

<u>TOP</u> > <u>Available Issues</u> > <u>Table of Contents</u> > Abstract

ONLINE ISSN : 1881-1361 PRINT ISSN : 0287-4547

Dental Materials Journal Vol. 27 (2008), No. 6 p.849-855

[PDF (475K)] [References]

Effect of testing methods on the bond strength of resin to zirconiaalumina ceramic: microtensile *versus* shear test

Luiz F. VALANDRO¹⁾, <u>Mutlu ÖZCAN²⁾, Regina AMARAL³⁾, Aleska VANDERLEI³⁾</u> and <u>Marco A. BOTTINO³⁾</u>

1) Division of Prosthodontics, Department of Restorative Dentistry, Federal University of Santa Maria

2) Clinical Dental Biomaterials, Department of Dentistry and Dental Hygiene, University Medical Center Groningen, University of Groningen

3) Department of Dental Materials and Prosthodontics, São Jose dos Campos Dental School, São Paulo State University, São Jose dos Campos

(Received May 21, 2007) (Accepted June 16, 2008)

Abstract:

This study tested the bond strength of a resin cement to a glass-infiltrated zirconia-alumina ceramic after three conditioning methods and using two test methods (shear-SBS *versus* microtensile-MTBS). Ceramic blocks for MTBS and ceramic disks for SBS were fabricated. Three surface conditioning (SC) methods were evaluated: (1) 110- μ m Al₂O₃+silanization; (2) Chairside silica coating+silanization; (3) Laboratory silica

coating+silanization. Following surface conditioning, the resin cement (Panavia F) was bonded to the conditioned ceramics. Although no statistically significant differences (p=0.1076) were seen between the test methods, results yielded with the different surface conditioning methods showed statistically significant differences (p<0.0001) (SC2=SC3>SC1). As for the interaction between the factors, two-way ANOVA showed that it was not statistically significant (p=0.1443). MTBS test resulted in predominantly mixed failure (85%), but SBS test resulted in exclusively adhesive failure. On the effects of different surface conditioning methods, chairside and laboratory tribochemical silica coating followed by silanization showed higher bond strength results compared to those of aluminum oxide abrasion and silanization, independent of the test method employed. Key words: Microtensile test, Shear test, Zirconia

[PDF (475K)] [References]

Download Meta of Article[<u>Help</u>] <u>RIS</u> <u>BibTeX</u>

To cite this article:

Luiz F. VALANDRO, Mutlu ÖZCAN, Regina AMARAL, Aleska VANDERLEI and Marco A. BOTTINO. Effect of testing methods on the bond strength of resin to zirconia-alumina ceramic: microtensile *versus* shear test . Dent. Mater. J. 2008; 27: 849-855 .

doi:10.4012/dmj.27.849

JOI JST.JSTAGE/dmj/27.849

Copyright (c) 2009 The Japanese Society for Dental Materials and Devices

