



HOME HELP FEEDBACK SUBSCRIPTIONS ARCHIVE SEARCH TABLE OF CONTENTS

Journal of Andrology, Vol 17, Issue 3 288-292, Copyright © 1996 by The American Society of Andrology

JOURNAL ARTICLE

# Evaluation of computer-assisted semen analysis (CASA) with IDENT stain to determine sperm concentration

 $\mathsf{M.~J.~Zinaman,~M.~L.~Uhler,~E.~Vertuno,~S.~G.~Fisher~and~E.~D.~Clegg}$ 

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Loyola University Medical Center, Maywood, Illinois 60153, USA.

This study was undertaken to compare a new fluorescent stain-based computer-assisted semen analysis (CASA) system (IDENT) for determining human sperm concentration to the manual hemacytometric method and to conventional CASA (CASA-CONV). Normal healthy semen donors as well as patients provided samples that were evaluated for

#### This Article

- Full Text (PDF)
- Alert me when this article is cited
- Alert me if a correction is posted

#### Services

- ▶ Similar articles in this journal
- ▶ Similar articles in PubMed
- Alert me to new issues of the journal
- ▶ Download to citation manager

#### Citing Articles

- ▶ Citing Articles via HighWire
- Liting Articles via Google Scholar

#### Google Scholar

- Articles by Zinaman, M. J.
- Articles by Clegg, E. D.
- ▶ Search for Related Content

## PubMed

- PubMed Citation
- Articles by Zinaman, M. J.
- Articles by Clegg, E. D.

sperm concentration with the CASA-IDENT method, the hemacytometer method, and CASA-CONV. Each field was examined visually to determine the sources of overcounting and undercounting for the two CASA methods. Four ranges of sperm concentration were examined: 0-10, > 10-30, > 30-100, and  $> 100 \times 10$  (6)/ml. The main outcome measures were sperm concentration, debris counted as sperm, and missed sperm. Our results showed that significantly more debris was counted as sperm and more sperm were missed with CASA-CONV than CASA-IDENT. As the sperm density increased, so did the number of counting errors for the CASA-CONV system. The error rate was much greater using CASA-CONV (12.1 +/- 42.2%) than with CASA-IDENT (0.4 +/- 0.7%) when compared to hemacytometer counts (P = 0.068). We conclude that the CASA-IDENT method of sperm counting is highly accurate and less time-consuming when compared to the hemacytometer method. There are significant differences in the amount of debris counted as sperm and number of missed sperm between CASA-CONV and CASA-IDENT with varying sperm density. With both parameters, the counts are more accurate using the CASA-IDENT method.

# This article has been cited by other articles:



## Journal of ANDROLOGY

**▶**HOME

T. G. Cooper, B. Hellenkemper, J. Jonckheere, N. Callewaert, A. J. Grootenhuis, W. M. Kersemaekers, A. Leung, and C. Wang Azoospermia: Virtual Reality or Possible to Quantify? J Androl, July 1, 2006; 27(4): 483 - 490.

[Full Text] [PDF]

HOME HELP FEEDBACK SUBSCRIPTIONS ARCHIVE SEARCH TABLE OF CONTENTS

Copyright © 1996 by The American Society of Andrology.