[1]瞿伟,谷珊珊,罗菡,等. 奥氮平联合氟西汀与度洛西汀治疗躯体形式疼痛障碍的疗效比较[J]. 第三军医大学学报,2012,34(06):555-557

Qu Wei, Gu Shanshan, Luo Han, et al. Efficacy comparison between olanzapine-fluoxetine combination and duloxetine in treatment of somatoform pain disorder[J]. Journal of Third Military Medical University, 2012, 34(06):555-557.

点击复制

作者:

## 奥氮平联合氟西汀与度洛西汀治疗躯体形式疼痛障碍的疗效比较ԹԵ

《第三军医大学学报》[ISSN:1000-5404/CN:51-1095/R] 卷: 34 期数: 2012年第06期 页码: 555-557 栏目: 论著 出版日期: 2012-03-30

Title: Efficacy comparison between olanzapine-fluoxetine combination and duloxetine in treatment of somatoform pain

disorder

瞿伟; 谷珊珊; 罗菡; 唐倩影; 郭俊伟

第三军医大学西南医院临床心理科

Author(s): Qu Wei; Gu Shanshan; Luo Han; Tang Qianying; Guo Junwei

Department of Clinical Psychology, Southwest Hospital, Chongging, 400038, China

关键词: 躯体形式疼痛障碍; 氟西汀联合奥氮平; 度洛西汀; 疗效

Keywords: somatoform pain disorder; olanzapine-fluoxetine combination; duloxetine; efficacy

the treatment of somatoform pain disorder at acute phase.

分类号: R749.2; R969.4; R971.43

文献标识码: A

**摘要**: 目的 比较小剂量奥氮平联合氟西汀与度洛西汀治疗持续性躯体形式疼痛障碍疗效差异。 方法 临床病例对照研究设计。35例患者接受小

剂量奧氮平联合氟西汀治疗(OFC组),33例患者接受度洛西汀治疗。2组患者完成急性治疗期12周的治疗。治疗前后观察指标:数字疼痛强度量表(PI-NRS)、临床疾病严重程度评定表(CGI-S)及临床总体疗效指数评分(CGI-EI)、汉密尔顿抑郁量表24项(HAMD-24)。观察评定时间分别于治疗前,治疗后1、2、4、8、12周末。 结果 OFC组患者在治疗后第1周末及随后各时间观察点,PI-NRS显著低于度洛西汀组(P<0.05,P<0.01)、CGI-EI在各观察时间点则均明显高于度洛西汀组(P<0.01),2组患者在治疗后第1、2、4、8、12周末 HAMD-24评分没有显著差异

(P>0.05)。 结论 奥氮平联合氟西汀治疗躯体形式疼痛障碍在急性治疗期比单用度洛西汀疗效更优。

Abstract: Objective To compare the efficacy of olanzapine-fluoxetine combination (OFC) with duloxetine in treatment of persistent somatoform pain disorder. Methods A case-control study was performed. Thirty-five patients were treated with OFC (OFC group), and other thirty-three patients were treated with duloxetine (duloxetine group). All the patients were treated for 12 weeks (acute phase). The

indicators including scores of pain intensity-Numerical Rating Scale (PI-NRS), Clinical Global Impression-Severity scale (CGI-S), Clinical Global Impression-efficacy index (CGI-EI) and Hamilton Depression Rating Scale for Depression (HAMD-24) were used for evaluation before treatment and at the 1st, 2nd, 4th, 8th and 12th weekends after treatment. Results At all time points after treatment, PI-NRS scores in the OFC group were significantly lower than those in the duloxetine group (P < 0.05, P < 0.01), while CGI-EI scores were significantly higher in the OFC group than in the duloxetine group (P < 0.01). There were no significant differences in HAMD-24 scores at different time

points after treatment between the two groups (P>0.05). Conclusion The efficacy of OFC is better than that of duloxetine alone in

参考文献/REFERENCES

瞿伟, 谷珊珊, 罗菡, 等. 奥氮平联合氟西汀与度洛西汀治疗躯体形式疼痛障碍的疗效比较[J]. 第三军医大学学报,2012,34(6):555-557.

备注/Memo: -

更新日期/Last Update: 2012-03-22

导航/NAVIGATE
本期目录/Table of Contents
下一篇/Next Article
上一篇/Previous Article
工具/TOOLS
引用本文的文章/References
下载 PDF/Download PDF(543KB)
立即打印本文/Print Now
推荐给朋友/Recommend
查看/发表评论/Comments
统计/STATISTICS
摘要浏览/Viewed
全文下载/Downloads
150
评论/Comments

RSS XML