



## Journal Menu

- Abstracting and Indexing
- Aims and Scope
- Article Processing Charges
- Articles in Press
- Author Guidelines
- Bibliographic Information
- Contact Information
- Editorial Board
- Editorial Workflow
- Reviewers Acknowledgment
- Subscription Information

- Open Special Issues
- Published Special Issues
- Special Issue Guidelines

Call for Proposals for  
Special Issues

International Journal of Biomedical Imaging  
Volume 2006 (2006), Article ID 87567, 10 pages  
doi:10.1155/IJBI/2006/87567

## Comparison of Lesion Detection and Quantification in MAP Reconstruction with Gaussian and Non-Gaussian Priors

Jinyi Qi

Department of Biomedical Engineering, University of California, Davis 95616, CA, USA

Received 21 December 2005; Revised 13 April 2006; Accepted 19 April 2006

### Abstract

Statistical image reconstruction methods based on maximum a posteriori (MAP) principle have been developed for emission tomography. The prior distribution of the unknown image plays an important role in MAP reconstruction. The most commonly used prior are Gaussian priors, whose logarithm has a quadratic form. Gaussian priors are relatively easy to analyze. It has been shown that the effect of a Gaussian prior can be approximated by linear filtering a maximum likelihood (ML) reconstruction. As a result, sharp edges in reconstructed images are not preserved. To preserve sharp transitions, non-Gaussian priors have been proposed. However, their effect on clinical tasks is less obvious. In this paper, we compare MAP reconstruction with Gaussian and non-Gaussian priors for lesion detection and region of interest quantification using computer simulation. We evaluate three representative priors: Gaussian prior, Huber prior, and Geman-McClure prior. We simulate imaging a prostate tumor using positron emission tomography (PET). The detectability of a known tumor in either a fixed background or a random background is measured using a channelized Hotelling observer. The bias-variance tradeoff curves are calculated for quantification of the total tumor activity. The results show that for the detection and quantification tasks, the Gaussian prior is as effective as non-Gaussian priors.

Abstract

Full-Text PDF

Linked References

How to Cite this Article

Complete Special Issue