

## 突发水灾害应急合作的行为博弈模型研究

张乐<sup>1,2</sup>, 王慧敏<sup>1,2</sup>, 佟金萍<sup>1,3</sup>

1. 河海大学水文水资源与水利工程科学国家重点实验室, 江苏 南京 210098;

2. 河海大学管理科学研究所, 江苏 南京 210098;

3. 常州大学经济管理学院, 江苏 常州 213164

## Behavioral Game Research of Emergency Management Cooperation for Unexpected Water Disaster

ZHANG Le<sup>1,2</sup>, WANG Hui-min<sup>1,2</sup>, TONG Jin-ping<sup>1,3</sup>

1. State Key Laboratory of Hydrology Water Resource and Hydraulic Engineering, Hohai University, Nanjing 210098, China;

2. Management Science Institute, Hohai University, Nanjing 210098, China;

3. School of Economics and Management, Changzhou University, Changzhou 213164, China

- 摘要
- 参考文献
- 相关文章

Download: PDF (1424KB) [HTML \(1KB\)](#) Export: BibTeX or EndNote (RIS) [Supporting Info](#)

**摘要** 本文针对突发水灾害应急管理中的多主体合作问题进行了探讨。运用博弈论和数值仿真方法, 引入合作效用概念, 基于收益不确定下的蜈蚣博弈实验模型构建了突发水灾害应急管理中异质性主体的合作行为博弈模型; 在此基础上深入探讨了合作效用变量对突发水灾害应急管理中达成合作可能性的影晌; 并通过算例进行了数值分析。

**关键词:** 突发水灾害 蜈蚣博弈 合作效用 合作行动空间

**Abstract:** The cooperation behavior within multi-agents is discussed at the background of the emergency management for unexpected water disasters in this paper. Firstly, Cooperative utility is proposed, and the cooperative model with heterogeneity agents is constructed based on the experimental model of centipede game with uncertainty payoffs. Further, in emergency management for unexpected water disaster, the influence that utility of cooperation variables may exert on the cooperation possibility is deeply studied. Finally, through an illustration, the propositions from this paper are testified.

收稿日期: 2012-01-12;

基金资助:

国家社科基金重大项目(12&ZD214); 国家自然科学重大培育项目(90924027); 国家重点基础研究发展计划(973计划)(2010CB951104); 水利部公益性项目(201001044); 云南省科技厅计划项目(2010CA013); 江苏省研究生创新计划(CXLX11\_0464)

**作者简介:** 张乐(1985-), 男(汉族), 河南人, 河海大学水文水资源与水利工程科学国家重点实验室, 博士研究生, 研究方向: 水灾害应急管理。

引用本文:

张乐, 王慧敏, 佟金萍. 突发水灾害应急合作的行为博弈模型研究[J]. 中国管理科学, 2014, V22(4): 92-97

### Service

把本文推荐给朋友

加入我的书架

加入引用管理器

Email Alert

RSS

### 作者相关文章

张乐

王慧敏

佟金萍

- [1] Zauner K G. A payoff uncertainty explanation of results in experimental centipede games[J]. Games and Economic Behavior, 1999, 26(1):157-185. 
- [2] 饶郁蕾, 张媛, 彭叠峰. 利他偏好是否导致博弈均衡的偏离—对蜈蚣博弈实验的解释[J]. 系统管理学报, 2010, 19(6):676-683.
- [3] Kawagoe T, Takizawa H. Level-k analysis of experimental centipede games[J]. Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization, 2012, 82:548-566. 
- [4] Charnes A, Cooper W W, Rhodes E. Measuring the efficiency of decision making units[J]. European Journal of Operational Research, 1978, 2(6): 429—444. 
- [5] Stanfill C, Waltz D L. Toward memory-based reasoning[J]. Communication of the ACM, 1986, 29(12): 1213-1228. 

- [6] Banker R D, Charnes A, Cooper W W. Some models for estimating technical and scale inefficiencies in data envelopment analysis[J]. Management Science, 1984, 30(9): 1078—1092. 
- [7] Rapoport A, Stein W E, Parco J E, et al. Equilibrium play and adaptive learning in a three-person centipede game[J]. Games and Economic Behavior, 2003, 43: 239-265. 
- [1] 方志耕, 刘思峰, 施红星, 徐正栋.破解“蜈蚣博弈”悖论：“灰数规整”顺推归纳法研究[J].中国管理科学, 2008, 16(1): 180-186