in U_{s(k)}$. f is said to have uniform positive entropy (u.p.e), if any cover composed of two non-dense open sets has positive entropy. f is said to have completely positive entropy (c.p.e), if any non trivial factor of (X, T) has positive entropy.

Let $x, y \in X, \varepsilon > 0$, and $\{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ be a sequence composed of finite points in $X, n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $x_0 = x, x_n = y$, and $d(x_i, x_{i+1}) < \varepsilon$ for $0 \le i \le n-1$, then $\{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ is called an ε -chain from x to y in X. Metric space X is called chain connected, if for any $x, y \in X$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there is an ε chain from x to y. It is easy to see that connected metric space is chain connected, but the converse is not true. However, for compact metric space, chain connectedness is equivalent to connectedness.

Let $\varepsilon > 0, \{x_{n_1}, x_{n_1+1}, \dots, x_{n_2}\}$ be a δ -pseudo-orbit of f. If $x \in X$, and for any $i, 0 \leq i \leq n_2 - n_1, d(f^i(x), x_{n+i}) < \varepsilon$, then the δ -pseudo-orbit $\{x_{n_1}, x_{n_1+1}, \dots, x_{n_2}\}$ is said to be ε traced by the orbit of f on x. If for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $\delta > 0$, such that any δ -pseudo-orbit tracing property. In [7], generalizing the definition of pseudo orbit tracing property, Li Mingjun gives the definition of PPOTP. f is said to have PPOTP, if for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $\delta > 0$, such that $\{x_N, x_{N+1}, \dots\}$ can be ε traced by the orbit of f on some point in X. Obviously, if f is a continuous map, then f has PPOTP if and only if for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $\delta > 0$, such that for any δ pseudo orbit $\{x_0, x_1, \dots\}$ of f, there is $\delta > 0$, such that $d(f^n(x), x_n) \leq \varepsilon, n \geq N$.

By definition, f has pseudo orbit tracing property $\Rightarrow f$ has asymptotic pseudo orbit tracing property^[9] $\Rightarrow f$ has PPOTP, but the converse is false. So PPOTP is a generalization of pseudo orbit tracing property strictly.

3. Proof

Lemma $\mathbf{1}^{[7]}$ If f has PPOTP, then for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, f^k also has PPOTP.

Proof of Theorem 1 Let $x, y \in X$, $B(x, \varepsilon_1) = \{z \in X | d(x, z) < \varepsilon_1\}$, $B(y, \varepsilon_2) = \{z \in X | d(y, z) < \varepsilon_2\}$. Suppose f has PPOTP, then for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, f^k also has PPOTP. So for any $0 < \varepsilon < \min\{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2\}$, there is $\delta > 0$, such that for any δ pseudo-orbit $\{x_0, x_1, \ldots\}$ of f^k , there is nonnegative integer N and $z \in X$, such that $\{x_N, x_{N+1}, \ldots\}$ is ε traced by the orbit of f^k on z. As f^k is chain mixing, there is an integer M > 0, such that when $n \ge M$, there are δ chain $\alpha_n = \{y_0^{(n)} = x, y_1^{(n)}, \ldots, y_{n-1}^{(n)} = y\}$ of f^k from x to y with length n and δ chain $\beta_n = \{z_0^{(n)} = y, z_1^{(n)}, \ldots, z_{n-1}^{(n)} = x\}$ of f^k from y to x with length n. Let

$$\overline{\alpha_n} = \{y_0^{(n)} = x, y_1^{(n)}, \dots, y_{n-2}^{(n)}\}, \overline{\beta_n} = \{z_0^{(n)} = y, z_1^{(n)}, \dots, z_{n-2}^{(n)}\}, A = \{\overline{\alpha_M}, \overline{\beta_M}, \overline{\alpha_{M+1}}, \overline{\beta_{M+1}}, \dots\} = \{p_0, p_1, \dots\}.$$

Then A is a δ pseudo-orbit of f^k , so there is nonnegative integer N, such that $\{p_N, p_{N+1}, \ldots\}$ is ε traced by some point $p \in X$ for f^k .

Take nonnegative integer i_0 , such that p_{N+i_0} is the first element of some $\overline{\alpha_l}$. Then $p_{N+i_0} = x, p_{N+i_0+(l-1)} = y$. By the construction of A,

$$p_{N+i_0+2(l-1)} = x, p_{N+i_0+2(l-1)+l} = y;$$

$$p_{N+i_0+2(l-1)+2l} = x, p_{N+i_0+2(l-1)+2l+(l+1)} = y, \dots$$

by and by, for $i = i_0 + 2[(l-1) + l + \dots + (l+h)], -1 \le h \in \mathbb{Z}, p_{N+i} = x, p_{N+i+(l+h+1)} = y$. Let j = i + (l+h+1). By PPOTP, $d(f^{ki}(p), x) = d(f^{ki}(p), p_{N+i}) < \varepsilon$, and $d(f^{kj}(p), y) = d(f^{kj}(p), p_{N+j}) < \varepsilon$. So $f^{k(j-i)}(B(x, \varepsilon_1)) \cap B(y, \varepsilon_2) \ne \emptyset$. Also as $j - i = l + h + 1, -1 \le h \in \mathbb{Z}$, there is $l \in \mathbb{N}$, such that when $m \ge l, f^{km}(B(x, \varepsilon_1)) \cap B(y, \varepsilon_2) \ne \emptyset$, that is to say, f^k is topological mixing. **Lemma 2** Let $f: X \to X$ be a surjective continuous map on compact metric space X. If f has PPOTP, then for any $\varepsilon > 0, x \in \Omega(f)$, there is $y \in X$ and $k = k(x, \varepsilon) > 0$, such that $\overline{O_{f^k}(y)} \subset U_{\varepsilon}(x)$.

Proof Let $\varepsilon > 0$. As f has PPOTP, there is $0 < \delta < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$, for any δ pseudo-orbit $\{x_0, x_1, \ldots\}$ of f, there is N > 0, such that $\{x_N, x_{N+1}, \ldots\}$ is $\frac{\varepsilon}{3}$ traced for f.

Since $x \in \Omega(f)$, there is k > 0, such that $f^k(U_{\frac{\delta}{2}}(x)) \cap U_{\frac{\delta}{2}}(x) \neq \emptyset$. So there is $z \in X$, such that $z, f^k(z) \in U_{\frac{\delta}{2}}(x)$. Let $z_{nk+i} = f^i(z), n \in \mathbb{Z}_+, 0 \leq i < k$. Then $\{z_i | i \in \mathbb{Z}_+\} = \{z, f(z), \dots, f^{k-1}(z), z, \dots\}$ is a periodic δ chain. So there is $N > 0, y' \in X$, such that $d(f^i(y'), z_{N+i}) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}, i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Suppose i_0 is the minimal positive integer such that $ki_0 - N > 0$. Put $y = f^{ki_0 - N}(y')$. Then $d(f^{ki}(y), z) = d(f^{ki}(f^{ki_0 - N}(y')), z) = d(f^{k(i+i_0) - N}(y'), z_{k(i+i_0)}) = d(f^{k(i+i_0) - N}(y'), z_{N+(k(i+i_0) - N)}) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}, i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. So $d(f^{ki}(y), x) \leq d(f^{ki}(y), z) + d(z, f^k(z)) + d(f^k(z), x) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \delta + \frac{\delta}{2} < \frac{5\varepsilon}{6}, \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. So $O_{f^k}(y) \subset U_{\varepsilon}(x)$.

Lemma 3 Let X be a connected compact metric space with more than one point, and f be a surjective continuous map on X. If f is minimal, then f doesn't have PPOTP.

Proof Let l > 0 be the diameter of X, $\varepsilon = \frac{l}{3}$. Suppose f has PPOTP. Since X is compact, $\Omega(f)$ is not empty. Let $x \in \Omega(f)$, by Lemma 2, there is $y \in X$ and k > 0 such that $\overline{O_{f^k}(y)} \subset U_{\varepsilon}(x)$, obviously, $X = \overline{O_{f^k}(y)} \cup \overline{O_{f^k}(f(y))} \cup \cdots \cup \overline{O_{f^k}(f^{k-1}(y))}$. By connectedness and minimality, we have $\overline{O_{f^k}(y)} = X$ and therefore $l \leq 2\varepsilon$. That is a contradiction.

Lemma 4^[12] If $n \ge 2, f: X \to X$ is topological transitive, but f^n is not topological transitive, then there is closed set $K \ne X, K^o$ (the internal of $K) \ne \emptyset$ and m > 1, the factor of n, such that: (1) $f^m(K) = K$; (2) $K \cup f(K) \cup \cdots \cup f^{m-1}(K) = X$; (3) $[f^i(K) \cap f^j(K)]^o = \emptyset, 0 \le i, j \le$ $m - 1, i \ne j$.

Lemma 5^[13] Let X be a compact metric space. If $f : X \to X$ is topological transitive and equicontinuous, then f is a minimal homeomorphism.

Lemma 6 Let X be a local connected compact metric space with infinite points. If $f : X \to X$ is chain transitive and equicontinuous, then f does not have PPOTP.

Proof (reduction to absurdity) Suppose f has PPOTP. Since f has equicontinuous point, by Theorem B, there is n > 0, such that f^n is not chain transitive. Suppose $n = \min\{l|f^l \text{ is not} \text{ chain transitive}\}$. Since f is chain transitive, $n \ge 2$. Also as topological transitive map is chain transitive, by Theorem A, f is topological transitive, but f^n is not topological transitive. By Lemma 4, there is a proper closed subset K of X, $K^o \ne \emptyset$, and m > 1, the factor of n, such that $f^m(K) = K$, $K \cup f(K) \cup \cdots \cup f^{m-1}(K) = X$, and when $i \ne j$, $[f^i(K) \cap f^j(K)]^o = \emptyset$. On the other hand, as f is topological transitive and equicontinuous, by Lemma 5, $f : X \to X$ is a minimal homeomorphism. So for any $x \in K$, $\omega(x, f) = X$ and $\omega(x, f^m) \subset K$. For any $i = 0, 1, \ldots, m - 1, f^i(x) \in AP(f) = AP(f^m), \ \omega(f^i(x), f^m)$ is minimal set of f^m , and $\omega(f^i(x), f^m) = f^i(\omega(x, f^m)) \subset f^i(K), X = \omega(x, f) = \bigcup_{i=0}^{m-1} \omega(f^i(x), f^m) \subset \bigcup_{i=0}^{m-1} f^i(K) = X$. As f is a homeomorphism, K is a nonempty proper closed subset of X. So $f^i(K) = (f^{-1})^{-i}(K)$ is

a nonempty closed subset of X. Also as $f^m(f^i(K)) = f^i(f^m(K)) = f^i(K), \omega(f^i(x), f^m)$ is a minimal set contained in $f^i(K)$. So for any $i = 0, 1, \ldots, m-1, f^i(\omega(x, f^m)) = \omega(f^i(x), f^m) = f^i(K)$. When $i \neq j$, $f^i(K) \cap f^j(K) = \emptyset$. Put $g = f^m$. Then $K = \omega(x,g), g|_K : K \to K$ is a minimal homeomorphism, K is an open and closed set of X. As a subset of X, K must be a local connected compact metric space containing infinite points. Thus K has only finite connected components, therefore, there is at least one connected component $G(=G^{\circ})$ which has more than one point. As $g|_K : K \to K$ is minimal, there is $l \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $g^l(G) \cap G \neq \emptyset$. So $g^l(G) \subset G$. As q is a homeomorphism, $(q^l)^{-1}(G)$ is also connected, and $(q^l)^{-1}(G) \cap G \neq \emptyset$. So $(q^l)^{-1}(G) \subset G$ and $g^l(G) = G, g^l|_G : G \to G$ is a surjective equicontinuous homeomorphism. Since X is compact and local connected, X has only finite connected components $\{G_0, G_1, \ldots, G_h\}$. Because when $0 \leq i, j \leq m-1, i \neq j, f^i(K) \cap f^j(K) = \emptyset, G$ is a component of X. Take $G = G_0$ and $\eta = \min\{d(G,G_i)|i=1,2,\ldots,h\}$. Since G_0,G_1,\ldots,G_h are all closed sets, $\eta > 0$. By Lemma 1, $g^l = f^{ml} : X \to X$ has PPOTP. For any $0 < \varepsilon < \eta$, there exists $\delta > 0$, such that for any $\{x_0, x_1, \ldots\}$, the δ pseudo-orbit of g^l in G, there is $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t \in X$, such that $\{x_N, x_{N+1}, \ldots\}$ is ε traced by t for g^l . So there is $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $y = g^k(x) \in G$ and $\omega(y, g^l) \subset G$. Hence $K \supset \bigcup_{i=0}^{l-1} g^i(G) \supset \bigcup_{i=0}^{l-1} g^i(\omega(y,g^l)) = \omega(y,g) = K. \text{ As } g|_K \text{ is homeomorphism, } \omega(y,g^l) = G.$ Also as $y = g^k(x) = f^{nk}(x) \in AP(f) = AP(g^l), g^l|_G : G \to G$ is a minimal surjective homeomorphism, which is a contradiction with Lemma 3.

Proof of Theorem 2 Since f is a minimal homeomorphism, f is topological transitive. If f is not chaotic in the sense of Ruelle-Takens, then there is at least an equicontinuous point. By Corollary 2 in [13], a minimal map having equicontinuous point must be equicontinuous. By Lemma 6, f does not have PPOTP, leading to a contradiction.

Lemma 7^[2] If $f : X \to X$ is a surjective continuous map on compact metric space X and $\Lambda(f) = \bigcup_{x \in X} \omega(x, f)$ is connected, then for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, f^n is chain transitive on X.

Proof of Theorem 3 The conclusion follows from Lemma 7, Theorem A and Theorem B.

Lemma 8^[1] If X is connected, and $f: X \to X$ is chain transitive, then f is chain mixing.

Proof of Theorem 4 By Lemma 8, f is chain mixing, so for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, f^n is chain transitive. By Theorem B, if f has PPOTP, then f is sensitive. By Theorem A, f is chaotic in the sense of Ruelle-Takens. By Theorem 1, f is topological mixing, and by Theorem C, f has Property P. \Box

Lemma 9 If $f : X \to X$ is a continuous map on compact metric space X and $\overline{P(f)} = X$ is connected, then f is chain transitive on X.

Proof For any $\varepsilon > 0$, let $R_{\varepsilon}(x) = \{y \in X | \text{ there is a } \varepsilon \text{ chain from } x \text{ to } y\}$. Then for any $y \in R_{\varepsilon}(x)$, there is a ε chain $\{x_0 = x, x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}, x_n = y\}$ from x to y and $d(f(x_{n-1}), y) < \varepsilon$. So there exists a neighborhood U_y of y, such that $U_y \subset B(f(x_{n-1}), \varepsilon)$. Thus for any $y' \in U_y, \{x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}, y'\}$ is a ε chain from x to $y', U_y \subset R_{\varepsilon}(x)$, that is, $R_{\varepsilon}(x)$ is open. Let $R^{\varepsilon}(x) = \{y \in X | \text{ there is a } \varepsilon \text{ chain from } y \text{ to } x\}$. For any $y \in R^{\varepsilon}(x)$, there is a ε chain $\{y_0, y_1, \ldots, y_n\}$, where $y_0 = y, y_n = x$, such that $d(f(y_0), y_1) < \varepsilon$. As f is continuous, there is

an open neighborhood U_{y_0} of y_0 , such that $f(U_{y_0}) \subset B(y, \varepsilon)$. So $U_{y_0} \subset R^{\varepsilon}(x)$ and $R^{\varepsilon}(x)$ is also an open set. For any $x \in X = \overline{P(f)}$, let $E(x, \varepsilon) = R_{\varepsilon}(x) \cap R^{\varepsilon}(x) \cap \overline{P(f)}$. Then x must be a chain recurrent point of $f(P(f) \subset CR(f))$, and CR(f) is closed), $x \in R_{\varepsilon}(x) \cap R^{\varepsilon}(x)$. So $E(x, \varepsilon)$ must be a nonempty open set of X. If $y \notin E(x, \varepsilon)$, then $E(x, \varepsilon) \cap E(y, \varepsilon) = \emptyset$. If $y \in E(x, \varepsilon)$, then $E(x, \varepsilon) = E(y, \varepsilon)$ and $E(x, \varepsilon) = X - \bigcup_{y \in X \setminus \underline{E(x, \varepsilon)}} E(y, \varepsilon)$. It is obvious that $E(x, \varepsilon)$ is also a closed set in X. As $X = \overline{P(f)}$ is connected, $\overline{P(f)} = E(x, \varepsilon)$. Since ε is arbitrary, $\overline{P(f)}$ is contained in a chain component of f, namely, f is chain transitive in $X(=\overline{P(f)})$.

Lemma 10^[11] If X is a compact metric space, then we have the following results: property $P \Rightarrow$ uniformly positive entropy \Rightarrow topological weakly mixing. Completely positive entropy \Rightarrow there is $\mu \in M(X, f)$ such that $\operatorname{supp} \mu = X$.

Thereinto, M(X, f) is the set of invariant possibility measures of f on X, supp μ is the support of measure μ .

Proof of Theorem 5 By Lemma 9, $\overline{P(f)}$ is contained in a chain component of f, that is, f is chain transitive on $X(=\overline{P(f)})$. So by Theorem 4, Theorem 5 is proved.

Proof of Theorem 6

- $(5) \Rightarrow (7)$. By Theorem 1.
- $(7) \Rightarrow (6)$. Obviously.
- $(6) \Rightarrow (5)$. By Lemma 2.3 in [3].
- So (5), (6) and (7) are equivalent.
- $(7) \Rightarrow (8)$. By Theorem C.
- $(8) \Rightarrow (2)$. By Lemma 10.
- $(2) \Rightarrow (6)$. By Lemma 10.
- $(6) \Rightarrow (7)$. Since (6) and (7) are equivalent,
- (2),(6),(7) and (8) are equivalent.

By [3] Lemma 2.2, (5) and (9) are equivalent. So (2),(5),(6),(7),(8) and (9) are equivalent. The equivalence of (1), (2), (3) and (4) is proved below.

- $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$. By Theorem 1 in [6] and the equivalence of (5) and (2).
- $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$. By the equivalence of (2) and (6) and Theorem 2 in [6].
- $(3) \Rightarrow (4)$. Obviously.

(4) \Rightarrow (1). By [6] Lemma 2, the equivalence of (5) and (2), and the fact that u.p.e. implies c.p.e.

Proof of Corollary of Theorem 6 \Rightarrow . It is easy to see that f is topological transitive. Let c be the positively expansive constant of f. For any $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{c}{2}$, since f has PPOTP, there is $\delta > 0$, such that for any δ pseudo-orbit $\{x_0, x_1, \ldots\}$ of f, there is N > 0, such that $\{x_N, x_{N+1}, \ldots\}$ can be ε traced by some point in X. By Lemma 2.2 in [3], there are periodic δ chain $\alpha = \{x_i\}_0^{+\infty}$ with period m and periodic δ chain $\beta = \{y_i\}_0^{+\infty}$ with period n, where m and n are co-prime. So there exist N > 0 and $x \in X$ such that $x\varepsilon$ traces $\{x_N, x_{N+1}, \ldots\}$, and there exist M > 0 and $y \in X$ such that $y\varepsilon$ traces $\{y_M, y_{M+1}, \ldots\}$. So for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $d(f^i(x), x_{N+i}) < \varepsilon$ and

 $d(f^i(f^n(x)), f^i(x)) \leq d(f^{i+n}(x), x_{N+i+n}) + d(x_{N+i}, f^i(x)) < 2\varepsilon < c$. Therefore $f^n(x) = x$. Analogously, $f^m(y) = y$ and m, n are co-prime.

 \Leftarrow . By Lemma 2.2 in [3], f is chain mixing and by Lemma 5, f is topological mixing.

Proof of Theorem 7 $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$. Obviously;

- $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$. by Lemma 2.3 in [3];
- $(3) \Rightarrow (10)$. Obviously;
- $(10) \Rightarrow (1)$. By [6] Lemma 3, Lemma 4 and Theorem 1.
- (3) \Leftrightarrow (11). By Lemma 2.2 in [3].
- So (1),(2),(3),(10),(11) are equivalent.
- $(1) \Rightarrow (4)$. By Theorem C;
- $(4) \Rightarrow (5)$. By Lemma 5 and Theorem 2 in [6];

 $(5) \Rightarrow (6) \Rightarrow (8) \Rightarrow (9) \Rightarrow (10)$. Obviously;

- $(5) \Rightarrow (7) \Rightarrow (8)$. Obviously.
- $(10) \Rightarrow (1)$. By the equivalence of (1) and (10).
- So (1), (4-10) are equivalent.

Therefore, the properties listed in Theorem 7 are equivalent.

Proof of Corollary of Theorem 7 The conclusion follows from Theorem 6, Theorem 7, Lemma 10 and the fact that for any $\mu \in M(X, f)$, $\operatorname{supp} \mu \subset M(f) \subset CR(f)$.

References

- SHIRNOMURA T. Chain Recurrence and P.O.T.P [M]. Dynamical Systems and Singular Phenomena, Kyoto, 1986, 224–241.
- [2] YANG Runsheng. Pseudo-orbit tracing and chaos [J]. Acta Math. Sinica (Chin. Ser.), 1986, 39(3): 382–386. (in Chinese)
- [3] YANG Runsheng. Pseudo-orbit-tracing and uniformly positive entropy [J]. Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. A, 1996, 17(4): 411–414. (in Chinese)
- [4] YANG Runsheng. The pseudo-orbit tracing property and invariant probability measures [J]. Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. A, 1998, 19(1): 33–36. (in Chinese)
- [5] YANG Runsheng. Pseudo-orbit-tracing and pseudo-shift-invariant sets [J]. Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. A, 1997, 18(5): 617–622. (in Chinese)
- [6] YANG Runsheng, SHEN Su-lin. Pseudo-orbit-tracing and completely positive entropy [J]. Acta Math. Sinica (Chin. Ser.), 1999, 42(1): 99–104.
- [7] LI Mingjun. Pointwise pseudo-orbit tracing property and its application [J]. J. Math. Res. Exposition, 2005, 25(1): 23–30.
- [8] ZHOU Zuoling. Weakly almost periodic point and measure center [J]. Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. A, 1992, 6: 572–581. (in Chinese)
- [9] CHEN Liang, LI Shihai. Shadowing property for inverse limit spaces [J]. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 1992, 115(2): 573–580.
- [10] NOBUO A. Topological Dynamical [M]. In Morita K, Nagata J Eds, Topoics in General Topology, 1989, 625–741.
- BLANCHARD F. Fully positive topological entropy and topological mixing [J]. Contemp. Math., 1992, 135: 95–105.
- [12] COVEN E M, MULVEY I. Transitivity and the centre for maps of the circle [J]. Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems, 1986, 6(1): 1–8.
- [13] AUSLANDER J, YORKE J A. Interval maps, factors of maps, and chaos [J]. Tohoku Math. J. (2), 1980, 32(2): 177–188.