



首页 | 期刊介绍 | 编委会 | 编辑部介绍 | 投稿指南 | 期刊订阅 | 广告合作 | 留言板 | 联系我们 |

中国管理科学 2015, Vol. 23 Issue (2) :170-176

论文

最新目录 | 下期目录 | 过刊浏览 | 高级检索

<< Previous Articles | >>

网络组织风险传导的动态演化路径研究

孙国强, 邱玉霞, 李俊梅

山西财经大学管理科学与工程学院, 山西 太原 030006

Research on The Dynamic Evolution Pathes of Risks Trasmision for Network Organization

SUN Guo-qiang, QIU Yu-xia, LI Jun-mei

School of Management Engineering and Science, Shanxi University of Finance and Economics, Taiyuan 030006, China

- 摘要
- 参考文献
- 相关文章

Download: PDF (1119KB) HTML (1KB) Export: BibTeX or EndNote (RIS) Supporting Info

摘要 网络组织是一个对风险高度敏感的交叉复杂系统,一方面风险具有客观必然性,另一方面风险极易在网络组织内部传导,各种风险在内外力的交互作用下会迅速扩张,因而风险传导机理如何、其动态演化路径如何等就成为能否实现协同效应的关键。本文基于网络组织风险传导具有路径依赖性的特征,利用泛函分析从时间维度推演风险传导的动态演化过程,构建网络组织风险传导路径模型,并运用具有典型网络组织特征的企业集团网络数值算例进行验证,为更好地控制风险、探寻疏通渠道、实现资源的有效配置提供新的洞察。

关键词: 网络组织 风险传导 动态演化 传导路径

Abstract: Network organization is a crossing complex systems of highly sensitive to risks. On one hand, risks are objective and necessary; on the other hand, it is easy for risks of network organization to conduct within the organization, various risks will rapidly expand under the interaction of the internal and external forces. Therefore, risk conduction mechanism and the dynamic evolution pathes of such a system have become the key if synergies can be achieved. In this paper, the conduction of network organization risks has path dependence. The conductive process of risks for network organization is deduced by functional analysis from the time dimension, and the model of risks conduction for the network is constructed, and the results are verified by numerical example, which has the typical characteristics of network organization, in order to provide a new insight to control the risk for network organization, explore dredge channels, and achieve efficient allocation of resources.

收稿日期: 2013-03-06;

基金资助:

国家社会科学基金重点项目(11AZD091);教育部人文社科规划项目(14YJA630047);山西省高校中青年拔尖创新人才支持计划项目(TYMIT);山西省高校创新团队支持计划项目(OIT)

作者简介: 孙国强(1963—),男(汉族),山西万荣人,山西财经大学管理科学与工程学院教授,博士生导师,研究方向:网络组织治理。

引用本文:

.网络组织风险传导的动态演化路径研究[J] 中国管理科学, 2015,V23(2): 170- 176

[1] 蔡宁,王发明,朱浩义. 基于网络结构视角的产业集群风险研究: 以128公路区产业集群衰退为例[J]. 科学学研究,2007,24(6): 885-889.

[2] Souter G. Risks form supply chain also demand attention[J]. Business Insurance, 2000,34(20):26-27.

[3] Lodge R.Risk: The weak link in your supply chain[M].Boston:Harvard Business School Publishing Corporation, 2003.

[4] Diebold F X, Yilmaz K. Volatility contagion.Working Paper,University Of Pennsylvania And NBER,Koc University, 2005.

[5] Khalid A, Rajaguru G, Financial market contagion or spillovers evidence form asian crisis using multivariate gARCH approach[R]. Seminar Series of the University of New South Wales, Bond University, 2006.

[6] Christoffersen F. Elements of financial risk management[M]. US:Academic Press,2003.

[7] Dowd K.Measuring market risk[M].US:John Wiley & Sons, 2005.

[8] Jason J J. Trustworthy knowledge diffusion model based on risk discovery on peer-to-peer networks [J]. Expert Systems With Applications,2009,36(3):7123-7128.

[9] Mooney C, Roddick J F. Mining item sets-an approach to longitudinal and incremental association rule mining[C]//Zanasi A. Brebbia C, Ebecken N,et al.Proceedings of Data mining III-3rd International Conference on Data Mining Method and Databases, Bologna, Italy.

Service

- 把本文推荐给朋友
- 加入我的书架
- 加入引用管理器
- Email Alert
- RSS

作者相关文章

- [10] Mooney C H, de Vries D, Roddick J F. A multilevel framework for the analysis of sequential data.[M]//Simoff S J, Williams G J. Data mining: Theory, Methodology, Techniques, and Applications. Heidelberg, Germany:Springer, 2006.
- [11] Vlachos M, Philip S Y, Castelli V, et al. Structural periodic measures for time-series data[J]. Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery, 2006, 12(1):1-28. 
- [12] Hallikas J, Karvonen I, Pulkkinen V, et al. Risk management processes in supplier networks[J]. International Journal of Production Economics, 2004, 90(1):47-58. 
- [13] Thun J H, Hoening D. An empirical analysis of supply chain risk management in the German automotive industry[J]. International Journal of Production Economics, 2011, 131(1): 242-249. 
- [14] Rowley T J. Moving beyond dyadic Ties: A network theory of stakeholder influences[J]. Academy of Management Review, 1997, 22(4):887-910.
- [15] Okumura H. Interfirm relations in an enterprise group[J]. Japanese Economy, 1982, 10(4): 53-82.
- [16] 李建平, 丰吉闻, 宋浩, 等. 风险相关性下的信用风险、市场风险和操作风险集成度量[J]. 中国管理科学, 2010, 18(1):18-25. 浏览
- [17] 李建平, 李刚, 韦吉闻, 等. 证券公司整体风险的度量方法与实证[J]. 系统工程理论与实践, 2012, 32(3): 574-579.
- [18] 程国平, 邱映贵. 供应链风险传导研究[J]. 武汉理工大学学报(社会科学版), 2009, 22(2):36-41.
- [19] 袁裕辉. 供应链网络社会责任风险传导研究[J]. 工业工程, 2012, 15(4):108-113.
- [20] 邱映贵. 供应链风险传递及其控制研究[D]. 武汉: 武汉理工大学, 2010.
- [21] Cioaca C. Qualitative risk analysis methods in aviation projects[J]. Journal of Defence Resource Management, 2011, 1(2):77-84.
- [22] 汤铃, 李建平, 孙晓蕾, 等. 基于模态分解的国家风险多尺度特征分析[J]. 管理评论, 2012, 24(8):3-10.
- [23] Grabher G. The weakness of strong ties: The lock-in of regional development in the Ruhr area[M]//Grabher G. The embedded firm: On social-economics of industrial networks. London: Routledge, 1983.
- [24] 余荣华, 姜明君, 于晓飞. 产业集群风险传导与扩散理论研究[M]. 北京: 人民出版社, 2010.
- [25] 夏喆, 邓明然, 黄洁莉. 企业风险传导进程中的耦合性态分析[J]. 上海管理科学, 2007, 29(1):4-6. 
- [26] Grundke P. Top-down approaches for integrated risk management: How accurate are they[J]. European Journal of Operational Research, 2010, 203(3):662-672.
- [27] 罗家德. 社会网分析讲义[M]. 北京: 社会科学文献出版社, 2010.
- [1] 陈王, 魏宇, 淳伟德, 侯县平. 中国股市与周边股市波动风险传导效应研究[J]. 中国管理科学, 2011, 19(6): 31-39