文章编号: 0258-8013 (2005) 09-0103-05 中图分类号: TQ530; TQ546 文献标识码: A 学科分类号: 470-40

循环流化床煤气化试验研究

张荣光^{1,2},那永洁¹,吕清刚²

(1. 中国科学院工程热物理研究所,北京市 海淀区 100080;

2. 中国科学院研究生院,北京市 海淀区 100080)

EXPERIMENTAL STUDY ON COAL GASIFICATION IN A CIRCULATING FLUIDIZED BED

ZHANG Rong-guang^{1,2}, NA Yong-jie¹, LÜ Qing-gang²

(1. Institute of Engineering Thermophysics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Haidian District, Beijing 100080, China; 2.Graduate School of the Chinese Academy of Science, Haidian District, Beijing 100080, China)

ABSTRACT: Experiment results on gasification of a bituminous coal in a pilot scale circulating fluidized bed at atmospheric pressure and different operation conditions, rates of coal feed from 5.4 to 8.14kg/h, ratios of steam/coal from 0.19 to 0.7kg/kg and ratios of air/coal from 2.8 to 3.67kg/kg, are reported. The effect of operation conditions on gas compositions, calorific values, carbon conversions and gasification efficiencies are analyzed. At present stage, the maximum calorific value of product gas was 3.84MJ/Nm³ and the highest coal conversion efficiency was 73.7%. Much carbon was lost in fly ash after the cyclone due to the short of the lift, the low gasification temperatures and the low separation efficiency of the cyclone for fine particles. Gasification temperature must be limited to 930°C for Shenhua coal to avoid slagging.

KEY WORDS: Thermal power engineering; Coal gasification; Circulating fluidized bed; Experimental study

摘要:在常压循环流化床中试装置上进行了神华煤的气化试验,试验条件:加煤速率 5.4~8.14kg/h、蒸汽煤比 0.19~0.7kg/kg、空气煤比 2.8~3.67kg/kg,分析了试验条件对煤气组成、热值、碳转化率和煤气效率的影响。在该试验阶段获得的煤气的最高热值为 3.84MJ/Nm³,最高碳转化率为 73.6%。由于提升管的高度很小、气化温度较低以及旋风炉 对细颗粒分离效率不高,导致损失于飞灰中碳较多。试验结果表明对神华煤而言,气化温度应低于 930℃以避免结渣。

关键词:热能动力工程;煤气化;循环流化床;试验

1 INTRODUCTION

Coal is the most important energy sources in

China. Coal gasification is a clean coal technology that presents good prospects for coal use, mainly for producing electricity with a high coal conversion efficiency and low environmental impact[1-2]. Among the coal gasification processes, the fluidized bed process is preferred because of its many inherent advantages, such as excellent gas-solid contact, enhanced heat transfer and reaction rate and its capability to handle a wide variety of coals[3-4]. The circulating fluidized bed (CFB) gasifier operates in a mode between the classical bubbling bed and the pneumatic transport reactor, and the slip velocity between solids and gas is the highest[5]. It possesses better mixing of gas and solid, higher production capacity as well as easier scaling up than a bubbling bed. Since the beginning of 1980s, CFB gasification has gained increasing interest and several CFB gasifiers for wood and bark have been built by Ahlström and Lurgi[6]. Gasification tests of coal and other fuels were conducted for a total of more than 6000 hours by Lurgi[7]. Since 2001, a total of 22 test points with 5 coals (sub-bituminous or bituminous) and petroleum coke using air or oxygen enriched air were completed by F-W[8-12] for the Vision 21 program. Fang[13] investigated gasification of coals and chars with CO2 and CO2/O2 mixture in a CFB gasifier with electric heater and made a mathematical model. Shadle[14] studied coal gasification in a transport reactor. However, published papers on CFB

基金项目: 国家 863 高技术基金项目(2003AA529220)

The National High Technology Research and Development of China(863 Programme)(2003AA529220).

gasification are insufficient in respect of experiment data and analysis.

A pilot scale CFB gasifier was built in 2002 and some preliminary experiments were made[15]. The purpose of the program is to make gas with CFB gasifiers for gas turbines to produce electricity power. To further study performance characteristics of the CFB gasifier and to optimize operating conditions over 70 cases have been investigated in the last two years and described here in detail.

2 EXPERIMENTS

2.1 Characteristics of Coal

表 1

Experiments were made with a bituminous, Shenhua coal. The proximate and ultimate analysis results are given in Table 1, the ash compositions in Table 2 and the size distribution in Fig.1. Coal particles are smaller than 3 mm, with 50% cut size about 0.72 mm.

神华煤质分析

Tab. 1	Analysis of Shenhua coal	
Para	ameters Analysis	Value
	Carbon	68.84
Illine to an 1 sin / 0/	Hydrogen	3.56
(air dried basis)	Nitrogen	0.71
	Sulfur	0.40
	Oxygen	11.08
	Volatile/w%	27.60
	Volatile/w% (dry ash free basis)	32.63
Proximate analysis	Fixed carbon/w%	56.99
(air dried basis)	Moisture/w%	6.88
	Ash/w%	8.53
	LHV /(MJ/kg)(as received basis)	25.87
Ash fasihilita	Deformation temperature (DT)/ $^{\circ}$ C	1080
Asii iusibility	Softening temperature (ST)/°C	1190

	表 2	神华煤灰成分				
Tab. 2	Ash composition of Shenhua coal					
		単位・9	6			

	1 1-1 7
Ash Compositions	Value
SiO ₂	25.32
Fe_2O_3	22.11
CaO	26.64
P_2O_5	0.02
MgO	0.95
TiO_2	0.69
Al_2O_3	10.77
Na ₂ O	1.75
K ₂ O	0.49
SO ₃	9.06



2.2 The CFB Gasifier

The schematic diagram of the CFB gasifier is presented in Fig. 2. It consists of a lift tube with an inner diameter of 100 mm and a height of 3000 mm, a cyclone, a standpipe and a U-valve. The CFB gasifier is made of high temperature alloy covered with isolating matter on the outside.



Note: 1-lift, 2-coal silo, 3-screw feeder, 4-cyclone, 5-water-tube cooler, 6-bag filter, 7-electrical boiler, 8-LPG tank, 9-air compressor, 10-electrical pre-heater.

图 2 循环流化床煤气化试验台示意图 Fig. 2 Schematic diagram of the CFB gasifier

Coal is fed into the bottom of the lift with a screw feeder. Gasification agents (preheated air and steam) are added to the lift through an air distributor on the bottom. Air is used to fluidize the particles in the U-valve. After leaving the cyclone, gas is cooled down in a water-tube cooler and fly ash is collected with a bag filter. Gas is sampled for an online analyzer (ICK-MAIHAK S710) to analyze CO_{γ} CO_{2} , H_{2} and CH_{4} .

2.3 Experiment Procedure

Quartz sand smaller than 1 mm and in 3 kilograms was added into the lift as bed material and then heated up with combustion of liquefied petroleum gas. Coal was gradually fed into the lift and gas was withdrawn when the temperature of the bottom bed of the lift was over 400°C. Steam was

added when the bed temperature about 800°C. A case test lasted about one hour, and ash samples at the bottoms of the water-tube cooler and the bag filter were taken in the end. Then the test conditions were

adjusted to the other cases.

2.4 Experiment Conditions and Results

Some typical experiment results and the operation conditions are totally shown in Table 3.

	表 3	典型试验条件及结果
Tab. 3	Typical e	experiment conditions and results

No.	$F_{\rm c}/({\rm kg/h})$	$F_{\rm a}/F_{\rm c}/({\rm kg/kg})$	$F_{\rm s}/F_{\rm c}/({\rm kg/kg})$	φ(CO ₂)/%	<i>φ</i> (CO)/%	$\varphi(H_2)/\%$	φ(CH ₄)/%	$T_{\rm b}/^{\circ}{\rm C}$	$Q_{\rm g}/({\rm MJ/Nm^3})$	$C_{\rm conv}$ /%	<i>E</i> /%
1	5.4	2.79	0.51	14.90	9.14	9.75	0.83	795	2.73	55.08	29.04
2	5.4	3.01	0.51	13.21	12.50	11.28	0.80	823	3.34	66.64	40.26
3	5.4	3.23	0.51	12.54	13.46	10.85	0.70	849	3.36	71.75	43.38
4	5.4	3.43	0.51	12.46	13.26	9.79	0.63	878	3.18	73.91	42.77
5	5.4	3.67	0.51	12.74	12.24	7.47	0.51	941	2.70	72.56	36.93
6	5.4	2.79	0.70	14.70	10.35	12.68	0.89	794	3.28	61.26	37.19
7	5.4	3.01	0.70	14.19	11.10	12.25	0.79	814	3.28	66.10	39.89
8	5.4	3.23	0.70	13.97	11.15	11.58	0.74	839	3.18	69.33	40.94
9	5.4	3.43	0.70	13.67	11.10	10.12	0.66	878	2.95	70.41	39.29
10	5.4	3.67	0.70	13.67	10.53	8.06	0.51	928	2.56	69.87	34.77
11	5.4	2.79	0.21	11.99	13.40	10.31	0.87	812	3.35	60.19	36.90
12	5.4	3.01	0.21	10.86	14.95	9.99	0.76	844	3.46	65.57	41.05
13	5.4	3.23	0.21	10.66	14.91	9.39	0.71	873	3.36	68.53	42.10
14	5.4	3.43	0.21	10.60	14.61	8.33	0.63	904	3.16	69.87	40.99
15	5.4	3.67	0.21	10.89	13.83	7.16	0.56	933	2.88	71.49	39.15
16	6.4	2.80	0.19	13.14	13.56	11.02	0.87	821	3.47	65.50	39.54
17	6.4	3.00	0.19	12.00	15.23	10.73	0.75	849	3.59	71.40	43.98
18	6.4	3.22	0.19	11.95	15.00	9.64	0.69	885	3.40	73.67	43.48
19	8.14	2.43	0.25	11.48	13.88	11.28	0.96	790	3.57	53.42	34.83
20	8.14	2.67	0.25	9.97	16.36	11.39	0.80	822	3.84	61.69	41.56
21	8.14	2.90	0.25	10.05	15.95	10.59	0.72	857	3.65	64.67	42.43
22	8.14	3.10	0.25	9.90	15.92	9.26	0.62	903	3.44	66.27	41.40

Note: F_c , coal feed rate; F_a/F_c , air/coal ratio; F_a/F_c , steam/coal ratio; T_b , bed temperature, $T_b=(T_1+T_3)/2$, where T_1,T_3 are the temperatures at the top and the bottom of the lift, respectively; Q_g , low heating value (LHV) of dry product gas; C_{conv} , carbon conversion, $C_{conv}=v_g[\varphi(CO)+\varphi(CO_2]+\varphi(CH_4)]/(MC_{ar})$, where M is the coal feed rate, v_g the flow rate of dry product gas and C_{ar} the carbon content of the coal as received basis; E, gasification efficiency, $E=(v_gQ_g)/(MQ_{coal})$, where Q_{coal} is LHV of the coal.

3 DISCUSSION

3.1 Temperature

Higher temperatures lead to higher coal conversion, higher coal throughput[16]. But in a CFB gasifier, the gasification temperatures must be limited to avoid coking and slagging and it is a big disadvantage. To Shenhua coal, the highest bed temperature was only 930°C, 260°C lower than its softening point. Peng[17] operated a pressurized bubbling bed gasifier with the same coal at a furnace temperature about 270°C lower than its softening point and Chatterjee[3] did the same to a similar bituminous coal.

3.2 Effect of F_a/F_c on CFB Gasification

It is clear shown in Fig. 3 that the bed temperatures increase linearly with the increasing of the air/coal ratios. To gas products and gasification efficiencies, there are the most suitable air/coal ratios



图 3 床温与空气/煤比的关系(F_c =5.4kg/h) Fig. 3 Bed temperature versus F_a/F_c (F_c =5.4kg/h)

between 3.2 to 3.4 kg/kg shown in Fig. 4 and Fig. 5, about 0.7 larger than that in Ocampo's report[18]. But the problem is that the two best points are at the lower bed temperatures, between 850°C to 870°C in Fig. 3. The benefit of high bed temperatures is not presented in gas products, gasification efficiencies and even carbon conversions (Fig.6). The highest carbon conversion efficiency is only 74%. It means that much carbon is residual in fly ash after the cyclone due to the short of the lift, low gasification temperatures and



图 4 煤气组成与空气/煤比的关系(F_s/F_c=0.51, F_c=5.4kg/h) Fig. 4 Gas composition



图 5 气化效率与空气/煤比的关系(F_c=5.4kg/h) Fig. 5 Gasification efficiency versus F_a/F_c (F_c=5.4kg/h)





the low efficiency of the cyclone for fine particles.

3.3 Effect of $F_{\rm s}/F_{\rm c}$ on CFB Gasification

With mixing steam to air, the concentrations of H_2 increase but CO decrease, shown in Fig. 7. It is



图 7 煤气组成与蒸汽/煤比的关系($F_a/F_c=3$, $F_c=5.4$ kg/h) Fig. 7 Gas Composition versus F_s/F_c ($F_a/F_c=3$, $F_c=5.4$ kg/h).

shown in Fig. 8 and Fig. 9 that the steam/coal ratio of 0.5 kg/kg is the best to carbon conversions and gasification efficiencies, but the low heating values of dry product gas and the bed temperatures are

decreased with the increasing of steam shown in Fig. 10 and Fig. 11, the tendencies are common.



图 8 碳转化率与蒸汽/煤比的关系(F_c=5.4kg/h) Fig. 8 Carbon conversion efficiency versus F_s/F_c (F_c=5.4kg/h)



图 9 气化效率与蒸汽/煤比的关系 (F_c =5.4kg/h) Fig. 9 Gasification efficiency versus F_s/F_c (F_c =5.4kg/h)



图 10 煤气热值与蒸汽/煤比的关系(F_c =5.4kg/h) Fig. 10 Low heating value versus F_s/F_c (F_c =5.4kg/h)





To increase coal feed rates to 8.14kg/h the highest low heating value of 3.84MJ/Nm³ is gained at F_a/F_c of 2.67kg/kg, shown in Fig. 12, on the other hand, the carbon conversion efficiency is as low as 61% at the case, in Fig. 13. It is shown in Fig. 13 that carbon conversion efficiency is not modified with the



4 CONCLUSION

A series experiment of gasification was made with Shenhua coal in a pilot scale CFB gasifier to investigate the effects of air flow, steam flow and coal feed rates on gasification efficiencies, gas composition, low heating value, carbon conversion and bed temperatures.

The bed temperature must be limited to 930°C for Shenhua coal to avoid slagging. The maximum calorific value of product gas was 3.84MJ/Nm³ and the highest coal conversion efficiency 73.67% at present stage. Much carbon was lost in fly ash after the cyclone due to the short of the lift, the low gasification temperatures and the low separation efficiency of the cyclone for fine particles.

REFERENCES

- [1] 段立强,林汝谋,蔡睿贤,等.整体煤气化联合循环(IGCC)底循环系统变工况特性[J].中国电机工程学报,2002,22(2):26-30.
 Duan Liqiang, Lin Rumou, Cai Ruixian *et al*. Off-design characteristic of bottom cycle system in IGCC[J]. Proceedings of the CSEE, 2002, 22(2): 26-30.
- [2] 徐越,吴一宁,危师让.二段式干煤粉气流床气化技术的模拟研究与分析[J].中国电机工程学报,2003,23 (10):186-190.
 Xu Yue, Wu Yining, Wei Shirang. Simulation and analysis on gasification technology of a two-stage dry geed entrained flow bed[J]. Proceedings of the CSEE, 2003, 23 (10): 186-190.

- [3] Chatterjee P K, Datta A B, Kumdu K M. Fluidized bed gasification of coal [J]. Can. J. Chem. Eng., 1995, 73: 204-209.
- [4] Peng Wanwang, Bu Xuepeng. Fluidized bed gasification development in China [C]. Proceedings of international conference on Engineering & Technological Science, Beijing, China, 2000: 259-264.
- [5] 刘向军,徐旭常.循环流化床内稠密气固两相流动的数值模拟
 [J].中国电机工程学报,2003,25(3):161-165.
 Liu Xiangjun, Xu Xuchang. Numerical simulation of the dense two-phase flow in a gas -particle circulating fluidized bed[J]. Proceedings of the CSEE, 2003, 25(3): 161-165.
- [6] Reh L. New and efficient high-temperature process with circulating fluid bed reactors[J]. Chem. Eng. Tech., 1995, 18: 75-79.
- [7] Hirschfelder H, Vierrath H. Electricity and syngas from biomass and wastes applying CFB gasification [C]. Proceedings of 6th International Conference on Circulating Fluidized Beds Wurzburg, Germany, 1999: 459-467.
- [8] Robertson A, Froehlich R, Fan Z et al. Partial gasification tests with Pittsburgh No 8 coal[C]. The 28th Inter. Tech. Conf. on Coal Utilization & Fuel Systems, Florida, USA, 2003: 719-729.
- [9] Foster Wheeler Development Corporation. Technical Progress Report DOE Report[R]. 40972R01 for quarter: April 1-June 30, 2001, USA.
- [10] Robertson A. Development of Foster Wheeler's Vision 21 Partial Gasification Module[C]. Vision 21 Program Review Meeting, Morgantown, West Virginia, USA, 2001.
- Foster Wheeler Development Corporation. Technical Progress Report DOE Report[R]. 40972R05 for quarter 4: January 1-March 31, 2002, USA.
- [12] Robertson A. Vision 21 Partial Gasification Module Pilot Plant Testing
 [C]. The 27th International Technology Conference on Coal Utilization & Fuel Systems, Florida, USA, 2002: 31-40.
- [13] Yitian Fang, Jiejie Huang, Yang Wang *et al*. Experiment and mathematical modeling of a bench-scale circulating fluidized bed gasifier [J]. Fuel Processing Technology, 2001, 69: 29-44.
- [14] Shadle L J, Monazam E R, Swanson M L. Coal gasification in a transport reactor[J]. Ind. Eng. Chem. Res., 2001, 40 (13): 2782-2792.
- [15] 那永洁,张荣光,吕清刚,等.循环流化床常压煤气化的初步试验研究[J].煤炭学报,2004,29(5):558-561.
 Na Yongjie, Zhang Rongguang, Lu Qinggang *et al*. Primary experiments on gasification in an atmospheric circulating fluidized bed[J]. Journal of China Coal Society, 2004, 29(5):558-561.
- [16] 刘武标,刘德昌,米铁,等.流化床水煤气炉飞灰反应性的试验研究[J]. 中国电机工程学报,2003,23 (9): 89-92.
 Liu Wubiao, Liu Dechang, Mi Tie *et al.* Experimental research on reactivity of fly ash from a fluidized bed water-gas gasifier
 [J]. Proceedings of the CSEE, 2003, 23 (9): 89-92.
- [17] 彭万旺,步学朋,王乃计,等.加压粉煤流化床气化技术试验研究[J].煤炭转化,1998,21(4):67-74.
 Peng Wanwang, Bu Xuepeng, Wang Naiji *et al*. Pilot test of pressured fluidized bed gasification[J]. Coal Conversion, 1998, 21(4):67-74.
- [18] Ocampo A, Arenas E, Chejne E *et al*. An experimental study on gasification of Colombian coal in fluidized bed [J]. Fuel, 2003, 82: 161-164.

收稿日期: 2004-10-25。 作者简介:

张荣光(1964-),男,博士研究生,副教授,研究方向为循环流 化床煤气化;

那永洁(1961-),男,博士生导师,现从事循环流化床燃烧、气 化及污染物排放控制的试验研究。