

[首页](#) | [期刊介绍](#) | [编委会](#) | [编辑部介绍](#) | [投稿指南](#) | [期刊订阅](#) | [广告合作](#) | [留言板](#) | [联系我们](#) |

中国管理科学  2014, Vol. 22  Issue (9) :90-97

论文

[最新目录](#) | [下期目录](#) | [过刊浏览](#) | [高级检索](#)

[<< Previous Articles](#) | [Next Articles >>](#)

TPLSPs竞争模式下的物流合同

王勇, 张小娟

重庆大学经济与工商管理学院, 重庆 400044

Logistics Coordination Contract under the Mode of Competing TPLSPs

WANG Yong, ZHANG Xiao-juan

School of Economics and Business Administration, Chongqing University, Chongqing 400044, China

Download: PDF (887KB) [HTML](#) (1KB) **Export:** BibTeX or EndNote (RIS) **Supporting Info**

摘要 本文研究了一个客户企业与两个竞争的第三方物流服务提供商 (TPLSP)、且两个TPLSPs的努力水平同时影响市场需求的模型。利用博弈理论,研究了存在两个TPLSP竞争时,系统集中决策、独立决策两种情况下,客户企业与TPLSPs的最优决策。探讨了TPLSPs的最优努力水平与其市场占有率、服务价格、服务成本之间的动态关系,为了协调该模型,给出了客户企业与两个TPLSPs之间的收入共享与成本共担的组合合同,讨论了合同使得系统达到协调的条件;由系统协调条件可以看出,市场占有率越高的TPLSP越容易接受TPLSP分配客户企业收益比重较小的合同;证明了竞争能提高努力水平、提高客户订货量。

关键词: 物流合同 博弈 努力水平 市场占有率

Abstract : In this paper,a model is proposed to study a system,which consists of one client enterprise and two competing third party logistics service providers(TPLSPs).Meanwhile, the effort level of two TPLSPs affect the stochastic demand simultaneously. Using the game theory, the optimal decisions are given, the dynamic relations are studied among the optimal effort level of two TPLSPs and their share of market, service price and unit cost, in the two cases of centralized decision-making and decentralized decision-making. In order to coordinate the model, a combined contract is introduced in which the client enterprise should share its revenue with both TPLSPs, and need to take part of the effort cost of both TPLSPs, discuss the conditions of the contract could coordinate the system. It can be found that heavier share of market, more easily the TPLSP accept a contract with a lower share of enterprise's revenue. Results prove that competition of TPLSPs can not only improve both TPLSPs's effort level, but also promote the enterprise's optimal order quantity.

收稿日期: 2012-03-19;

基金资助:

国家自然科学基金资助项目 (70872123) ; 中央高校研究生科技创新基金资助项目 (CDJXS10020001)

作者简介: 王勇 (1957-), 男 (汉族), 四川内江人, 重庆大学经济与工商管理学院, 教授, 博士生导师, 研究方向: 第四方物流、库存运输管理。

引用本文:

.TPLSPs竞争模式下的物流合同[J] 中国管理科学, 2014,V22(9): 90-97

Service

[把本文推荐给朋友](#)






[加入我的书架](#)

[加入引用管理器](#)





[Email Alert](#)

[RSS](#)

作者相关文章

- [1] Ha A Y, Li L, Ng S M. Price and delivery logistics competition in a supply chain[J]. Management Science, 2003, 49(9):1139-1153. 
- [2] Alp O, Erkip N K, Gullu R. Outsourcing logistics: Designing transportation contracts between a manufacturer and a transporter[J]. Transportation Science, 2003, 37(1):23-39. 
- [3] Bernstein F, Federgruen A. Pricing and replenishment strategies in a distribution system with competing retailers[J]. Operational Research, 2003, 51(3):409-426. 
- [4] Bernstein F, Federgruen A. Decentralized supply chains with competing retailers under demand uncertainty[J]. Management Science, 2005, 51(1):18-29. 
- [5] Cachon G P, Lariviere M A. Supply chain coordination with revenue-sharing contracts: Strengths and limitations[J]. Management Science, 2005, 51(1):30-44. 
- [6] Dana J D, Spier K E. Revenue sharing, demand uncertainty, and vertical control of competing firms. Working paper, Northwestern University, 2000.
- [7] He Yong, Zhao Xuan, Zhao Lindu, et al. Coordinating a supply chain with effort and price dependent stochastic demand[J]. Applied Mathematical Modelling, 2009, 33(6): 2777-2790.
- [8] Lim W S. A lemons market? An incentive scheme to induce truth-telling in third party logistics providers[J]. European Journal of

Operational Research, 2000, 125(3):519-525. 

- [9] Sheen G J, Tai C T. A study on decision factors and third party selection criterion of logistics outsourcing-an exploratory study of direct selling industry[J]. Journal of American Academy of Business, 2006, 9(2):331-337.
- [10] Stank T P, Daugherty P J. The impact of operating environment on the formation of cooperative logistics relationships[J]. Transportation research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Reviews, 1997, 33(1):53-65. 
- [11] Taylor T. Supply chain coordination under channel rebates with sales effort effects[J]. Management Science, 2002, 48(8):992-1007. 
- [12] 刘志学, 许泽勇.基于非对称信息理论的第三方物流合作博弈分析[J].中国管理科学, 2003, 11(5):85-88. 
- [13] 吴庆, 但斌.物流服务水平影响市场需求变化的TPL协调合同[J].管理科学学报, 2008, 11(5):64-75.
- [14] 许明辉, 于刚, 张汉勤.具备提供服务的供应链博弈分析[J].管理科学学报, 2006, 9(2)18-27.
- [15] 张菊亮, 陈剑.销售商的努力影响需求变化的供应链的合约[J].中国管理科学, 2004, 12(4):50-56. 

- [1] 姜婷.新股询价配给规则与IPO价格形成的进化博弈分析[J]. 中国管理科学, 2014,22(6): 10-16
- [2] 许皓, 孙燕红, 卞亦文.基于主从博弈的两部门并行系统的效率评价[J]. 中国管理科学, 2014,22(5): 115-120
- [3] 危小超, 胡斌, 聂规划.需求驱动的移动商务价值链组织的多阶博弈仿真[J]. 中国管理科学, 2014,22(4): 58-66
- [4] 张乐, 王慧敏, 佟金萍.突发水灾害应急合作的行为博弈模型研究[J]. 中国管理科学, 2014,22(4): 92-97
- [5] 张克勇, 吴燕, 侯世旺.具公平关切零售商的闭环供应链差别定价策略研究[J]. 中国管理科学, 2014,22(3): 51-58
- [6] 徐兵, 杨金梅.需求与回收确定下闭环供应链的竞争与链内协调研究[J]. 中国管理科学, 2014,22(2): 48-55
- [7] 林宏伟, 邵培基.基于互联网环境下的企业网络广告投资策略研究[J]. 中国管理科学, 2014,22(2): 65-74
- [8] 方志耕, 王传会, 张娜, 陶良彦, 刘思峰.基于灰信息变元的泛函博弈模型研究[J]. 中国管理科学, 2014,22(2): 112-118
- [9] 石岿然, 盛昭瀚, 马胡杰.双边不确定性条件下制造商质量投资与零售商销售努力决策[J]. 中国管理科学, 2014,22(1): 37-44
- [10] 陈晓旭, 王勇, 于海龙.3PL参与的时变需求变品质三级供应链模型[J]. 中国管理科学, 2014,22(1): 65-73
- [11] 杨广青, 刘涛.基于双层粒子群算法的上下游企业决策动态博弈[J]. 中国管理科学, 2013,21(6): 152-160
- [12] 张迅, 邵扬, 张东戈.基于排队博弈的群体稳定性分析[J]. 中国管理科学, 2013,21(5): 157-164
- [13] 杨哲, 蒲勇健.广义不确定性下广义博弈中NS均衡的存在性[J]. 中国管理科学, 2013,21(5): 165-171
- [14] 张国兴, 张绪涛, 程素杰, 柴国荣, 王龙龙.节能减排补贴政策下的企业与政府信号博弈模型[J]. 中国管理科学, 2013,21(4): 129-136
- [15] 但斌, 田丽娜, 董绍辉.考虑溢出效应的互补品企业间广告决策模型研究[J]. 中国管理科学, 2013,(2): 66-75