《上一篇/Previous Article 本期目录/Table of Contents 下一篇/Next Article》

[1]索玮岚,陈锐.城市生命线运行风险评估方法研究现状与展望[J].自然灾害学报,2012,05:1-7.

SUO Weilan, CHEN Rui. Review and prospect of risk assessment methods for urban lifeline operation[J]., 2012, 05:1-7.



城市生命线运行风险评估方法研究现状与展望(PDF)

《自然灾害学报》[ISSN:/CN:23-1324/X] 期数: 2012年05期 页码: 1-7 栏目: 出版日期: 2012-10-31

Title: Review and prospect of risk assessment methods for urban lifeline

operation

作者: 索玮岚; 陈锐

中国科学院 科技政策与管理科学研究所, 北京 100190

Author(s): SUO Weilan; CHEN Rui

Institute of Policy and Management, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing

100190, China

关键词: 城市生命线;风险评估方法;分类;生命线子系统;风险诱因

Keywords: urban lifeline; risk assessment method; classification; lifeline sub-system; risk

factor

分类号: X4;X820.4

DOI: -

文献标识码:

摘要:

城市生命线运行风险评估是城市防灾减灾的重点和难点,已引起众多学者的关注并涌现出诸多原理各异的方法。为保证城市生命线运行实际操作层面风险评估方法遴选的科学性和有效性,采用分类法梳理和归纳了国内外相关研究成果,并剖析了各类研究中所涉及风险评估方法的方法论类型、原理和特点。结果表明:原理的不同导致各类风险评估方法在适用条件、应用复杂性和准确性等方面存在较大的差异,方法遴选应考虑具体问题中研究对象与背景的特点;同时,由于城市生命线运行风险评估问题的复杂性,选择单一方法往往无法避免其自身的局限性,将多种方法组合应用将更有利于解决问题并提高评估结果的准确性。此外还指出,关联情境下的城市生命线运行综合风险评估方法研究将成为该领域未来的方向之一。

Abstract:

Risk assessment of urban lifeline operation is an important and complex issue in urban disaster reduction and mitigation. Many scholars have paid attention to this issue and proposed some different methods. To ensure the selection of risk assessment method for urban lifeline operation to be scientific and effective in practice, relevant studies were reviewed and induced by a classification method. Then, the methodology category, principle and feature of each risk assessment method mentioned in each kind of studies were analyzed in depth. The review shows that these methods are diverse in terms of application situation, complexity and accuracy due to the different principles, thus the features of research object and background should be considered in method selection. Furthermore, due to the complexity of risk assessment problem on urban lifeline operation, it is difficult to overcome the limitation if any single method is selected. Thus it is helpful to improve the accuracy of assessment results though the integration of multiple methods. In addition, it is pointed out that study on

导航/NAVIGATE
本期目录/Table of Contents
下一篇/Next Article
上一篇/Previous Article
工具/TOOLS
引用本文的文章/References
下载 PDF/Download PDF(812KB)
立即打印本文/Print Now
推荐给朋友/Recommend
统计/STATISTICS
摘要浏览/Viewed 458
全文下载/Downloads 314

评论/Comments

RSS XML

overall risk assessment method for urban lifeline operation under interdependent context would be one of the future research directions.

参考文献/REFERENCES

- [1] Shiro T, Junichi U. Failure analysis urban lifeline system by statistical technique [J]. Memoirs of the Graduate School of Science and Technology, 1983, 1A: 19-30.
- [2] Selcuk A S, Yücemen M S. Reliability of lifeline networks under seismic hazard [J]. Reliability Engineering and System Safety, 1999, 65(3): 213-227.
- [3] 尤建新, 陈桂香, 陈强. 城市生命线系统的非工程防灾减灾[J]. 自然灾害学报, 2006, 15(5): 194-198. YOU Jianxin, CHEN Guixiang, CHEN Qiang. Non-structural disaster prevention and reduction measures of urban lifeline systems [J]. Journal of Natural Disasters, 2006, 15(5): 194-198. (in Chinese)
- [4] 温瑞智, 陶夏新, 谢礼立. 生命线系统的震害耦联[J]. 自然灾害学报, 2000, 9(2): 105-110. WEN Ruizhi, TAO Xiaxin, XIE Lili. Earthquake damage analysis of coupling lifeline systems [J]. Journal of Natural Disasters, 2000, 9(2): 105-110. (in Chinese)
- [5] Benoit R. A method for the study of cascading effects within lifeline networks [J]. International Journal of Critical Infrastructures, 2004, 1(1): 86-99.
- [6] Torres-Vera M A, Canas J A. A lifeline vulnerability study in Barcelona, Spain [J]. Reliability Engineering and System Safety, 2003, 80(2): 205-210.
- [7] Mili L, Qiu Q, Phadke A G. Risk assessment of catastrophic failures in electric power systems [J]. International Journal of Critical Infrastructures, 2004, 1(1): 38-63.
- [8] Fei X, McCalley J D. Power system risk assessment and control in a multiobjective framework [J]. IEEE Transactions on Power Systems, 2009, 24(1): 78-85.
- [9] Mousavi O A, Farashbashi-Astaneh M S, Gharehpetian G B. Improving power system risk evaluation method using Monte Carlo simulation and Gaussian mixture method [J]. Advances in Electrical and Computer Engineering, 2009, 9(2): 38-44.
- [10] 冯永青, 吴文传, 张伯明, 等. 基于可信性理论的电力系统运行风险评估(三)应用与工程实践 [J]. 电力系统自动化, 2006, 30 (3): 15-20. FENG Yongqing, WU Wenchuan, ZHANG Boming, et al. Power system operation risk assessment based on credibility theory part three engineering application [J]. Automation of Electric Power Systems, 2006, 30(3): 15-20. (in Chinese)
- [11] Basöz N, Kiremidjian A S. Risk assessment for highway transportation systems [R]. Berkeley: Pacific Earthquake Engineering Research (PEER) Center, University of California, 1996.
- [12] Stroeve S H, Blom H A P, Bakker G J. Systemic accident risk assessment in air traffic by Monte Carlo simulation [J]. Safety Science, 2009, 47(2): 238-249.
- [13] Wang W H, Jiang X B, Xia S C, et al. Incident tree model and incident tree analysis method for quantified risk assessment: An in-depth accident study in traffic operation [J]. Safety Science, 2010, 48(10): 1248-1262.
- [14] 代宝乾, 汪彤, 秦跃平, 等. 基于事故理论的城市轨道交通风险评价模型研究[J]. 中国安全科学学报, 2007, 17(10): 160-163. DAI Baoqian, WANG Tong, QIN Yueping, et al. Study on risk assessment model of urban rail transportation based on accident theories [J]. China Safety Science Journal, 2007, 17(10): 160-163. (in Chinese)
- [15] Li H P. Hierarchical risk assessment of water supply systems [D]. Leicestershire: Loughborouth University, 2007.
- [16] Yung B B, Tolson, B A, Burn D H. Risk assessment of a water supply system under climate variability: a stochastic approach [J]. Canadian Journal of Civil Engineering, 2011, 38(3): 252-262.
- [17] 吴小刚, 张土乔. 城市给水网系统的故障风险评价决策技术[J]. 自然灾害学报, 2006, 15(2): 73-78. WU Xiaogang, ZHANG Shiqiao. Technology of risk evaluation and decision-making for fault risk of water supply net system [J]. Journal of Natural Disasters, 2006, 15(2): 73-78. (in Chinese)
- [18] Jo Y D, Ahn B J. A method of quantitative risk assessment for transmission pipeline carrying natural gas [J]. Journal of Hazardous Materials, 2005, 123(1-3): 1-12.
- [19] 刘茂, 刘斐. 城市燃气管线风险评估[J]. 自然灾害学报, 2009, 18(4): 84-91. LIU Mao, LIU Fei. Risk assessment of urban gas pipeline [J]. Journal of Natural Disasters, 2009, 18(4): 84-91. (in Chinese)
- [20] Han Z Y, Weng W G. Comparison study on qualitative and quantitative risk assessment methods for urban natural gas pipeline network [J]. Journal of Hazardous Materials, 2011, 189(1/2): 509-518.
- [21] Brito A J, de Almeida A T. Multi-attribute risk assessment for risk ranking of natural gas pipelines [J]. Reliability Engineering & System Safety, 2009, 94(2): 187-198.
- [22] Tang R F, Bai Y Q, Wang T. Research and application of risk assessment in urban lifeline systems based on GIS and REST [C]// 2010 Second World Congress on Software Engineering, Huang X H, Xu L D, Zhou Z D, et al. Los Alamitos: IEEE computer society, 2010: 248-251.
- [23] Eguchi R T, Goltz J D, Seligson H A, et al. Real-time loss estimation as an emergency response decision support system: the early post-earthquake damage assessment tool (EPEDAT) [J]. Earthquake Spectra, 1997, 13(4): 815-832.

- [24] Pitilakis K, Alexoudi M, Argyroudis S, et al. Earthquake risk assessment of lifelines [J]. Bulletin of Earthquake Engineering, 2006, 4(4): 365-390.
- [25] Jayaram N, Baker J W. Efficient sampling and data reduction techniques for probabilistic seismic lifeline risk assessment [J]. Earthquake Engineering & Structural Dynamics, 2010, 39(10): 1109-1131.
- [26] Poljan?ek K, Bono F, Gutiérrez E. Seismic risk assessment of interdependent critical infrastructure systems: the case of European gas and electricity networks [J]. Earthquake Engineering & Structural Dynamics, 2012, 41(1): 61-79.
- [27] Grêt-Regamey A, Straub D. Spatially explicit avalanche risk assessment linking Bayesian networks to a GIS [J]. Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences, 2006, 6: 911-926.
- [28] Cappabianca F, Barbolini M, Natale L. Snow avalanche risk assessment and mapping: a new method based on a combination of statistical analysis, avalanche dynamics simulation and empirically-based vulnerability relations integrated in a GIS platform [J]. Cold Regions Science and Technology, 2008, 54(3): 193-205.
- [29] 范一大, 王磊, 聂娟, 等. 我国低温雨雪冰冻灾害遥感监测评估技术——研究与应用[J]. 自然灾害学报, 2008, 17(6): 21-25. FAN Yida, WANG Lei, NIE Juan, et al. Remote sensing monitoring and assessment technology for cryogenic freezing rain and snow disasters in China: Research and application [J]. Journal of Natural Disasters, 2008, 17(6): 21-25. (in Chinese)
- [30] 魏庆朝, 周华国, 曾学贵. 生命线中断评估指标体系及其在铁路水害统计分析中的应用[J]. 自然灾害学报, 1998, 7(4): 60-67. WEI Qingchao, ZHOU Huaguo, ZENG Xuegui. Evaluation criteria for lifeline discontinued period and its application to railway flood disasters [J]. Journal of Natural Disasters, 1998, 7(4): 60-67. (in Chinese)
- [31] van der Sande C J, de Jong S M, de Roo A P J. A segmentation and classification approach of IKONOS-2 imagery for land cover mapping to assist flood risk and flood damage assessment [J]. International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation, 2003, 4(3): 217-229.
- [32] Lian C Y, Haimes Y Y. Managing the risk of terrorism to interdependent infrastructure systems through the dynamic inoperability input-output model [J]. Systems Engineering, 2006, 9(3): 241-258.
- [33] Doro-on A M. Risk assessment embedded with cumulative prospect theory for terrorist attacks on aquifer of karstic limestone and water supply system [D]. San Antonio: The University of Texas at San Antonio, 2009.
- [34] Zhang J, Hodgson J, Erkut E. Using GIS to assess the risks of hazardous materials transport in networks [J]. European Journal of Operational Research, 2000, 121(2): 316-329.

备注/Memo: 收稿日期:2011-11-8;改回日期:2012-1-10。

作者简介:索玮岚(1982-),女,助理研究员,主要从事城市生命线运行管理研究.E-mail:suoweilan@casipm.ac.cn 通讯作者:陈锐,研究员.E-mail:chenrui@casipm.ac.cn

更新日期/Last Update: 1900-01-01